ORIGINAL COMMUNICATIONS.

A PATHOLOGICAL INQUIRY INTO THE EFFECTS OF SYPHILIS UPON THE UTERINE ORGANS.

By F. W. Mackenzie, M.D., Lond., Fellow of University College, London.

[Read before the Royal Medical and Chirurgical Society, June 13th, 1854.]

The following communication contains a record of observations, undertaken for the purpose of determining the exact action to which the uterine organs are most susceptible from syphilis, and the character and type of the ensuing derangement. It is scarcely necessary to premise that such an inquiry is attended with many and peculiar difficulties. It is as difficult, on the one hand, to elicit a truthful narrative of facts from persons suffering from this disease respecting the history of their maladies, as it is, on the other hand, to determine the significance and relations of such facts, when truthfully obtained, to the many morbid influences with which they are associated, or by which they may have been preceded. The sufferers from syphilis are commonly those who have been exposed to the operation of many other causes besides syphilis, and those usually associated to determine the uterine organs. Irregularities of living, sexual excesses, dissipation, destitution, and mental distress, are amongst some of the most constant; and, where so many conspire to a common result, it is difficult to assign the exact share of each in its production. Whilst, then, I believe that all inquiries undertaken with a view of determining the precise action of syphilis upon the uterine organs must to a certain extent be imperfect, I am yet not without hopes that some good may result from the attempt, and that a careful narrative of facts directed to this end, if not immediately decisive of the question, will at least serve as a basis for further investigation.

From the inquiries I have made, I am led to believe that the influence of syphilis in deranging the uterine organs is more considerable than is commonly supposed, and that the various forms of uterine derangement which result from this cause are but little known to or recognised by the profession at large. It is, however, very far from my intention to disparage the many valuable researches which have been published in this branch of professional inquiry. The morbid appearances of the cervix uteri, occurring in connexion with syphilis, have been carefully noted and accurately recorded; the influence this disease has in disturbing the reproductive functions has received considerable attention, as also its effects upon the immediate and remote interests of the patient in question. But many questions remain to be solved. The several forms of functional derangement of the uterus which follow upon syphilitic infection, and their relations to physical and vascular changes of the organ, have not, in my opinion, been either comprehensively surveyed, or satisfactorily determined; and it is upon these subjects that I have more particularly sought for information. As already stated, my inquiries have not been altogether as conclusive as could be wished; but, believing that they have put me in possession of many facts, which are not only interesting in themselves, but important in their general relations to uterine pathology, I trust that no apology is necessary in submitting them to the notice of the profession.

The cases upon which the present communication is founded are eighty in number. Nearly all of them occurred in the practice of the Lock Hospital; and, for the opportunity of making the necessary observations and inquiries, I am indebted to the kindness of the surgeons of that institution. The histories of these cases; the condition of the uterine functions, before and after infection respectively; and the physical appearances of the cervix uteri, were in every instance noted by myself, and, with few exceptions, in the presence of the house surgeon, to whom my written report of each case was subsequently submitted for correction. I have stated this circumstance, because I am anxious to show that the record of facts embodied in this inquiry does not rest solely upon my own individual testimony, and that I was anxious to arrive at as truthful results as the nature of the subject permitted. Having thus collected all the facts I could rely upon, I proceeded in the next place to reduce them to a tabulated form, placing under separate heads those relating to the syphilitic affection; to the state of the uterine organs before and after infection; to the physical condition of the cervix uteri; and to the state of the general health as observed in each case: and, from the tables so constructed, I proceeded lastly to deduce those general conclusions which it is the more especial object of this paper to elicit. With all the care that could be taken, I fear, however, that I have not avoided many sources of fallacy. As already stated, it is difficult to elicit the real facts of the case from persons suffering from syphilitic disease: my more, there is often a strong motive for wilful misrepresentation; and many of the uterine derangements met with in syphilitic patients may with reason be imputed as much to sexual excesses, etc., as to the syphilitic poison itself.

Notwithstanding the magnitude of these difficulties, it does not appear to me that they should altogether debar the attempt. I have endeavoured to remove the source of error, by taking and contrasting the uterine history before and after syphilitic infection, rather than before and after prostitution. I have throughout closely questioned patients upon each particular fact bearing upon the inquiry, rejecting such as appeared to be doubtful; and I have endeavoured, by giving each series of facts in different groupings, according to the social condition of each patient, to allow of a distinction being made between the effects upon the uterine organs of profligacy and intemperance on the one hand, and those of the syphilitic poison on the other.

