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ABSTRACT

CLINICAL QUESTION
What are the benefits and harms of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists when added to usual care (lifestyle interventions and/or other diabetes drugs) in adults with type 2 diabetes at different risk for cardiovascular and kidney outcomes?

CURRENT PRACTICE
Clinical decisions about treatment of type 2 diabetes have been led by glycaemic control for decades. SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists are traditionally used in people with elevated glucose level after metformin treatment. This has changed through trials demonstrating atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (CVD) and chronic kidney disease (CKD) benefits independent of medications’ glucose-lowering potential.

RECOMMENDATIONS
The guideline panel issued risk-stratified recommendations concerning the use of SGLT-2 inhibitors or GLP-1 receptor agonists in adults with type 2 diabetes

• Three or fewer cardiovascular risk factors without established CVD or CKD: Weak recommendation against starting SGLT-2 inhibitors or GLP-1 receptor agonists.
• More than three cardiovascular risk factors without established CVD or CKD: Weak recommendation for starting SGLT-2 inhibitors and weak against starting GLP-1 receptor agonists.
• Established CVD or CKD: Weak recommendation for starting SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists.
• Established CVD and CKD: Strong recommendation for starting SGLT-2 inhibitors and weak recommendation for starting GLP-1 receptor agonists.
• For those committed to further reducing their risk for CVD and CKD outcomes: Weak recommendation for starting SGLT-2 inhibitors rather than GLP-1 receptor agonists.

HOW THIS GUIDELINE WAS CREATED
An international panel including patients, clinicians, and methodologists created these recommendations following standards for trustworthy guidelines and using the GRADE approach. The panel applied an individual patient perspective.

THE EVIDENCE
A linked systematic review and network meta-analysis (764 randomised trials included 421 346 participants) of benefits and harms found that SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists generally reduce overall death, and incidence of myocardial infarctions, and end-stage kidney disease or kidney failure (moderate to high certainty evidence). These medications exert different effects on stroke, hospitalisations for heart failure, and key adverse events in different subgroups. Absolute effects of benefit varied widely based on patients’ individual risk (for example, from five fewer deaths in the lowest risk to 48 fewer deaths in the highest risk, for 1000 patients treated over five years). A prognosis review identified 14 eligible risk prediction models, one of which (RECODe) informed most baseline risk estimates in evidence summaries to underpin the risk-stratified recommendations. Concerning patients’ values and preferences, the recommendations were supported by evidence from a systematic review of published literature, a patient focus group study, a practical issues summary, and a guideline panel survey.

UNDERSTANDING THE RECOMMENDATION
We stratified the recommendations by the levels of risk for CVD and CKD and systematically considered the balance of benefits, harms, other considerations, and practical issues for each risk group. The strong recommendation for SGLT-2 inhibitors in patients with CVD and CKD reflects what the panel considered to be a clear benefit. For all other adults with type 2 diabetes, the weak recommendations reflect what the panel considered to be a finer balance between benefits, harms, and burdens of treatment options. Clinicians using the guideline can identify their patient’s individual risk for cardiovascular and kidney outcomes using credible risk calculators such as RECODe. Interactive evidence summaries and decision aids may support well informed treatment choices, including shared decision making.

People with type 2 diabetes (a condition with an increasing prevalence globally) face an increased risk of cardiovascular disease, kidney disease, and...
other complications. For decades, management of type 2 diabetes has been led by blood glucose and glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) treatment targets, but recent high quality randomised controlled trials have challenged this glucocentric paradigm, with outcomes suggesting that intensive glycaemic control may not always correlate with a reduction in macrovascular outcomes and may be associated with harm.

Regulatory agencies are now requiring new diabetes medications to demonstrate benefit on cardiovascular and kidney outcomes to obtain approval. Trials of two newer classes of medication—sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists (see box 1)—suggest that these drugs, when added to existing treatment regimens (usual care), demonstrate benefits on death, myocardial infarctions, and stroke and, more recently, heart failure and kidney outcomes such as progression to end stage kidney disease. However, although systematic reviews show consistent relative risk reductions, the drugs’ absolute benefits and harms depend on patients’ individual risk profiles.

