



Embracing inherent uncertainty in advanced illness

| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Journal: | BMJ |
| Manuscript ID | BMJ.2016.032578 |
| Article Type: | Analysis |
| BMJ Journal: | BMJ |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 18-Mar-2016 |
| Complete List of Authors: | <p>Kimbell, Barbara; The University of Edinburgh, The Usher Institute of Population Health Sciences and Informatics, Primary Palliative Care Research Group</p> <p>Murray, Scott; The University of Edinburgh, The Usher Institute of Population Health Sciences and Informatics, Primary Palliative Care Research Group</p> <p>Macpherson, Stella; The University of Edinburgh, The Usher Institute of Population Health Sciences and Informatics, Primary Palliative Care Research Group</p> <p>Boyd, Kirsty; The University of Edinburgh, The Usher Institute of Population Health Sciences and Informatics, Primary Palliative Care Research Group</p> |
| Keywords: | Uncertainty, Prognosis, Advanced illness, Palliative care, Advance care planning, Patient perspective |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Embracing inherent uncertainty in advanced illness

Hoping, coping and planning 'just in case'

“Medicine is a science of uncertainty and an art of probability” Osler

Kimbell B, Murray SA, Macpherson S, Boyd K

¹Primary Palliative Care Research Group, The Usher Institute of Population Health Sciences and Informatics, University of Edinburgh, Medical School, Teviot Place, Edinburgh EH8 9AG

Corresponding author: Dr Kirsty Boyd

Word count: 1852

Key messages

- Uncertainty characterises most advanced illnesses, so how to deal with it is an important challenge for patients, families, professionals and health services.
- We should stop searching for more accurate mortality risk assessment tools to trigger palliative care. Let's talk about what we do know, enable people to live with uncertainty, and plan for what might happen as a person's health declines.
- Uncertainty is an opportunity to improve care. Acknowledging and addressing it supports people living with advanced conditions in person-centred ways and is the basis of effective shared decision-making about treatment and care options.

Everyone dies, but uncertainty about how and when is inevitable.¹ Advances in science and technology are challenging that view.² Uncertainty may even be seen as an 'enemy' to be constrained by medical science. Tools providing a reliable 'prognosis' for people with specific health conditions abound and assert that meaningful choices are based on robust evidence of mortality risk. However, evidence of reliability and validity at an individual level is lacking.³ Uncertainty is a powerful driving force behind fears of future debility, loss of control, and either inadequate or excessive health care.^{1 4 5} The perception that uncertainty is an insurmountable challenge and a barrier to appropriate person-centred care towards the end of life is questionable. Uncertainty may in fact be more 'friend than foe'. An unpredictable but evident risk of deteriorating and dying constitutes a key trigger for care planning. It offers a prime opportunity to accept and manage the inherent uncertainties of living and dying with progressive, advanced conditions.

Uncertainty as a challenge for people living with diverse advanced conditions

Uncertainty is complex, multidimensional and variable. It may relate to ambiguity about changing circumstances and roles associated with declining health, loss of control and autonomy, and difficulties navigating the complexities of treatments or care systems. People have a poor understanding of the underlying causes, severity and future course of many long-term conditions. Many struggle to make sense of events and experiences, to anticipate likely outcomes, and have difficulty summoning the resources they need to cope with them. This reduces their capacity for self-management and puts them at risk of anxiety and depression.