Believing the latter circumstance to be one of considerable and importance to the present inquiry, I think it right to premise that, of the eighty patients whose cases I have taken, twenty-four were married, and fifty-six were single. Among the latter, it is reasonable to suppose that intemperance, irregularity of living, etc., might have co-operated with syphilis in producing any uterine derangements under which they may have suffered; but, in the instance of the former, such influences would be less likely to have prevailed.

With these preliminary observations, I proceed to observe, that, of the eighty cases, in seventy-two some form of functional derangement of the uterus was present, and in sixty-four some physical anomaly of the cervix uteri was observed on examination. I will proceed, in the first place, to give a detailed account of these lesions, as they respectively relate to function and structure. The former class comprehends lesions of enervation, menstruation, morbid secretion, and reproduction; the latter includes lesions of the cervical mucous membrane, affecting its vascularity and integrity, and of the body of the cervix, such as hypertrophy and induration.

1. LESIONS OF INERVATION.

It would appear that abnormal sensibility of the uterine organs is present in a large proportion of cases of syphilis. Of the eighty I have collected, it was present in thirty-six; and of these, in twenty-four it occurred during the periods, in ten in the intervals of menstruation, and in two it was present both during and between the menstrual periods. The cervix uteri was found morbidly sensitive to tactile examination in ten cases; and of these, in nine this condition co-existed with uterine pain, either during or in the intervals of menstruation. Social condition of the thirty-six patients in whom this abnormality is present is as follows. Of the whole number, eleven were married, and twenty-five were single. Of the twenty-four in which pain occurred during menstruation, six were married, and eighteen were single. Of the ten in whom it was present in the intervals of menstruation, three were married, and seven were single. The two patients
in which there was pain both during and between the menstrual periods were both married.

Of the thirty-six patients above referred to, thirteen had experienced more or less pain during menstruation previous to contracting syphilis, which in the majority had subsequently increased. None of the remaining twenty-three are reported to have had any uterine uneasiness, either during or in the intervals of menstruation, prior to this event.

The general character of the pain experienced was of a subdued or subacute character; rarely was it very acute; and in many cases it amounted rather to a sense of uneasiness than to positive suffering. In some cases, however, the pain was very great. In one patient, who had experienced none whatever before infection, there was intense severe pain, extending to the groins and back, which was increased by sexual intercourse, and on going to stool. In another, who had experienced no menstrual pain before infection, menstruation was attended with very great suffering—so great, indeed, that ""she could scarcely stand or contain herself during its continuance". In another, pain during menstruation, subsequently to infection, had been as acute as if lancets were being run through her". These cases, however, it should be added, were strictly exceptional, and were all associated with marked tenderness of the lower part of the spinal column. Regarding them, therefore, as exceptional, and probably aggravated by accessional causes, I would repeat that the general character of the menstrual pain in these cases, whether during or in the intervals of menstruation, was mild rather than severe, and that it amounted to uneasiness rather than to positive suffering.

Such, then, being the principal facts relating to this lesion, as observed in these cases, it becomes important in the next place to consider how far we are justified in referring it specifically to the action of the syphilitic poison, rather than to the many other morbid influences to which syphilitic patients may be supposed to have been subjected. The solution of this question is attended with considerable difficulty, on account of its complexity, and the many sources of fallacy; and I fear that, in attempting it, I can only hope to arrive at an answer which may approximate to the truth.

In opposition to the probable dependence of this lesion upon syphilis, in the cases under consideration, it might be urged that the patients had been exposed to the operation of many other morbific agencies, besides the syphilitic poison, equally calculated to endanger uterine disturbance. Of these, the sexual excesses or excitement; mental anxiety or depression; irritative disorder of distant organs, secondarily reflected upon the uterine; irregularities of living; and general deterioration of the health. Now, whilst it cannot be doubted that such causes are frequently in operation upon persons suffering from syphilis, and may co-operate with it in producing uterine disease, it yet appears to me, from the consideration of many facts, that they cannot be regarded as the cause of the lesion in the cases under examination; and I will proceed to state the grounds upon which I am led to adopt this opinion.

In the first place, I would point out the great proportionate frequency of this lesion in the cases whose histories were investigated, amounting to forty-five per cent of the whole number—a frequency which I believe to be greater than prevails in the instance of other constitutional diseases.

Secondly, it is to be observed that of the thirty-six patients in whom it was present, twenty-three, or nearly two-thirds, had not experienced any uterine pain or uneasiness, before syphilis had been a matter during or in the intervals of menstruation. The absence of the symptom before, and its supervision after infection, without any other obvious cause, may therefore be regarded as furnishing an argument in favour of its syphilitic origin.

