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Abstract

Objective To determine whether measuring monoclonal bands (M component) in serum should be part of the investigation of patients referred to osteoporosis clinics.

Design Retrospective, cross sectional, observational study.

Setting Referral centre for osteoporosis in a university hospital, Denmark.

Participants 799 people (685 women) aged 19 to 94 years newly referred with suspected osteoporosis.

Main outcome measures Proportion of patients fulfilling the Nordic Myeloma Study Group definition for target condition and proportion of patients with other important haematological conditions.

Results 4.9% (18 of 366) of patients with osteoporosis and 2.2% (9 of 408) of patients without osteoporosis had M component in serum ($\chi^2 = 3.66, P = 0.04$). Multiple myeloma was diagnosed in three patients with osteoporosis (absolute risk 0.8%, 95% confidence interval 0.11% to 1.7%). The relative risk of multiple myeloma in patients presenting with osteoporosis was 75 (10 to 160). As a diagnostic test for multiple myeloma in patients with osteoporosis, M component in serum had a specificity of 95.0% and a positive predictive value of 17.6%. 122 blood electrophoreses were carried out for each case of multiple myeloma diagnosed. All patients with multiple myeloma had a history of fragility fractures. If lymphoma was included as a target condition, the specificity increased to 95.3% and the positive predictive value increased to 23.5%. Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance was diagnosed in 13 (3.6%) participants with osteoporosis and in eight (2.0%) participants with normal bone mineral density or osteopenia.

Conclusions Patients presenting with osteoporosis should be tested for M component in serum, as 1 in 20 patients with newly diagnosed osteoporosis had multiple myeloma or monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance.

Introduction

Osteoporosis is a common disease—a 50 year old white woman has a lifetime risk of 16% for hip fractures, 15% for forearm fractures, and 32% for vertebral fractures. Of patients have a secondary cause of osteoporosis. The investigation of patients presenting with suspected osteoporosis differs between countries and between clinics within each country.

Compared with osteoporosis, multiple myeloma is a rare disease, yet vertebral fractures and pain are common to both. Multiple myeloma would be expected to be seen more often in osteoporosis clinics than in most other areas of medicine dealing with the care of elderly people. Moreover, monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance is a common disorder, with a similar age distribution to that of osteoporosis. The risk of malignant transformation in patients with monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance is around 15% over 10 years.

National guidelines in Denmark do not advocate the routine measurement of monoclonal bands (M component) in the serum or urine of patients presenting with osteoporosis. In the United States, the National Osteoporosis Foundation lists protein electrophoresis among tests that could be carried out in patients where a specific secondary, treatable cause of osteoporosis is being considered. The extent to which protein electrophoresis is used routinely is unknown. One survey in the United States found that three out of four bone specialists would refer men with hip fractures for protein electrophoresis. We determined the prevalence of multiple myeloma and monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance in unselected patients newly referred with osteoporosis to assess whether measurement of M component should form part of the investigation of patients with suspected osteoporosis.

Participants and methods

From March 1999 to March 2001, 1372 patients (1150 women) were referred to our clinic with suspected osteoporosis or osteopenia. The clinic receives all referrals of patients with risk factors for osteoporosis from general practitioners, hospitals, and specialists in a catchment area of 473 000 people. We retrospectively evaluated all first referrals from primary care (n = 799) consecutively by a diagnostic schedule, which included measurement of serum M component and dual energy x ray absorptiometry. The study population consisted of 114 men, mean (SD) age 61.5 (14.0) years (range 19.0 to 89.0 years), and 685 women, mean (SD) age 61.0 (12.0) years (range 20.0 to 94.0 years). Overall, 26% of the participants were aged over 70, 13% over 75, and 5% over 80.

