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What you need to know

- Co-infections with malaria affect up to half of children in endemic countries and around one in seven travellers with malaria.
- A positive diagnostic test does not mean malaria is the only, or even a contributing, cause of current illness.
- In settings where resources are constrained, limited diagnostic capacity can influence the diagnosis of co-infections, so vigilance is required for clinical features atypical for malaria.

Does detection of malaria parasites always indicate a diagnosis of malaria?

Individuals living in malaria endemic areas can acquire “clinical immunity” to malaria through repeated infections, enabling persistent asymptomatic parasitaemia. 4 The age at which this tolerance is acquired depends on the frequency of exposure. In some African countries with high malaria transmission, asymptomatic P falciparum parasitaemia can be found in up to 80% of school age children2 and symptomatic malaria is uncommon in adults. It is likely, therefore, that co-infection with non-malarial illnesses in these populations will be accompanied by incidental malaria parasitaemia.

How common are co-infections?

Although comprehensive data are lacking, co-infections are probably very common.3 Prevalence is higher in populations living in malaria endemic countries than in those where malaria is imported, but estimates depend on how intensively co-infections are sought and availability of diagnostics. In one large observational study of outpatient children in Tanzania undergoing extensive diagnostic evaluation for a spectrum of causes of fever, half of patients with malaria had at least one co-infection. A postmortem study of Malawian children who met diagnostic criteria for cerebral malaria at time of death found an alternative infectious cause of death in at least 19% (6/31).6 Among imported malaria patients at specialist university hospitals in Italy and Germany, co-infection rates were 13% (9/70) and 16% (41/264), respectively.7 8

Bacteraemia

Risk of bacteraemic co-infection has been studied extensively. Malaria is thought to increase susceptibility to bacteraemia by impairment of gastrointestinal barrier defences and impairment of immune responses.9 10 The most commonly reported bacterial co-infections are enteric Gram negative organisms (eg, Salmonella species, particularly non-typhoidal salmonella in African children) and Staphylococcus aureus.11 12

A large epidemiological study that used mendelian randomisation with malaria protective sickle cell trait to establish causality, provided strong evidence that malaria increases the risk of bacteraemia in Kenyan children, explaining 62% of cases when malaria prevalence was highest.13 Systematic reviews report a pooled prevalence of bacteraemia in 7.6% (95% confidence interval (CI) 6.7% to 8.7%) of patients with malaria who were tested for bacteraemia,12 and 6.4% (95% CI 5.8% to 7.0%) in African children with...
severe malaria, but noted substantial heterogeneity in prevalence between studies.

Large observational studies suggest the prevalence of bacteraemic co-infection is lower in those who do not reside in high malaria transmission settings. Bacteraemia was present in 1% (95% CI 0.4% to 1.8%) of Vietnamese adults with severe malaria,14 1.4% (3/219) of adult patients with imported malaria at a German university hospital,15 and 0.3% (2/417) of imported malaria cases in Sweden.16 Overall rates of bacterial co-infection (including non-bacteraemic infections) were 4.3% (12/291) in Sweden17 and 11% (29/264) in adults in Germany.18 Higher rates of bacterial co-infection have been reported in patients with imported severe malaria: 20% (10/49) in German adults19 and 14% (13/91) in a French intensive care unit.20

Viral

Acute viral co-infections are likely more common than bacterial co-infections, but they are frequently undocumented because of limited diagnostic testing capacity in malaria endemic countries. In outpatient children in Tanzania with malaria, about one third had concomitant viral upper respiratory tract infections or a systemic viral illness.21 In Malawian children with a clinical diagnosis of cerebral malaria, 35% (27/78) also had a central nervous system viral infection.22 Conversely, only 5% (14/264) of adults with imported malaria at a German university hospital were found to have a viral co-infection.23

The overlapping epidemiology of malaria transmission with areas of high prevalence of HIV and chronic hepatitis viruses means that these will also be common viral co-infections. A large cross sectional study in Mozambique, a country with high HIV prevalence and high malaria transmission, found malaria parasites in 33% of adult patients with HIV.24 Viral haemorrhagic fevers are rare co-infections compared with respiratory viruses and bacteraemia, but can be more common in endemic areas and outbreaks. In an area of Nigeria where Lassa fever is endemic, Lassa virus was identified in 4.6% (4/87) of febrile children with malaria parasitaemia.25