### Box 1: What are sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists?

SGLT-2 inhibitors are a class of oral anti-diabetic drugs, including empagliflozin, canagliflozin, dapagliflozin, and ertugliflozin. They increase the excretion of glucose and sodium in the urine by inhibiting SGLT-2 in the kidney, thus lowering the blood glucose level. They may also slightly lower blood pressure and body weight. GLP-1 receptor agonists are a class of non-insulin injection anti-diabetic drugs, including exenatide, liraglutide, lixisenatide, albiglutide, dulaglutide, semaglutide, and loxenatide. They mimic the intestinal hormone incretin and bind its receptor, which slows the rate at which food leaves the stomach, controls the appetite, and regulates insulin and glucagon secretion.

This guideline represents a shift from the traditional focus on glycaemic control to a focus on the absolute reduction of cardiovascular and kidney disease outcomes. We provide risk-stratified recommendations about when to add SGLT-2 inhibitors or GLP-1 receptor agonists to existing treatment for adults with type 2 diabetes. The recommendations are based on patients’ individual risk of cardiovascular and kidney diseases that determine the anticipated absolute benefits of SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists. These benefits need to be carefully weighed against potential harms and practical issues resulting from adding these medications to usual care.

The infographic provides an overview of the recommendations, with evidence summaries displaying benefits, harms, and practical issues. Box 2 shows all the evidence linked in this Rapid Recommendation package, with linked systematic reviews on effectiveness, prognosis, and patients’ values and preferences underpinning the recommendations.

### Box 2: Linked resources for this BMJ Rapid Recommendations cluster

  - Summary of the results from the Rapid Recommendation process
  - Expanded version of the results with multilayered recommendations, evidence summaries, and decision aids for use on all devices

### Current practice

Existing guidelines vary in their approach to using newer evidence about macrovascular outcomes or traditional glucocentric approaches to determine guidance about treatment (table 1). Professional societies within cardiology, nephrology, and diabetes increasingly recommend SGLT-2 inhibitors or GLP-1 receptor agonists in adults at high cardiovascular risks, including those with established cardiovascular disease, heart failure, and chronic kidney disease. Other prominent guideline organisations (such as the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, NICE) still apply a glucocentric approach and recommend an alternative initial medication for most adults with type 2 diabetes, leaving SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists as alternative options. Existing guidelines do not provide clear judgments on how the balance of benefits and harms of these drugs vary across patients with type 2 diabetes and different cardiovascular and/or kidney risk, nor do they report how patients’ values and preferences were considered in developing their recommendations.

### How these recommendations were created

#### Who was involved?

We recruited an international guideline panel with patient partners (people living with type 2 diabetes with or without complications), general practitioners, general internists, endocrinologists, nephrologists, cardiologists, geriatricians, and methodologists. The panel decided on the scope of this guideline and formulated recommendations. No panel member reported financial conflicts of interest. Intellectual conflicts of interest were minimised and managed (see appendix 1 on bmj.com for details of panel members and their competing interests).

#### What research did the guideline panel request and review?

To fully inform their clinical question the panel identified the need for three linked systematic reviews: 1) on benefits and harms of starting SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists to existing therapeutic strategies, including a network meta-analysis and subgroup analyses on key patient characteristics; 2) on prognosis and risk stratification of patients with different risks of cardiovascular and kidney diseases; and 3) on values and preferences of adults with type 2 diabetes regarding SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists. The panel also requested a focus group study of adults with type 2 diabetes, to better understand the magnitude of benefits, harms, and practical issues that patients consider important in deciding whether to add SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists, each compared with usual care as well as each other.

