



**Potato Intake and the Incidence of Hypertension in Three Prospective Cohort Studies**

Journal:	<i>BMJ</i>
Manuscript ID	BMJ.2015.030374.R2
Article Type:	Research
BMJ Journal:	BMJ
Date Submitted by the Author:	01-Mar-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Borgi, Lea; Brigham and Women's Hospital , Nephrology Rimm, Eric; Harvard T.H Chan School of Public Health, Nutrition and Epidemiology Willett, Walter; Harvard T.H Chan School of Public Health, Nutrition and Epidemiology Forman, John; Brigham and Women's Hospital, Nephrology
Keywords:	potatoes, hypertension, prospective cohort study

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

**Potato Intake and the Incidence of Hypertension in Three Prospective Cohort Studies**

Lea Borgi *associate physician*<sup>1</sup>, Eric B. Rimm *professor of epidemiology and nutrition*<sup>2,3</sup>, Walter C. Willett *professor of epidemiology and nutrition*<sup>2,3</sup>, John P. Forman *assistant professor*<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Renal Division, Brigham and Women's Hospital, 75 Francis street, Boston, MA 02115; <sup>2</sup>Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, 02115; <sup>3</sup>Departments of Nutrition and Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, 02115.

Correspondence to: Lea Borgi, [lborgi@partners.org](mailto:lborgi@partners.org)

## Abstract

**Objective:** To determine whether long-term and increased intake of baked or boiled potatoes, French fries or potato chips is associated with the incidence of hypertension. This association is important, especially with recent changes lifting the restriction on starchy vegetables serving in school lunches and allowing white potatoes in food packages of nutrition programs for women and children (up to 5 years of age).

**Design:** Prospective longitudinal cohort studies.

**Setting:** Healthcare providers in the United States.

**Participants:** 62,175 women in Nurses' Health Study, 88,475 women in Nurses' Health Study II and 36,803 men in Health Professionals Follow-up Study who were non-hypertensive at baseline.

**Main Outcome Measures:** Incident cases of hypertension. A healthcare provider diagnosis of hypertension is self-reported.

**Results:** Compared with consumption of <1 serving/month, the random effects pooled hazard ratios for  $\geq 4$  serving/week were 1.11 (95% CI: 0.96 to 1.28; p-trend=0.05) for baked, boiled or mashed potatoes, 1.17 (1.07 to 1.27; p-trend=0.001) for French fries, and 0.97(0.87 to 1.08; p-trend= 0.98) for potato chips. In substitution analyses, replacing one serving/day of baked, boiled or mashed potatoes with one serving/day of non-starchy vegetables was associated with decreased hypertension risk (hazard ratio=0.93 [0.89 to 0.96]).

**Conclusion:**

Higher intake of baked, boiled or mashed potatoes and French fries was independently and prospectively associated with an increased risk of developing hypertension in three large cohorts of adult men and women.

## **Introduction:**

Government agencies within the United States have established several programs in the last decade to provide healthy meals to children and to low-income populations<sup>1 2</sup>, including the Healthy, Hunger-Free Act of 2010 (a school lunch program) and the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC)<sup>3</sup>. The Healthy, Hunger-Free Act initially restricted the provision of starchy vegetables to one cup/week; in 2009, the WIC program offered a monthly fruit and vegetable cash-value voucher that excluded white potatoes<sup>4</sup>.

However, opposition from several state and local school lunch operators led to a removal of the restriction on starchy vegetables (including French fries) in school lunches in 2012<sup>5</sup> and, based upon an Institute of Medicine report requested by Congress<sup>6</sup>, the restriction on white potatoes in the WIC fruit and vegetable cash-voucher was lifted in 2015. The rationale for removing these limitations on potatoes included their high potassium content and insufficient evidence on their health effects<sup>6</sup>.

On the international front, the World Health Organization (WHO) does not include potatoes as vegetables<sup>7</sup>.

Although potassium supplementation may be beneficial for chronic disease prevention, in particular prevention of hypertension<sup>8</sup>, a 6 week feeding trial of 164 prehypertensive and hypertensive individuals found that diets rich in protein or monounsaturated fat reduced blood pressure (BP) compared with diets rich in carbohydrates<sup>9</sup>. Because potatoes are high in both glycemic carbohydrates and potassium, their impact on hypertension risk is unclear; the long-term association of high potato intake with the risk of developing hypertension has not been examined. We therefore examined the prospective, independent association of baked, boiled or mashed potatoes, French fries and potato chips consumption with incident hypertension in three large cohort studies that included 187,453 participants with more than 20 years of follow-up.

## **Methods:**

### **Study Population**

We used data from the Nurses' Health Study (NHS, N=121,700 women, aged 30-55 at the time of cohort inception in 1976), the Nurses' Health Study II (NHS II, N=116,430 women, aged 25-42 at cohort inception in 1989), and the Health Professionals Follow-up Study (HPFS, N=51,529 men, aged 40-75 at cohort inception in 1986). Participants returned a questionnaire every two years with health status updates, including information about hypertension diagnosed by a health-care provider. Also, participants of NHS answered an extensive semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) in 1984, 1986, and then every four years thereafter; participants of NHS II and HPFS answered similar FFQs every four years starting in 1991 (in NHS II) and 1986 (HPFS). The validity and reproducibility of these FFQs, which ascertain intake of more than 130 foods and beverages, has been detailed previously<sup>10,11</sup>. After excluding participants who did not provide dietary information and those who reported a diagnosis of hypertension at the baseline FFQ (1984, 1991, and 1986 for NHS, NHS II, and HPFS, respectively), the study population consisted of 62,175 women in NHS, 88,475 women in NHS II and 36,803 men in HPFS.

The Institutional Review Board of Brigham and Women's Hospital approved the study. Participants provided implied consent by voluntarily returning their questionnaires.