Parasites

Mixed infections of P falciparum and non-P falciparum malaria parasites are a common finding in sub-Saharan Africa, particularly when sensitive molecular techniques are used for the detection of non-P falciparum species. One recent study reported mixed infection in 25.8% (523/2027) of outpatients with malaria in Kenya.26 Helminths (eg, hookworm, roundworm, Schistosoma) are widely distributed and also common co-infections in many malaria endemic regions, with a pooled prevalence of 17.7% (95% CI 12.7% to 23.2%) in a recent systematic review.27 Many countries where malaria is endemic are also endemic for systemic parasitic diseases, with clinical features overlapping those of malaria (eg, visceral leishmaniasis, human African trypanosomiasis), and co-infections are well documented in populations with a high overlapping incidence.28 29

Fungi

Few data are available on malaria and fungal co-infections, but several case reports documented disseminated aspergillosis following malaria in individuals who were previously healthy, possibly as a result of immune dysfunction related to malaria.30

Do co-infections influence severity of illness?

The implication of assuming the diagnosis is only malaria can range from insignificant, usually for self-resolving viral co-infections, to severe and life threatening, for treatable invasive bacterial co-infections or viral haemorrhagic fevers.

Bacteraemia

A systematic review of studies in African children reported a higher pooled case fatality rate (24.1%) in severe malaria with invasive bacterial co-infection than in severe malaria alone (10.2%).11 Another systematic review reported a mortality rate of 15% (95% CI 8.0% to 23.0%) across all patients with malaria and bacteraemic co-infection.12 Bacterial co-infection was more common in fatal cases (40%, 4/10) of imported severe malaria than non-fatal cases (11%, 9/83) in a European intensive care unit.16 Recent estimates suggest up to a third of the malaria deaths in African children may be the result of bacterial co-infection rather than the malaria parasites.25

Viral

Most acute viral co-infections are self-limiting, but incorrect diagnosis can result in missed opportunities to detect, treat, and prevent transmission of more significant viral diseases such as dengue, viral haemorrhagic fevers, or covid-19. The clinical consequences of viral co-infections in individuals with severe malaria and, conversely of malaria co-infection in individuals with severe viral diseases, are less well established. In Malawian children with suspected central nervous system infection, mortality was higher (38%, odds ratio 3.6 (95% CI 1.6 to 8.0)) for children with P falciparum parasitaemia and central nervous system viral infection than in those with parasitaemia alone (14%).27 Conclusive data for the most common or severe viral infections, including Ebola virus26 and SARS-CoV-2, are lacking.27 28

Parasites

Data on the impact of malaria and co-infections with Leishmania or Trypanosoma on severity of illness and survival are inconclusive.22 23 Helminths transmitted in soil may contribute to the severity of anaemia associated with malaria.21

What are the challenges for diagnosing malaria and co-infections?

