ORIGINAL COMMUNICATIONS.

OBSERVATIONS ON CHOLERA.

BY SAMUEL WILKS, M.D., Lond., Physician to the Surrey Dispensary.

The following facts and remarks are gathered from my observations of the present epidemic as I have witnessed it in my neighbourhood of Southwark. First of all, the nature of cholera, we find ourselves in the dark respecting it, although some few facts have been brought out which tend to throw a gleam of light upon the subject. The common use of the term "cholera poison" is indicative of a theory, more or less vaguely held by the majority of the profession, that a specific agency, as the hypothetical cholrine, is the prime mover of the disease. The term is not an inappropriate one, considering all the analogies which the symptoms of the disease have with the effects of a poison. If a poison, say the powder or vapour of arsenic, were diffused through a room filled with people, its effects would be proportionate in each and every one, to the particular place of the patient to receive or repel its agency. Thus would there be those who had taken into their system a large dose of the poison, succumbing and dying a speedy death or narrowly escaping with their lives, and those who from less doses of the poison would be suffering all degrees of symptoms in proportion. At the same time, the individual peculiarities of persons would be showing themselves. It would be seen that not all suffered in a like degree from the same amount of deleterious material; but that some were able in a striking manner to repel its influence, owing to their peculiar idiosyncrasy or to some temporary cause. Some theories of the cholera poison cannot admit any difference of degree in its quantity and power; for the cholrine being likened to other animal poisons, as small-pox, it must be looked upon as single and indivisible, and always equal in intensity. The vaccine, for example, is a peculiar animal principle, supposed to be as definite in its composition and qualities as any chemical substance. With such a view, the difference of degree of symptoms in persons inoculated by it, or the fact of some escaping altogether, must be put down entirely to the individual susceptibility. The peculiar recipient power of the patient is the most important point with those who hold the above-mentioned doctrine. Some views, however, must allow various degrees and amounts of poison. If the poison be allied to the miasm which produces intermittent fever, and be of a vegetable nature, then various amounts of its quantity and virulence may certainly be allowed to exist, as the poisonous activity of marsh miasma is well known to differ in various places and times. Even Dr. Snow's theory, and others which refer to some definite vegetable and animal agency must admit the same for supposing the smallest amount of fungus to be capable of reproducing itself and of causing the symptoms attributable to cholera, yet the organic nucleus, in passing into the body, must have a greater chance of being destroyed than if several had entered, and therefore practically, the larger the dose the patient receives the greater the chance of his being the subject of cholera or other malady. Those persons, however, who look upon the constitution of the patient as the main element in the production of the disease after the inhibition of the poison, hold practically very different notions of the disease from those who maintain such a doctrine as Dr. Snow's, although even the believers in this being the subject of cholera or other malady. Both parties admit a poison; with one, the discovery of its introduction into the system is most important; with the other, the peculiar receptive power of the individual is paramount. A theory which can admit the major and minor must be one which would include both opinions, and would be something as follows. That a peculiar poison, say cholrine, exists, which in some instances may be so powerful that, if taken into the system, it strikes down the most healthy, and in other cases may be so weak that it produces only a shadow of symptoms; and on the other hand, that certain conditions of constitution are so predisposing to this peculiar agency that they at once succumb to its influence, while other constitutions from some hidden cause are so repugnant to it that they altogether escape with impunity. Although, as I before said, the extremes of this theory are almost opposed, yet I believe that the majority of medical men hold them, vaguely enough no doubt, and they have found speaking of the cause of cholera as specific, and at the same time of the condition of the recipient individual as highly important. So difficult is it to obtain any general rules with respect to this disease (although our daily journals inform us that the laws of cholera are well known), that instances which show both its specific influence and those which show the highly operative effects of the predisposition of the patient may both be brought forward. Everyone has seen instances of patients being struck with death (to use a popular phrase) who shortly before were in good health, and in whom there was at no time any amount of those symptoms which seem to be characteristic of the disease. If we then consider the fact that there have been patients who had premonitory symptoms of the disease for days and days, and who, as we said it was soliciting, and yet the attack had been so long protracted.

