

Subject: BMJ - Decision on Manuscript ID BMJ.2017.039006

Body: 15-Jun-2017

Dear Mr. Sutaria

BMJ.2017.039006 entitled "The end of the NHS and local government in England as we know them"

Thank you for letting us consider your resubmitted manuscript, which we read with interest.

It has been reviewed again by the editorial team and by our statistician.

If you are able to amend it in the light of our comments, we would be happy to work towards publication.

The statistical review is at the end of this email.

The editors' comments are listed below:

- 1) Editors felt that your revisions have improved the paper - it is now much focused, clearer, and easier to follow.
- 2) We felt in parts there is still some overstating of how much outsourcing and privatisation will ensue. We would prefer the article to be more open about uncertainty in this area, and note that reviewers had made this same comment on an earlier version of the manuscript
- 3) We would like to emphasise the points from our stats editor and ask that you make all data sources used in the article very clear

Please note that resubmitting your manuscript does not guarantee eventual acceptance, and that your resubmission may be sent again for review.

****All accepted Analysis articles are published on thebmj.com, the canonical version of the journal. Please note that only a proportion of accepted Analysis articles will also be published in print. ****

Once you have revised your manuscript, go to <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/bmj> and login to your Author Center. Click on "Manuscripts with Decisions," and then click on "Create a Resubmission" located next to the manuscript number. Then, follow the steps for resubmitting your manuscript.

You may also click the below link to start the resubmission process (or continue the process if you have already started your revision) for your manuscript. If you use the below link you will not be required to login to ScholarOne Manuscripts.

***** PLEASE NOTE: This is a two-step process. After clicking on the link, you will be directed to a webpage to confirm. *****

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/bmj?URL_MASK=5b9bdd5f0da6453a82f758030ca49d3d

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redundant files before completing the submission.

I hope you will find the comments useful. Please don't hesitate to contact me if you wish to discuss this further.

Yours sincerely

Navjoyt Ladher
nladher@bmj.com

****IMPORTANT INFORMATION TO INCLUDE IN A RESUBMISSION****

Instead of returning a signed licence or competing interest form, we require all authors to insert the following statements into the text version of their manuscript:

Licence for Publication

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in BMJ and any other BMJPGJ products and sublicences such use and exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence (<http://group.bmj.com/products/journals/instructions-for-authors/licence-forms>).

Competing Interest

Please see our policy and the unified Competing Interests form <http://resources.bmj.com/bmj/authors/editorial-policies/competing-interests>. Please state any competing interests if they exist, or make a no competing interests declaration.

Reviewer(s)' Comments to Author:

Reviewer: 1

Recommendation:

Comments:

This is an interesting article, and though quite dense, I think the arguments are well presented and supported by the tables and figures.

The text within the figures is too small and the font size needs to be increased. Perhaps using alternate years in the x-axes would help. The main text needs to refer to the tables. For example, page 5 line 26 "LA expenditure on adult social care increased annually" needs to refer to table 4; line 56 on page 4 needs to refer to table 3. I couldn't see the home care line within Figure 3. Table 1 would benefit from a totals column.

It was not possible to check all of the figures presented, for example those from LaingBuisson. It would be helpful to provide links to data sources where possible.

Page 4 line 31 - should be six-fold, not seven-fold.

Page 4 line 42 - "The expansion or and switch to private provision was the results of policies..." is not justified given the data presented. "coincided with" would be better.

Page 6 - please define what is meant by spending power.

Additional Questions:

Please enter your name: Katie Harron

Job Title: Assistant Professor

Institution: London School of Hygiene& Tropical Medicine

Reimbursement for attending a symposium?: No

A fee for speaking?: No

A fee for organising education?: No

Funds for research?: No

Funds for a member of staff?: No

Fees for consulting?: No

Have you in the past five years been employed by an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this paper?: No

Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this paper?: No

If you have any competing interests <A
HREF='http://www.bmj.com/about-bmj/resources-authors/forms-policies-and-checklists/d
eclaration-competing-interests'target='_new'> (please see BMJ policy) please
declare them here: no competing interests

Date Sent: 15-Jun-2017