Presentation

Malaria usually presents as an acute febrile illness with systemic symptoms such as chills, headache, and body aches.29 Most clinical features of the disease are indistinguishable from many other systemic febrile illnesses (table 1), including some non-infectious causes. Only one clinical finding, malarial retinopathy, is highly specific for malaria (up to 100% specificity for diagnosis of cerebral malaria30), but it does not exclude co-infection with other pathogens.17
Table 1 | Clinical features of malaria, severe malaria, and their overlap with other causes of fever in children and adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Malaria</th>
<th>Clinical features</th>
<th>Examples of other causes of fever with overlapping clinical features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uncomplicated malaria</td>
<td>Rigors, myalgia, headache</td>
<td>Influenza, covid-19, Epstein-Barr virus, Typhoid and non-typhoidal Salmonella bacteraemia, Leptospirosis, scrub typhus, tuberculosis, African trypanosomiasis, Multi-system inflammatory syndrome in children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abdominal pain, vomiting, diarrhoea,</td>
<td>Viral gastroenteritis, Campylobacter, salmonellosis, shigellosis, cryptosporidiosis, amoebiasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>Respiratory viruses, bacterial pneumonia (pneumococcal, mycoplasma), tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe malaria</td>
<td>Prostration, multiple convulsions, coma (cerebral malaria)</td>
<td>Viral meningitis or encephalitis, acute bacterial meningitis, cerebral abscess, tuberculous meningitis, cryptococcal meningitis, Rye’s syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acidosis, hypoglycaemia</td>
<td>Sepsis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe anaemia</td>
<td>Haemolytic uraemic syndrome, visceral leishmaniasis, haemolytic crisis (sickle cell, G6PD deficiency), malignancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Renal impairment</td>
<td>Lassa fever, Ebola virus disease, urinary tract infections, sepsis, leptospirosis, haemolytic uraemic syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jaundice</td>
<td>Acute cholecystitis, cholangitis, leptospirosis, haemolytic crisis (sickle cell, G6PD deficiency), Kawasaki disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hyponatraemia, respiratory distress</td>
<td>Bacterial or viral pneumonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abnormal bleeding</td>
<td>Sepsis, viral haemorrhagic fever (Ebola virus disease, Lassa fever), dengue, haematological malignancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shock</td>
<td>Sepsis, dengue, hypovolaemia associated with gastrointestinal infections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diseases in bold are most common. Those in italics are specific to children.

In box 1 and figure 1, we outline features of other infections (and selected non-infectious febrile illnesses) which do not usually occur in malaria. Focal symptoms and signs, such as lymphadenitis or unilateral lung crepitations, are not typical of malaria and should prompt consideration of an additional cause. In a setting with low resource healthcare, WHO’s Integrated Management of Childhood Illness guidelines recommend assessing for stiff neck, runny nose, localised tenderness, oral sores, refusal to use a limb, hot tender swelling, red tender skin or boils, lower abdominal pain, pain on passing urine, and signs of measles, which may suggest a diagnosis other than malaria. At the end of this article we highlight additional sources of guidance for evaluation of travellers from malaria endemic countries (box ‘Guidelines’), which should include a detailed history, considering risk factors for other infections and the chronology of illness.

**Box 1: Features in history that may suggest co-infection with other pathogens**

**Symptoms**
- Insidious onset, gradual weight loss
- Prolonged fever (>7 days)
- Profuse vomiting, diarrhoea (including presence of blood or mucus)
- Coryza, conjunctivitis, sore throat, stridor, prominent/productive/whooping cough
- Focal musculoskeletal symptoms
- Rash, skin or mucosal lesions
- Strong or foul smelling urine, dysuria

**Risk factors**
- Recent exposure to others with transmissible infections
- Presence of HIV, other immunodeficiencies, or immunosuppression
- Malnourished state
- Presence of sickle cell disease
- Congenital or acquired heart disease
- Pregnancy
- Close contact with animals
- Positive travel history (including within malaria endemic countries)
- Presence of indwelling medical devices (e.g., catheters, ventriculoperitoneal shunts) or recent surgery

**Drug history (which may modify risk or influence diagnostic test results)**
- Recent use of antibiotics and antimalarials
- Vaccinations
- Immunosuppressive medication
Malaria must be confirmed by diagnostic testing, most commonly microscopy for parasites within red blood cells and/or the detection of one or more parasite antigens in blood using lateral flow rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs). A full blood count is also helpful, with thrombocytopenia being a typical finding in malaria. In Africa, common RDTs based on the detection of the parasite antigen PfHRP2 are around 95% sensitive and 95% specific for symptomatic P. falciparum malaria, but with caveats:

- Sensitivity is diminished in low parasitaemia asymptomatic infections
- Results from PfHRP2 RDTs can remain positive for several weeks after successful treatment of malaria

- They can detect malaria even if treatment was given before testing in the current illness
- A false positive test may arise from a previous malaria infection, especially in settings with high transmission rates