Thirdly, we may infer its independence upon sexual excesses, intemperance, and irregularity of living, from the fact that the frequency was not materially greater in single than in married women, so far, at least, as these inquiries have extended; for in the latter it occurred in forty-one per cent, and in the former in thirty-four per cent of all the cases taken.

Now, if these causes had any share in its production, it is reasonable to suppose that its proportionate frequency would have been much greater among single and dissolute women, than among those who were married.

Lastly, with regard to the influence of various unsteady states of mind, and causes acting generally upon the nervous system in its production, I may remark that this view is not sustained by an examination of the facts of these cases. Had the lesion in question arisen, we may assume that it would have been associated with further manifestations of nervous disorder. But, so far as this from being the case, we find that in upwards of fifty-half, or fifty-per cent, of all the cases, no other evidence of derangement of the nervous system was present.

Fifthly, its independence upon irritative disorder of distant organs, secondarily reflected upon the uterine, is an opinion which appears to be supported by the fact that the digestive organs, the most common seat or cause of such reflected irritation, were healthy, or at least not manifestly disordered, in the proportion of fifty-two per cent, of all the cases taken.

But further, whilst there are strong grounds for believing that these circumstances, individually, were not the cause of this lesion in these cases, there are others which go to show that neither were they so considered. Of eleven per cent. of all the cases, none of them could be ascertained to have been in operation; and, bearing in mind that in all it had either followed upon infection or had been aggravated by this circumstance, we have the strongest reasons for believing that it must have been its veritable cause, if not in all, at least in a majority of these cases.

I have endeavoured to state fairly, in the preceding paragraphs, the circumstances which would most probably favour and oppose the theory of the syphilitic origin of this lesion in the cases under consideration. I do not, however, insist upon the accuracy of the views I have been led to entertain on this subject, and have endeavoured, I trust not unfairly, to support. Further observation must determine their validity; and in the meantime I would venture to submit that the following proposition is deducible from the foregoing facts and considerations:—That the syphilitic poison does under certain circumstances, and in certain cases, tend to produce a state of morbid sensibility of the uterine organs, manifested by uterine pain during or in the intervals of menstruation, and this whatever may be the social condition, habits, or modes of life of the persons infected.

II. LESIONS OF MENSTRUATION.

The extent to which the menstrual function is disturbed by syphilis may be estimated by the fact, that seventy or seven-eighths of the patients, whose histories I have collected, were or had been suffering from some form of menstrual irregularity at the time of my inquiries; and that of these, in fifty nine no irregularity of this function had existed until the disease had been contracted. The following table gives a summary of the state of this function as it had been in each case subsequently to infection.

| Menstruation had been entirely suppressed in | 14 |
| Interrupted in | 11 |
| Intermittent and painful in | 3 |
| Interrupted, painful, and scanty in | 2 |
| Morbidly recurrent in | 3 |
| Morbidly recurrent, excessive, and painful in | 1 |
| Morbidly defective in | 6 |
| Morbidly defective and painful in | 6 |
| Morbidly excessive in | 6 |
| Morbidly excessive and painful in | 6 |
| Generally irregular in | 9 |
| Normal in | 8 |

In two the patients were pregnant | 2
The foregoing table exhibits the condition of the menstrual function as it had been subsequently to syphilitic infection in the cases I have taken; but it must be remembered that of the seventy in which irregularity had thus occurred, in twenty there had been more or less irregularity previously to this event. I propose, therefore, to exclude these from immediate consideration, and to confine myself to an examination of the remaining cases in which menstrual irregularity which had not previously existed, followed upon syphilis. Of these, the following table exhibits the particular form of menstrual irregularity which occurred in each case.

Menstruation had been suppressed in 10

interrupted in 7

interrupted, painful, and scanty, in 1

morbidly recurrent in 3

morbidly recurrent, excessive, and painful, in 1

morbidly defective in 4

morbibly defective and painful in 5

morbidly excessive in 2

morbidly excessive and painful in 6

painful in 6

generally irregular 6

Respecting the social condition of these fifty patients, I find that sixteen were married, and thirty-four were single; and, taking into consideration that of the whole number of cases whose histories were collected, twenty-four were married, and fifty-six were single, it follows that menstrual irregularity occurred in the proportion of sixty-six per cent. of the former, and of sixty-four per cent. of the latter after infection. A difference so trifling as to show that the irregularity observed in this function had little or nothing to do with the social condition of the patients, whilst it affords an argument in favour of the opinion that it was specifically a consequence of syphilis.