### **Assessment of Hypertension**

A diagnosis of hypertension by a health professional was self-reported on the baseline and biennial questionnaires. Studies in the three cohorts were performed to validate this method of hypertension diagnosis<sup>12-14</sup>. In NHS, 77% (39 out of 51 cases) of self-reported hypertension had a BP >160/95 mmHg and a 100% had a BP >140/90 mmHg; none of the women who did not report a diagnosis of hypertension were found to be hypertensive by medical record review. In HPFS, out of 114 participants without a self-report of hypertension, only two were found to have hypertension<sup>14</sup>. In a review of randomly chosen participants who self-reported a diagnosis of hypertension in NHS II and HPFS, 94% and 100% (39 participants)

1  
2  
3 were confirmed to have hypertension, respectively <sup>12-14</sup>. As this analysis was prospective,  
4 participants with self-reported hypertension at baseline questionnaire were excluded from our  
5 study. Incident cases of hypertension were included those who reported a diagnosis of  
6 hypertension on subsequent questionnaires with a date of diagnosis that was after the baseline  
7 questionnaire.  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

### 13 **Assessment of Potato Intake**

14  
15 In 1984, 1991 and 1986, a detailed FFQ was sent to all participants in NHS, NHS II and  
16 HPFS, respectively. Approximately every four years thereafter, similar FFQs were sent out to  
17 update habitual dietary intake. Participants answered how often, on average over the past  
18 year, they consumed each food in a standard portion size. Nine different response categories  
19 could be selected, ranging from “never or less than once a month” to “6 or more a day”. Three  
20 questions on the FFQ ascertained potatoes: baked, boiled, or mashed potatoes (either 1 potato  
21 or 1 cup), which were ascertained as a group on the FFQ as a single question; French fries (4 oz.  
22 or 1 serving) and potato or corn chips (small bag or 1 oz.). In previous validation studies, the  
23 deattenuated Pearson correlations between potato intake reported on FFQs and on multiple  
24 one-week dietary records (considered the gold-standard) were 0.69 for baked, boiled or  
25 mashed potatoes, 0.56 for French fries and 0.55 for potato chips <sup>15 16</sup>.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

### 39 **Assessment of Covariates**

40 Updated information about weight, smoking status, physical activity (estimated as  
41 metabolic equivalent tasks [METs]) was obtained on biennial questionnaires. Body mass index  
42 (BMI) was calculated as weight (in kg) divided by height squared (in m). Potential dietary  
43 confounders were also ascertained on the FFQs, such as alcohol, whole grains, total caloric  
44 intake, total whole fruit and vegetable intake, and consumption of sugar-sweetened and  
45 artificially-sweetened beverages and animal flesh (red and processed meat, poultry, and fish).  
46 These covariates have been validated with correlation coefficients of, for example, 0.97 for  
47 weight, 0.79 for physical activity and 0.90 for alcohol <sup>17 18</sup>. Race was self-reported.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Statistical Analysis

As the month and year of the hypertension diagnosis by a health professional was provided by participants, we used an interval-censoring model by calculating each participant's person-time (in months) from the date of the baseline questionnaire to the date of hypertension diagnosis, date of death, or end of follow-up (2010 for NHS and HPFS, and 2011 for NHS II), whichever came first.

We used a cumulative average of a participant's intake to decrease within-person variation.

Consumption of baked, broiled or mashed potatoes, French fries, and potato chips were grouped into 4 categories, from " $\leq 1$  serving/month" to " $\geq 4$  servings/week". We also analyzed a variable that combined intake of baked, broiled or mashed potatoes and French fries, which we grouped into 5 categories: " $< 1$  serving/month", "1-3 servings/month", "1-3 servings/week", "4-6 servings/week", and " $\geq 1$  serving/day".

We then used Cox proportional hazards regression to calculate the hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals for incident hypertension, using " $< 1$  serving/month" as the reference group for all analyses. We adjusted for the following potential confounders, updating them as time-varying covariates using information from successive questionnaires: age; BMI; change in weight; race/ethnicity (white, African-American, Asian, Hispanic, other); family history of hypertension; smoking status (current/past); physical activity (METs per week); post-menopausal status; oral contraceptive use (in NHS II); use of non-narcotic analgesics (nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, acetaminophen, and aspirin); total energy intake (kcal/day); intakes of alcohol (g/day), total whole fruits and total vegetables, animal flesh, whole grains, and sugar-sweetened and artificially-sweetened beverages. Adjusted multivariable HRs for the three cohorts were pooled using fixed effects meta-analysis. We then calculated the attributable fraction of hypertension in NHS II (the cohort closest in age to participants of the WIC program) using the following formula:

$$AF\% = \left[ \frac{HR - 1}{HR} \right] pd \times 100$$

where  $pd$  is the proportion of cases exposed the risk factor, which here represents potatoes consumption<sup>19</sup>.

1  
2  
3  
4 We then performed substitution analyses to determine whether replacing one  
5 serving/day of baked, broiled or mashed potatoes with other starchy vegetables (peas, lima  
6 beans, corn and sweet potatoes), non-starchy vegetables, or French fries, was associated with  
7 an increased or decreased risk of developing hypertension. In these analyses, the HRs for  
8 starchy vegetables, non-starchy vegetables, or French fries represents the “effect” of replacing  
9 one serving per day of baked, boiled or mashed potatoes with one of these foods.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

15  
16 Several secondary analyses were then performed. First, we added intake of  
17 micronutrients (potassium, calcium, magnesium, sodium, and fiber) to our multivariable  
18 models. Second, we repeated our analyses excluding BMI and weight changes from our models.  
19 Third, we introduced trans and saturated fat into our multivariable models; polyunsaturated fat  
20 was added only into the multivariable model analyzing potato chips and hypertension. Fourth,  
21 we created a stratified model and introduced multiplicative interaction terms to our  
22 unstratified multivariable models to analyze whether the associations of potato intake with  
23 hypertension varied significantly according to age and BMI. Fifth, we repeated our analyses  
24 without cumulatively averaging participants’ potato intake (ie, using simple updating). Finally,  
25 we reanalyzed the pooled HRs using random effect models. All analyses were performed with  
26 SAS software (version 9.4; SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC). All *P* values were two-sided.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

### 38 **Patient Involvement**

39  
40 No patients were involved in setting the research question or the outcome measures,  
41 nor were they involved in developing plans for recruitment, design or implementation of the  
42 study. No patients were asked to advise on interpretation or writing up of results. There are no  
43 plans to disseminate the results of the research to study participants or the relevant patient  
44 community.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



## **Results:**

During 2,938,961 person-years of follow-up, 77,726 participants reported a diagnosis of hypertension (35,728 cases/1,034,257 person-years in NHS, 25,246 cases/1,344,475 person-years in NHS II and 16,752 cases/560,229 person-years in HPFS). Baseline characteristics for each cohort are reported in **Table 1** according to the lowest and highest intake category of baked, boiled or mashed potatoes, French fries and potato chips. Participants who consumed  $\geq 4$  servings/week of any type of potatoes were, in general, less physically active and smoked more than participants whose consumption was  $< 1$  serving/week.