With regard to the predisposition to cholera, as yet only certain symptoms have been considered indicative of this condition; and these are they which resemble the symptoms of the disease itself, I mean diarrhoea. If, however, a predisposition be always necessary to the production of cholera, other conditions of system must be present than those affecting the stomach and bowels; for often, as in such severe cases, I have mentioned, the poison has begun its work, and shrunken and collapsed its victim even before any very great derangement of these organs has been observed. Such cases tend to show either that the poison once introduced will assuage its effects, or they shew that, if a predisposition exist, it is quite imperceptible to us, and unknown to the feelings of the patient. Among examples of such cases I can call to mind three persons, whom I was in the habit of seeing daily prior to the attack. One was a strong healthy-looking boy, who was struck down and died in a few hours; a second was a woman, who was quite well and engaged in her duties until twenty-four hours before death, when she was suddenly seized with cramps and took to her bed with a fever. The third was a woman, who was daily in the habit of visiting me on the part of a friend who was a patient of mine, and who always appeared in good health, and yet was suddenly seized in a similar way to the other cases and as shortly died. In these cases there were no premonitory symptoms, and the patients died in robust health shortly prior to the attack. I have seen a considerable number of other cases where a similar history has been given me.

If diarrhoea do occur as a premonitory symptom, it is looked upon in two lights, either as predisposing to an attack of cholera, or as an evidence of the insect working in the poison. I think there are not many who now hold a third view, and say that such diarrhoea would of itself lead on to cholera—that the one was the mere development of the other. Such a view, as far as my knowledge of the opinions of medical men is concerned, is now exploded, though formerly held by many. The connection of the ordinary diarrhoea with the disease itself cannot be overlooked. The fact of the great prevalence of the former in London during the past autumn, during the raging of the severer epidemic, must be important. Those who delight to dwell upon the specific cholera agents attempt to explain away its existence by making it apparent only, and depending on the rapid economy of the body which masquerade cannot be overlooked. The fact of the great prevalence of the former in London during the past autumn, during the raging of the severer epidemic, must be important. Those who delight to dwell upon the specific cholera agents attempt to explain away its existence by making it apparent only, and depending on the rapid economy of the body which masquerade cannot be overlooked. The fact of the great prevalence of the former in London during the past autumn, during the raging of the severer epidemic, must be important. Those who delight to dwell upon the specific cholera agents attempt to explain away its existence by making it apparent only, and depending on the rapid economy of the body which masquerade cannot be overlooked. The fact of the great prevalence of the former in London during the past autumn, during the raging of the severer epidemic, must be important. Those who delight to dwell upon the specific cholera agents attempt to explain away its existence by making it apparent only, and depending on the rapid economy of the body which masquerade cannot be overlooked. The fact of the great prevalence of the former in London during the past autumn, during the raging of the severer epidemic, must be important. Those who delight to dwell upon the specific cholera agents attempt to explain away its existence by making it apparent only, and depending on the rapid economy of the body which masquerade cannot be overlooked. The fact of the great prevalence of the former in London during the past autumn, during the raging of the severer epidemic, must be important. Those who delight to dwell upon the specific cholera agents attempt to explain away its existence by making it apparent only, and depending on the rapid economy of the body which masquerade cannot be overlooked. The fact of the great prevalence of the former in London during the past autumn, during the raging of the severer epidemic, must be important. Those who delight to dwell upon the specific cholera agents attempt to explain away its existence by making it apparent only, and depending on the rapid economy of the body which masquerade cannot be overlooked. The fact of the great prevalence of the former in London during the past autumn, during the raging of the severer epidemic, must be important. Those who delight to dwell upon the specific cholera agents attempt to explain away its existence by making it apparent only, and depending on the rapid economy of the body which masquerade cannot be overlooked. The fact of the great prevalence of the former in London during the past autumn, during the raging of the severer epidemic, must be important. Those who delight to dwell upon the specific cholera agents attempt to explain away its existence by making it apparent only, and depending on the rapid economy of the body which masquerade cannot be overlooked. The fact of the great prevalence of the former in London during the past autumn, during the raging of the severer epidemic, must be important. Those who delight to dwell upon the specific cholera agents attempt to explain away its existence by making it apparent only, and depending on the rapid economy of the body which masquerade cannot be overlooked. The fact of the great prevalence of the former in London during the past autumn, during the raging of the seve
What inference, then, do we draw from the fact of diarrhoea and cholera existing together? Perhaps the safest would be, that the ordinary causes producing diarrhoea may have been present, and that the same symptoms predisposed to cholera in the malignant or specific form; leaving out of the question whether diarrhoea, or its tendency, must have existed to indicate the predisposition in individual cases. It might be here remarked, that the plague and sweating sickness both prevailed in London at this season, which looks as if our autumn was particularly favourable to the breaking out of any epidemic disease. Many theories have been framed, which endeavoured to show this connexion between diarrhoea and cholera; but none have been quite successful. That their co-existence is a more coincident is the opinion of those who point to the prevalence of cholera in 1832, where there is not found any prevailing constitution of the season to produce diarrhoea; and this I suppose is the opinion of those also who hold the doctrine of a specific agency, as a fungus or cholera secretion, as necessary for its development. The occurrence of cholera in any individual, according to such theory, is a mere chance, according to the water he drinks or the air he breathes; and therefore how the prevalence of an epidemic diarrhoea is related to this, remains to be shown by the advocates of the theory. I believe most persons hold vaguely an opinion that the causes (such as heat of weather, etc.) which generally produce our English diarrhoeas, were in this year peculiarly instrumental in predisposing to attacks of cholera, whose poison was floating about seeking for its suitable nidus. I must, however, again state that many cases of diarrhoea had symptoms so approaching to the severe form of cholera, that the same agency must have produced both.