Reference standard

Participants presenting with M component in serum underwent follow-up investigations, including bone marrow examination and skeletal x rays. Multiple myeloma was diagnosed according to the Nordic Myeloma Study Group definition. Briefly, the diagnosis was made on the basis of a monoclonal band in serum in excess of 30 g/l (IgG), 20 g/l (IgA), or any level of IgD or IgE monoclonal bands or a urine monoclonal band of kappa or lambda in excess of 1 g/24h. This must be accompanied by osteolytic bone lesions or by more than 10% plasma cells in bone marrow aspirates (or plasmacytosis in soft tissue or skeletal tissue biopsies). A diagnosis of multiple myeloma can be made in the...
presence of lower monoclonal band concentrations in serum or urine, however, if both osteolytic lesions and high plasma cell content of bone marrow aspirates are present. Patients were considered to have monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance if malignant monoclonal gammopathies were excluded (multiple myeloma, Waldenström's macroglobulinaemia, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, or other lymphoproliferative disorders).

Test and statistical methods
The index test was for serum M component, assessed using cellulose acetate electrophoresis. This method is less sensitive than the more recent high resolution agarose gel electrophoresis. Urine tests were not carried out.

We calculated confidence intervals using the normal approximation to the binary distribution, and we compared proportions using \( \chi^2 \) tests. Analyses were carried out in SPSS version 10.0. We considered a significance level of 5% as important.

Results
Osteoporosis (T score less than \(-2.5\)) was diagnosed in 45% \((n=368)\) of the women and 55% \((n=63)\) of the men. Twenty five (3.1%) of the referred patients declined dual energy x-ray absorptiometry. Thus serum M component was evaluated in 366 participants with osteoporosis detected by densitometry and 408 participants with normal bone mineral density or osteopenia. Overall, 30 participants (3.8%, figure) had a positive index test result. At subsequent visits, three patients with a positive index test result reported that they had a known haematological disorder and another two patients declined further examination. M component was present in 4.9% \((n=18)\) of patients with osteoporosis and 2.2% \((n=9)\) of patients without osteoporosis \((\chi^2 = 3.66, P = 0.04; \text{table 1})\). Although erythrocyte sedimentation rate was not routinely assessed, six of eight patients with M component had an erythrocyte sedimentation rate of 20 or lower.

Test results
Multiple myeloma was diagnosed in three patients with osteoporosis (absolute risk 0.8%, 95% confidence interval 0.1% to 1.7%; \text{table 2}) but in no participants without osteoporosis. One patient with osteoporosis had a diagnosis of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma.

We diagnosed monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance in 13 (3.6%; \text{table 3}) of the participants with osteoporosis and eight (2.0%) of the participants with normal bone mineral density or osteopenia. In patients with osteoporosis, we found no difference in bone mineral density or age \((68.1 (10.4) \text{ v } 65.8 (10.7); P = 0.42)\) between those with multiple myeloma or monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance and those with no M component in serum. The three patients with multiple myeloma had a history of fragility fractures, compared with 28% (95% confidence interval 5% to 70%) in patients with osteoporosis with coexisting monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance and 36% (30% to 43%) in patients with osteoporosis but no M component.

Table 1 Prevalence of serum monoclonal bands (M component) in patients by age and bone mineral density status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>No (%) of patients ((n=799))</th>
<th>No (%) with normal bone mineral density or osteopenia ((n=408))</th>
<th>No (%) with osteoporosis ((n=366))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No of patients</td>
<td>No (%) of patients ((n=799))</td>
<td>No (%) with normal bone mineral density or osteopenia ((n=408))</td>
<td>No (%) with osteoporosis ((n=366))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-69</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>8 (4.7)</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-79</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3 (8.3)</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td>2 (6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥80</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>27 (3.4)</td>
<td>9 (2.2)</td>
<td>18 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*95% confidence interval 0.8% to 3.6%.
†95% confidence interval 2.7% to 7.1%.
‡P<0.05 \( \chi^2 \) test compared with patients with normal bone mineral density.
Estimation