Increasingly, false negative PfHRP2 RDT results occur because of deletions of the parasite PfHRP2/3 genes. Current RDTs for malaria have lower sensitivity for non-falciparum parasite species, and their detection by microscopy may be challenging because parasitaemia is often lower than that of P. falciparum. Rapid multiplex molecular assays for efficient syndromic testing are increasingly available in resource rich settings, but diagnostics for infections other than malaria can be scarce in resource limited settings. Diagnostics for bacterial co-infection usually require the culture of bacteria from sterile site samples before starting antimicrobial therapy. Presenting features and patient age determine appropriate microbiological samples, which can generally be performed in line with context appropriate guidelines for management of fever or sepsis (eg, guidance from WHO or the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence). Diagnostics for rarer pathogens are often available only in reference laboratories and should be requested only after expert consultation, in parallel with any necessary infection prevention and control processes (box ‘Guidelines’).
### Table 2 | Examples of diagnostic tests for co-infections with clinical features overlapping those of malaria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test category (example)</th>
<th>Gold standard diagnostic</th>
<th>Community or health facility without a laboratory (LMIC)</th>
<th>Facility with a clinical laboratory (LMIC)</th>
<th>Facility with an advanced laboratory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Invasive bacterial infections (non-typhoid Salmonella bacteraemia)</td>
<td>Culture based detection from sterile site</td>
<td>Usually none</td>
<td>Staining procedures (eg, Gram stain)</td>
<td>Culture based detection and bacterial identification from many specimen types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Culture based methods. Antimicrobial susceptibility</td>
<td>Antimicrobial sensitivity. Molecular diagnostics (eg, PCR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasitic infection (malaria, visceral leishmaniasis)</td>
<td>Microscopy</td>
<td>Malaria RDT, Visceral leishmaniasis (K39) RDT</td>
<td>Malaria RDT and microscopy, Visceral leishmaniasis (microscopy, direct agglutination)</td>
<td>Malaria RDT and microscopy, visceral leishmaniasis (microscopy, serology, PCR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral infection</td>
<td>NATs (+/- antigen based tests), Serological assays</td>
<td>HIV (RDT), Influenza (RDT), SARS-CoV-2 (RDT)</td>
<td>Viral NAT: SARS-CoV-2, influenza, dengue virus, measles, HIV, RDT: HIV, dengue Serological immunoassay: HIV, measles, rubella</td>
<td>NATs for many viruses, often in multiplex syndromic panels; antigen tests; serological assays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</td>
<td>Culture based detection</td>
<td>Urinary Lipoarabinomannan RDT (in patients with HIV)</td>
<td>Microscopy, culture, NAT (eg, gene expert), drug susceptibility testing</td>
<td>Microscopy, culture, NAT, Drug susceptibility testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity assessment</td>
<td>Clinical chemistry and haematology tests</td>
<td>Haemoglobin (hemoglobinometer); glucose (glucometer); Urinalysis (dipstick)</td>
<td>Complete blood count (automated analyser)</td>
<td>Extensive range of automated analysers for haematology and biochemistry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Liver function, renal function, electrolytes (semi-automated or automated analyser)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Blood gas/pH/lactate/glucose (portable analyser)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CRP (RDT, immunoassay)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tests shown in italics vary in availability, meaning that they will often not be available at a health facility. LMIC=low and middle income countries; RDT=rapid diagnostic test; NAT=nucleic acid test; PCR=polymerase chain reaction.

---

**Assessing risk of clinically significant co-infection**

To our knowledge, there are no validated prediction rules or prospective studies of risk stratification for clinically significant co-infection in patients with malaria. In a retrospective study of adult patients with imported malaria in Germany, multivariate analysis showed that clinical evidence of an alternative focus of infection was associated with an odds ratio of 3.9 (1.5 to 11.5) for bacterial co-infection, while C reactive protein was not significantly different in those with and without bacterial co-infection. A similar study in France found that the presence of corynebacteria was associated with a 3.7 times increased risk of bacterial co-infection. However, these studies were limited by small sample sizes and the retrospective nature of the data. A recent systematic review of diagnostic strategies for co-infections with malaria found that the most common methods used were RDTs for malaria and culture-based methods for bacteria. However, the sensitivity and specificity of these tests varied greatly depending on the study setting and the type of infection.