#### What outcomes did the guideline panel consider?
The panel identified 18 patient-important outcomes on benefits and harms to be addressed in the systematic review and network meta-analysis of effectiveness, including all-cause death, non-fatal myocardial infarction, non-fatal stroke, kidney failure, hospitalisation for heart failure, severe hypoglycaemia, eye disease requiring intervention, health-related quality of life, body weight, amputation, neuropsychiatric pain, diabetic ketoacidosis, serious hyperglycaemia, genital infection, Fournier gangrene, severe gastrointestinal events, pancreatic cancer, and pancreatitis. After carefully examining GRADE evidence summaries reporting the absolute effects of starting SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists in addition to usual care across all these outcomes, the panel ended up focusing on eight key outcomes, of which five concerned benefit (all-cause death, non-fatal myocardial infarction, non-fatal stroke, end stage kidney disease, and hospital admission for heart failure) and three reflected key adverse events for each medication (diabetic ketoacidosis, genital infections, and severe gastrointestinal events).

How did the guideline panel formulate the recommendations?

The panel followed the BMJ Rapid Recommendations procedures for developing trustworthy guidelines with standards, methods, and processes as detailed in MAGICapp (https://app.magicapp.org). The panel applied the GRADE approach to critically appraise the evidence and create recommendations from a patient perspective. With GRADE, recommendations can be strong or weak, for or against a course of action. To facilitate the panel’s deliberations on the strength and direction of recommendations for each of the five risk groups, we conducted an anonymous panel survey before the teleconferences. For each risk category, we presented the evidence for all benefit and harm outcomes, and then asked the panel about the proportion of participants who would choose either the medication or standard care, as follows: all or almost all (90-100%) would choose, most (75-90%) would choose, the majority (51-74%) would choose, the minority (26-50%) would choose, and the few (0-25%) would choose. Recommendations considered the evidence to decision domains including the balance of benefits, harms, and burdens of SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists, the certainty of the evidence, typical and expected variations in patients’ values and preferences, feasibility, acceptability, and equity issues.

How do people value benefits and harms?

None of the subgroup analyses requested by the panel provided credible evidence of different relative effects based on key patient characteristics (such as established versus not established cardiovascular disease).

The relative effects on cardiovascular and renal outcomes were found to be consistent across patients at different risk of such outcomes and for the drug classes, the absolute effects are determined by patients’ individual risk profiles (for example, from five fewer deaths in lowest risk to 48 fewer deaths in the highest risk, per 1000 patients treated over five years). The infographic, the linked NMA, and the MAGICApp interactive decision support tool MATCH-IT display the absolute effects of starting SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists compared with not starting them (usual care) and against each other in the five risk groups of patients defined for this guideline.

Prognosis and risk prediction for cardiovascular and kidney outcomes

The prognosis systematic review, including available risk prediction models for adults with type 2 diabetes, identified one model (RECODE) to best predict all-cause death, end stage kidney disease, myocardial infarction, and stroke. To create GRADE evidence summaries for the five different risk groups, we estimated the baseline risks of these outcomes by simulating typical patients using the RECODE calculator (https://sanjaybasu.shinyapps.io/recode). Since RECODE reports composite outcomes of myocardial infarction and stroke, we estimated myocardial infarction and stroke by splitting outcomes in a 1:1 ratio. We estimated cardiovascular death to be 2/3 of all-cause death. Since the baseline risk for end stage kidney disease/kidney failure seems to be overestimated for adults with diabetes with no established cardiovascular or kidney disease (the first and second risk groups), we used data from the Study of Diabetes in New Zealand (https://www.nzssd.org.nz/cvd_renal/) to estimate the baseline risk. The panel relied on well performed, large observational studies and the control arms of included trials to assess the baseline risk for hospital admission for heart failure and harms (diabetic ketoacidosis, genital infection, severe gastrointestinal events).

How do people value benefits and harms?