In pooled analyses, participants who consumed  $\geq 1$  serving/day of either baked, boiled or mashed potatoes or French fries had an independently increased risk of incident hypertension compared with those who ate  $< 1$  serving/month of these foods with a multivariable pooled HR of 1.13 (0.91 to 1.40; p-trend=0.02) using a random effect model and 1.12 (1.02 to 1.24; p-trend  $< 0.001$ ) using a fixed effect model, with p for heterogeneity of  $< 0.001$  (**Table 2**). The multivariable HRs for these comparisons in NHS, NHS II, and HPFS were 1.07(0.92 to 1.24), 1.42 (1.18 to 1.72), and 0.96 (0.79 to 1.16), respectively.

Baked, boiled or mashed potatoes were associated with an increased adjusted risk of developing hypertension when intake of  $\geq 4$  servings/week was compared with  $< 1$  serving/month in NHS (HR=1.13 [1.02 to 1.26]; p-trend=0.01) and NHS II (HR=1.25 [1.11 to 1.41]; p-trend $< 0.001$ ), but not in HPFS (HR=0.95 [0.84 to 1.08]; p-trend=0.46). The pooled HR comparing extreme categories in all three cohorts was 1.11 (0.96 to 1.28) using a random effect model and 1.11(1.04 to 1.19) using a fixed effect model (**Table 3**).

Increasing consumption of French fries ( $\geq 4$  servings/week as compared with  $< 1$  serving/month) was associated with an increased risk of hypertension in all three cohorts (**Table 4**) with multivariable HRs of 1.17(0.92 to 1.50) in NHS, 1.17 (1.04 to 1.33) in NHS II, 1.16(1.00 to 1.33) in HPFS, and a pooled HR of 1.17(1.07 to 1.27; p-trend $< 0.001$ ) using both random and fixed effect models. Potato chips were not associated with an increased risk of hypertension in NHS and NHS II; in HPFS however, participants consuming  $\geq 4$  servings/week of potato chips had a lower risk of developing hypertension (HR = 0.86 [0.79 to 0.93]; p-trend=0.004) (**Table 5**). Also, increased consumption of potato chips was associated with an

1  
2  
3 increased risk of hypertension in NHS II (HR=1.24 [1.16 to 1.32]; p-trend<0.001) when age-  
4 adjusted, but not when a multivariate model was used (HR=1.02 [0.96 to 1.09]; p-trend=0.61)  
5  
6  
7 (Table 5). This loss of significance was primarily due to confounding by BMI.

8  
9 In the younger women (NHS II), the hypothetical fraction of new-onset hypertension  
10 attributable to the consumption of >1 serving/month of total potatoes was 12.8%, suggesting  
11 that this proportion of hypertension incidence might have been prevented had these young  
12 women (about 13 out of 100) not consumed baked, boiled or mashed potatoes or French fries.  
13 Adjusting for sodium intake and other micronutrients, such as potassium, magnesium, calcium  
14 and fiber, did not materially alter our results. Removing BMI and weight change from the  
15 multivariable models did not change our results, nor did adding trans, saturated, and  
16 polyunsaturated fat. There were no consistent interactions between any type of potato intake  
17 and either BMI or age. Using simple updating rather than cumulative averaging to analyze the  
18 association of potato intake with hypertension yielded similar findings. Pooled HRs did not  
19 materially change when using random effect models as compared with fixed effect models.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30 Substitution analyses are reported in Table 6. Replacing one serving/day of baked,  
31 boiled or mashed potatoes with one serving/day of a non-starchy vegetable was associated  
32 with a lower adjusted pooled HR of 0.93([0.89 to 0.96]; p-value<0.001).  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## **Discussion:**

### **Principal findings**

In three prospective cohorts of US women and men, we found that higher long term intake of baked, boiled or mashed potatoes was significantly associated with an increased risk of hypertension in women, independent of numerous other hypertension risk predictors including dietary factors such as whole grain, and whole fruit and vegetable intake. In addition, higher consumption of French fries was associated with incident hypertension in all three cohorts, whereas potato chip intake was associated with no increased risk. To our knowledge, this study is the first to examine potato consumption and the incidence of hypertension.

### **Results in relation to other studies**

The association of potato intake with hypertension is a critical public health issue in the United States, in large part because potatoes have recently been inserted into government sponsored food programs<sup>6</sup>. The primary, the justification for their inclusion into food programs is the potential cardiovascular benefits generated by their high potassium content, which in turn is primarily justified by beneficial effects on blood pressure. Specifically, the IOM and the USDA recommended white potatoes to be allowed as part of the fruit and vegetable cash-voucher WIC program, and most states have already implemented this regulation. The IOM report emphasized potatoes' high potassium, which are desired features of foods for the WIC population<sup>6</sup>, and high potassium intake has been associated with a lower blood pressure. In a meta-analysis of 22 randomized controlled trials, for example, increased potassium intake (as a supplement) produced a 3.5 mmHg reduction in systolic blood pressure and 2.0 mmHg reduction in diastolic blood pressure in hypertensive individuals<sup>8</sup>.