1
2
3 Professionals need to manage uncertainty actively, coordinate care and help people to sustain
4 their identity as a 'person'.^{6 7} When the experiences of people with different advanced
5 conditions were compared, contrasting illness narratives and perceptions of uncertainty
6 emerged among patients, carers and professionals.⁸
7

8
9
10 People with progressive cancer had a widely-understood and anticipated illness trajectory
11 starting with hope for recovery alongside fear of dying, followed by adapting to life with
12 treatment, and then a final phase of planning for death.^{9 10} However, people with advanced
13 heart or respiratory disease focused on coping and adapting to the limitations and frustrations
14 of daily life between unpredictable exacerbations, while hoping not to get worse and not
15 contemplating dying.¹¹⁻¹³ Living, dying and caring in advanced liver disease was dominated
16 by persistent and universally shared uncertainty that left people struggling to adjust or plan
17 ahead and meant that most of them died in hospital with illness-related, acute
18 complications.¹⁴ Having a life-limiting, neurological illness or dementia meant that people
19 faced an overwhelming diagnosis followed by a long and variable illness journey complicated
20 by progressive disability, cognitive impairment, personality changes and communication
21 problems.^{15 16} People's experiences of sudden, severe acute brain injury (for example in
22 stroke disease or after trauma) were a roller-coaster of uncertain outcomes, complex
23 treatment decisions and fears of imminent death or prolonged, devastating disability.^{9 17} Frail
24 older people were more afraid of developing dementia and further loss of independence than
25 death itself. They had a protracted but unpredictable journey of neither living a normal life
26 nor imminently dying.^{8 18} Some had a relatively sudden and 'unexpected' final
27 deterioration.¹⁹ Increasing numbers of people are living with not one but multiple advanced
28 illnesses causing them to have a highly unpredictable pattern of declining health.²⁰ Many of
29 these people saw themselves as 'getting older' rather than being seriously ill, purposely chose
30 not to think too far ahead, and saw no role for 'palliative and end-of-life' care in helping them
31 manage daily life.^{21 22}
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 A degree of uncertainty can have benefits too and may indeed provide a way for people to
47 live as well as possible with advanced illnesses. Disavowal (choosing to live in the present)
48 provides a distinct coping strategy where people understand the threat to their life situations
49 but seek hope through positively reconstructing this threat so as to avoid keeping it at the
50 forefront of their minds.²³
51
52
53
54
55

56 **Uncertainty as a challenge for healthcare professionals and policy makers**

57
58
59
60

1
2
3 For professionals everywhere, uncertainty has long been cited as a major barrier to
4 identifying when treatment goals should be reviewed and the focus of care shifted towards
5 prioritising quality of life and a comfortable death.²⁴⁻²⁶ Treatment advances, public
6 expectations, fear of litigation, and a plethora of evidence-based guidelines combine with
7 established beliefs about professional roles responsibilities and values, to make ‘changing
8 gear’ and accepting the reality of death and dying challenging for professionals.²⁷ Primary
9 care teams face uncertainty due to perceived limitations in disease-specific expertise,
10 difficulties with judging prognosis if people do not have cancer, a lack of clarity about role
11 boundaries across care settings, and conflicting information about the benefits of further
12 treatments.²⁸⁻³³ In addition, the relatively predictable illness trajectory associated with
13 progressive cancer retains its influence on policy and practice even though it does not transfer
14 well to people with other illnesses.³⁴⁻³⁶ The evident diversity and variability of people’s
15 experiences of deteriorating health and dying belie attempts to specify what constitutes a
16 ‘good’ death. We can clarify some core principles but need to adapt our goals and
17 expectations in line with people’s changing circumstances and evolving priorities.

18
19 A widely adopted strategy for addressing prognostic uncertainty in advancing illness involves
20 making predictions about when a person is likely to die so that ‘end-of-life’ care can be
21 started. However, clinician estimates of prognosis are notoriously unreliable in the months
22 before death.³⁷ Despite this, more recent iterations of the well-known ‘Surprise question’
23 instruct clinicians to identify people who might die within a year instead of using it, as
24 originally intended, to support broader judgments about a risk of deteriorating and dying.^{35 38}
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
39 Strenuous efforts to develop more robust mortality risk scores and tools have not achieved
this goal either.¹ Formulating temporal prognostic judgments for individuals remains an
inexact science in all diseases and is nigh on impossible when people have multiple advanced
conditions.^{3 40 41} Professionals and policy-makers continue to differ in their interpretation and
definition of ‘end-of-life’ and the time period it signifies. Most have adopted the last year of
life as a working definition, although the recent NHS England action plan acknowledged that
for some people ‘end-of-life’ care refers to the last few years of life, whereas for others this
could be a matter of months, weeks, days or hours.^{38 42-44} This is consistent with the World
Health Organisation definition of palliative care which focuses on improving the quality of
life of people living with life-threatening illnesses and their families at all stages of their
illness journey.⁴⁵

