no presentation could be felt. The woman was chilly; her countenance was blanched, and her pulse weak and compressible. Mr. Parsons went away, and saw her again at 12. She then seemed better; the pain was less, and the discharge had ceased. He again left her, with orders to send for him, if required. They sent for him on the morning of the following day. Mr. Parsons was then engaged with another patient, and advised them to get some medical man nearer at hand. However, they again sent for him in the afternoon of the same day, when, being disengaged, he saw her about 4 P.M. At that time, symptoms of peritonitis were present. There was great abdominal tenderness, especially between the umbilicus and pubis, and much prostration of strength. There were no labour pains, and no presentation could be felt. Mr. Parsons ordered six leeches to be applied to the abdomen, and a poultice afterwards; and gave her a dose of Battley's liquor opii sedativus, and also some hydrargyrum cum cretâ. He left her about 9 P.M. On Tuesday morning, he was again summoned to her, and went immediately, but found her dead when he arrived.

The coroner's jury returned a verdict of death from natural causes, and exonerated the parties in attendance from any imputation of neglect.

REMARKS. Instances of ruptured uterus during pregnancy are of rare occurrence; but a case like that above described, where the fetus occupied so peculiar a position after its escape from the uterus, is, I believe, unique. In most cases, rupture of the uterus during gestation has been the result of some obvious cause, such as blows or direct violence,* abscess, or other organic disease of the walls of the uterus, etc. In some cases, it has occurred without any assignable cause, and has then been attributed to irregular action of the uterine fibre, as in that related by Mr. Scott of Bromley (*Medical Repository*, vol. vii), where the patient was awakened from sleep by it. In another case described by Mr. Glen of Brompton, and quoted in Dr. Merriman's *Synopsis*, the accident appeared to have been occasioned merely by stooping. In the case I have read, there was much obscurity both as to the cause of the rupture, and the time when it occurred. According to the midwife's account, the woman had a severe fall as much as three months before her death. At that time she considered herself seven months pregnant, but this must have been an error. The development of the uterus and fetus both show that, at the time when the death of the latter took place, she could not have been more than five months pregnant. Conception having taken place during lactation, she had no certain data from which to reckon the commencement of pregnancy. The fall which she experienced most probably caused the death of the fetus, as the abdomen shortly afterwards subsided, and ceased to increase; and an offensive discharge began to escape from the vagina. The rupture of the uterus, however, could not have taken place much more than three days before death; for on Saturday, May 3rd, four days before her death, she was stated to have been as well as usual, and able to attend to her ordinary household duties. On the third day before her death, when Mr. Parsons first saw her, rupture of the uterus appeared to have taken place, if we may judge from the symptoms which were present, viz., bloody discharge from the vagina, abdominal tenderness, and collapse.

As to the cause of the rupture, it is as difficult to assign as the exact date of its occurrence. The state of the os uteri seems to show that there had been some slight attempts at labour; but the labour pains could scarcely have been sufficiently severe to cause rupture by their irregular action. It did not appear that the accident arose from any external injury, for there were no marks of such on the body, and the careful inquiries of the coroner failed to elicit any evidence of violence. There was no thinning of the uterine parietes in the neighbourhood of the rent; and, on cutting into it, the tissue of that part appeared to be as firm and sound as that of the rest of the organ.

On examining the tissue under the microscope, and comparing it with that of a healthy uterus two days after delivery, there were some appearances of fatty degeneration, but still not sufficiently marked to enable one to say that they were not the result of those changes which ordinarily take place in the uterine tissue after delivery. Hence the cause of the rupture appears to be veiled in as much obscurity as the other phenomena which were observed in this extraordinary case.

CASE OF COMPLICATED PRESENTATION.

By EDWARD GARRAWAY, Esq., Faversham, Kent.

THE following is a sequel to my case of puerperal convulsions reported in the *ASSOCIATION JOURNAL* for the 27th of September.

Mrs. N., the patient whose case has been already described, continued in the same state, with albuminous urine, slight anasarca of the face, hands, and feet, more or less pain in the head, and drowsiness, up to Wednesday, September 24th, when, she having rather more than completed her seventh month, I was informed that labour had commenced. The pains had been gradually increasing in force and frequency for about twelve hours, and were moderately vigorous when I arrived. My patient appeared in excellent spirits, and expressed her confidence that I should steer her safely through. On examination, the vagina was found distended with an elongated pouch of membranes, filled with liquor amnii, and slightly constricted above by the os uteri, which was but little dilated, and very thick and rigid. The whole of the fluid contents of the uterus appeared to have gravitated into the lower part of this pouch, its neck being undistended and flaccid. The os would just admit the points of two fingers, and appeared to be occupied by coils of funis. I never, however, was so much at fault in determining a presentation; in fact, I was fain to content myself with certain negative evidence. It was not the head, nor the feet, nor the hands; I inclined to the scrotum and breech, but at the same time feared the position of the child might be really transverse. Turning, then, would doubtless be necessary; but, as no facility could be afforded to this operation by the liquor amnii, already extruded from the uterus, I ruptured the pouch of membranes, hoping that, as the os dilated, more light would be thrown upon the presenting part. Happily, there was not the slightest threatening of convulsion. I left my patient for an hour.

On my return, the nurse told me that there had been a little disposition to flooding, and showed me one or two clots. On examination, I found coagula in the vagina, and the os, now rather more dilated, occupied by two coils of funis and a flap of placenta, which protruded an inch and a half. No matter now what the position of the child, the state of the placenta demanded immediate delivery. On getting through the os and its entanglements, I found both hands and feet to choose from, for they were all presenting; and, selecting one of the latter, effected the delivery without further trouble. The child was small and dead. There was no hæmorrhage, no convulsion.

September 28th. Mrs. N. is now (the fifth day) convalescing. She, however, still complains of pain and some confusion in the head, and the urine continues albuminous.

REMARKS. The points of interest in this case were:—
1. The small amount of hæmorrhage from the flap of detached placenta. 2. The complex presentation, viz., placenta, two loops of funis, hands and feet (something extenuatory of the accoucheur's bungling diagnosis). 3. The absence of convulsions, which, considering the woman's antecedents, appeared almost inevitable, and which might fully and fairly have been expected to occur upon the introduction of the hand into the womb, if not before.

* See Burn's "Midwifery", page 261.