With reference to the frequency and importance of premonitory diarrhoea; although diarrhoea has existed so universally, it cannot by any means be said to be a constant forerunner of cholera. During the height of the epidemic, it certainly was absent in a large number of cases which I witnessed; and coupled with this is the negative fact that, in notorious cholera districts, many unhealthy persons, the subjects of repeated or chronic diarrhoea, have altogether escaped. The apparently healthy were as often attacked as these. During the early part of the epidemic, this premonitory diarrhoea no doubt was often present; but not so universally as some have stated, and certainly not as a rule, as pointed out by some who have put forth this theory; the doubt has been promulgated for prudential reasons, and to quiet the public mind, by showing how, if taken early, the disease would succumb to efficient remedies.

With respect to any other predisposing causes besides that of diarrhoea, thinking an opportunity was offered by the occurrence of cases in large hospitals, I had hopes that conditions were favourable to its development, I anxiously watched the cases in Guy's, in order if possible to arrive at some result upon the question. I may state that, in all the wards, patients have been occasionally seized with the prevailing disorder; and, most remarkably, the first few that occurred were among three classes of disorders, viz. typhoid fever, rheumatism, and poisoning. The severity of the disease upon cases of typhoid and phthisis did certainly seem to corroborate the view of the determining cause of its being in the alimentary canal, as in all the cases attacked diarrhoea and bowel irritation had existed. It was also remarkable that during last October, when cholera prevailed slightly in this district, of a few cases which were attacked in the hospital, the majority should have been of the same diseases as mentioned above. I have also seen in private both a case of rheumatism and two of typhoid fever attacked with cholera.

A further experience, however, showed that no class of disease was proof against its assaults; and that patients with maladies of all kinds equally suffered—those very ill, those convalescent, and others with surgical diseases, whose internal viscera were all sound. An opportunity also occurred to consider the question of contagion and cause of
the disease. Individual cases, in all parts of the hospital, occurred in the large wards and in the detached apartments—in those who had recently entered the building, and in those whose case had never been traced. Although the close of the preceding autumn had been stormy, and in the southern counties the weather had been yet more so, nothing seemed to indicate a direct contagion. In those parts of the hospital where the officers of state came in contact with the medical officers, nothing like direct contagion was apparent; and, as regards the introduction of the poison of cholerine by the food or drink, this could never be discovered. Some of the patients had drunk water; but others, as those with fever, who were fed by the nurses, had had no simple water; all their nourishment had been cooked or boiled, and no such means of introduction appeared possible. I must confess that, after all these investigations, I am still quite in the dark as to the cause of the attack in these cases. This was hardly what I expected, with such favourable opportunities for research into the subject. The first case attacked last year in the hospital was that of a girl with incipient phthisis, who lay at the extreme end of a large ward, who had had no visit from her nurses for several days, who kept her bed, and whose physician and other attendants had had as yet no contact with any choleratic cases.

As regards the question of contagion, my experience does not afford me one case at all bearing upon the subject. I have, however, the negative facts in abundance of cases occurring where there appeared no possibility of contact with any previously diseased person. I may also add, that at Guy's Hospital only one nurse has died; and this occurred in one of the eleven hospitals where convalescents remained. For nearly two months the two cholera wards were full, and the nurses escaped with impunity.

