detected Sickle Haemoglobin

Sir.—Dr. James Bowman's objection to solubility tests—automated or not—for HbS
may be directed at pre-natal diagnosis (9 September, p. 644) is most welcome. The defects of such tests, results of which are used for genetic counselling, cannot be expected too vigorously if only because this whole process of pre-natal diagnosis is as it is now avidly practised has usually led, in Dr. Bowman's own words, to "socio-economic trauma through bogus education, erroneous diagnosis, and inept counselling."

Much of the socio-economic trauma is directly due to failure to draw a distinction between the sickle-cell trait and sickle-cell disease in spite of the fact that world experts like the Colonial Medical Research Committee Working Party on Sickle-Cell Trait and Sickle-cell Anaemia, and the World Health Organization have clearly separated the trait and the disease.

From America, too, Dr. Butler and his colleagues have commented recently on a Massachusetts law which states in part that "Every child, which the commissioner of public health, by rule or regulation, determines or suspects to be sickle-cell trait or sickle-cell anaemia shall be required to have a blood test." They state some of the hazards known to them to have occurred as a result of screening as (1) loss of employment because of the detection of sickle-cell trait; (2) discontinuation of medication by a middle-aged patient with cardiac disease because cardiac symptoms were falsely attributed to sickle-cell trait; (3) perforation of the appendix of a child because his abdominal pain was falsely attributed to sickle-cell disease when he had, in fact, sickle-cell trait; and (4) long delay in the adoption of a child because it was discovered to have sickle-cell trait.

In Ghana, where one in three of the population is either sickle-cell trait or haemoglobin-C trait, solubility tests have no place in surveys aimed at genetic counselling because the non-sickler is by no means necessarily a normal homozygote (AA). Moreover, as Dr. Bowman rightly pointed out, these tests are incapable of telling the sickle-cell trait (AS) from sickle-cell haemoglobin-C disease. The gene frequencies (na = 0.1, nc = 0.5, na = 0.85) in Ghana, for instance, are such that SS = SC = 1%; that is, the exact same number of sickle-cell anaemia as sickle-cell haemoglobin-C patients are expected at birth. If a screening test cannot tell these SC patients (1% of all births) from AS individuals it is not favoured here for genetic counselling.

Finally, one other important objection to unsolicited screening is the unexpected traumatic effect on the equanimity of the family. In these days of increasing infertility and life how would one explain to the non-sickling parents the finding that their school child was sickling positive? Was it, in fact, a mutation?—I am, etc.,

R. A. WOODRUFF

 hearty cordial twins and was subsequently sterilized.

In this case, unlike the one we reported in our first letter, it was hoped that accurate assessment and adequate treatment would have been possible because of the position of the sacs, side by side, and the position of the placenta (posteriorly).—We are, etc.,

EVELYN M. McNICOL

ANDREW AULD

Rotheryton Hospital, Glasgow
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Jaundice after Anaesthesia

Sir,—Last year I reported 1 that we were investigating the causes of jaundice occurring within a month or so after anaesthesia, regardless of the anaesthetic agents used. We are particularly concerned to obtain evidence about hypersensitivity reactions and variations in metabolism of anaesthetic agents in jaundiced patients. The first part of the investigation has been completed, but recent developments in the field of immunology have encouraged us to continue with a second phase of the study.

Blood and urine samples are needed for the investigation, and one of the team of Drs. Walton, Strunin, and myself is prepared to travel anywhere in Britain and Europe to prepare, store, and transport the necessary specimens, subject to the prior approval of the clinician responsible for the patient. I would be most grateful, therefore, to any clinician for telephoning (01-139 4115) or writing to me with the particulars of the occurrence of jaundice, under the circumstances outlined above, in any patient under his care.—I am, etc.,

R. B. SIMPSON

Anaesthetics Unit,
The London Hospital,
London E.1


Late Onset Psychosis in Children

Sir,—In his article on late onset psychosis in children (30 September, p. 816), Dr. J. M. Kolin writes that "analytical psychopathology has so far made no contribution. However, he does not mention the great advancement in our understanding of the psychotic process as a result of the work of Melanie Klein." This work is not just of theoretical interest but has important clinical applications. There exists a substantial group of adolescents suffering from schizophrenia, personality disorders. They lead an isolated existence, have found difficulties in forming relationships, and at times exhibiting frankly psychotic symptoms, but it is uncommon for them to progress to schizoaffective and psychotically be not helpful. However, using Kleinian object-relations theory it becomes possible to understand their psychopathology and they can often be helped by outpatient psychotherapy.

With supervision I have treated 10 such patients in the last year in the Maudsley psychotherapy department, and have found it resulting improvement in each case.—I am, etc.,

R. LUCAS

Maudsley Hospital, London S.E.5


Mechanics of Elastic Bandaging

Sir,—I was most interested in Mr. H. D. Johnson's comments on bandaging (23 September, p. 767). This is yet another light on an old problem. The physiological rationale of the "perfect" bandage has been investigated in detail 2 and it would seem from independent methods that a hydrostatic or Os-water of buckling is USA hydrostatic the bandage pressure at any point in the limb equals the intravascular hydrostatic pressure, independent of posture or movement. This gives maximum support and least disturbance to blood flow.

Unfortunately, appliance manufacturers have ignored the difficult technical challenge which this presents and we are left with the rather inadequate rule-of-thumb alternatives described by Mr. Johnson.—I am, etc.,

A. D. B. CHANT

Surgical Division,
University of Southampton


M.A.O.I. Treatment Cards

Sir,—There are at least two cards which may be given to patients taking M.A.O.I. drugs, but the complete absence of the mention of alcohol as being contraindicated is astonishing me and several of my colleagues both in psychiatry and general practice. The A.B.P.I. card is more comprehensive and contains the substances which most of us know are contraindicated. I presume that an up-to-date card will be prepared by the industry. For recent years other items of diet such as certain types of tinned fish, stewed bananas, and various types of pickled fruit and vegetables have been inincornerised as causing a reaction. These reactions may be minimal and occur only in certain indi-