The linked systematic review of the patients’ values and preferences included 17 studies with 6986 adults with type 2 diabetes. The review search did not retrieve any published studies regarding patient preferences for SGLT-2 inhibitors and very limited empirical evidence to inform judgments of values and preferences for GLP-1 receptor agonists. People with type 2 diabetes preferred oral medication over injectable treatment, once weekly injections over once daily injections, and simplicity in injection devices.

The evidence

Benefits and harms of SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists

The linked systematic review and network meta-analysis (NMA) included 764 randomised controlled trials with 421 346 participants with type 2 diabetes. In brief, the NMA found that SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists both reduce all-cause death, cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, end stage kidney disease and serious hyperglycaemia (all high certainty evidence) as well as potentially lowering body weight (low certainty) with no difference in severe hypoglycaemia (high certainty).

High certainty evidence demonstrated potentially important benefits of SGLT-2 inhibitors over GLP-1 receptor agonists for all-cause death and hospitalisation for heart failure, and of GLP-1 receptor agonists over SGLT-2 inhibitors on non-fatal stroke. Harms also differed, with SGLT-2 inhibitors increasing the risk of diabetic ketoacidosis and genital infection (moderate to high certainty), while GLP-1 receptor agonists may increase the risk of severe gastrointestinal events (low certainty).

None of the subgroup analyses requested by the panel provided credible evidence of different relative effects based on key patient characteristics (such as established versus not established cardiovascular disease).
The panel therefore convened a focus group with seven patient partners to further elucidate values and preferences of adults with type 2 diabetes. Patient partners were presented with the harms and burdens of starting SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists, compared with not starting them (usual care). Then the investigators presented potential magnitudes of benefit and sought to determine the threshold at which the benefit outcome would be sufficiently large for participants to accept the harms and burdens of taking additional medications. Of the seven participants, two were willing to accept very small benefits to use either drug (<5 in 1000 patients risk reduction in five years), while two would decline either drug even given the largest possible benefit (>30 in 1000 patients risk reduction in five years). Participants weighed avoiding kidney failure similarly to avoiding death, and more highly than avoiding myocardial infarction, stroke, and hospitalisation due to heart failure. Similar to the systematic review of values and preferences, the focus group participants strongly preferred oral medications over injectables.

Understanding the recommendations

Who do they apply to?

This clinical practice guideline is aimed at clinicians caring for people with type 2 diabetes and considering adding an SGLT-2 inhibitor or a GLP-1 receptor agonist to existing treatment regardless of the patient’s ethnicity, gender, HbA1c levels, comorbidities, or underlying risk of cardiovascular and/or kidney disease.

The discussion regarding starting these drugs is typically to help manage long term diabetes complications and are not meant for short term glucose management.

What is my patient’s risk?

Applying the risk-stratified recommendations requires clinicians to identify their patients’ individual risk profiles for cardiovascular and kidney outcomes. It is not difficult to categorise patients with established cardiovascular and/or kidney diseases. In the absence of established disease, clinicians would need to estimate the number of risk factors, including but not limited to age over 60 years old, male, family history of cardiovascular or kidney disease, uncontrolled HbA1c (≥6.5%), current smoking, uncontrolled hypertension (≥140/90 mm Hg), and dyslipidaemias including elevated total cholesterol (≥5.2 mmol/L) and reduced high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol (<1 mmol/L). We provide the RECoDe tool (available online at https://sanjaybasu.shinyapps.io/recode) for this purpose, given its superior credibility compared with other available tools. Importantly, this risk prediction model has only been validated in the US population and needs to be used with caution in other populations that may have different cardiovascular and/or kidney disease risk.

HbA1c and glucose control

HbA1c has long been used to guide clinical decision making about type 2 diabetes. However, systematic reviews have revealed minimal benefits in normalisation of HbA1c. Moreover, the cardiovascular and kidney protection of SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists are unrelated to their impact on HbA1c. It is therefore cardiovascular and kidney risk, rather than HbA1c, that constitutes a possible indication for the two medication classes. All of the trials that demonstrated these drugs’ benefit were, however, conducted in patients whose HbA1c values were >6.5%. Whether those with a lower HbA1c would achieve the same benefit is uncertain.