Difficult as it is to bring the origin of cholera home to one definite cause, yet, as we have seen, there are numerous agencies which seem to bear upon the question of its nature and prevalence; and the difficulty is not lessened by some of these being apparently contradictory, or having no connexion with each other. The preference for low districts has been well established; and this south side of the river has this year kept up its character for favouring the progress of the epidemic. Nearly half of all the cases in London have occurred on the southern side of the river; and it must be remembered that some parts of this district are several feet below the high water mark of the Thames. Localities have been attacked which were considered salubrious; and the neighbourhoods of Camberwell, Brixton, and St. John's, have shown numerous cases of the epidemic, and the convalescents have even escaped. The state of the weather and season has varied so much in the different countries and cities attacked with cholera, that their influence has been regarded as nil; and yet, in London and elsewhere, they seem to have a marked connexion with the prevalence of the disease. It is true that it prevails elsewhere in the winter time, but in London during the autumn, and ceases on the approach of cold weather, it may be that it merely runs a course coeval with the ordinary diarrhoea; and if this be so, it shows still further the connexion between them. It has been observed, however, in a marked manner, in this neighbourhood, that the number of persons attacked has proportionately with a rise of temperature, and again has diminished with a reduction of heat; and the continuance of the cholera up almost to the present time, seems connected with a similar fact—the unusual warmth of the season. It was hoped that the present epidemic would stay its ravages after the first week of September, following the rule of former years; but this has not been the case: and, in connexion with this fact, it must be remembered that the month has been unusually hot. Even the commencement of the present month (October) was warm in an unprecedented manner: and at the same time the cholera continued its ravages, though less severe who than the year before. Although the seizures were often as violent and sudden as ever. It must be observed that, at the beginning of September, the diminished number of deaths in the bills of mortality was due principally to the subsidence of a temporary outbreak in the western district; while on our side the mortality, though less, was still very high. The state of the wind during this period has been variable, and therefore shows no connexion with the spread of the epidemic. During their first two months, it was mostly from the north, and although the strange wind of September was the west, while the cholera was still raging; and, in the west it mostly remained during its subsidence.

The connexion of the weather or temperature with the virulence of cholera was well shown in Paris during the epidemic of 1854. Being in that city during this period, I well remember the extreme heat of the weather, and the dreadful ravages of this modern plague. On the 5th of June, Fahrenheit's thermometer was 90°; and the temperature of most of the hospital wards at early morning was above 70°. The heat continued excessive until the 8th, when a most violent thunderstorm over the city. The following day was comparatively cool: and, during the next two days, the thermometer fell to 55°. This sudden change in the weather, there was an equally marked change in the numbers attacked with the prevailing disease. The days of lowest temperature and lowest mortality do not accurately correspond; but this makes the connexion of the two more striking, as the deaths registered on one particular day are often of persons who had been attacked a day or two previously. On the 5th, 9th, and 10th of June, the deaths occurred near 700 and 800 daily; and it was usual to see twenty or thirty new cases every morning in the different hospitals, when the temperature was at anything less; and in three days it fell to 300, or not one half of the number of what it was a week previously. The sudden rise and fall of the deaths in Paris during this season was very remarkable, and particularly as associated with a sudden change in the weather. The mortality had been rising together with the thermometer throughout the month of May and June, until the number of deaths was fearful and the heat unbearable, when the storm broke over the city. The weather was suddenly changed; and at the same time the epidemic began to disappear. The occurrence of these striking events together must have been more than a coincidence; but whether connected with any electrical state of atmosphere and production of ozone, or more intimately associated with a fall of temperature, is difficult to say. The latter, I believe, was largely connected with the truth, as the decreased sickness with a cooler atmosphere has been observed in London without the intervention of a thunderstorm, and in various parts of the country, where it is difficult to prove, as during cholera, at any other time its existence cannot be shown, at least in this my neighbourhood. With reference to the Paris epidemic, it should be stated that after the storm the temperature again rose, but the disease never increased; it was apparently crushed. The weather during all this period, as in London, was variable.

When speaking of the connexion of the weather with cholera, I intended to allude to this high mortality in Paris. The deaths were more than double what they have ever been in one day in London, during that or the present epidemic, although the population is so much less in the French metropolis than ours. This has been accounted for by the greater tendency to looseness of the bowels among our neighbours, and thus the predisposition to cholera. If this constitute a predisposition, it is no doubt is one of the real causes; for the peculiar diet of the French, owing to the large amount of soft vegetables they eat, and well cooked meat, tends certainly to produce a laxity of the bowels. There cannot be a doubt that there must be a great difference between a man who spends his life gaily and takes an hour to eat a variety of well cooked dishes, and another who is sitting all day long at his desk, and who has only an interval of a quarter of an hour to swallow ravenously a pound of half cooked meat. I believe I am correct in saying that those complaints which constitute the curse of the life of many Londoners, are almost unknown in Paris. I allude to those stomach and bowel derangements connected with a sedentary life and a large consumption of animal diet—dyspepsia, constipation, hemorrhoids—which are, I believe, comparatively rare with the French; conditions,
also, no doubt partly avoided by the means always at hand to relieve nature's calls.

