Neonatal Jaundice and Maternal Oxytocin Infusion

Sir,—We agree with Mr. W. G. Mills and Miss M. C. R. Fisher (22 September, p. 637) that reliance on the mother’s menstrual data can result in an inaccurate estimation of gestational age in the newborn infant. Nevertheless, this method, together with regular obstetric assessment, continues to be that most widely used in routine clinical practice and was that used in determining the maturity of infants in our study (1 September, p. 476). Each infant was examined by one of us (R.R.) and in instances when there were obvious discrepancies the scoring system of Dubowitz et al. was used. This has recently been shown, in experienced hands, to provide an accurate assessment of gestational age. Since the infants in all three groups were assessed in the same way it is improbable that the infants of mothers whose labour had been induced should be more gestationally immature than those in the remaining groups. This does not, however, eliminate the possibility that the increased bilirubin levels in these infants were the result of a functional immaturity of the hepatic enzyme systems.—We are, etc.,

D. P. Davies  
R. Gomersall  
O. P. Gray  
R. Robertson  
A. C. Turnbull

Departments of Child Health and Obstetrics and Gynaecology,  
Wye-New National School of Medicine,  
Cardiff

2 Hancock, B. W., Archives of Disease in Childhood, 1973, 48, 152.

Norwegian Scabies and Monocytic Leukaemia

Sir,—Dr. W. D. Paterson and others (27 October, p. 211) report a case of Norwegian scabies in a patient under treatment with azathioprine and prednisolone, and briefly discuss the predisposing factors, quoting the patient of Dostrovsky et al., who had chronic lymphatic leukaemia. The following report refers to a case of Norwegian scabies, megaloblastic anaemia and monocytic leukaemia, an unusual combination of diseases. A woman of 82 years was admitted to a nearby hospital with a confusion state, anaemia, and a generalized pruritic eczema-toid rash with some features of scabies, diagnosed by the late Dr. James Coburn as Norwegian scabies. A blood count showed haemoglobin 9·0 g/100 ml and white cells 3,000/mm³ (polymorphs 81%, lymphocytes 8%, eosinophils 1%). Bone marrow showed slight megaloblastic changes and approximately 25% monocytoid cells of different degrees of maturity. The serum B₁₂ level was 90 pg/ml and the serum folate was 0·9 ng/ml. She was treated with B₁₂ and folic acid for the anaemia, and Euxar and hydrocortisone for the skin condition, and later transferred to this hospital. Three weeks after her initial diagnosis the blood showed: haemoglobin 7·6 g/100 ml and white cells 11,000/mm³ (polymorphs 65%, lymphocytes 13%, monocytes 22%). A repeat bone marrow biopsy at this time showed normoblastic erythropoiesis, an increase of early granulocytes, and a similar number of monocytic and eosinophilic cells. Folic acid and B₁₂ were continued and the haemoglobin rose to 10·4 g/100 ml. She returned home.

Two years later she was readmitted with a recurrence of the skin disease. There was exfoliative dermatitis, particularly affecting the hands and feet, with lesions suggesting scabies. There was slight lymph node enlargement, but no enlargement of liver or spleen. Mites were seen in skin scrapings. This was thought to be due to re-infection of the patient's environment. Two months later an increased number of early granulocytes were noted in the peripheral blood. The diagnosis of subacute monocytic leukaemia was made. No specific anti-leukaemia treatment was given, but the skin was treated with a three per cent solution of benzyl benzoate and later a topical corticosteroid ointment. She returned home but died six months later in another hospital following a cerebral haemorrhage due to a fall. The hands and feet showed chronic dermal changes.

In our view, these cases should be considered of interest because this combination of diseases has not been reported previously. It is possible that scabies, in some instances, may interfere with the treated serum folate and thereby cause megaloblastic anaemia in patients with leukaemia. It may also interfere with the treatment of the skin disease and cause a relapse in severe cases. These cases are of interest because they illustrate the importance of considering both scabies and leukaemia in the differential diagnosis of any patient with a skin disease and anaemia. We agree that our case is unusual, and we consider that it should be reported because it may be of interest to other doctors who are treating patients with leukaemia and skin disease.

