the grounds for treatment do not depend primarily on the diagnosis. The line of argument in the Grigorenko report shows that the decisive issue is whether an accused person possesses the necessary criteria for criminal-control: that is, whether, in his opinions and actions or whether, owing to certain psychiatric abnormalities, this is not the case. Hence differences of opinion over the diagnostic limits of a schizophrenic illness are usually trivial. (2) In the Grigorenko report the term "paranoid development" and "delusional ideas" are used where German-trained psychiatrists would use, at most, the term "over-valued ideas," or "formist ideas" (Wernicke). These ideas are that which are comprehensible in the context of a person's life or the historical circumstances in which he lives and which are maintained with strong emotion and persistence even against considerable opposition in the surrounding world. From a strictly psychiatric standpoint, however, it is not possible to distinguish between these two clinical types of ideas, nor are they maintained with persistence and strong affective colouring. They are dependent on the philosophical or political system of values which determine the assessment of such ideas. (3) Strongly held beliefs and ideas, and the actions resulting from them, do not as such determine responsibility, since they have been based on comprehensive grounds and held with normal conviction. There are, of course, exceptions in which such comprehensible ideas arise from a clearly discernible illness, mostly schizophrenia, but these are not determined in the usual case separately. In the case of Grigorenko, as in other cases known in the West that may be less well documented, the "formist ideas" cited do not impress as manifestations of a mental illness. They correspond to a widely held humanist and liberal tradition which, though not officially recognized in the Soviet Union, is surely well known from historical experience and from relations with foreign countries. The views held by Grigorenko and like-minded people contain nothing which in themselves can be considered absurd, bizarre, egocentric or bizarre, for instance, in a paranoid schizophrenic delusion. In the documents that have reached the West it is striking that the forensic diagnosis of psychiatric disturbances rests overwhelmingly on such "formist ideas." Yet such ideas cannot be used as proof of a mental disturbance with however much determination and feeling they may be expressed. (4) Criticism of psychiatric reports on dissenters made in the Serbsky and other Soviet institutes rests less on the diagnostic category ascribed to each case—about which there is little doubt here—than on a subjective—though arbitrary way in which the expression of such "formist ideas" is equated with lack of critical faculties, responsibility, and self-control. Such reasoning is considered by serious psychiatrists, as by all other schools, to be inadmissible because it supposes pathological disturbances of the intellect and behaviour which are not in the forefront of every case at the time of the incriminating act. This impression is particularly striking if one studies the documents more closely and analyses the reasoning behind them. Such an analysis forces one to suspect that assessments are not made on scientific and clinical grounds but are based on political and opportunist considerations. (5) The impression of poverty of psychiatric evidence cannot be erased by the proceedings at the conference at the Serbsky Institute in October 1973. This impression is strengthened after thorough study of the Bukovsky papers, which are now available in English, French, and German. English translation of the genuineness of these documents has never been questioned. Bukovsky, who for his courageous act was sentenced to a long term of imprisonment, has thus become a martyr to psychiatry for protesting, at the risk of his life and liberty, against these misuses. The same can probably be said of the Jewish Ukrainian psychiatrist Dr. Gluzman, who dared to subject the Serbsky Institute's psychiatric report on Grigorenko to criticism—whatever may have been said at the Serbsky Institute about the non-existence of a psychiatrist of this name. It is not enough for psychiatrists to denounce to these courageous men but also to prevent further misuse of forensic psychiatry that psychiatrists and their organizations in all countries should unite as never before to raise critical and accusing voices of warning more strongly and loudly than hitherto. No psychiatrist who has carefully and objectively studied the Bukovsky documents can fail to do this, either personally or through his professional organization—I am, etc.,

WALTER VON BAAYER
University Psychiatric Clinic, Heidelberg, Germany

Distribution and Supervision of Oral Contraceptives
Sir,—Dr. M. V. Smith and his distinguished co-signatories (19 October, p. 161) present a powerful consensus in favour of widening the range of those empowered to dispense oral contraceptives. While not questioning that the matter is important, I am not happy about the extent of their proposal. Like myself, many who work in the field of family planning believe that much can with benefit be delegated to the nursing members of the team, including the dispensing of resupply oral contraceptive packs. We do not all share the view that fitness and suitability for this method can be deci-ded by other than a doctor, and prefer-ably the family practitioner. Nor will the latter lightly abandon the advantages to the total care of their patients of periodic consultation and examination.

We are aware that there are those who would go even further than your correspondents—those who advocate the open sale of oral contraceptives across the counter, who would have technicians trained to insert intrauterine devices and even to perform vasectomy, and who deprecate the role of doctors in contraception. Unfortunately, their thesis is indirectly supported by those who for a variety of reasons regard family planning as outside the scope of normal medical practice.

One hopes that any decision to modify current regulations will be based upon a consideration of the balance of risks and benefits to our patients and upon a determination not to sacrifice standards of medical care and the doctor-patient relationship to political or financial considerations. Should it be decided to extend to non-doctor health personnel the right to dispense oral contraceptives under the supervision of a doctor, the responsibilities of the doctor and the role of the health personnel must be governed by a code of practice similar to that which governs the practice of midwives.—I am, etc.,

NORMAN TALBOT
Fleet, Hants

First-aid Treatment of Poisoning and Cardiac Arrest
Sir,—At a recent meeting we discussed the letters on "Danger of Saline Emulsion in First Aid for Poisoning" from Drs. J. C. Roberts and M. J. Noakes (14 September, p. 683) and from Drs. M. Winter and D. J. E. Taylor (28 September, p. 801). On the evidence which has been adduced to us it was decided that emesis contributes little to the first-aid treatment of poisoning. We therefore propose to recommend that at the next impression of our joint manual (third edition) the instruction to produce vomiting should be omitted.

We are also recommending the omission of the instruction to thump the chest repeatedly in cases of cardiac arrest because of the accumulating evidence that "chest thumping" is of value only under hospital conditions. External cardiac compression should still be practised as recommended.—We are, etc.,

ANDREW RAFFLE
Chief Medical Officer, St. John Ambulance Association
H. R. F. MACDONALD
Chief Medical Officer, St. Andrew's Ambulance Association
JOHN GRAY
Chief Medical Officer, British Red Cross Society

Two-phase Blood Culture System
Sir,—In many developing countries where salmonellosis, brucellosis, and meningococcal disease are endemic commercial blood culture systems are not readily available and preparation of similar systems requires equipment for carbon dioxide gassing not normally found in small hospital or field laboratories. Ox bile is excellent for isolation of salmonella, easy to prepare, and seems to be effective for isolating more fastidious species.

We have used a two-phase Castaneda bottle1 for routine blood culture. This system (TSA/Thio), which can be prepared in any laboratory equipment with an autoclave or pressure cooker, consists of a 4-oz (113 g) prescription bottle containing 30 ml of trypticase soy agar (BBL) and 30 ml of thiglycolate medium 135-C (BBL) with sodium thiosulphate as an indicator. TSA/Thio has the additional advantage of not requiring subculture to identify positive cultures since growth in the bottle is usually manifested by any formation on the agglutinant surface. The data in the table show that TSA/Thio is as effective in isolating salmonella, brucella, and meningococci as a