**Bacteraemia**

Some risk stratification may be possible based on patient and clinical factors. One large systematic review identified bacteraemia as most common in high transmission settings, in younger children, and in those with severe malarial anaemia. However, retrospective observational studies indicate that laboratory measurements can help to identify two groups of patients who appear to have severe malaria and are at highest risk of bacterial co-infection (fig 2).
Malaria and bacterial co-infection. Bacterial co-infection can occur in individuals with incidental (asymptomatic) parasitaemia, or individuals with symptomatic malaria. In malaria endemic countries it is common for individuals to have asymptomatic parasitaemia. In those sick enough to require admission to hospital, bacterial co-infection is most common among those with the lowest and highest parasite loads. Those with the lowest parasite load are likely to have been asymptomatically infected with malaria parasites and the cause of their illness is more likely to be a bacterial infection. Those with the highest parasite load are most likely to have severe malaria and are at highest risk of bacterial co-infection. *Parasite load is correlated with percentage parasitaemia and parasite density, but these can underestimate the total number of parasites in severe malaria when many parasites are sequestered in the microvasculature. In research settings, *P falciparum* parasite load is often estimated by quantification of the plasma concentration of the parasite antigen PfHRP2.

These include:

- Individuals who have incidental parasitaemia and another cause of severe illness, characterised by low parasite load and absence of polymorphonuclear leucocytes containing malaria pigment (determined by microscopy), high white cell count for age, and normal platelet count.  

- Individuals who have true severe malaria with very high parasite load, low platelet count, lower white cell counts, and often >5% of polymorphonuclear leucocytes contain malaria pigment, at increased risk of bacterial co-infection as a direct consequence of their malaria infection.

Malaria parasitaemia is quantified as the percentage of infected red blood cells. Parasitaemia is lowest in asymptomatic infections, intermediate in uncomplicated malaria, and highest in severe malaria, but the groups overlap considerably. In severe *P falciparum* malaria, many parasites are sequestered in the microvasculature and not visible on blood film. Research studies quantify the total parasite load of circulating and sequestered parasites by using plasma or serum PfHRP2 concentration, which discriminates better between asymptomatic, uncomplicated, and severe groups, but these are not available in routine clinical practice. Parasitaemia and PfHRP2 concentrations are only moderately correlated, and their relations with symptomatic or severe disease can vary with age and endemicity, making it challenging to set generalisable risk thresholds. Nevertheless, very high parasitaemia indicates a high parasite load, and in a study of 845 adults with severe malaria in Vietnam, bacteraemia was 8.1 (95% CI 2.2 to 29.5) times more common in those with >20% parasitaemia than in those with lower parasitaemia. Prolonged fever, recurrence of fever, or deterioration after starting antimalarial treatment, all warrant evaluation for acquisition of bacterial infection and antibiotic treatment, as well as consideration of antimalarial resistance.

**Viral**

Consider the potential for viral haemorrhagic fever co-infection in patients from areas where such diseases are endemic (eg, Lassa fever in West Africa) or when outbreaks occur. Test patients with suspected viral haemorrhagic fever for malaria to rule out a treatable co-infection, and consider viral haemorrhagic fever co-infection in patients with malaria to enable appropriate measures of infection control. Risk of viral haemorrhagic fever can be stratified by a detailed travel history, including dates of travel to endemic areas (most have an incubation period under 21 days), exposures, and contacts (box ‘Guidelines’). Risk factors for other significant viral infections may be identified through careful history taking and attention to current epidemiology. In areas with a high prevalence of HIV, it may be appropriate to screen all individuals with severe malaria for HIV.

**Parasitic and fungal**

Consider significant parasitic or fungal co-infections when the patient has a high risk of exposure or clinical features that are atypical for malaria (fig 1) or which fail to respond fully to antimalarial treatment.
How to manage possible co-infection

Figure 3 shows an algorithm for assessment and management of possible co-infection, based on our experience and in line with international guidelines. Our recommendations apply to the management of possible co-infection in children with malaria in sub-Saharan Africa and in travellers with malaria in non-endemic settings. Antimalarial treatment is always indicated in a patient with a positive test for malaria and compatible symptoms, even if a co-infection is suspected or there is uncertainty about whether malaria is causing illness. If the patient has obvious focal infection, empirical treatment is indicated after taking appropriate diagnostic samples.
**Bacteraemia**

In children with malaria in an endemic country:

- Initiate antimalarial treatment
- Examine and investigate, if possible, for focal bacterial co-infection
- Commence broad spectrum antibiotic treatment in all children with severe malaria.

