Correspondence

Letters to the Editor should not exceed 500 words.

In Front of the Public

SIR,—Some days ago, while in non-medical company, I watched a well-known weekly television programme during which three medical participants discussed the possible dangerous effects of the contraceptive pill on the female body. I would not wish to go into the ethics of medical men allowing their names and appointments to be publicly broadcast, or into the advisability of leaving countless women with grave doubts about the dangers or otherwise of the pill. I am, however, deeply concerned with the sight of eminent medical men criticizing each other’s views and experience publicly in front of a lay audience. The cut-and-thrust of medical argument on a combined ward round, or at a medical meeting, can be informative and amusing occasionally; but similar repartee broadcast by television can only help to drag British medical prestige to an even lower level than that which it has already reached.—I am, etc.,

Waltham Cross, Herts., C. C. CRAMPTON.

Penicillin in Poultry Feed

SIR,—The Government has accepted the recommendation of the Swann committee that the use of the medically important antibiotics penicillin, chlorotetracycline, and oxytetracycline as feed additives for the promotion of growth in pigs and poultry be discontinued (29 November, p. 511). In the case of the tetracyclines a basis for the recommendation is the fact that *Eberthella coli* from the faeces of tetracycline-fed pigs and poultry is almost entirely tetracycline-resistant, the resistance being transmissible to other intestinal bacteria including human pathogens. In the case of penicillin a similar basis would be the emergence of ampicillin-resistant intestinal bacteria in penicillin-fed livestock (Report, para. 9–15). Anderson and D suggested that penicillin might have had this effect when we found R factors giving ampicillin-resistance in cultures of salmonella isolated from pigs, but we had no direct evidence that penicillin in pig feed was responsible for the ampicillin-resistance. The high incidence of ampicillin-resistance in salmonella subsequently found by Anderson most probably resulted from the prophylactic and therapeutic use of penicillin in calves, rather than from penicillin as a feed additive.

Penicillin at a “nutritional” dose level does not lead to the development of ampicillin resistance in the normal intestinal *Eberthella coli* of chicken. As part of a study intended to look for any enhanced incidence of infection with resistant bacteria in poultry packers, cloacal swabs were recently taken from 48 broiler chickens, fed all their lives on pelleted food containing 10 g. penicillin/ton, and from 30 breeder fowl which had never received penicillins. Neither group had ever been fed tetracyclines. The birds came from several different farms, and specimens were collected at the packing station where they were to be killed. The swabs were cultured on media for the selection of drug-resistant *E. coli*. No ampicillin-resistant strains were detected from any specimen, although *E. coli* with multiple and transmissible drug-resistance, not including ampicillin-resistance, was common in both groups.

The proposed ban on penicillin as a feed additive thus rests only on the raised rate of carriage of penicillin-resistant *Staphyloccocus aureus* by penicillin-fed livestock and their human attendants and on the possibility, not directly demonstrated, that penicillin feeding may lead to the emergence in livestock of ampicillin-resistant salmonella.

Penicillin is cheap, home-produced, and effective in promoting growth in young animals. Should legislation preventing its use in feeds be introduced without clearer evidence for undesirable side-effects?—I am, etc.,

Department of Pathology, NAOMI DATTI,
University of London Postgraduate Medical School,
London W.12.
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Brucellosis Still Spreading

SIR,—Your leading article (29 November, p. 512) and the article by Dr. R. J. Henderson (p. 550) highlight a problem which should cause us all concern. Brucellosis is a disease which can be prevented, but the regulations in present in force are so difficult to administer that it is unlikely we shall eradicate it in the foreseeable future. Indeed, the voluntary eradication scheme may lead to disinfection of infection, as Dr. Henderson rightly points out. The following saga illustrates these points.

A year ago a routine raw milk sample was examined in this laboratory gave a positive milk ring test (M.R.T.) for antibodies to brucellosis. To confirm infection individual cow samples were examined and one of these yielded the abortion on culture. Before action could be taken the cow was sold, and indeed before the medical officer of health had been informed of the result, the cow was sold, a perfectly legal step for the farmer to take even if he knew it was infected. A month later the incident was repeated and was followed by a request from the farmer for milk to be examined from six recently purchased beasts. Br. abortus
was isolated from one of these and this animal therefore also sold on the open market. Further samples at this stage were negative, and over the following months a series of doublepositive M.R.T.s culminating in a single positive guinea-pig were the only results of much studied work. The positive test obtained through the guinea-pig was of course useless owing to the time interval, for the law requires action within 42 days. The discovery of many strongly positive M.R.T.s, together with the history of the herd, determined the medical officer of health to take action. Accordingly a pasteurization plant was set up and fortunately Br. abortus was cultured a day or two later, confirming the justice of the order.

At the end of 12 months the balance-sheet read as follows: On the debit side the laboratory had, in the course of the year, examined some 500 specimens from the farm. This involved a considerable expenditure in time and media and also the slaughter of a number of guinea-pigs. The specimens had been collected by milk samplers driving a heavy mileage at public expense. A local public health inspector had a seven-week illness diagnosed of an unspecified fever following the visit to the farm. Several brucca-positive cows had been sold to unsuspecting farmers possibly residing in adjoining counties.