With regard to the features themselves of the cholera, these are so well known that I shall not dwell upon them. I may remark, that as has before been the case, abscesses were less severe at the commencement and at the close of the epidemic, and at these times, even if fatal, were of a more lingering nature than during its height. In the first two weeks, the greater number of fatal cases I witnessed died upon the third or fourth day of the seizure. The collapse was slow in coming on, and when perfected, a somnolent state of the patient ensued, a slight reaction might appear, but this was soon followed by a second depression and death-like coldness, and life dwindled away imperceptibly. Throughout the whole period of the epidemic I have seen many die in this way. They have not sunk in the first collapse, and they have not gone on to the secondary fever; but the depression has continued with or without the vomiting and purging for three or four days, and then they have died. I have been in the habit in consequence of noting deaths from acute cholera, chronic cholera, and secondary fever. Among the peculiarities of symptoms, I have not observed the great blueness of the skin which some have noticed, in former epidemics: the congestion has been great, and the skin very dark, but the peculiar purple hue was only in some cases very apparent; in most cases a livid dusky hue was present rather than a blueness. Its absence, too, I also observed in the tongue, which, instead of presenting the blue appearance of bronchitis, was covered with a furred fur. This white furred tongue has been very general in cholera patients.

The most rapidly fatal case which I have seen, was one which terminated fatally, without any premonitory symptoms, in twelve hours. The great and sudden depression in such instances as these is very remarkable. Within an hour or two after the onset of the symptoms collapse has occurred, and often when the appearance of the patient does not betoken any great exhaustion, the medical man is surprised to find the pulse hardly perceptible. The rapid tendency to cessation of all the bodily functions, looks as if the cholera poison at once struck death to the organic system of nerves. That much of the depression is often owing to the loss of fluid by the stomach and bowels, is clear from the marked reaction produced by the injection of a saline liquid into the veins. In two out of the three cases which I have seen injected this year, the reaction from the collapsed state was very extraordinarily shown by a recovery from apparent unconsciousness, a newly acquired facility of speech, and an increased readiness of pulse and temperature. I may remark, that in some fatal cases the evacuations were not entirely destitute of bile, and in three or four cases I have seen blood mixed with both the stomach and bowel evacuations. With reference to the secondary fever, I have not observed that this has been more inclined to follow one mode of treatment than another; but, in a certain proportion of cases, may be expected to occur. The cause of this condition is not altogether clear. It has been thought by some that the original poison is productive of this state, and that the fever is a part of the disease. The disease has thus been likened to other fevers where various stages of the complaint are observed, and therefore, in acute cases, is recorded whether death has occurred in the cold stage, when in cholera, where the poisonous miasm is more powerful, death at this stage constitutes the rule. If the patient survive this period, the fever necessarily follows. I think this theory is hardly tenable, when we see cases recover at once and perfectly from the first stage of disease, and then have seen patients apparently in irremediable collapse speedily recover, or in a day or two walking about quite well. Such cases are, however, the exceptions; for as a rule a slight febrile reaction sets in, as though there was a disposition to the secondary fever. If the theory will be maintained, the occurring also for the most part from the first stage of the usual ulceration of the mouth would be said not to militate against it, as the same probably is sometimes done in fever and ague. The most generally received opinion for the cases of the secondary fever of cholera is, that it is the consequence of the reaction of all the organs after the agglutinative condition in which they have been placed during the collapsed stage: and this, I think, seems to be the most reasonable cause. If it be true, one organ may be supposed to participate in the condition as well as another. Owing, however, to the facility of watching the urinary secretion, this organ has particularly noticed, and its total cessation is found not to be frequent during this stage. That this, however, is not the only cause of the fever is clear, from the fact that in many cases the secretion has been abundant; and, on the other hand, it has often been suppressed with very few symptoms. Notwithstanding these exceptions, I think much to do with the production of the cholera fever. In some cases all the symptoms have reference to this state alone. In three cases which I have lately seen in private practice, a cessation of the function of the kidney was the first thing which suggested itself. The symptoms were those exactly as found in the chronic degeneration of the kidney: a semicomaous state, from which the patient could be temporarily roused, but into which he again rapidly sunk; a contracted pupil; a peculiar deep, sonorous, and sighing respiration; a brown furrowed tongue; hot skin; occasional vomiting, etc. In each of these cases no urine had been passed, and in the last three days, and there was none in the bladder: In all the symptoms were referrible to this fact, which was in itself of sufficient cause. The vomiting which occurred had, I believe, no reference to the original malady, but had its cause in the uremic condition of the blood, as is observed in other cases of suppression. I believe that, in all cases of secondary fever after cholera, the state of the kidney has much to do with the symptoms, although it is only reasonably possible to suppose that a similarly congested state of other organs may assist in their production. This leaves out of the question whether or not the condition of the blood is the primum mobile as in other fevers, and the organs only secondarily implicated. The discovery of bile in the evacuations after the collapsed stage would not at once show that the liver had regained its free action, for this appearance might arise from the emptying of the previously distended gall-bladder; indeed, the congested or almost pneumatic condition of the lungs confirms the sluggishness of all the organs; and that the fever should be produced by the retention of the function of one organ and the consequent action of another.