The period at which this irregularity was manifested in the progress of the disease will be found to have varied in different cases; but upon the whole it would seem to have dated from an early period. In many, as in the instance of those in which there had been total suppression, it must have occurred almost immediately after the infection; whereas, in others, which had elapsed subsequently to infection in many of these cases led me to make some additional inquiries; and on consecutively questioning on this point six patients suffering from syphilis, the duration of which had not exceeded three months, and who had been quite regular previously, I found that in four menstruation had abruptly stopped from the first period, and that in the other two, in which it had continued, in both it had been attended with greater pain from the first, and in one had been much more profuse than previously to infection. The presumption, therefore, is that menstrual irregularity is commonly an early consequence of syphilis; but there are some exceptions to this rule. Of several cases in which the date of its supervention is mentioned, I find that in one it began at the second monthly period after infection; in another at the fourth; in another at the seventh; and in one at the fifteenth. These cases, however, I believe to have been in some degree exceptional. In the great majority in which I have been enabled to ascertain the date of the occurrence of this lesion, it has supervened during the earlier months of the disease.

The type of irregularity which follows upon syphilis is fully set forth in the preceding tables. It will be found to have presented considerable variation, but unquestionably to have been most frequently manifested in the forms of suppressed, interrupted, or painful menstruation; indeed, more than half the cases tabulated will be found to consist of these lesions, or of various combinations of them. Defective menstruation, either alone or blended with various other abnormalities of this function, follows next in the order of frequency; whilst abnormally recurrent and profuse menstruation were more rarely present in these cases.

In a large number of cases, especially in those whose duration had been longest, some abnormal appearance of the cervix uteri was present, the nature of which is set forward in the following statement. Of the ten cases of menstural suppression, the cervix was perfect in only one another was normal, or otherwise abnormal in seven. Of seven, in which menstruation had been interrupted, it was found healthy in three, and either red, abraded, or otherwise abnormal in four. In one in which menstruation had been interrupted and painful, the cervix was abraded. In one in which menstruation had been interrupted, painful, and subsequently red and abraded. Of three cases in which menstruation had been morbidly recurrent, in each the cervix was red, and in two abraded. In one case in which menstruation had been morbidly recurrent, profuse, and painful, the cervix was perfectly healthy. Of four cases in which menstruation had been morbidly scanty or defective, the cervix was healthy in two, enlarged in one, and red and abraded in the fourth. Of five cases in which menstruation had been abraded, in one the cervix was healthy, and in three either red, abraded, or otherwise abnormal. Of two cases in which menstruation had been morbidly excessive, in one the cervix was healthy, and in another abraded. Of four cases in which menstruation was morbidly excessive and painful, in one the cervix was healthy, and in three, red, abraded, or otherwise abnormal; and of six cases in which menstruation was generally irregular, in one the cervix was healthy, and in five, morbidly red, abraded, or otherwise abnormal.

From these facts, it is sufficiently obvious that various deviations from the normal appearance and condition of the cervix uteri are met with coincidently with menstrual disorder in a large number of cases of syphilis. It would, however, be a serious error to deduce from this circumstance that such lesions of the cervix were the cause of the functional disorder; for, not to mention the opposing instances in which menstrual irregularity occurred in the absence of such lesions, it may be remarked that the abnormalities of the cervix included various deviations in the colour and volume of the organ, both of which may be supposed to admit of great variation within the strict physiological limits of health; and that the syphilis had continued regularly after infection, in seven some abnormality of the cervix was discovered on ocular inspection.

But further, a reference to the history of the earliest cases collected clearly proves that the functional disturbance of the organ had preceded any vascular disruption of this character. This, however, is a circumstance which it is important to establish; and I would therefore direct attention to the following particulars of the five most recent cases of syphilis which were met with, and which serve also to show the probable mode and date of the transition of irritative or functional disorder into vascular disease.

Case I. M. P., aged 20, single, applied to the Lock, March 2nd, 1854, suffering from primary symptoms of about a month's duration. She had menstruated once since contracting the disease, but the quantity was greater than she had been previously accustomed to. The cervix was perfectly healthy, it was normal in volume, and the mucous membrane was of a pale colour, smooth, and free from any abrasion, excoriation, or tenderness.

Case II. E. W., aged 21, married, was admitted into the Lock, March 9th, 1854, suffering from primary symptoms of about a month's duration. She had menstruated once since contracting the disease, but the quantity had been so considerable as to amount almost to flooding. The cervix was found to be pale, smooth, and free from any abrasion, ulceration, or leucorrhoea.

In the preceding cases the cervix may be considered to have been perfectly normal or at least free from any vascular or structural disease; and yet in both some degree of menstrual disorders occurred. In the two following,
vacular disease would appear to have supervened; but in neither was there as yet any structural lesion present.

