with a permanent bilateral hearing impairment >40 dB HL detected another five to nine children (50%-90%) would manifest such a hearing impairment by the age of 9 years. These additional children would comprise some with congenital impairments who either miss neonatal hearing screening or pass the screening despite having a hearing impairment, some who acquire an impairment postnatally, and others who manifest late onset or progressive impairments. Paediatric audiology and associated services will need the capacity and skills to identify and then confirm impairments in these children.

We thank every professional who identified children and Mrs Margaret Eatough for facilitating the ascertainment in the education sector.

Funding: Medical Research Council with additional funding from the National Lottery Charities Board through Defeating Deafness—The Hearing Research Trust.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

- Conrad R. The deaf schoolchild: language and cognitive function. London: Harper and Row, 1979.
- Wood D, Wood H, Griffiths A, Howarth I. Teaching and talking with deaf children. Chichester: Wiley, 1986.
- Gregory S. Deaf children and their families. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- Cheng AK, Rubin HR, Powe NR, Mellon NK, Francis HW, Niparko JK. Cost-utility analysis of the cochlear implant in children. *JAMA* 2000;284:850-856.
- Mohr PE, Feldman JJ, Dunbar JL, McConkey-Robbins A, Niparko JK, Rittenhouse RK, et al. The societal costs of severe to profound hearing loss in the United States. *Int J Technol Assess* 2000;16:1120-1135.
- Downs MP, Yoshinaga-Itano C. The efficacy of early identification and intervention for children with hearing impairment. Pediatr Clin N Am 1999;46:79-87.
- Fortnum H, Davis A. Epidemiology of permanent childhood hearing impairment in Trent region, 1985-1993. Br J Audiol 1997;31:409-446.
- 8. Van Naarden K, Decouflé P, Caldwell K. Prevalence and characteristics of children with serious hearing impairment in Metropolitan Atlanta. *Pediatrics* 1999;103:570-575.

- National Institutes of Health. NIH recommends universal screening of infants for hearing impairment. Am Fam Physician 1993;48:521-522.
- Davis A, Bamford J, Wilson I, Ramkalawan T, Forshaw M, Wright S. A critical review of the role of neonatal hearing screening in the detection of congenital hearing impairment. Health Technol Assess 1997;1:i-v,1-176.
- 11. Dalzell L, Orlando M, MacDonald M, Berg A, Bradley M, Cacace A, et al. The New York State universal newborn hearing screening demonstration project: ages of hearing loss identification, hearing aid fitting, and enrolment in early intervention. *Ear Hearing* 2000;21:118-130.
- 12. Department of Health. Piloting the introduction of universal neonatal hearing screening in England. www.doh.gov.uk/uhnspilots/index.htm (accessed Jan 11, 2001).
- 13. NHS Executive. Launch of pilot project to provide patients with digital hearing aids. www.doh.gov.uk/hearingaidproject/press0029.htm (accessed Jan 11, 2001).
- 14. Davis AC, Parving A. Towards appropriate epidemiological data on childhood hearing disability: a comparative European study of birth cohorts. J Audiol Med 1993;3:35-47.
- 15. Mäki-Torkko EM, Lindholm PK, Väyrynen MRH, Leisti JT, Sorri MJ. Epidemiology of moderate to profound childhood hearing impairments in Northern Finland: Any changes in ten years? Scand Audiol 1998;27:95-103.
- Uus K, Davis AC. Epidemiology of permanent childhood hearing impairment in Estonia, 1985-1990. Audiology 2000;39:192-197.
- LaPorte RE, McCarty DJ, Tull ES, Tajima N. Counting birds, bees, and NCDs. *Lancet* 1992;339:494-495.
- 18. International Working Group for Disease Monitoring and Forecasting. Capture-recapture and multiple-record systems estimation I: history and theoretical development. *Am J Epidemiol* 1995;142:1047-1058.
- Office for National Statistics. Population trends, summer 1999.
 London: Stationery Office, 1999.
- British Society of Audiology. Recommendations for pure-tone audiometry. Br J Audiol 1988;22:123.
- 21. Watkin PM, Baldwin M. Confirmation of deafness in infancy. *Arch Dis Child* 1999;81:380-389.
- 22. Kennedy CR. Controlled trial of universal neonatal screening for early identification of permanent childhood hearing impairment: coverage, positive predictive value, effect on mothers and incremental yield. Wessex Universal Neonatal Screening Trial Group. Acta Paediatr Suppl 1999;88:73-75.
- 23. Van Camp G, Smith RJH. Hereditary hearing loss homepage. www.uia.ac.be/dnalab/hhh (accessed Jan 11, 2001).
- 24. Steel KP. New interventions in hearing impairment. BMJ 2000; 320:622-625.

Screening of newborns for hearing impairment: Statement from the US Preventive Services Task Force

The US Preventive Services Task Force (www.ahrq.gov/clinic/uspstfab.htm) recently completed a review of the evidence for routine screening of newborns for hearing impairment, and issued a policy statement based on that review in October 2001 (www.ahrq.gov/clinic/3rduspstf/newhearrr.htm). The task force concluded that there is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against such screening, whether it is a universal policy of screening all newborns or selective screening of newborns with selected risk factors. The task force found convincing evidence that universal screening leads to earlier detection and treatment of hearing loss, by an average of 6-9 months, but studies suggesting that early intervention is associated with better language and communication skills were found to have had serious methodologic limitations. Screening only high-risk groups (eg, children in the neonatal intensive care unit and those with other risk factors for hearing loss) increases the yield of screening and lowers the incidence of false-positive results, but its effectiveness in improving outcomes is no more certain than for average-risk newborns. Other groups have issued more positive recommendations for screening, and legislation in most states requires screening of at least high-risk newborns. A mathematical model developed by the task force estimated that extending the policy from selective to universal screening detects one additional case before age 10 months per 1441 infants screened and results in treatment before 10 months in one out of 2401 infants screened. Fully 254 newborns would be referred for audiological evaluation based on false-positive, second-stage screening results, whereas only 48 would be referred with selective screening.

Steven H Woolf, MD, MPH Associate editor, BMJ USA

1. Thompson DC, McPhillips H, Davis RL, Lieu TA, Homer CJ, Helfand M. Universal newborn hearing screening: summary of evidence. *JAMA* 2001;286:2000-2010.