In the USA, advance care planning had its roots in an imperative to reduce medicalisation of
dying and promote individual autonomy. Advance care planning does not control inherent

1
2
3 uncertainty and is more effective when the main focus is preparing people for shared
4 decision-making in the future.^{46 47} Respect for autonomy and preservation of personal choice
5 when dying have become established professional and service responsibilities in some
6 countries. However, emphasising choice above other considerations can be inequitable or
7 cause unintended harm if we do not listen to people's evolving ideas and personal stories and
8 then regularly review the available options as their health, situation and priorities change.^{2 5 48}
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

⁴⁹ People do welcome opportunities to have conversations with professionals about their health and care in the future, but will struggle if they are expected to participate in making explicit advance care plans for situations they cannot relate to or about unknown future circumstances.⁵⁰ Some patients became more distressed when given clear prognostic information about limited disease reversibility when their fears about how to handle an uncertain future were not addressed.⁵¹ People living with cancer had difficulty reconciling hope and realism when faced with unequivocal prognostic information that made them focus too explicitly on their death.^{52 53} Calls for more 'realistic' medicine aim to reduce costly and burdensome interventions that are of low value and not in line with people's preferences.⁵⁴ This depends on professionals, people living with advanced illnesses and the wider community developing new ways of exchanging knowledge, perspectives and information and embracing a degree of uncertainty.⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷

Delivering patient-centred care in the context of uncertainty

Uncertainty presents major challenges for people living with advanced illnesses and for the professionals who are caring for them. If signs of deteriorating health are identified in timely ways, uncertainty actually offers valuable opportunities for the kind of care planning conversations that explore people's concerns, wishes and goals in the short and longer term. Effective and sensitive ways of broaching unpredictable decline and a risk of dying should be adopted by professionals alongside public campaigns that promote more open dialogue about what matters to people whose health is deteriorating. A gradual approach to increasing prognostic awareness is of proven benefit in advanced cancer.⁵³ Observational evidence of doctor-patient communication shows that hypothetical talk about what might happen 'if the person were less well' is particularly effective in engaging people in discussions about challenging topics. Likewise, linking hopes with concerns about possible deterioration helps to open a supportive dialogue about the future.^{58 59} Promoting the idea of 'hoping for the best, but preparing just in case' can enable people to become active and informed decision-makers in their ongoing care but without causing undue distress.^{60 61} Smith suggests talking about

1
2
3 what people would find helpful as they manage the effects of uncertainty on their lives and
4 begin to think about what might happen in future.⁴⁰ Responding directly to people's
5 individual needs and priorities fosters a positive approach to care. This lets us integrate
6 supportive and palliative care with appropriate disease-modifying treatments while also
7 making plans with patients and carers for managing changing situations that are shared
8 among professionals and services. Glare defined 'prognostication' broadly as encompassing
9 science and clinician judgement in a person-centred way.⁶² It is time to make that a reality in
10 the way we approach care planning about the future.⁶³
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 **Conclusion**