Case III. E. J., aged 19, single, applied at the Lock March 2nd, 1854, suffering from primary symptoms of about a month's duration. She had menstruated once since contracting the disease; and the quantity and duration were much the same as before, but it was attended with a greater deal more pain. The cervix was normal in size, but its mucous membrane was of a diffused, dingy red colour, and had a somewhat macerated appearance. No abrasion, ulceration, or lesion of continuity, was anywhere perceptible.

Case IV. E. T., aged 16, single, was admitted into the Lock Oct. 27th, 1853, suffering from primary symptoms of about six weeks' duration. She had been previously regular, but subsequently the catarmen had returned every fortnight. The mucous membrane of the cervix was abnormally red throughout, but there was no excoriation or breach of continuity.

These cases appear to illustrate the probable mode and date of the transition of irritative disorder into vascular disease; but in the following case, of perhaps somewhat longer duration, a further transition of vascular into structural disease is observable.

Case V. E. C., aged 18, single, was admitted into the Lock Feb. 16th, 1854, suffering from primary symptoms of about six weeks' duration. She had menstruated once since, but very scantily, and the duration was shorter than usual. The cervix was normal in size, and soft, but it was of a uniform brownish red, and around the os uteri there was a bright looking excoriation or abrasion.

It appears to me that the facts of these five cases, viewed in relation to each other, throw considerable light upon many questions connected with this inquiry. In the first place, they demonstrate that functional disorder of the uterus, so far from being consequent upon, is generally antecedent to, vascular disease of the cervix; and hence that, while both exist, they are not related to each other in the order of cause and effect, but rather as parallel effects of some probably common cause. Secondly, the mode and the probable period of the transition of irritative into vascular, and this into structural disease, appear to be clearly indicated in the histories of these cases. Lastly, the absence of all inflammatory and diseased appearances of the cervix uteri in the earliest of these cases, in which functional disorder existed coincidently with primary syphilitic disease, establishes beyond doubt that the functional disorder was not dependent upon inflammation propagated to the cervix by continuance of the seat of the primary actions of syphilis; and, consequently, that it was rather due to irritation of a more specific and constitutional character.

It is only necessary to remark further, in connexion with this lesion, that, bearing in mind the above circumstances—that sexual excesses had probably little to do with its causation in these cases; that it was met with in a very large proportion of them; that, in five-sevenths of all the cases in which it was present, no such irregularity had existed until syphilis had been contracted; that it was not necessarily dependent upon vascular disease of the cervix, or any other very obvious cause,—a strong case is made out in my opinion that the causation of this is not to be looked for in the specific and constitutional action of syphilis upon the female economy.

III. LESIONS OF MUCOUS SECRETION.

Peculiar difficulties attend any attempt to determine the relations of abnormal mucous discharges from the genito-urinary organs of the female to the peculiar cases; so readily are the secretions of these parts affected by a variety of circumstances. Moreover, in determining their relations to syphilis, there is this additional difficulty, that its actions are both local and constitutional; and hence abnormalities in regard to these secretions may arise from the local irritation of the disease, other than from its specific and constitutional action, which it is our more especial object to ascertain. In the sequel, I propose to revert to this question; and, in the mean time, I will propose to submit a statement of such facts relating to this lesion as were ascertained in the course of this inquiry.

Of the eighty patients suffering from syphilis, whose histories I have collected, forty-nine were or had been, at the date of my inquiries, suffering from leucorrhoea, after having contracted the disease; of these, thirty-seven suffered at all from leucorrhoea; twenty-one had, since the disease was contracted, four in which its previous existence is not recorded, and three in which the discharges were probably occasioned by the local irritation of primary lesions, there remain only thirty-four cases in which the discharge had either supervened upon syphilis, or had been considerably increased after this event. Assuming, then, these facts to have been correctly stated, it follows that leucorrhoea occurs in connexion with, and as a probable consequence of, syphilis, in the proportion of forty per cent. of any given number of cases of the disease.

Restricting, therefore, my observations to the thirty-four patients in which this lesion either supervened upon or was aggravated by syphilis, it appears that eleven were married, twenty-one were single, and of two this circumstance is not recorded. It would thus appear certain that the social condition of these patients had little or nothing to do with its causation. In fact, its relative frequency was greatest in those whose social condition was the best; being in the proportion of forty-five per cent. of married women, and thirty-seven per cent. of those who were single.