How to manage patients with severe hyperglycaemia falls outside the scope of our guideline. We note that patients with very high blood glucose levels (such as >16.7 mmol/L or HbA1c >9%) are at risk of life threatening severe hyperglycaemia associated with volume depletion, severe infection, and possible ketoacidosis. Such patients need special evaluations and optimised care, which are addressed by other clinical practice guidelines. Clinicians should also consider the risks of other chronic complications such as retinopathy, cataract, neuropathy, and diabetic foot ulcer in those with high HbA1c levels when making treatment decisions.

Safety and harms

While the systematic review on benefits and harms generally confirmed the two drugs to be safe, some specific considerations apply to the observed adverse events, together with some potential harms raised as concerns by others.

- There may be an increased risk of gastrointestinal events from GLP-1 receptor agonists—including abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and diarrhoea—that could be severe and may lead to the withdrawal of the drug. A “start low, go slow” strategy when initiating GLP-1 receptor agonists may reduce the likelihood of patients experiencing these events. The onset of symptoms may dictate a slower up-titration or, depending on patient preferences, discontinuation.

- The increase in genital infections (for example, vaginitis for females and balanitis for males) from SGLT2-inhibitors is important for decision making. A prior genital infection further increases the risk of infection sevenfold in females and 11-fold in males, so asking patients about prior genital infection is important when considering SGLT-2 inhibitors. One of the most severe forms of genital infection is Fournier’s gangrene, which is very rare but can be fatal. It has been associated with SGLT-2 inhibitors in an observational study, but our network meta-analysis (NMA) did not confirm this association from 41 899 participants in seven trials.

- Despite the NMA confirming a lack of increased diabetic ketoacidosis from SGLT-2 inhibitors, some other reviews with different inclusion criteria raised a concern of diabetic ketoacidosis linked to the use of SGLT-2 inhibitors. Clinicians should discuss the risk of ketoacidosis associated with certain scenarios, such as limited eating or drinking, severe diarrhoea, gastrointestinal surgery, very low carbohydrate diets, and excess alcohol intake. Unlike with other diabetes medications, it is reported that diabetic ketoacidosis can occur when glucose is within the normal range in people receiving SGLT-2 inhibitors.

- Amputations have also been linked to SGLT-2 inhibitors in an observational study and the CANVAS trial. However, the NMA did not find an increased risk of amputation in people receiving SGLT-2 inhibitors, neither did the CREDENCE trial, which used the same medication as the CANVAS trial. The low certainty evidence and the very low incidence led the panel not to consider this outcome in their recommendation.

- Patients and clinicians may be concerned about the risk of pancreatic cancer and pancreatitis when starting GLP-1 receptor agonists based on previous observational studies. However, these concerns were not confirmed by the NMA and other published systematic review.

- The FDA did not approve the use of SGLT-2 inhibitors in people with estimated glomerular filtration rates (eGFRs) <30 mL/min/1.73 m², which was not investigated in the eligible trials of the NMA. GLP-1 receptor agonists may be more appropriate for people with advanced kidney diseases.
Practical issues

We encourage clinicians to use shared decision-making with people with type 2 diabetes in choosing SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists. Table 2 summarises the practical issues related to use of SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists.

Typically, when adding SGLT-2 inhibitors or GLP-1 receptor agonists, the existing drug regimen would remain unchanged unless there are contraindications or newly added risks such as hypoglycaemia. For example, GLP-1 receptor agonists should not be used with dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP-4) inhibitors; thus, GLP-1 receptor agonists can be added only if the DPP-4 inhibitors are discontinued.16 Although neither SGLT-2 inhibitors nor GLP-1 receptor agonists increase the risk of hypoglycaemia, clinicians need to consider the risks of hypoglycaemia due to other ongoing drugs, especially in those at high risk of hypoglycaemia or cardiovascular disease. People receiving insulin, sulphonylureas, or glinides may need to cut 20–50% of their dose or transfer to a less intensive regimen (taking drugs less often) if their blood glucose levels are close to the target range.