The cholera exanthem, which Dr. Babington described in 1832 (see Medical Gazette), is not a very common symptom of the secondary fever, judging at least from my own experience, for out of about two hundred genuine cases of cholera which I have lately seen, I have only witnessed the eruption in five or possibly in five cases. It cannot, therefore, be very frequent. In those in whom it occurred the treatment had been various, the patients were in a state of consecutive fever, and the eruption appeared in full after a few hours of its first indication. When once it out it remained for several days, and in two patients complete desquamation of the cuticle occurred, as in scarlatina. The eruption appears as red blisters, especially on the face and arms, is of an urticarious or roseolous character, and commonly has the name of urticaaria febris. The eruption, I believe, is sui generis.

In considering the prognosis in a severe case of cholera, it is astonishing to find so many opinions handed as to the relative value or importance of the symptoms. Thus some practitioners like to see vomiting, and endeavour to encourage it; others look upon spasms as most unfavourable, and so on. I believe myself that the only important indication is the general condition of the patient, told by his depression and pulse. A patient with not much vomiting must be in a better state than one who has much, although he is delirious; and, on the other hand, the latter patient must be more favourably situated than he who is in such a state of collapse, that the stomach is already dead, and refuses to act. As regards cramps, there are some who look upon
their presence in a most unfavourable light; but my experience would not lead me to entertain this view. Many of the worst cases no doubt have spasms; but, on the other hand, I have seen numerous instances in which these symptoms were very violent. As another instance of showing the opposite views maintained, on the same day I heard an opinion expressed that hiccup in the consecutive fever was a favourable symptom, and soon afterwards that it was invariably a fatal one. My own experience proves neither of these opinions to be true.

With reference to the treatment of cholera, which is really the most important subject in connection with the disease, I have not much to offer, except as to the utter inability of most of the usual remedies, so that my experience at present amounts to this—that the most scientific plan is to do nothing. The first thing which suggested itself to those who had for the first time seen a patient in a state of collapse, was to endeavour to restore him by means of stimulants. The attempt was soon found to be unsuccessful, and the practice was at once discontinued by experienced men. We do, however, occasionally meet with practitioners who still advocate this plan, and they still administer stimulants. The only advantage of the plan with which I am acquainted, is, that it appeals to the common sense of the public (which is synonymous with their ignorance), and gains the doctor much credit by his persevering and unremitting efforts to avoid the patient's death. I have seen a medical man gain, in a case, the first he had seen of cholera, where he incessantly poured in brandy to his patient, applied hot bottles and flannels, and had relays of attendants to unremittingly rub the limbs. The family were satisfied that everything had been done, and I never was as convinced that the poor collapsed patient, with his life ebbing fast, had every chance taken away, and his end shortened by such violent means,—opposed to all experience, and repugnant to scientific reasoning. In speaking of rubbing the limbs to remove the spasms, the plan no doubt is useful, but I have found the application of wet cloths to the part more useful. Here again, however, the doctor will have to encounter the prejudice of the attendants, and therefore if he adopt such means he had better employ a medicated lotion.

The calomel treatment I have seen largely used at former periods of the epidemic without success, and therefore was useless. It was, in my own patients, I have, however, witnessed its exhibition in about a dozen cases of other practitioners, and the success has been slightly greater than by other means. In the most extreme cases, the remedy was quite useless, apparently quite inert, and of which the following is an example. A middle-aged woman was seized early in the morning (by far the most usual time of the day in my experience for a cholera attack), and was seen immediately afterwards. Five grains of calomel were administered, and continued without intermission every quarter of an hour until half an hour before death, which took place just twelve hours after the seizure. Not the slightest effect appeared to result from its administration; the symptoms of the patient remained the same throughout. The principal case, where the greatest good was supposed to have resulted from this remedy, was that of a man who had had diarrhoea for three days, when the characteristic evacuations of cholera occurred, and approaching collapse. Calomel was then given in grain doses every quarter of an hour. The pulse was perceptible, though small; the hands cool and clammy; and the patient was whispering; and therefore the patient was not in extremis. After a few doses of the calomel, recovery commenced, and coincidently with this bile appeared in the evacuations. How far the treatment and result stood in the relation of cause and effect, I cannot say. The other cases where calomel was administered were those of cholera, but were not in the very extremity of the disease. Those practitioners with whom I am acquainted, and who are staunch advocates of the calomel treatment, confess that, in the severest forms of cholera, where collapse is rapid and perfect, their remedy is quite inaccessibly a cure is only to be obtained by its early administration. The treatment is too small to venture an opinion on the matter; but the result has been, that the very severe cases all died, and that the less severe ones recovered. Where such shades of difference exist in disease, it is difficult to draw a comparison between cases, and therefore it is almost impossible to give an opinion as to recovery. As far as I could fairly judge, however, there was a slight balance in favour of those cases who had had the calomel treatment. The number, however, as I say, was so small that I attach no importance to the result, as the addition of one or two other cases might have made it altogether different.