I have referred to the importance of determining specifically the relations of this lesion to the primary or local and the secondary or constitutional actions of syphilis. Many of the cases, however, are so lacking of a decided character, that it is difficult to determine with accuracy this question; but I have ascertained that in fourteen of the thirty-four cases the patients were suffering from primary symptoms alone; and in twenty from secondary symptoms, associated in some cases with various local lesions. The proportionate number of cases, however, of primary syphilis being twenty-one, and of secondary syphilis fifty-seven, out of the eighty, it follows that the relative frequency of this lesion was greater in the former than in the latter form of the disease, being in the proportion of forty-eight per cent. in one, and thirty-nine per cent. in the other.

I have treated of leucorrhoea in the foregoing paragraphs in the sense in which it is ordinarily understood by the profession; viz., as consisting in abnormal mucous or mucopurulent discharges from the genito-urinary mucous membrane, and, with the exception of discharges from syphilitic sores and other primary lesions, without any reference to its actual seat. But, in carrying out this inquiry, inasmuch as it became necessary to examine in the physical condition of the cervix uterus, in every case, I was unable to note in those of which an abnormal quantity of mucus was seen issuing from the interior of the cervix. This form of leucorrhoea, therefore, commonly known as cervical leucorrhoea, and by some regarded either as the essential disease or an important modification of it, admits of being analytically examined; and its peculiar form of syphilis, and its connexion to the social conditions of the several patients whose histories were taken, etc., is set forth in the following statement:—

A preternatural amount of mucus, of a viscid, stringy,
and character, was seen issuing from the interior of the cervix uteri in forty-four, or rather more than one out of the eighty cases. Of these, thirteen of the patients were exposed to the forty-five single; being in the proportion of forty-five per cent. of the former, and fifty-five per cent. of the latter, of all the cases whose histories were taken. Fifteen of the patients in whom this lesion was met with were suffering from primary, and twenty-nine from secondary symptoms; which, having reference to the nature of the primary or secondary syphilis, respectively taken, gives a proportion in favour of its greater frequency in the former, in the ratio of fifty-six to fifty-one per cent.: a difference, however, too trifling to justify any practical conclusion.

I have not thought it necessary to enter into an examination of the relations of this lesion to abnormal states of the cervix uteri; because, on the one hand, the relations of functional disorder to structural disease of the uterus will form the subject of a separate inquiry; and, on the other, because no deductions bearing upon the subject of this paper could be drawn from such proceeding. It must be obvious that irritative disorder of an organ will, if long continued, ultimately give rise to vascular and structural disease, whatever may have been the character of the original irritation, whether common or specific. Admitting, therefore, that vascular disease of the cervix uteri was commonly found in connexion with leucorrhoea, no conclusion could be drawn from the circumstance in support of the hypothesis advanced. Moreover, in considering this question in relation to menstrual disorders, I adduced several facts in support of the opinion that irritative or functional disorder preceded vascular change; and that, when both coexisted, they were rather to be regarded as the parallel effects of some common cause, than as respectively allied to each other as cause and effect. The analogy subsisting between the menstrual and other secretions warrants the same mode of reasoning and induction in regard to the mucous secretions of the uterine organs, and tends to show that derangements in these secretions constituting leucorrhoea have similar relations to vascular disease of the cervix. Without, however, entering further into this question, it is submitted that sufficient evidence has been adduced to establish the fact that leucorrhoea is a common and frequent consequence of syphilitic contamination.

IV. LESIONS OF REPRODUCTION.

In considering these, it will be most convenient to treat of them as they respectively affect the several functions of conception, pregnancy, parturition, and the offspring.

a. Affecting Conception. Of the eighty cases, in six only is it certain that conception occurred after infection; whilst, in three others the date of conception and infection so nearly coincided, that it is impossible to say which had the priority. Of the remaining seventy-one, I have ascertained that fifty-two had never been pregnant either before or after infection; whilst nineteen had been pregnant before, but not after that event.

The social condition of the fifty-two patients who had never been pregnant, either before or after infection, is as follows,—Five were married, forty-one were single, and of all this number, thirteen are inaccurately ascertained. Of the nineteen who had been pregnant before, but not after infection, thirteen were married, and six were single.

With the view of further determining the influence of syphilis in preventing conception, I have made the following calculation of the length of time which elapsed subsequently to infection without its taking place.

Of the fifty-two who had never been pregnant either before or after infection, in nineteen less than six months had elapsed; in fifteen more than six months and less than twelve months; in nine more than twelve months and less than two years; in two more than two years and less than three; in seven upwards of three years.

Of the nineteen who had been pregnant before but not after infection, in five less than six months had elapsed; in seven more than six and less than twelve months; in four more than twelve months and less than two years; in three more than two and less than three years.