Compared with the background population, the relative risk of multiple myeloma in patients presenting with osteoporosis in our clinic was 75 (95% confidence interval 10 to 160). As a diagnostic test for multiple myeloma in patients with osteoporosis, M component in serum had a specificity of 95.0% and a positive predictive value of 17.6%. In practical terms, 122 blood electrophoreses would need to be carried out for each case of multiple myeloma diagnosed. If lymphoma was included as a target condition, the specificity increased to 95.3% and the positive predictive value increased to 23.5%. In a large group of patients with multiple myeloma diagnosed at the Mayo clinic,7 20.0% had light chain disease and 2.8% had non-secretory myeloma. Given a similar distribution of multiple myeloma subtypes, our index test would have a sensitivity of 77% and a negative predictive value of 99.7%.

Discussion

Multiple myeloma and monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance are more common in patients referred to an osteoporosis clinic than expected in the background population. Patients newly diagnosed with osteoporosis at our clinic have an absolute risk of 0.8% for underlying multiple myeloma and a 3.6% risk of coexistent monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. Most of these patients have a normal haemoglobin concentration and many have normal erythrocyte sedimentation rates and would be missed by the currently recommended blood tests for patients with osteoporosis. Because of this, we have introduced routine measurement of M component in serum in patients presenting with osteoporosis at our clinic.

Despite increasing awareness of the need for diagnosis and treatment of osteoporosis, virtually no data exist on evidence based exclusion of differential diagnoses. To our knowledge the consequences of carrying out or omitting serum protein electrophoresis in patients referred to osteoporosis clinics have not been assessed previously. Our conservative estimates suggest that an osteoporosis clinic caring for 5000 new patients a year may fail to identify 20 cases of multiple myeloma yearly, even with a young patient base such as in our clinic. In addition, 75 to 185 cases of the benign but premalignant disorder monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance may be missed. Despite this, few guidelines and recommendations include routine screening for multiple myeloma or monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance in patients with osteoporosis, beyond measuring the erythrocyte sedimentation rate. In accordance with the low frequency in the general population,8 we did not observe any cases of multiple myeloma among the 408 people with normal bone mineral density or osteopenia.

Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance and even multiple myeloma do not require specific treatment in all elderly patients. When multiple myeloma occurs in younger patients, aggressive treatment prolongs survival.9 Regardless of age, patients with multiple myeloma benefit from early diagnosis. This reduces the risk of developing serious infections and renal and skeletal complications. Some elderly patients with multiple myeloma have major comorbidity, which reduces their ability to tolerate some forms of chemotherapy,10–12 but specialist attention is warranted even in this group to identify and treat life threatening complications.13 Similarly, although the general prognosis of monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance is good, affected patients must also be followed because of the possibility of malignant transformation and complications.14 Our study does not suggest that screening for multiple myeloma or monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance in osteoporosis clinics would be more beneficial in one age group than in another. Although an M component was more common in patients with osteoporosis compared with people with normal bone mineral density or osteopenia, stratifying for bone mineral density within the group with osteoporosis did not provide additional information. The three patients with multiple myeloma, however, all had evidence of established osteoporosis in the form of fragility fractures, suggesting that measurement of M component may be particularly informative in this group.

Referral patterns vary between osteoporosis clinics, depending on national and local guidelines and the general availability of bone densitometry. This affects the predictive value of diagnostic tests. In our clinic, 46% of referred patients fulfilled the World Health Organization definition of osteoporosis. This agrees closely with the 41% to 53%14 15 reported by clinics in the United Kingdom and United States, although slightly lower
What is already known on this topic

Multiple myeloma is an important differential diagnosis in patients with suspected osteoporosis as it affects patients of the same age and often causes bone fragility.

Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance is a benign disorder, but patients should be monitored for progression to malignancy.

What this study adds

One in 20 patients presenting with osteoporosis have an M component in serum.

Multiple myeloma is 75 times more common in patients with osteoporosis.

Measurement of M component in serum may be particularly important in patients with fragility fractures.
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