However, we found that higher potato intake was associated with an increased, rather than decreased risk of developing hypertension. One potential mechanism that could explain our findings is the high glycemic load (GL) associated with potatoes. Boiled white potatoes have a high GL of 21<sup>20</sup>. The GL is a measurement that reflects how a serving of a specific food affects glucose level in the human body. It is obtained from multiplying the grams of carbohydrates in a food times the glycemic load of the food, divided by 100 (glycemic index compares 50 grams of

1  
2  
3 a specific food to 50 grams of glucose is reported on a scale of 0 to 100, with higher scores  
4 given to a food with the most rapid glucose rise)<sup>21 22</sup>. The subsequent postprandial  
5 hyperglycemia that follows a high glyceemic load meal has been associated with endothelial  
6 dysfunction, oxidative stress and inflammation, all potentially important mechanisms in the  
7 development of hypertension<sup>23-25</sup>. The potential adverse consequence of a high carbohydrate  
8 intake, and therefore a higher glyceemic load, on blood pressure in prehypertensive and  
9 hypertensive individuals was examined in the OMNIHEART trial<sup>9</sup>. Compared with a  
10 carbohydrate-rich diet, both a protein-rich diet and a diet rich in unsaturated fat lowered  
11 systolic and diastolic blood pressure by 1.4/3.5 mmHg and 1.3/2.9 mmHg, respectively, at 6  
12 weeks.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 Weight gain associated with potato intake is another potential mechanism for the  
23 development of hypertension<sup>26 27</sup>. As an example, in a prospective analysis of diet with weight  
24 change in the NHS, NHS II, and HPFS, each additional daily serving of potato chips and potatoes  
25 was strongly associated with 4-year weight change (1.7 and 1.3 lbs, respectively)<sup>28</sup>. However,  
26 we controlled for both weight change and BMI in our multivariable models, reducing the  
27 likelihood that our findings are explained simply by changes in adiposity (we also removed  
28 weight change and BMI from our models and our results were mostly unchanged). Because  
29 excess adiposity is a strong risk factor for hypertension, our analyses that adjusted for adiposity  
30 likely underestimated the long term adverse effects of potatoes on risk of hypertension.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40 We found a few differences between the women and the men cohorts. There was an increased  
41 risk of hypertension with increasing consumption of boiled, baked or mashed potatoes in both  
42 female cohorts, but not in the male cohort. These findings, as well as the lack of association of  
43 potato chips with the incidence of hypertension in HPFS were unexpected. While there was a  
44 nonsignificant trend towards a higher risk of developing hypertension in women with increased  
45 consumption, men had a lower hypertension incidence with greater long-term potato chips  
46 intake. Men who consumed more potato chips were slightly younger and leaner, although we  
47 adjusted for these variables in our analysis. Most brands of potato chips are currently produced  
48 using monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fats instead of trans and saturated fats, which  
49 could be considered healthier<sup>29</sup>. In a randomized double-blind 4-week/3-week crossover trial,  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 for example, participants consuming potato chips fried in highly oleic sunflower oil (which is  
5 very high in monounsaturated fat) had reduced low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL)  
6 compared with participants who consumed potato chips fried in palmolein, an oil high in  
7 saturated fats<sup>30</sup>. However, controlling for polyunsaturated fat in secondary analyses did not  
8 eliminate the inverse association of potato chips with hypertension in men.  
9  
10  
11  
12

### 13 14 15 **Strength and limitations of this study**

16 The present study has several limitations. First, random misclassification of potato intake could  
17 have taken because all dietary assessment methods are imperfect; however, this type of  
18 random error in exposure assessment would tend to attenuate our findings and therefore  
19 underestimate the true association. Second, participants self-reported a diagnosis of  
20 hypertension and direct blood pressure measurements were not obtained. Yet our method of  
21 hypertension ascertainment (self-report by trained health professionals of similar educational  
22 backgrounds) has been extensively validated in all three cohorts<sup>12-14</sup>. Third, our population  
23 consists mostly of non-Hispanic white individuals of a relatively uniform socioeconomic status.  
24 However, there is no reason to suspect that the biological response to diet is qualitatively  
25 different across ethnic groups. Fourth, as with any observational study, our findings could be  
26 explained by residual confounding; for example, potatoes are often consumed with salt and  
27 added fat (such as butter or margarine). The increased sodium content could explain the  
28 association of boiled/baked potatoes with hypertension. However, our results did not  
29 materially change after we adjusted for sodium intake or trans and saturated fat.  
30 We also controlled for numerous known and proposed hypertension risk factors that were  
31 collected in a prospective fashion. Further, the relative uniformity of socioeconomic status  
32 reduces the likelihood of confounding by unmeasured variables.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

### 50 51 **Conclusions and policy implications**

52  
53 In conclusion, we found independent prospective associations of higher intake of baked,  
54 broiled potatoes and French fries with an increased risk of hypertension. These findings have  
55 potentially important public health ramifications, since they do not support a potential benefit  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

from the inclusion of potatoes as vegetables in government food programs, but instead support a harmful impact that is consistent with adverse effects of high carbohydrate intakes in controlled feeding studies.

Confidential: For Review Only

**Summary Box:****What is already known on this topic**

Recent changes were implemented that removed the restriction on starchy vegetables serving in school lunches and allowed white potatoes in food programs for women and children.

The association of potatoes intake with risk of developing hypertension has not been examined.

**What this study adds?**

Greater consumption of boiled, baked or mashed potatoes as well as French fries is significantly associated with an increased risk of developing hypertension.

In addition, replacing one serving of potatoes per day with a non-starchy vegetable decreased the incidence of hypertension.

### Footnotes

We thank participants and staff of the Nurses' Health Study, the Nurses' Health Study II and the Health Professionals Follow-up Study for their valuable contributions.

**Contributors:** LB and JFP designed this study. LB was involved in data collection. WCW, ERB and JFP provided statistical expertise. LB analyzed the data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors helped with the interpretation of the results and approved the final version of the manuscript. LB had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

**Funding:** this study was funded by research grants UM1 CA186107, R01 HL034594, UM1 CA176726, UM1 CA167552, R01 HL35464. Dr. Borgi was funded by an American Heart Association fellowship award (14POST20380070). The funding sources did not participate in the design of the study, the analysis or the interpretation of the data; in the writing of this manuscript or the process to submit this article for publication. The authors are not affiliated with the funding sources.