In returning travellers:

- Initiate antimalarial treatment
- Examine and investigate for focal bacterial co-infection
- Commence broad spectrum antibiotic treatment in all severely ill children and in adults with signs of shock or respiratory failure.

Consider empirical treatment also for patients with severe illness who have inconsistent clinical or laboratory findings, and those with very high parasitaemia (>20%). Some national guidelines recommend more restrictive approaches to empirical antibiotic treatment, focusing on patients with circulatory shock, respiratory failure, very high lactate.46-48

Treatment with a third generation cephalosporin (eg, ceftriaxone) is likely to be effective against the most common bacterial co-infections, non-typhoidal Salmonella and S aureus, but this may not be feasible for every child with severe malaria in endemic countries because of cost and limited availability. Alternative empirical treatment regimens using gentamicin plus narrower spectrum β lactam antibiotics may not provide adequate cover. Even third generation cephalosporins may sometimes be inadequate because of increasing prevalence of resistant organisms.43 Some guidelines for imported malaria recommend broader spectrum treatment with piperacillin/tazobactam or carbapenems, plus an aminoglycoside.47 48

**Viral**

Diagnostics and specific treatments for many viral infections are rarely available outside advanced healthcare facilities. If viral co-infections of public health importance are suspected, such as measles or a viral haemorrhagic fever, take available infection control precautions, and notify appropriate authorities according to local and national procedures. Post-exposure vaccination or immunoglobulin may protect and prevent further spread for specific infections.51

After stratifying risk for viral haemorrhagic fevers and other transmissible infections, follow standard local infection control policies for patients at low risk. Isolate patients at high risk immediately, and use enhanced personal protective equipment while urgently seeking specialist guidance (box ‘Guidelines’).

**Parasitic and fungal**

Treatment of specific parasitic and fungal co-infections depend on the organism. Empirical treatment with albendazole or mebendazole may be given to anaemic children with malaria, if not received in the last six months, to treat soil transmitted helminths.

**Areas of uncertainty**

- What is the burden of different clinically significant co-infections with malaria in different settings and different age groups?
- What are their prevalences in patients with a positive malaria test?
- Are they more common in patients with malaria than in the general population?
- What are their impacts on morbidity and mortality in different settings?
- How can we identify patients with a positive malaria test who are at greatest risk of having clinically significant co-infections?
- Which additional diagnostic tests for co-infection should be performed in different geographical and healthcare settings?
- Which patients with malaria should receive empirical antibiotics?
- Which empirical antibiotics are most appropriate in which settings?
- What is the impact of giving empirical antibiotics on antimicrobial resistance?

**Patient perspective**

There are times when I am convinced that I have malaria. During those times, I am okay when I visit the hospital and get tested for malaria and start my antimalarial drugs. There are other times I am convinced it is something else, but then, I still must test for malaria. On these occasions, I become worried because I might be receiving treatment for just a part of my symptoms and risk infecting my family members if the second cause is infectious. Being able to test for different pathogens puts my mind at ease and makes me trust the doctor’s final diagnosis. Although this is more expensive, it saves me from multiple trips to the hospital, and makes me confident in the healthcare system.

Kambe, university student, Ghana

**How patients were involved in the creation of this article**

Patients were not directly involved in in the writing of this article, but a representative patient story has been included.

**How this article was made**

We searched PubMed using combinations of the terms: “Malaria”, “Plasmodium”, “Co-infection”, “Coinfection”, and names of specific infections. We supplemented this with personal archives of references, and references within identified articles.

**Guidelines**

**Management of malaria**

- WHO Malaria management guideline. https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/277868/9789241565996-eng.pdf;jsessionid=115B9D572F6C78C24F05CE445492C7F4?sequence=1
- UK Malaria treatment guideline. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2016.02.001

Assessment and management of infections acquired in malaria endemic countries
Education into practice

- How do you assess for additional or alternative infection diagnosis in patients with a positive malaria test?
- Of your patients with severe malaria, what proportion had blood cultures taken and received empirical broad spectrum antibiotic treatment?
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