20 We should be supporting people to live as well as they can with advanced illnesses, rather
21 than seeking to identify with certainty when a person has entered their dying phase.⁴⁵
22 Uncertainty offers a timely trigger for initiating dynamic conversations with people that
23 explore their concerns, values and priorities and inform shared decision-making about their
24 current and future care. People with a new diagnosis of any life-limiting condition or who
25 have deteriorating health due to multiple illnesses and indicators like an unplanned hospital
26 admission or increasing care needs can all benefit. These conversations should not focus
27 exclusively on breaking bad news about approaching the 'end of life' or only be about
28 clinically driven priorities such as cardiopulmonary resuscitation status. They could relate to
29 diverse individual worries about hospital or care home admission, what to do if a carer
30 becomes ill, support for family members, important future events or potential loss of
31 capacity.⁶⁴ (see Box 1) Ultimately, care planning about the future must help people play
32 active and informed roles in determining the quantity and quality of their remaining time.
33 Widespread adoption of person-centred care planning discussions requires professionals and
34 policy-makers to concentrate on promoting and engaging in conversations about the inherent
35 uncertainties of deteriorating health and dying as a normal aspect of people's lives.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 **CONTRIBUTORS AND SOURCES:** We have drawn on a synthesis of over 1000 in-depth
48 serial interviews with people who had diverse life-limiting conditions and their carers from
49 studies carried out in the last 15 years by our research group. We have integrated these
50 data with a review of the wider literature concerning uncertainty and care planning in
51 advanced illness, and recent policy documents and reports about palliative and end-of-life
52 care. The authors comprise a carer with extensive experience of representing service users, a
53 social scientist, a general practitioner and a palliative medicine consultant. We wish to
54 challenge the common perception that uncertainty in progressive disease is an
55 unsurmountable challenge for patients, carers and professionals and reframe it as an
56 opportunity to offer person-centred care.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: All authors declare they have no competing interests.
4

5 LICENCE: “The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and
6 does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non-exclusive for government
7 employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ"), and its
8 Licensees to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in *The BMJ's* editions and any
9 other BMJ products and to exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence.”
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Confidential: For Review Only

References

1. Wilson IC. *End-of-life care and physician-assisted dying report*. London: British Medical Association, 2016.
2. Henry C. *A Review of Choice in End of Life Care*. London: Department of Health, 2015.
3. Stevinson C, Preston N, Todd C. Defining priorities in prognostication research: results of a consensus workshop. *Palliat Med* 2010;24(5):462-8.doi:10.1177/0269216310368452.
4. Smith R. A good death. *BMJ* 2000;320(7228):129-30.doi:10.1136/bmj.320.7228.129.
5. Paget A, Wood C. *Ways and Means: "People's final journey must be one of their choosing..."*. London: DEMOS, 2013.
6. Mason B, Epiphaniou E, Nanton V, et al. Coordination of care for individuals with advanced progressive conditions: a multi-site ethnographic and serial interview study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2013;63(613):e580-e88.doi:10.3399/bjgp13X670714.
7. Nanton V, Munday D, Dale J, et al. The threatened self: Considerations of time, place, and uncertainty in advanced illness. *Br J Health Psychol* 2015 Dec 22. doi:10.1111/bjhp.12172.
8. Kendall M, Carduff E, Lloyd A, et al. Different Experiences and Goals in Different Advanced Diseases: Comparing Serial Interviews with Patients with Cancer, Organ Failure or Frailty and Their Family and Professional Carers. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2015;50(2):216-24.DOI: 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2015.02.017.
9. Murray SA, Kendall M, Boyd K, et al. Illness trajectories and palliative care. *BMJ* 2005;330(7498):1007-11.doi:10.1136/bmj.330.7498.1007.
10. Murray SA, Boyd K, Kendall M, et al. Dying of lung cancer or cardiac failure: prospective qualitative interview study of patients and their carers in the community. *BMJ* 2002;325(7370):929.doi:10.1136/bmj.325.7370.929.
11. Boyd KJ, Murray SA, Kendall M, et al. Living with advanced heart failure: a prospective, community based study of patients and their carers. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2004;6(5):585-91.doi:10.1016/j.ejheart.2003.11.018.
12. Klindtworth K, Oster P, Hager K, et al. Living with and dying from advanced heart failure: understanding the needs of older patients at the end of life. *BMC Geriatr* 2015;15:125.doi:10.1186/s12877-015-0124-y.
13. Pinnock H, Kendall M, Murray SA, et al. Living and dying with severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: multi-perspective longitudinal qualitative study. *BMJ* 2011;342.doi:10.1136/bmj.d142.
14. Kimbell B, Boyd K, Kendall M, et al. Managing uncertainty in advanced liver disease: a qualitative, multiperspective, serial interview study. *BMJ Open* 2015;5(11):e009241.doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2015-009241.
15. Boersma I, Miyasaki J, Kutner J, et al. Palliative care and neurology: Time for a paradigm shift. *Neurology* 2014;83(6):561-67.doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000000674.
16. van der Steen JT. Dying with dementia: what we know after more than a decade of research. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2010;22(1):37-55.doi:10.3233/jad-2010-100744.
17. Creutzfeldt CJ, Longstreth WT, Holloway RG. Predicting decline and survival in severe acute brain injury: the fourth trajectory. *BMJ* 2015;351:h3904.doi:10.1136/bmj.h3904.
18. Nicholson C, Meyer J, Flatley M, et al. Living on the margin: understanding the experience of living and dying with frailty in old age. *Soc Sci Med* 2012;75(8):1426-32.doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2012.06.011.
19. Gill TM, Gahbauer EA, Han L, et al. The role of intervening hospital admissions on trajectories of disability in the last year of life: prospective cohort study of older people. *BMJ* 2015;350:h2361.doi:10.1136/bmj.h2361.
20. Burge F, Lawson B, Mitchell G. How to move to a palliative approach to care for people with multimorbidity. *BMJ* 2012;345(sep21 1):e6324-e24.doi:10.1136/bmj.e6324.
21. Cornally N, McGlade C, Weathers E, et al. Evaluating the systematic implementation of the 'Let Me Decide' advance care planning programme in long term care through focus groups: staff