**Competing interests:** all authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at [www.icmje.org/coi\\_disclosure.pdf](http://www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf) (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years, no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

**Ethical approval:** The study protocol was approved by the institutional review boards of the Brigham and Women's Hospital, and the Harvard School of Public Health. By virtue of voluntarily returning their questionnaires, participants provided implied consent.

**Data sharing:** No additional data available.

**Copyright:** "The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, a worldwide licence to the Publishers and its licensees in perpetuity, in all forms, formats and media (whether known now or created in the future), to i) publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Contribution, ii) translate the Contribution



1  
2  
3 into other languages, create adaptations, reprints, include within collections and create  
4 summaries, extracts and/or, abstracts of the Contribution, iii) create any other derivative  
5 work(s) based on the Contribution, iv) to exploit all subsidiary rights in the Contribution, v) the  
6 inclusion of electronic links from the Contribution to third party material where-ever it may be  
7 located; and, vi) licence any third party to do any or all of the above.”  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

13  
14 **Transparency Declaration:** Dr. Borgi confirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate and  
15 transparent account of the reported study.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## References:

1. Summerbell CD, Waters E, Edmunds LD, Kelly S, Brown T, Campbell KJ. Interventions for preventing obesity in children. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews* 2005(3):CD001871.
2. Kumanyika S, Jeffery RW, Morabia A, Ritenbaugh C, Antipatis VJ. Obesity prevention: the case for action. *International journal of obesity and related metabolic disorders : journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity* 2002;26(3):425-36.
3. Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010. *Pub. L. No. 111-296, 124 Stat. 3183*, 2010.
4. Institute of Medicine of the National Academies. *WIC Food Packages: Time for a Change*. National Academies Press, Washington, DC (2006).
5. US Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrition Service. *Nutrition Standards in the National School Lunch and School Breakfast Programs*.
6. . In: Rasmussen KM, Latulippe ME, Yaktine AL, editors. *Review of WIC Food Packages: An Evaluation of White Potatoes in the Cash Value Voucher: Letter Report*. Washington (DC), 2015.
7. Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases. *World Health Organization technical report series* 2003;916:i-viii, 1-149, backcover.
8. Aburto NJ, Hanson S, Gutierrez H, Hooper L, Elliott P, Cappuccio FP. Effect of increased potassium intake on cardiovascular risk factors and disease: systematic review and meta-analyses. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)* 2013;346:f1378.
9. Appel LJ, Sacks FM, Carey VJ, Obarzanek E, Swain JF, Miller ER, 3rd, et al. Effects of protein, monounsaturated fat, and carbohydrate intake on blood pressure and serum lipids: results of the OmniHeart randomized trial. *Jama* 2005;294(19):2455-64.
10. Willett WC, Sampson L, Stampfer MJ, Rosner B, Bain C, Witschi J, et al. Reproducibility and validity of a semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire. *Am J Epidemiol* 1985;122(1):51-65.
11. Rimm EB, Giovannucci EL, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Litin LB, Willett WC. Reproducibility and validity of an expanded self-administered semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire among male health professionals. *Am J Epidemiol* 1992;135(10):1114-26; discussion 27-36.
12. Colditz GA, Martin P, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Sampson L, Rosner B, et al. Validation of questionnaire information on risk factors and disease outcomes in a prospective cohort study of women. *Am J Epidemiol* 1986;123(5):894-900.
13. Forman JP, Curhan GC, Taylor EN. Plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels and risk of incident hypertension among young women. *Hypertension* 2008;52(5):828-32.
14. Ascherio A, Rimm EB, Giovannucci EL, Colditz GA, Rosner B, Willett WC, et al. A prospective study of nutritional factors and hypertension among US men. *Circulation* 1992;86(5):1475-84.
15. Salvini S, Hunter DJ, Sampson L, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Rosner B, et al. Food-based validation of a dietary questionnaire: the effects of week-to-week variation in food consumption. *International journal of epidemiology* 1989;18(4):858-67.
16. Hu FB, Rimm E, Smith-Warner SA, Feskanich D, Stampfer MJ, Ascherio A, et al. Reproducibility and validity of dietary patterns assessed with a food-frequency questionnaire. *The American journal of clinical nutrition* 1999;69(2):243-9.
17. Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Chute CG, Litin LB, Willett WC. Validity of self-reported waist and hip circumferences in men and women. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.)* 1990;1(6):466-73.
18. Wolf AM, Hunter DJ, Colditz GA, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Corsano KA, et al. Reproducibility and validity of a self-administered physical activity questionnaire. *International journal of epidemiology* 1994;23(5):991-9.
19. Rockhill B, Newman B, Weinberg C. Use and misuse of population attributable fractions. *American journal of public health* 1998;88(1):15-9.