Sir,—We have read with interest the report of the case of scabies and megaloblastic anaemia in a patient with monocytic leukaemia by Dostrovsky et al. (27 October, p. 211). We agree that the treatment of scabies in patients with leukaemia is important and that the patient's condition should be monitored closely.

A. C. Turnbull

Department of Pathology,  
Huddersfield

Lung Cancer and Smoking

Sir,—I write to express fundamental disagreement with Dr. R. C. Hobbs (24 November, p. 490), who suggests legislation to curb the use of tobacco. It should never be the function of the medical profession to interfere with the liberty of the individual unless it can be proved (as in the case of drug addiction) that society as a whole is endangered. From this point of view the cigarette is much less a candidate for legislation than alcohol. The effects of cigarette smoking are essentially personal.

Many people smoke cigarettes with complete awareness of the hazards involved. For them a possibly shorter life with cigarettes is preferable to a longer life without. If that be their considered philosophy, it is for the doctor to respect it. It is no part of our calling to be serviceable to the public. We should respect the personality of our patients, however inconsistent their actions may be with our preconceived notions of their welfare.—I am, etc.,

S. L. Henderson Smith

Medicine in the Common Market

Sir,—In his article on this subject (24 November, p. 478) Mr. P. R. J. Vickers seemed to be suggesting that our standards of specialist training should be reduced, otherwise we might expect to lose doctors to the other members of the European community. The articles from West Germany, Italy, and France, however, all emphasized deficiencies which are not present in the United Kingdom. Is it not possible that the rest of Europe might in some respects follow us, or are we always to adapt to them whatever the consequences?

It was a pity also that Mr. Vickers chose to illustrate his point by referring to radiology and anaesthetics and not some specialty of which he has more experience, where his criticisms of the colleges may have some substance. In both these disciplines, despite increasing additions to the syllabus and the complications of technical progress, the period of specialist training remains shorter than in many other specialties. It may also be of some interest that a radiologist without command of the language of the country in which he is employed is at a considerable
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E.S.R.), and it is probably less of a hepatitis hazard to technicians than the E.S.R.—I am, etc.,

R. D. Eastham

Frenchay Hospital, Bristol

Department of Pathology

1 Harris, G. J., Journal of Medical Laboratory Technology, 1972, 29, 405.  


SIR,—Many doctors must have been persuaded by Sir George Godber’s report on the nation’s health. The statements that more than 25% of deaths are due to ischaemic heart disease and 40% of cancer deaths are from lung cancer should surely give fresh impetus to all doctors and para-medical personnel to combat the smoking habit. But it seems that many members of the medical profession are unconvinced of the dangers of smoking.

If the medical profession is so little concerned about this, there is a strong hint that health education of the public can do. However, if we can make a more concerted effort, then a start could be made by considering smoking in the same light as occupational hazards—that is, that protection has to be imposed. I suggest that the following measures be considered: (1) In all public buildings administered by local government authorities no smoking should be permitted and a fine imposed for non-compliance (similar to the penalty for spitting). (2) At selection committees of key figures such as district nurses, health visitors, and public health doctors smoking should be discussed. (3) Smoking by public health staff while on duty should not be permitted. (4) Every effort should be made to dissuade teachers from smoking during their training, and smoking should not be permitted in schools. (5) No smoking should be permitted in sports centres and swimming baths provided from public funds. Sports instructors, who are often objects of great prestige to young people, should not smoke while on duty.

It is extremely difficult to bring these matters to the fore because many of one’s most congenial colleagues smoke and one is made to feel unconvivial and self-righteous by trying to ban them.—I am, etc.,

Theresa E. Watts