- perspectives. *BMC Palliat Care* 2015;14:55.doi:10.1186/s12904-015-0051-x.
22. Mason B, Nanton V, Epiphaniou E, et al. 'My body's falling apart.' Understanding the experiences of patients with advanced multimorbidity to improve care: serial interviews with patients and carers. *BMJ Support & Pall Care* 2014;0:1-6.doi:10.1136/bmjspcare-2013-000639
23. Buetow S, Goodyear-Smith F, Coster G. Coping strategies in the self-management of chronic heart failure. *Fam Pract* 2001;18(2):117-22.doi:10.1093/fampra/18.2.117.
24. Coventry PA, Grande GE, Richards DA, et al. Prediction of appropriate timing of palliative care for older adults with non-malignant life-threatening disease: a systematic review. *Age Ageing* 2005;34(3):218-27.doi:10.1093/ageing/afi054.
25. Gott M, Ingleton C, Bennett MI, et al. Transitions to palliative care in acute hospitals in England: qualitative study. *BMJ* 2011;342:d1773. doi: 10.1136/bmj.d1773.:d1773.
26. Gardiner C, Cobb M, Gott M, et al. Barriers to providing palliative care for older people in acute hospitals. *Age Ageing* 2011;40(2):233-38.doi: 10.1093/ageing/afq172.
27. Seymour JE, French J, Richardson E. Dying matters: let's talk about it. *BMJ* 2010;341doi:10.1136/bmj.c4860.
28. Oishi A, Murtagh FE. The challenges of uncertainty and interprofessional collaboration in palliative care for non-cancer patients in the community: a systematic review of views from patients, carers and health-care professionals. *Palliat Med* 2014;28(9):1081-98.doi:10.1177/0269216314531999.
29. Boyd K, Mason B, Kendall M, et al. Advance care planning for cancer patients in primary care: a feasibility study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2010;60(581):e449-58.doi:10.3399/bjgp10X544032.
30. Abarshi E, Ehteld M, Van den Block L, et al. Transitions between care settings at the end of life in The Netherlands: results from a nationwide study. *Palliat Med* 2010;24(2):166-74.doi:10.1177/0269216309351381.
31. Zheng L, Finucane AM, Oxenham D, et al. How good is primary care at identifying patients who need palliative care? A mixed methods study. *European Journal of Palliative Care* 2013;20(5):216-22.
32. Harrison N, Cavers D, Campbell C, et al. Are UK primary care teams formally identifying patients for palliative care before they die? *Br J Gen Pract* 2012;62(598):e344-e52.doi:10.3399/bjgp12X641465.
33. Munday D, Petrova M, Dale J. Exploring preferences for place of death with terminally ill patients: qualitative study of experiences of general practitioners and community nurses in England. *BMJ* 2009;339:b2391.doi:10.1136/bmj.b2391.
34. Wiener JM, Tilly J. End-of-life care in the United States: policy issues and model programs of integrated care. *Int J Integr Care* 2003;3:e24.
35. Department of Health. *End of life care strategy: promoting high quality care for all adults at the end of life* London: Department of Health, 2008. Available from: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/136431/End_of_life_strategy.pdf.
36. Department of Health Commonwealth of Australia. *National Palliative Care Strategy*. Canberra: Australian Government Department of Health, 2010.
37. Glare P, Sinclair C, Downing M, et al. Predicting survival in patients with advanced disease. *Eur J Cancer* 2008;44(8):1146-56.doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2008.02.030.
38. Royal College of Physicians, National end of Life care Programme, Association for Palliative Medicine of Great Britain and Ireland. *Improving end-of-life care: professional development for physicians*. Report of a working party. London: RCP, 2012.
39. Lynn J. Living long in fragile health: the new demographics shape end of life care. *Hastings Cent Rep* 2005;35(6):S14-S8.
40. Smith AK, White DB, Arnold RM. Uncertainty--the other side of prognosis. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368(26):2448-50.doi:10.1056/NEJMp1303295.
41. Yourman LC, Lee SJ, Schonberg MA, et al. Prognostic indices for older adults: a systematic review.