- 1
  - 2
  - 3
  - 4
  - 5
  - 6
  - 7
  - 8
  - 9
  - 10
  - 11
  - 12
  - 13
  - 14
  - 15
  - 16
  - 17
  - 18
  - 19
  - 20
  - 21
  - 22
  - 23
  - 24
  - 25
  - 26
  - 27
  - 28
  - 29
  - 30
  - 31
  - 32
  - 33
  - 34
  - 35
  - 36
  - 37
  - 38
  - 39
  - 40
  - 41
  - 42
  - 43
  - 44
  - 45
  - 46
  - 47
  - 48
  - 49
  - 50
  - 51
  - 52
  - 53
  - 54
  - 55
  - 56
  - 57
  - 58
  - 59
  - 60
20. Atkinson FS, Foster-Powell K, Brand-Miller JC. International tables of glycemic index and glycemic load values: 2008. *Diabetes care* 2008;31(12):2281-3.
21. Gagne L. The glycemic index and glycemic load in clinical practice. *Explore (New York, N.Y.)* 2008;4(1):66-9.
22. Wolever TM, Jenkins DJ, Jenkins AL, Josse RG. The glycemic index: methodology and clinical implications. *The American journal of clinical nutrition* 1991;54(5):846-54.
23. Ludwig DS. The glycemic index: physiological mechanisms relating to obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease. *Jama* 2002;287(18):2414-23.
24. Ceriello A, Bortolotti N, Crescentini A, Motz E, Lizzio S, Russo A, et al. Antioxidant defences are reduced during the oral glucose tolerance test in normal and non-insulin-dependent diabetic subjects. *European journal of clinical investigation* 1998;28(4):329-33.
25. Title LM, Cummings PM, Giddens K, Nassar BA. Oral glucose loading acutely attenuates endothelium-dependent vasodilation in healthy adults without diabetes: an effect prevented by vitamins C and E. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* 2000;36(7):2185-91.
26. Must A, Spadano J, Coakley EH, Field AE, Colditz G, Dietz WH. The disease burden associated with overweight and obesity. *Jama* 1999;282(16):1523-9.
27. Hall JE. The kidney, hypertension, and obesity. *Hypertension* 2003;41(3 Pt 2):625-33.
28. Mozaffarian D, Hao T, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Hu FB. Changes in diet and lifestyle and long-term weight gain in women and men. *The New England journal of medicine* 2011;364(25):2392-404.
29. Goncalves Albuquerque T, Sanches-Silva A, Santos L, Costa HS. An update on potato crisps contents of moisture, fat, salt and fatty acids (including trans-fatty acids) with special emphasis on new oils/fats used for frying. *International journal of food sciences and nutrition* 2012;63(6):713-7.
30. Choudhury N, Truswell AS, McNeil Y. Comparison of plasma lipids and vitamin E in young and middle-aged subjects on potato crisps fried in palmolein and highly oleic sunflower oil. *Annals of nutrition & metabolism* 1997;41(3):137-48.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Confidential: For Review Only

**Table 1:** Baseline characteristics of participants in the Nurses' Health Study, Nurses' Health Study II and the Health Professionals Follow-up Study. Values are median (interquartile range) or percentages unless stated otherwise.

Nurses' Health Study†	Baked, boiled or mashed potatoes		French Fries		Potato Chips	
	<1 per month	≥4 per week	<1 per month	≥4 per week	<1 per month	≥4 per week
Age (years)	51 (45 to 57)	51 (45 to 57)	52 (47 to 58)	46 (41 to 53)	53 (47 to 58)	45 (41 to 51)
White (%)	90	96	95	94	94	96
Body Mass Index	23.5 (21.2 to 25.8)	23.2 (21.4 to 26.1)	23.3 (21.4 to 25.8)	24.3 (21.5 to 27.4)	23.4 (21.5 to 25.8)	23.0 (20.9 to 26.1)
Physical Activity (METs/w)	9.1 (2.9 to 21.7)	6.5 (2.4 to 16.5)	9.3 (3.2 to 21.0)	7.1 (1.9 to 17.4)	8.8 (2.9 to 3.0)	6.1 (2.1 to 15.9)
Current Smokers (%)	24	25	21	29	23	32
Family History of HTN(%)	40	42	41	39	40	46
Nurses' Health Study 2‡	Baked, boiled or mashed potatoes		French Fries		Potato Chips	
	<1 per month	≥4 per week	<1 per month	≥4 per week	<1 per month	≥4 per week
Age (years)	40 (35 to 45)	44 (39 to 49)	44 (39 to 50)	40 (36 to 45)	43 (38 to 49)	43 (38 to 48)
White (%)	83	96	94	93	93	94
Body Mass Index	23.0 (21.0 to 26.2)	24.7 (21.9 to 28.9)	23.3 (21.1 to 26.5)	26.1 (22.6 to 31.8)	23.4 (21.3-26.9)	24.0 (21.5-28.3)
Physical Activity (METs/w)	14.2 (4.8 to 31.5)	12.0 (4.5 to 26.3)	17.5 (7.4 to 34.7)	7.7 (2.3 to 19.6)	15.9 (6.4 to 33.1)	22.4 (9.9 to 41.5)
Current Smokers (%)	10	11	8	15	9	13
Family History of HTN (%)	48	49	49	50	49	48

Health Professionals Follow-up Study <sup>§</sup>	Baked, boiled or mashed potatoes		French Fries		Potato Chips	
	<1 per month	≥4 per week	<1 per month	≥4 per week	<1 per month	≥4 per week
Age (years)	53 (45 to 61)	55 (47 to 63)	56 (48 to 64)	45 (41 to 53)	57 (49 to 64)	46 (42 to 55)
White (%)	82	94	91	92	91	93
Body Mass Index	24.8 (23.2 to 26.6)	24.4 (23.0 to 26.5)	24.4 (23.0 to 26.4)	25.1 (23.5 to 27.3)	24.7 (23.1 to 26.5)	24.4 (22.9 to 26.2)
Physical Activity (METs/w)	12.6 (3.3 to 30.1)	12.1 (4.1 to 29.6)	15.3 (5.0 to 33.4)	7.5 (1.9 to 20.1)	13.9 (4.5 to 31.3)	10.4 (3.1 to 27.1)
Current Smokers (%)	10	9	7	17	8	11
Family History of HTN (%)	30	30	31	32	33	35

† Baseline for Nurses' Health Study is 1984.

‡ Baseline for Nurses' Health Study II is 1991.

§ Baseline for Health Professionals Follow-up Study is 1986.

**Table 2:** Pooled hazard ratios (95% confidence intervals) for incident hypertension for total potatoes (baked, boiled or mashed potatoes and French fries) consumption in Nurses' Health Study, Nurses' Health Study II and Health Professional Follow-up Study