There seemed at that stage little on the credit side of the account and some students of veterinary medicine had learned to culture and identify Br. abortus. More recently, however, there has been a substantial gain, for the farmer has installed a pasteurization plant and in future will market heat-treated milk. The problem on one farm has been solved, but there remains the problem of the sale of the brucca-infected cows. Perhaps at this moment Dr. Henderson in Worcestershire, or one of his colleagues in Hereford or Oxford, is investigating a positive M.R.T. from a herd previously free from brucellosis.—I am, etc.,

Public Health Laboratory,
A. E. WRIGHT, M.B.

Dr. R. J. Henderson’s article (29 November, p. 550) expressing cause for concern because of trading in brucca-infected cattle is timely. I, too, have personal experience of such bad practice being sold and have been able to do nothing about it. With the advent of the Milk (Special Designation) Regulations, 1963, I thought I would be able to exercise some control. Schedule 2 of these regulations made it a condition applicable to a producer’s licence that the producer should keep a record of all infected animals segregated from the herd, and in the event of removal or slaughter of any animals which had been disposed of. I regret that although producers may keep such a record the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food informed me that the licensing authority the Ministry had no authority for making these records available to me. Had the records been available I could have informed the medical officer of health side except that the control herd had been sold, have had to accept that the Ministry is not interested in the control of brucca-infected cattle.—I am, etc.,

JOSEPH REYNOLDS.

Warmist and Westbury
Wiltshire.
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Freezing and Antilymphocytic Globulin

Sir,—The use by Dr. P. B. Doak and his colleagues (29 November, p. 522) of frozen spleen and thymic cells (—30°C) for the preparation of antilymphocytic globulin (A.L.G.) raises some interesting theoretical points.

In a survey of published reports on the technique of preparing A.L.G. I had been struck by the play several authors1-4 made about the percentage viability of the lymphocytes prior to injection into horses. In a recent and detailed review of this subject5 mention was made of the suitability of frozen lymphocytes for the production of A.L.G. What was pointed out was that lymphocytes were frozen but protected by dimethylsulphoxide and later were thawed and used in the successful production of A.L.G. Dr. Doak and his colleagues not only used straight frozen spleens and thymuses, but, compared to some other authors, used fewer cells and without Freund’s adjuvant—the immunologist’s best friend. Does this mean that there are potent subcellular fractions which can remain stable in these frozen samples and yet sensitise after thawing? After freezing without protection the lymphocytes per se would not be viable. Surely, then, any frozen parenchymal cells could be used to sensitize horses for A.L.G.?—I am, etc.,

J. O. DEMPSTER.

Department of Surgery, Royal Postgraduate Medical School, London W.12.
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High Optical Density of Liquefied Ammoni

Sir,—The case report by Drs. J. M. White and R. W. Jones (22 November, p. 473), in which they record a high optical density difference at 450 nm in the liquefied amni of a pregnant Greek Cypriot with Hb H disease, is of great interest, but I feel they dismiss too readily the possibility (or probability) that the liquefied amni bilirubin was maternal in origin.

In this hospital an even higher optical density difference for bilirubin was found in a woman with congenital haemolytic anaemia. As she had developed the positive Kell antibodies, probably as a result of one of her many blood transfusions for recurrent severe anaemia, amniotic fluid analysis was scheduled for the 30th week of pregnancy. Amniocentesis was performed as planned even though a haemolytic crisis, with transient jaundice, began the previous day. The optical density difference at 450 nm was 0-44, a level usually indicating a hopelessly severe degree of fetal haemolytic disease. As and after the serum bilirubin returned to normal levels, repeated amniocenteses revealed a rapid decline in liquefied amni bilirubin and delivery near term was intended. Labour began spontaneously at 36 weeks and the baby survived without any evidence of haemolyis.

A high liquefied optical density difference at 450 nm (24 at 32 weeks) has also been observed in a rhesus-sensitized woman with infectious hepatitis and with an unaffacted baby (Coombs negative; rhesus negative).

It is clear that obstetricians dealing with the rhesus problem should develop that maternal haemolysis, or hyperbilirubinaemia from some other cause, may be associated with high bilirubin levels in the liquefied amni.—I am, etc.,

C. R. WHITFIELD.

Royal Maternity Hospital, Belfast.

ADEQUACY OF MAN

Sir,—Some weeks ago you commented editorially (6 September, p. 546) on Sir Peter Medawar’s presidential address to the British Association this autumn,1 pointing out that it might stimulate “thought on the human condition—and on the contribution doctors may make to alleviate it. . . .” It is interesting to recall, in the context of Sir Peter’s earlier programme between the seventeenth and the present centuries, that it was in the first half of that century that the philosopher Descartes expressed the view that “it is to the point and apt of medicine that the human race must look if it is to perfect and fit itself for the gigantic social tasks and problems which are bound up with its future development.”

Descartes himself would have been the last to approve uncritical acceptance of his view. For him questioning doubt (Cartesian doubt) was the starting-point of philosophic inquiry, the test we should be ready to apply at all times.
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