# Papers

# Patterns in current perioperative practice: survey of colorectal surgeons in five northern European countries

Kristoffer Lassen, Pascal Hannemann, Olle Ljungqvist, Ken Fearon, Cornelis H C Dejong, Maarten F von Meyenfeldt, Jonatan Hausel, Jonas Nygren, Jens Andersen, Arthur Revhaug, on behalf of the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Group

Evidence for optimal perioperative care in colorectal surgery is abundant. By avoiding fasting, intravenous fluid overload, and activation of the neuroendocrine stress response, postoperative catabolism is reduced and recovery enhanced. The specific measures that can be used routinely include no bowel preparation, epidural anaesthesia/analgesia continued for one to two days postoperatively, no nasogastric decompression tube postoperatively, intravenous fluid/saline restriction, and free oral intake from postoperative day one.<sup>1–5</sup> This survey aimed to characterise perioperative practice in colorectal cancer surgery in five northern European countries: Scotland, the Netherlands, Denmark, Sweden, and Norway.

## Participants, methods, and results

We mailed a questionnaire to the head surgeons of all digestive surgical centres in the five countries of the departments belonging to the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Group in late spring 2003. We presented a hypothetical case of elective laparotomy with colonic resection for cancer in an otherwise healthy 70 year old man. We asked the respondents to answer according to the practice most widely used in their department at that time.

The table shows the results (fuller version on bmj.com). Response rate was 76% (200 centres). Oral bowel preparation was still the rule in all countries. The nasogastric decompression tube was widely used postoperatively only in the Netherlands. "Nil by mouth" was hardly used in Scandinavia but was common in the Netherlands and Scotland. By postoperative day one, patients ate at will in 85% of Danish units and in almost half of units in Norway, the Netherlands, and Sweden. In Scotland, only a quarter of units allowed free eating on day one. The use of epidural analgesia in general wards exceeded 90% in Scandinavia compared with 11% in Scotland. Intravenous fluids were used unrestrictedly.

#### Comment

Perioperative routines in colorectal cancer treatment in northern Europe differ substantially from evidence based practice. Patients are uniformly subjected to the unpleasant, unnecessary, and harmful practice of preoperative bowel preparation,<sup>1</sup> precluding oral nutrition and increasing dependency on intravenous fluids. For too many patients, the situation is aggravated as nasogastric tubes are left in place for too long, patients are kept nil by mouth too long, intravenous fluids are administered unrestrictedly, and adequate blocking of pain and afferent stress stimuli is not provided.

The Dutch have implemented postoperative epidural anaesthesia/analgesia in general wards, and their patients should thus be optimally prepared to tolerate an normal diet soon after surgery.<sup>2 5</sup> Nevertheless, in almost half the Dutch centres nasogastric tubes were left in place for two days or more. Of centres where nasogastric tubes were removed early, a third still prescribed nil by mouth for at least a day. Approximately 25% of Dutch centres did not allow patients to eat solid food at will until bowel movements occurred, and many did not even allow fluids. One could argue that the Dutch have introduced a novel modality but failed to exploit its major potential. In Scotland, a conservative view by anaesthetists prevented patients with epidural anaesthesia/analgesia being nursed outside of high dependency units. This may also have caused the Scottish centres to practise nil by mouth more widely than the others and to withhold both fluids and solids accordingly, although it contrasts with available evidence.5

A restricted fluid regimen aiming at unchanged body weight may reduce complications after elective colorectal surgery.<sup>4</sup> Scotland had the only substantial group claiming such practice. However, the volume of fluids allowed (table) indicates an inadequate reduction as it is twice as high as in the unrestricted (standard) group in the study by Brandstrup et al (median 1500 ml/24 hours).<sup>4</sup>

In spite of a large evidence base for perioperative care aiming to alleviate postoperative catabolism and organ dysfunction, surgical patients remain exposed to unnecessary starvation, suboptimal stress reduction, and fluid overload.

We thank the Scottish Chapters, Associations of Coloproctology and Upper GI Surgeons, the Surgical Society of Sweden, the Dutch Society for Gastrointestinal Surgery, and the Norwegian Society for Digestive Surgery. Preliminary data from this study have been presented as an abstract to the XXXVI Nordic Meeting of Gastroenterology (Oslo, June 2004) and as a lecture to the 26th ESPEN congress (Lisbon, September 2004).

Contributors: KL participated in the planning of the survey, constructed the questionnaire, collected national data, did the analysis, wrote and reviewed the manuscript, and participated in the choice of journal. He is guarantor. All other authors participated in the planning of the survey, construction of the questionnaire, collection of national data, reviewing of the manuscript, and choice of journal.