	Consumption Levels					Linear P Trend	P for Heterogeneity
	≤1 per month	1-3 per month	1-3 per week	4-6 per week	≥ 1 per day		
<b>NHS *</b> (cases/persons-years)	291/10,480	4,527/143,881	18,286/516,243	12,085/344,911	539/18,743		
Multivariate-adjusted HR <sup>§</sup>	1.00(reference)	1.00(0.89 to 1.13)	1.05(0.93 to 1.18)	1.09(0.97 to 1.23)	1.07(0.92 to 1.24)	<0.001	
<b>NHS II †</b> (cases/persons-years)	156/13,108	2,065/127,696	13,635/752,459	8,947/430,729	443/20,484		
Multivariate-adjusted HR <sup>§</sup>	1.00	1.04(0.88 to 1.22)	1.13(0.96 to 1.32)	1.23(1.04 to 1.44)	1.42(1.18 to 1.72)	<0.001	
<b>HPFS‡</b> (cases/persons-years)	156/6004	1918/67,267	7958/261,776	6,304/209,045	416/16,138		
Multivariate-adjusted HR <sup>§</sup>	1.00	0.96(0.81 to 1.13)	0.99(0.84 to 1.16)	1.01(0.86 to 1.19)	0.96(0.79 to 1.16)	0.20	
<b>Pooled Results</b>							
HR with Random effect model	1.00	1.00(0.92 to 1.09)	1.05(0.97 to 1.14)	1.11(1.02 to 1.22)	1.13(0.91 to 1.40)	0.02	<0.001
HR with Fixed effect model	1.00	1.00(0.92 to 1.09)	1.05(0.97 to 1.14)	1.10(1.02 to 1.20)	1.12(1.02 to 1.24)	<0.001	

\* Follow-up in Nurses' Health Study was from 1984 to 2012.

† Follow-up in Nurses' Health Study II was from 1991-2011.

‡ Follow-up in Health Professionals Follow-up study was from 1986 to 2012.

§ Adjusted for age, race/ethnicity (white, African-American, Asian, Hispanic, other), body mass index, current smoking status, physical activity, weight change per food frequency questionnaire cycle, menopausal status (in NHS and NHS II), alcohol intake, current oral contraceptive use (in NHS II), analgesic use (nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, acetaminophen, aspirin), family history of hypertension, total energy intake, animal flesh intake (combination of processed and unprocessed red meat, poultry and seafood), whole grains (quintiles), sugar-sweetened beverage intake, artificially-sweetened diet beverage intake, total fruit, total vegetables.

**Table 3:** Pooled hazard ratios (95% confidence intervals) for incident hypertension for potatoes consumption (baked, boiled or mashed) in Nurses’ Health Study, Nurses’ Health Study II and Health Professional Follow-up Study

	Consumption Levels				P Trend	P for heterogeneity
	≤1 per month	1-3 per month	1-3 per week	≥4 per week		
<b>NHS *</b> (cases/persons-years)	406/14,882	6,233/189,737	22,353/648,475	6,736/181,163		
Multivariate-adjusted HR <sup>§</sup>	1.00(reference)	1.07(0.97 to 1.19)	1.12(1.01 to 1.24)	1.13(1.02 to 1.26)	0.01	
<b>NHS II †</b> (cases/persons-years)	333/25,721	5,673/327,099	16,338/867,668	2,902/123,987		
Multivariate-adjusted HR <sup>§</sup>	1.00	1.08(0.97 to 1.21)	1.17(1.04 to 1.31)	1.25(1.11 to 1.41)	<0.001	
<b>HPFS‡</b> (cases/persons-years)	308/11,050	3,088/102,773	10,668/361,971	2,688/84,435		
Multivariate-adjusted HR <sup>§</sup>	1.00	0.92(0.82 to 1.04)	0.95(0.84 to 1.07)	0.95(0.84 to 1.08)	0.46	
<b>Pooled Results</b>						
HR with Random effect model	1.00	1.03(0.93 to 1.13)	1.08 (0.96 to 1.21)	1.11(0.96 to 1.28)	0.05	0.002
HR with Fixed effect model	1.00	1.03(0.97 to 1.10)	1.08(1.02 to 1.15)	1.11(1.04 to 1.19)	<0.001	

\* Follow-up in Nurses’ Health Study was from 1984 to 2012.

† Follow-up in Nurses’ Health Study II was from 1991-2011.

‡ Follow-up in Health Professionals Follow-up study was from 1986 to 2012.

§ Adjusted for age, race/ethnicity (white, African-American, Asian, Hispanic, other), body mass index, current smoking status, physical activity, weight change per food frequency questionnaire cycle, menopausal status (in NHS and NHS II), alcohol intake, current oral contraceptive use (in NHS II), analgesic use (nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, acetaminophen, aspirin), family history of hypertension, total energy intake, animal flesh intake (combination of processed and unprocessed red meat, poultry and seafood), whole grains (quintiles), sugar-sweetened beverage intake, artificially-sweetened diet beverage intake, total fruit, total vegetables.



**Table 4:** Pooled hazard ratios (95% confidence intervals) for incident hypertension for French Fries consumption in Nurses' Health Study, Nurses' Health Study II and Health Professional Follow-up Study

	Consumption levels				P Trend	P heterogeneity
	<=1 per month	1-3 per month	1-3 per week	≥4 per week		
<b>NHS *</b> (cases/persons-years)	14,507/420,566	17,912/506,132	3,243/105,756	66/1,802		
Multivariate-adjusted HR§	1.00(reference)	1.08(1.06 to 1.11)	1.11(1.06 to 1.16)	1.17(0.92 to 1.50)	<0.001	
<b>NHS II †</b> (cases/persons-years)	4,735/291,260	13,887/719,673	6,321/322,226	303/11,316		
Multivariate-adjusted HR§	1.00	1.04(1.00 to 1.08)	1.12(1.07 to 1.17)	1.17(1.04 to 1.33)	<0.001	
<b>HPFS ‡</b> (cases/persons-years)	4,723/165,247	7,994/256,288	3,817/131,730	218/6,964		
Multivariate-adjusted HR§	1.00	1.04(1.00 to 1.08)	1.08(1.02 to 1.14)	1.16(1.00 to 1.33)	0.002	
<b>Pooled Results</b>						
HR with Random effect model	1.00	1.06(1.03 to 1.09)	1.11(1.08 to 1.13)	1.17(1.07 to 1.27)	<0.001	0.14
HR with Fixed effect model	1.00	1.06(1.04 to 1.08)	1.11(1.08 to 1.13)	1.17(1.07 to 1.27)	<0.001	

\* Follow-up in Nurses' Health Study was from 1984 to 2012.

† Follow-up in Nurses' Health Study II was from 1991-2011.

‡ Follow-up in Health Professionals Follow-up study was from 1986 to 2012.