In considering these data, it must be born in mind that, although the patients referred to were syphilitic, it by no means follows that sexual intercourse had on that account been abandoned: indeed, it would appear from various circumstances which have come to my knowledge, that infection alone is seldom a bar to the occurrence of conception in cases whose intercourse is promiscuous, it is often continued for very lengthened periods after infection, and even during the existence of aggravated forms of the disease. It is in connection with such facts that the above data come alone either useful or instructive.

b. Affecting Pregnancy. Of the eighty patients whose cases I have collected, five had never been pregnant; but of these twenty had been pregnant and delivered before the date of infection, and therefore no deductions can be drawn from them as to the influence of syphilis in modifying or disturbing the progress of pregnancy. This question, then, so far as my inquiries bear upon it, can only be determined by the histories of sixteen cases in whom pregnancy either preceded, followed upon, or occurred nearly simultaneously with infection, and I will proceed to consider it with reference to these three series of cases.

The cases in which pregnancy preceded infection amount altogether to six in number, and in all proceeded to the full period without the occurrence of any unusual event. Of these, in nine, it reached the full period; in two, it terminated at the eighth month; in these, the seventh; and in one, before the fifth and sixth; menstruation having continued throughout. Of the remaining class in which pregnancy and infection occurred nearly simultaneously, amounting altogether to three, two patients went the full period, and in one pregnancy is still proceeding.

These cases, then, so far as they go, would tend to establish a pathological fact of some importance, viz., that primary syphilis is less fatal to the completion of pregnancy than secondary or constitutional syphilis. For in all cases in which patients had primary syphilis or were infected during pregnancy, it proceeded to the full period; whilst out of fourteen pregnancies occurring in women who had been infected prior to its commencement, in five the child was expelled before the date of delivery.

c. Affecting Parturition. The sixteen cases I have collected of patients becoming pregnant either immediately before, or, coincidently with infection, give in the aggregate twenty-three labours. Of these, fourteen, no abnorrmity is noted; in three, it is stated that the labour was easy; in one, difficult; in three, attended by inordinate haemorrhage; in one, followed by a defective secretion of milk; and in one, pregnancy was still proceeding.

d. Affecting the Offspring. Of twenty-two births, seven of the children alone were living at the date of my inquiries; and of these, five were suffering from some form of constitutional syphilis, leaving one out of twenty-two who had then the appearance of being healthy.

Of the fifteen deaths, the following gives the date and probable cause of death in each.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Date of Death</th>
<th>Cause of Death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 week after birth</td>
<td>Birth, scaling and eruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 months after birth</td>
<td>Birth, with eruptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6 months after birth</td>
<td>Birth, with convulsions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10 months after birth</td>
<td>Birth, with convulsions and inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 years after birth</td>
<td>Birth, with convulsions and inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7 years after birth</td>
<td>Birth, with convulsions and inflammation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In conclusion, I would recommend to all students who may be called to practice in this branch of medicine, to be careful of the danger of syphilis, to observe its symptoms, and not to overlook its influence in producing the disease which is the subject of this paper.
Of the seven living children, five were or had been suffering, as follows.

1. had been subject to various eruptions ever since birth.
2. had been subject to sore throat.
3. had been attacked with inflammation, and vesication of the mesenteric glands.

The date after birth at which morbid appearances first presented themselves, in sixteen children born alive, is as follows, so far as the circumstances are recorded in my notes:

1. looked sickly at birth, and died 17 hours afterwards.
2. was born at 7 months gestation, and died a week afterwards.
3. had sore eyes and an eruption at birth, and died 5 weeks afterwards.
4. died 3 months after birth, of erysma (date of appearance not noted).
5. died 9 months after birth, of tumour in throat, having been born healthy.
6. died 10 months after birth, of hydrocephalus (date of appearance not noted).
7. died 2 years after birth, of eruption, convulsions, etc., having been born healthy, and continued so for 18 months.
8. died 2 years after birth, of phthisis, having been born healthy.
9. died 7 years after birth, of small-pox, having been born healthy.

Of five living children suffering from constitutional syphilis:

1. had never enjoyed good health, and had always been subject to eruptions.
2. became attacked 3 months after birth with a coppery eruption.
3. is 6 years old, but constantly subject to sore throat.
4. was born healthy, but has been subject to various eruptions.
5. was born healthy, but 10 months afterwards was attacked with inflammation, and vesication of the nates, vulva, and groins.

The above cases are too few in number to justify more than the conclusion that syphilitic children may appear perfectly healthy at birth, and remain so for some time afterwards. One of these children born apparently healthy remained free from any syphilitic appearance during six months; one during ten; and another during eighteen months.