- JAMA 2012;307(2):182-92.doi:10.1001/jama.2011.1966.
42. General Medical Council. *Treatment and care towards the end of life: good practice in decision making*. London: General Medical Council, 2010.
 43. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. *End of life care for adults quality standard QS13*. London: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, 2011. Available from: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/qs13>.
 44. NHS England. *Actions for End of Life Care*. Leeds: NHS England, 2014.
 45. WHO. *WHO Definition of Palliative Care*. Geneva: World Health Organisation, 2015 [6/2/16]. Available from: <http://www.who.int/cancer/palliative/definition/en/>.
 46. Perkins HS. Controlling death: the false promise of advance directives. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;147(1):51-7.
 47. Fried TR, Redding CA, Robbins ML, et al. Stages of change for the component behaviors of advance care planning. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2010;58(12):2329-36.doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010.03184.x.
 48. Pollock K. Is home always the best and preferred place of death? *BMJ* 2015;351:h4855.doi:10.1136/bmj.h4855.
 49. Coleman R, Thomas J. *Gather round: understanding how culture frames end-of-life choices for patients and families*. Oakland, California: California HealthCare Foundation, 2014.
 50. Piers RD, van Eechoud IJ, Van Camp S, et al. Advance Care Planning in terminally ill and frail older persons. *Patient Educ Couns* 2013;90(3):323-9.doi:10.1016/j.pec.2011.07.008.
 51. Bristowe K, Carey I, Hopper A, et al. Patient and carer experiences of clinical uncertainty and deterioration, in the face of limited reversibility: A comparative observational study of the AMBER care bundle. *Palliat Med* 2015;29(9):797-807.doi:10.1177/0269216315578990.
 52. Innes S, Payne S. Advanced cancer patients' prognostic information preferences: a review. *Palliat Med* 2009;23(1):29-39.doi:10.1177/0269216308098799.
 53. Jackson VA, Jacobsen J, Greer JA, et al. The cultivation of prognostic awareness through the provision of early palliative care in the ambulatory setting: a communication guide. *J Palliat Med* 2013;16(8):894-900.doi:10.1089/jpm.2012.0547.
 54. Calderwood C. *Realistic Medicine: Chief Medical Officer's Annual Report 2014-5*. Edinburgh: The Scottish Government, 2016.
 55. Malhotra A, Maughan D, Ansell J, et al. Choosing Wisely in the UK: the Academy of Medical Royal Colleges' initiative to reduce the harms of too much medicine. *BMJ* 2015;350:h2308.doi:10.1136/bmj.h2308.
 56. Periyakoil VS, Neri E, Kraemer H. No Easy Talk: A Mixed Methods Study of Doctor Reported Barriers to Conducting Effective End-of-Life Conversations with Diverse Patients. *PLoS One* 2015;10(4):e0122321.doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0122321.
 57. You JJ, Dodek P, Lamontagne F, et al. What really matters in end-of-life discussions? Perspectives of patients in hospital with serious illness and their families. *CMAJ* 2014;186(18):E679-87.doi:10.1503/cmaj.140673.
 58. Parry R, Land V, Seymour J. How to communicate with patients about future illness progression and end of life: a systematic review. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* 2014;4(4):331-41.doi:10.1136/bmjspcare-2014-000649.
 59. Dunlay SM, Strand JJ. How to discuss goals of care with patients. *Trends Cardiovasc Med* 2016;26(1):36-43.doi:10.1016/j.tcm.2015.03.018.
 60. von Gunten CF, Ferris FD, Emanuel LL. The patient-physician relationship. Ensuring competency in end-of-life care: communication and relational skills. *JAMA* 2000;284(23):3051-7.
 61. Boyd K, Kimbell B, Murray S, et al. A "good death" with irreversible liver disease: Talking with patients and families about deteriorating health and dying. *Clinical Liver Disease* 2015;6(1):15-18.doi:10.1002/cld.479.
 62. Glare PA, Sinclair CT. Palliative medicine review: prognostication. *J Palliat Med* 2008;11(1):84-103.doi:10.1089/jpm.2008.9992.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