The saline treatment I have seen most extensively used, but with no results that can warrant any conclusion as to its superior efficacy over the let alone treatment. It has been the favourite plan at Guy's Hospital, in various forms, but with the usual percentage of deaths. It has been far superior, no doubt, to the stimulant and many other injurious plans of treatment. I have seen many patients with practitioners whom I have found enjoying the same opinion as myself; that is, a want of faith in any specific remedy; and, accordingly, none being administered, the hope of recovery has been equally great as when abundance of physic was poured in. My best advice has been, in a case of utter collapse and failure, to be made, to be administered nourishment occasionally by the spoonful, to keep him alive; and, if a medicine must be given, to let it be a saline, as the most rational. In early cases, of course, a more active plan is indicated, and particular symptoms of a less kind may be combated in any stage. A variety of other plans I have never adopted, but all with a like result. I have seen the sulphuric acid treatment to be quite inaccessibly the hydrophatic plan also, and a number of other means, such as strychnia, nitrous acid, iron, zinc, camphor, etc. The vast difference of the success of remedies, in the hands of different practitioners, is clearly due to the non-similarity of the cases they have had to treat. I have been a witness myself to the fact of a medical man boasting of his successes by the sulphuric acid plan, when he afterwards admitted that no case had been so bad as the one which we were then visiting, which was that of a patient in a state of collapse, and who died under his treatment. The only hospital where a very successful issue of the cholera resided was St. Thomas's; but, wherever else, every physician had his own plan of treatment, I am at a loss to know to what means that success was to be attributed.

With respect to the treatment of remittent diarrhoea, if it exist, I much prefer the older remedies, and particu-
larly opium. No one can doubt that some of the cases of diarrhoea which are stopped by such means, are of a simple character; and that many cases of true cholera develop themselves in spite of all treatment. Notwithstanding this, I have seen numerous instances of true cholera, accompanied by rice-water evacuations and great depression, checked by large doses of opium. It is indeed the remedy in which I have most faith. I have often urged the patient to try administering all other remedies; and, so far as sulphuric acid is concerned, I have found it very useful in the common diarrhoea. The theory which is advocated by some, of the symptoms of cholera being indicative of an attempt to eliminate a poison from the system, seems not agreeable with the fact that many cases are caused by at once arresting these discharges. Such a theory must look upon opium, and all such remedies which tend to check the evacuations, as injurious; and those like castor oil or croton oil the only rational ones. As all a priori reasoning is of little value in the present state of our medical art, such theories are worthless; or, if this method of argument be allowed, to stop the discharge.
could not distinguish from it, have been arrested by opium and other remedies. If the eliminative theory be true, such cases could not have been cholers, or they must have been of such a mild form that they would never have terminated in that disease. If the eliminative theory be true, the case, if any, that ever amounts to such a degree of sanguine must have been very harmful, as exciting a fresh action in the bowels when the natural one was stopped. I think it may very fairly be allowed that many cases would have terminated favourably by themselves; and the fact of ordinary diarrhoea doing so has no doubt given rise to this theory of cholera. As often as such a disease has been received into the stomach, and produces an irritation of the bowels, a dose of castor oil or rhubarb is the popular remedy to remove it; and this is generally administered with a good result; and, even without medicine, nature will generally accomplish the same object.

I have made many post mortem examinations of cases of cholera, and have found the appearances very uniform; but these, I am sorry to add, have not yet thrown much light upon the pathology of the disease. It would have been highly important, had I been able to inspect all the bodies of those who died in any one establishment, in order to discover the proportion between the numbers of healthy who had been attacked and those who had suffered from previous maladies. I have seen several instances where diseased viscera were unexpectedly found in persons dead of cholera; as ulcerated stomachs, diseased kidneys. But these morbid conditions, if not predisposing to the epidemic influence, yet must have affected much the chances of recovery. In the cases of rapid death from cholera, the appearances of the viscera are much alike; often lividity of the skin: rigor mortis present as in other diseases. The lungs are generally found congested, and the posterior lobes often almost in a condition to be called inflamed. The heart contains clots as in other cases; and these are firm, and sometimes decoloured. The pithec condition of the blood, I imagine, is spoken of by those who have attempted to bleed their patients during life, and is a state they theoretically consider ought to exist after the loss of so much saline fluid. It is a fact, however, that the heart in cholera contains generally, as far as I have observed, well-formed fibrous clots. The gall-bladder is always distended with bile; but the liver itself, as far as I can ascertain, is healthy.