Funding: None. The ERAS Group is supported by an unrestricted grant from Nutricia Healthcare, which was aware of the planned survey and did not take part in the collecting, analysis, or interpretation of the data

A fuller version of the table is on bmj.com

Responses	Scotland	Netherlands	Sweden	Norway	Denmark
Response rate	72.4 (n=55)	83.7 (n=36)	68.3 (n=43)	92.7 (n=38)	70.0 (n=28)
For an elective left sided* hemicolector	my for cancer, would bowel prepara	tion be administered?			
No	4	18	3	5	19
Yes, oral purgative	85	52	95	89	62
Yes, enema	4	12	0	3	15
Yes, both	8	18	3	3	4
Is nasogastric decompression tube rou	tinely left in place for more than fo	ur hours after surgery?			
No	75	22	83	82	85
Until next morning	12	36	17	18	11
Two days or more	12	17	0	0	0
Until bowel movement	2	25	0	0	4
Is epidural analgesia used routinely pos	stoperatively after transfer to genera	al ward? (Not high dependency w	ard/intensive care unit)		
Yes	11	83	93	89	96
No	89	17	7	11	4
Are there any restrictions on routine in	travenous clear fluid administration	in the first 72 hours after surger	?		
Yes	24†	14	5	8	4
No	76	86	95	92	96
Are there any restrictions on routine in	travenous sodium administration in	the first 72 hours after surgery?			
Yes	26†	14	0	8	7
No	74	86	100	92	93
How long would a patient be "nil by m	outh" (less than 450 ml clear fluid)	postoperatively?			
0 days	38	58	71	82	96
1-2 days	46	39	26	18	4
3-4 days	17	3	2	0	0
When would patients be allowed to res	ume oral intake at will for solids (e	at freely)?			
Operating day	10	3	12	8	41
Postoperative day one	17	43	32	45	44
At bowel sounds	13	11	23	5	0
At passage of gas	44	17	27	32	15
Bowel movement	17	26	7	11	0

Responses (percentages) to questionnaire on perioperative care in colonic resections in five northern European countries

Results are percentages after exclusion of missing or ambiguous responses (overall 2.55% excluded). Percentage is of the total numbers of responses to that question.

\*The only question in which colonic resection was further specified

+Scottish centres declaring a fluid/sodium restricting routine allowed maximum values of 3000 ml water and 154 mmol sodium per 24 hours (median).

reported herein. The decision to publish and the choice of journal are entirely those of the authors. CHCD is supported by a grant from the Dutch Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO Clinical Fellowship 907-00-033). OL and JN are supported by the Swedish Medical Research Council (#09101).

Competing interests: OL owns some stock in Royal Numico (the mother company for Nutricia) and has a research grant from them.

- Wille-Jorgensen P, Guenaga KF, Castro AA, Matos D. Clinical value of preoperative mechanical bowel cleansing in elective colorectal surgery: a systematic review. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2003;46:1013-20.
- Kehlet H. Modification of responses to surgery by neural blockade: clinical implications. In: Cousins MJ, Bridenbaugh PO, eds. *Neural blockade in clinical anesthesia and management of pain*. Philadelphia: Lippincott, 1998:129-75.
  Cheatham ML, Chapman WC, Key SP, Sawyers JL. A meta-analysis of selective versus
- 3 Cheatham ML, Chapman WC, Key SP, Sawyers JL. A meta-analysis of selective versus routine nasogastric decompression after elective laparotomy. *Ann Surg* 1995;221:469-76.

## What is already known on this topic

For colonic surgery, current evidence advocates no bowel preparation, epidural anaesthesia/analgesia for 1-2 days postoperatively, no nasogastric decompression tube postoperatively, avoidance of sodium/water overload, and free oral intake from postoperative day one

#### What this study adds

Perioperative routines in colonic cancer surgery differ widely in northern Europe and deviate considerably from the best available evidence

- 4 Brandstrup B, Tonnesen H, Beier-Holgersen R, Hjortso E, Ording H, Lindorff-Larsen K, et al. Effects of intravenous fluid restriction on postoperative complications: comparison of two perioperative fluid regimens: a randomized assessor-blinded multi-center trial. Ann Surg 2003;238:641-8.
- center trial. Ann Surg 2003;238:641-8.
  Lewis SJ, Egger M, Sylvester PA, Thomas S. Early enteral feeding versus "nil by mouth" after gastrointestinal surgery: systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials. BMJ 2001;323:773-6.

(Accepted 25 April 2005)

doi 10.1136/bmj.38478.568067.AE

Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, University Hospital of Northern Norway, 9038 Tromsø, Norway

Kristoffer Lassen consultant surgeon

Arthur Revhaug professor of surgery

Department of Surgery, University Hospital Maastricht, Maastricht 6202 AZ, Netherlands

Maarten F von Meyenfeldt professor of surgery

Cornelis H C Dejong consultant surgeon

Pascal Hannemann surgical registrar

Centre for Surgical Sciences, Division of Surgery, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

Olle Ljungqvist professor of surgery Jonas Nygren associate professor of surgery

Jonas Nygren associate projessor of Jonatan Hausel doctoral student

Clinical and Surgical Sciences (Surgery), School of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH16 4SA

Ken Fearon professor of surgery

Department of Surgical Gastroenterology, 435 Hvidovre University Hospital, Hvidovre 2650, Denmark

Jens Andersen consultant surgeon

Correspondence to: K Lassen lassen@unn.no