Respecting the cause of death in cases of infantile syphilis, I may here mention the fact, that in three consecutive examinations of the bodies of young children who had died of this disease, in each extensive dissection of the mesenteric glands was made with. No other lesion of the abdominal or thoracic organs could be discovered sufficient to account for death; whilst in none had there been any symptoms referable to the brain during life. The pathological importance of this fact I do not presume to determine, but as it appears to support an opinion entertained, I believe, by many, that scrofula very often is but a degenerated or modified syphilis in the second generation, and points to the direction in which further inquiries may be usefully made. I have ventured to allude to the subject, and it may not be superfluous to introduce a brief outline of one of these cases, which will serve as a type for them all.

Case. M. A. F., a strong healthy-looking young woman, aged 21, was confined in the Paddington Infirmary, March 9th, 1834, and gave birth to a male child. She had reached the full period of pregnancy, the labour was natural and easy, and her recovery followed without an unfavourable symptom. The child was well developed at birth and looked healthy; but on the fourth or fifth day the mother noticed a small copper-coloured spot on the right nates. No notice whatever, was taken of this; and on the 28th of March, nineteen days after delivery, both mother and child left the Infirmary apparently perfectly well. On the 11th April 1834, the child was brought to me by the mother, looking much out of health. It was somewhat emaciated; there was an extensive copper-coloured exanthematic eruption on his face, especially around the mouth, and a similar eruption on the arms, genitals, and around the anus. It appeared that the child had continued well for a week after leaving the Infirmary; and that then (on the twenty-sixth day after birth) some spots appeared around the anus and upon the genitals, which rapidly multiplied and coalesced, so as to form red copper-coloured patches, and soon afterwards a similar eruption appeared on the face. The child took food, and the natural functions were properly performed. On the 14th of April, three days afterwards, and on the twentieth from the date of the child's birth, it refused the breast, began to fall off in health, and became fretful. From this time it rapidly lost flesh, slept badly, had green-coloured stools, and died on the 17th April, the thirty-fourth day after birth. On a post-mortem examination, the only obvious lesion found was extensive disease of a great number of mesenteric glands. They were enlarged and fleshy, had a dull red colour, and varied in size from a pea to a horse-bean; they felt firm on pressure, and on being cut, presented a red fleshly-looking appearance, but apparently contained no abnormal deposit. On questioning the mother as to her previous history, she stated that she had contracted syphilis six months before she had become pregnant, but at the date of pregnancy, as well as subsequently, she was not aware of having had any syphilitic symptoms, either primary or secondary. It should be added that during the period I watched her after labour, she appeared to be remarkably healthy and strong, and free from any strumous or scrofulous taint.

[To be continued.]

Chester Place, Hyde Park Gardens.

TUMOUR OF THE UTERUS: REMOVAL.

By THOS. R. MITCHELL, M.D., F.R.C.S.I.

In March 1854, I was asked to see Mrs. —, aged 30, who was supposed to be suffering from prolapsus uteri. On inquiry, I learned the following history.

She had been a widow for the last twelve years, and stated that four years ago she had prolapse of the uterus, which was reduced by a medical man, who introduced an India rubber pessary, which she could not, however, bear. He accordingly withdrew it; and recommended her to wear a pad and bandage externally. This gave great support, and she had but little inconvenience from it, there being no discharge of any kind at this time. About two years ago, she felt great pain in the back, with bearing down and great protrusion of the part, accompanied by frequent floodings, and a discharge of a very fetid character; this discharge disappeared when the menses came on, which they did regularly. She was now seen by another practitioner, who also tried to support it with a pessary; but, from the pain it gave, he was obliged to withdraw it. He prescribed tonics and nutritious diet; but, notwithstanding this, she had got weaker, and was now reduced to the lowest state. She had had three children, all naturally born, and easy labours, the last fourteen years.

Her condition when seen was as follows. She complained of distressing pain in the back, with difficulty in passing urine. Her face was pale and bloodless; the eye was sunken; and a bright hectic flush was present on the cheeks. The pulse was small and quick, 96. The appetite was gone; sleep was bad, with night-sweats; the bowels were constipated; the urine was high coloured, and deposited lithates freely. She was so debilitated from the constant drain which took place, that she was quite incapacitated from any employment. On examination, I found a large tumour, as large as a child's head, outside the vulva, very sensitive to the touch, much inflamed, and with a deeply ulcerated surface on its anterior wall, from a quantity of purulent matter exuded. There could not be found any trace of the os uteri; although in the inferior sinus, a small hole was perceptible, and from which fluid trickled: this at the time I took for the os, having seen cases where it became occluded. I directed a lead lotion to