63. Myers J, Selby D. Personalizing prognosis in a patient with serious illness. *CMAJ* 2014;186(3):169-70.doi:10.1503/cmaj.131415.

64. Denvir MA, Murray SA, Boyd KJ. Future care planning: a first step to palliative care for all patients with advanced heart disease. *Heart* 2015;101:1002-07.doi:10.1136/heartjnl-2014-306724.

Confidential: For Review Only

Box 1: Talking about deteriorating health and uncertainty

- Ask about what the person knows, expects and wants to know; involve people who are close to them.
 - *When someone comes into/ out of hospital, we make a time to talk about what is happening and what might happen next...
Is there a family member or a friend we should speak to as well?*
 - *Can you tell me what you know about your health problems....?*
 - *Do you have any thoughts or worries about what might happen that we can talk about?*
- Talk about the illnesses; explain what the symptoms mean; and share information about what might happen linked to their understanding.
 - *If you did get more unwell again, it is possible that you might need treatment with....*
 - *I hope you will not need to go to hospital, but I am worried that at some point... It might be a good idea to talk about that now...*
- Ask about the things that matter to this person now and in the future.
 - *What things are important to you that we should know about?*
- Talk about what they think would help with these things; then discuss possible options and make plans for the future.
 - *What would be the best way for us to help you stay at home?*
 - *Can we talk about how we might cope with not knowing exactly what will happen or when?*
 - *It is good to talk about what to do and how to get help if you are more unwell ...*
- Seek advice or a second opinion if the person or their situation is complex.
- Most conversations about care in the future happen over time and need revisited if things change.