appearance of multiple cutaneous infections. Blood culture and culture from the pustules revealed haemolytic streptococci group A. The baby recovered after treatment with ampicillin.

Disseminated intravascular coagulation has been found to occur in connexion with septic shock.1 Both thromboplastic material and fibrinolytic activators are most probably released as a consequence of endothelial damage to bacterial toxins.2 In most such cases therefore both the coagulation and fibrinolytic systems are activated. In the present case the coagulation system was activated as shown by the decreased platelet count and the decreased levels of protrombin, fibrinogen, antithrombin III, and clotting factors. Fibrin monomers were demonstrated and fibrin thrombi were found post mortem in the pulmonary and renal vessels. Extremely high levels of AHP antigen have been found in conditions with severe tissue damage.3 A discrepancy between the antigen level and the AHP activity of the same degree as in the present case has been demonstrated in patients with signs of an activated coagulation system during pregnancy4 and has been proposed as an early sign of a pathologically activated coagulation system.

The patient had, however, also signs of a markedly activated fibrinolytic system with increased fibrinolysis, extremely high levels of fibrin degradation products, and decreased molecular weight, indicating complete degradation of fibrinogen, and a very low factor V level. Alpha-macroglobulin binds both thrombin and plasmin, and very low levels are seen when both these proteolytic enzymes are present, as in this patient. Because the patient showed an activated fibrinolytic system, no traxanemic acid was administered after the first dose. She was given dextran in order to prevent further platelet aggregation and fibrin deposition, and freshly frozen plasma and fresh blood were given to replace the coagulation factors.

The vaginal flora in pregnant women sometimes contains haemolytic streptococci. These are usually commensals, but a few of the streptococci are known to be able to cause malignant infections in puerperal and neonatal subjects. Group B streptococci are known to be commonly encountered in the vagina, but whether these bacteria should generally receive preventive penicillin treatment is not yet settled. On the other hand, during late pregnancy the presence in the birth canal of haemolytic streptococci of group A, which more consistently occasion severe infections, is in our opinion of much greater significance. The finding of group A streptococci in women before parturition would be seriously considered a contraindication for any preventive penicillin treatment.—We are, etc.,

G. GENNISER
S. OHRLANDER
Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology
Department of Bacteriology
Cesugalan Laboratory, Allmanna Sjukhuset, Malmö, Sweden


Cervical Plasma Cell Population in Infertile Patients

SIR.—In their article on increased IgA-containing plasma cells in the cervices of infertile patients Mr. R. B. Hutcheson and his colleagues (28 September, p. 783) make no mention of their findings in a relationship with the result of the post-coital (Sims) test and/or invasion (Krucoff-Miller) test.

In this clinic we find a definite group of patients who evince "cervical hostility" as judged by these tests. It would be of great interest to know whether it is this same group of patients who have an increase in plasma cells containing IgA.—I am, etc.,

Rosalind Hinton
Female Subtityee Clinic.
Avon Area Health Authority (Teaching),
Central Health Clinic, Bristol

Gaps in Medical Research

SIR,—Last year the Board of Science and Education of the B.M.A. set up a special panel to study gaps in medical research, with particular reference to common and everyday maladies, and I was appointed to chair this multidisciplinary group.

We are interpreting our terms of reference broadly and are interested in prevention as well as cure, and in some aspects of human behaviour in relation to health as well as common problems in the delivery of care. In enabling us to fulfil our task we feel it would be helpful to have views from members of the professions engaged in the health services as well as from consumers of medical care. In particular, we would like to hear of problems which, while they do not necessarily threaten life, are serious enough in the opinion of some patients and cause inconvenience or pain and into which medical research is needed.

Evidence from individuals or groups would be most welcome and should be sent to the Secretariat at the Science and Education of the B.M.A., B.M.A. House, Tavistock Square, London WC1H 9JP.—I am, etc.,

E. M. Backett
London W.C.1

“Continuing Clinical Responsibility”

SIR,—Unlike my colleague Mr. F. A. Howard (5 October, p. 49) I found it impossible to complete the ill-prepared questionnaire I received from the Joint Working Party on the Terms of Employment of Senior Hospital and Medical and Dental Staff. I would, however, like to make some comments from the point of view of a senior consultant about the points he raises.

The senior hospital staffs in the N.H.S. are the only group of doctors who are not paid the “rate for the job.” After a long and arduous training a doctor is appointed as a consultant in charge of patients. One would assume that now he was suitably rewarded, but this cannot be the case as he is paid at the beginning of his appointment nearly £3,000 a year less than when he is senior. No trade union would accept lower pay for its skilled workers just because they are younger; why on earth should it accept it for people in the south of England I was told that it was now impossible for a young consultant to buy a house in the district as he did not earn enough to get a mortgage. It was suggested that they were better off anyway as the hospital to provide caravans in the hospital grounds for the young consultants to live in. I find this state of affairs not only unjust but almost unbelievable, and one wonders just how long we are going to tolerate it.—I am, etc.,

P. H. Beales
Doncaster

SIR,—The questionnaire recently sent to consultants from the Joint Working Party on consultants’ terms and conditions, with the blessing, albeit qualified, of our own representatives, must surely set the alarm bells ringing. The potential utilization by the government of statistics thus collected could well be as follows: (1) average number of “out of normal hours” worked per week = X; (2) suggested appropriate total average remuneration = R; (3) therefore F = R/P (Basic Salary where F is the rate per hour for “additional work.”)

Clearly the result, essentially a system of piecework overtime, would be that those specialties with a large element of “out of normal hours” work or time “on call” would achieve a level of remuneration which might be just acceptable, but many, perhaps the majority, would attract no more than an unacceptably low basic salary. There will be those who will argue that specialties with a large “out of normal hours” commitment should indeed attract a higher level of remuneration than others, but there are less obvious factors perhaps only immediately appreciated by the practitioner of any given specialty.

Personally, I think I would feel physically and mentally exhausted after a “normal” day’s work with psychiatric patients, for the type and emotional strain to which they are subjected is impossible. It can be argued that a high concentration of work load within the working day, with perhaps 100 or more signed clinical decisions, combined with potential radiation exposure and the physical work of modern special techniques at hospital is as demanding as the routine in other specialties. It is to be noted, also, that even outside teaching centres the “major” specialties do generally have some level of supporting staff, whereas in other specialties this is unknown and the consultants perform carry every last item of the work load of the unit till the day they retire. It is suggested that we must be wary of a “divide and conquer” policy and concentrate on the objective of a realistic flat rate of remuneration for each career grade in the hospital service, leaving other features of each specialty to determine which an individual chooses to pursue.

The arguments against “piecework” are too well known to require repetition here, but it is particularly worth noting the implications in relation to the existing pension system, whereby any practitioner on basic salary as opposed to “piecework” can optimize his pension only by working hardest in one of his last three years.—I am, etc.,

W. L. Munro
Radiological Unit,
The Infirmary, Kilmarnock