§ Adjusted for age, race/ethnicity (white, African-American, Asian, Hispanic, other), body mass index, current smoking status, physical activity, weight change per food frequency questionnaire cycle, menopausal status (in NHS and NHS II), alcohol intake, current oral contraceptive use (in NHS II), analgesic use (nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, acetaminophen, aspirin), family history of hypertension, total energy intake, animal flesh intake (combination of processed and unprocessed red meat, poultry and seafood), whole grains (quintiles), sugar-sweetened beverage intake, artificially-sweetened diet beverage intake, total fruit, total vegetables.

**Table 5:** Pooled hazard ratios (95% confidence intervals) for incident hypertension for potato chips consumption in Nurses' Health Study, Nurses' Health Study II and Health Professional Follow-up Study

\* Follow-up in Nurses' Health Study was from 1984 to 2012.

	Consumption levels				P Trend	P heterogeneity
	≤1 per month	1-3 per month	1-3 per week	≥4 per week		
<b>NHS *</b> (cases/persons-years)	10,704/309,729	15,556/443,075	8,310/245,781	1,158/35,672		
Multivariate-adjusted HR§	1.00(reference)	1.02(0.99 to 1.04)	1.07(1.03 to 1.10)	1.03(0.97 to 1.10)	0.004	
<b>NHS II †</b> (cases/persons-years)	3,988/242,232	11,367/581,659	8,612/457,599	1,279/62,985		
Multivariate-adjusted HR§	1.00	1.05(1.01 to 1.08)	1.05(1.01 to 1.09)	1.02(0.96 to 1.09)	0.61	
<b>HPFS ‡</b> (cases/persons-years)	4,533/149,730	6,730/218,121	4,747/164,415	742/27,964		
Multivariate-adjusted HR§	1.00	0.96(0.92 to 0.99)	0.97(0.93 to 1.01)	0.86(0.79 to 0.93)	0.004	
<b>Pooled Results</b>						
HR with Random effect model	1.00	1.01(0.96 to 1.05)	1.03(0.97 to 1.09)	0.97(0.87 to 1.08)	0.98	<0.001
HR with Fixed effect model	1.00	1.01(0.99 to 1.03)	1.04(1.02 to 1.06)	0.98(0.95 to 1.02)	0.47	

† Follow-up in Nurses' Health Study II was from 1991-2011.

‡ Follow-up in Health Professionals Follow-up study was from 1986 to 2012.

§ Adjusted for age, race/ethnicity (white, African-American, Asian, Hispanic, other), body mass index, current smoking status, physical activity, weight change per food frequency questionnaire cycle, menopausal status (in NHS and NHS II), alcohol intake, current oral contraceptive use (in NHS II), analgesic use (nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, acetaminophen, aspirin), family history of hypertension, total energy intake, animal flesh intake (combination of processed and unprocessed red meat, poultry and seafood), whole grains (quintiles), sugar-sweetened beverage intake, artificially-sweetened diet beverage intake, total fruit, total vegetables.

**Table 6:** Hazard ratio (95% CI) for substituting one serving of baked, boiled or mashed potato per day for starchy vegetables and non-starchy vegetables in the Nurses' Health Study I, Nurses' Health Study II and Health Professional Follow-up Study

Replacing one serving of Potato with one serving:				
	NHS	NHS II	HPFS	Pooled HR ¶
<b>Starchy Vegetables §</b>	0.99(0.91 to 1.07)	0.90(0.81 to 0.99)	1.05(0.94 to 1.16)	0.98(0.93 to 1.03) P 0.42
<b>Non-starchy Vegetables §</b>	0.95(0.90 to 1.00)	0.82(0.76 to 0.88)	1.01(0.94 to 1.09)	0.93(0.89 to 0.96) P<0.001

§ Adjusted for age, race/ethnicity (white, African-American, Asian, Hispanic, other), body mass index, current smoking status, physical activity, weight change per food frequency questionnaire cycle, menopausal status (in NHS and NHS II), alcohol intake, current oral contraceptive use (in NHS II), analgesic use (nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, acetaminophen, aspirin), family history of hypertension, total energy intake, animal flesh intake (combination of processed and unprocessed red meat, poultry and seafood), whole grains (quintiles), sugar-sweetened beverage intake, artificially-sweetened diet beverage intake, total fruit, total vegetables.

¶ Pooled hazard ratios of the three cohorts using a fixed effects model.

1  
2  
3 **Print Abstract:**

4  
5  
6 Study Question

7 To determine whether increased intake of baked, boiled or mashed potatoes, French fries or  
8 potato chips is associated with the incidence of hypertension.

9  
10  
11 Methods

12 Non-hypertensive participants of three prospective longitudinal cohort studies were analyzed  
13 to study the prospective, independent associations of baked, boiled or mashed potatoes,  
14 French fries, and potato chips with the risk of developing new-onset hypertension after  
15 controlling for multiple potential confounders.

16  
17  
18 Study answer and limitations

19 Compared with consumption of <1 serving/month, the random effects pooled hazard ratios for  
20 ≥4 serving/week were 1.11 (95% CI: 0.96 to 1.28; p-trend=0.05) for baked, boiled or mashed  
21 potatoes, 1.17 (1.07 to 1.27; p-trend=0.001) for French fries, and 0.97(0.87 to 1.08; p-trend=  
22 0.98) for potato chips.

23 As with any observational study, our findings could be explained by residual confounding.

24  
25  
26 What this study adds

27 Our study suggests that eating boiled, baked mashed potatoes or French fries 4 or more than 4  
28 servings a week increases incident hypertension.

29  
30  
31 Funding, competing interests, data sharing

32 This study was funded by research grants UM1 CA186107, R01 HL034594, UM1 CA176726,  
33 UM1 CA167552, R01 HL35464. Dr. Borgi was funded by an American Heart Association  
34 fellowship award (14POST20380070). All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure  
35 form at [www.icmje.org/coi\\_disclosure.pdf](http://www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf) (available on request from the corresponding  
36 author) and declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work; no financial  
37 relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the  
38 previous three years, no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced  
39 the submitted work.

40 No additional data available for data sharing.