The appearance of the alimentary canal is peculiar, and almost characteristic of the disease. The intestines lie collapsed; and, instead of being full, they have a disposition to uncoil or separate themselves, and lie in a compact mass, as seen on opening the abdomen of a rabbit, and as if they had lost their elasticity, one part receiving the impression and shape of another. They are contracted, and feel doughy to the touch, and can be moulded in any form. Their colour, as they lie in the abdomen, is peculiar; the peritoneum being of a rosy pink hue, and from its surface exudes a tenacious mucoid secretion. This latter condition, I think, is not generally described; but this may be owing to its not always being present. The appearance, however, is not uncommon, and is noticed by separating two coils of the bowel, when that viscid mucoid string is formed between them. The secretion is hardly like that of recent inflammation, as the exudation is more like mucus, and is sticky, than the greasy sero-albuminoid fluid of ordinary inflammation. The internal mucous surface of the intestines has been often described, the spongy state of the whole membrane, and the location of many parts of the epithelium. The white creamy secretion covering its whole surface, mixed with a large amount of fluid, forms the rice-water evacuations. The enlargement of the intestinal glands is very remarkable; sometimes, but not always, Brunner's duodenal glands, generally Peyer's glands of the ileum, and most constantly and universally the solitary glands are enlarged. These glands were sometimes enormously swollen, and as large as in typhoid fever, though without the deposit of the latter; but this is not always the case. The solitary glands are always found enlarged, and seem like large grains of sand scattered throughout the intestine. The kidneys show no disease except congestion, and the urinary bladder is found to be contracted.

In the post mortem examination of those who have died in the consecutive fever, the characteristic appearance of the collapsed stage above mentioned no longer exists. The peculiar colour and feel of the intestines as gone; and the interior is found to contain bilious matter, through the plate may still be found large. The kidneys are generally affected, and in some cases have presented much the appearance of these organs after scarlatina, or as they are found in those dying from very recent scarlatinal dropy. They are large and congested, weighing, instead of nine ounces (the average weight of those organs), fifteen or twenty ounces. The microscope shows the tubes to be gorged with a granular secreted matter; and very probably their pathological condition is similar to that of the scarlatin kidne.

In the case of a woman who died in the sixth month of pregnancy, the liquor amni was found to be in the usual abundance.

It will be seen that I have given my general experience of the disease and its treatment, and have made no mention of numbers. I have purposely done so as to avoid all the great errors into which we have lately fallen with respect to the various plans of cure which have been due to this very fallacious manner of arraying figures and facts. A dozen cases of cholera are found, put against another dozen for comparison of treatment, when the disease in the two instances has been of a very different character; and what is worse, the cases of one practitioner are compared with those of another, on the assumption that the disease of the two is alike, and that the medical men are equally good observers. I have seen now more than one instance of a medical man vaunting his method of cure, and showing a long list of cases, where there could be but one; they may have been only examples of a milder form of cholera or severe diarrhoea; and such cases being compared with a like number of collapsed patients, the result was evident. I am sorry to say that I have known such cases fraudulently classed with well-established cholera; and thus a superiority of treatment has been given to the author of this act of dishonesty, while his more conscientious neighbour has merited his returns justly, and has had to bear invidious comparisons, alike galling to his feelings and injurious to his pocket.

I may state, that my experience has been drawn during the late epidemic, from an observation of about two hundred cases of cholera, the majority of which are of the very worst forms of the disease. This number is exclusive of a host of cases of choleric and ordinary diarrhoea.

I cannot conclude without expressing my lament for the deplorable exhibition of weakness which we as a profession have shown during this time of trial. Instead of being a scientific body, as we were supposed to be, every one has been jumping at conclusions, and forming his a priori modes of treatment, as though Bacon had never lived. It is to be hoped that, when the epidemic has passed, men will return to reason, and sit down and reconsider the opinions they have launched so hastily upon the world. I am not thinking of Dr. George Johnson, for I consider that gentleman rather hardly used. He had a perfect right to try any remedy he thought best, and to publish in the medical journals his account of the results which he obtained. It was his misfortune that the treatment which he adopted should have been so in accordance with the opinions of a homeopathic public press, that his report should have been accepted from among many others, and paraded before the world.

17 St. Thomas Street, Southwark, Oct. 11th, 1854.