Many patients have an aversion to injectable drugs. The common form of the GLP-1 receptor agonists involves subcutaneous injections daily (such as liraglutide and lixisenatide) or twice daily (such as exenatide); new preparations require only weekly injections (such as albiglutide, dulaglutide, exenatide synthetic, and semaglutide). Oral semaglutide is now approved by the US Food and Drug Administration and Health Canada, but it is not widely accessible in other countries. A compound preparation of GLP-1 receptor agonists and insulin is available and may not require increasing the frequency of injection. It should be noted that our recommendation regarding GLP-1 receptor agonists and insulin is available and may not require increasing the frequency of injection. The systematic review of values and preferences and focus group suggests a strong patient preference for the lowest possible frequency of injection (for example, patients prefer once-weekly GLP-1 receptor agonists over once-daily injections).15 Discussing the administration options with patients considering GLP-1 receptor agonists is essential.

Availability and cost

SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists have been widely used in the US, Canada, Europe, and China. However, they are unavailable in many other countries due to cost and local health policy. GLP-1 receptor agonists injectable forms require storage and transportation between 2°C and 8°C before the first use, which limits its availability in remote regions with travel challenges. Even if available, SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists are expensive in some countries, and not fully covered or not covered under certain conditions by insurance. The cost effectiveness of these drugs was not considered in the current guideline, because of variation in access and models of healthcare reimbursement internationally. Availability and cost considerations are likely to be important for individual patients and healthcare systems aiming to make appropriate use of limited resources.

Limitations

There are several limitations to this guideline.

- The current evidence supports the decision making based on cardiovascular and kidney outcomes, but not on the absolute risk or risk reduction of other diabetic complications such as hyperglycaemic crisis, severe systemic infection, retinopathy, neuropathy, and diabetic foot ulcer. Clinicians should consider traditional strategies to prevent these complications in patients.
- The baseline risks were estimated using a single risk calculator (RECODE), which was developed using 9635 patients from the Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes (ACCORD) study in North America.24 Cardiovascular and kidney risks may vary across ethnicities, racial backgrounds, and countries.28 We thus suggest using other validated risk calculator if available. Nevertheless, our approach facilitates clinical use when a feasible and localised risk calculator is absent.
- Although we used several methods to incorporate patients’ values and preferences (patient partners as co-authors on the panel, primary focus group study, a systematic review of values and preferences, panel survey about patient preferences), we realise this was not a representative sample of adults with type 2 diabetes internationally. Although the values and preferences considerations were consistent across methods, there could be important considerations that we missed.
- We introduced an HbA1c-free strategy of decision making, but we have limited evidence, due to trials inclusion, to support it in patients with HbA1c lower than 6.5%. Caution is advised when applying the evidence to this population.

Uncertainties

The following remains uncertain for clinicians and patients

- The potential additional benefit from combining SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists
- The benefits and harms of using SGLT-2 inhibitors in patients with chronic kidney disease and estimated glomerular filtration rate <30 mL/min/1.73 m²
- Validated tools assessing the baseline risk of all critical outcomes for ethnically, racially, and geographically diverse groups of patients are needed
- How patients’ values and preferences affect decisions about using different diabetes drugs
- The effect of different diabetes drugs on quality of life, and accurate assessment of harm in longitudinal studies.

Updates to this article

The steering team of the guideline panel will track newly published evidence and judge whether an update of recommendation is needed when the evidence may change practice.

How patients were involved in the creation of this article

Four patient partners living with type 2 diabetes were included as full panel members of the guideline (two males and two females; two from the US, one from the UK, and one from South Africa). The panel members identified and prioritised patient-important outcomes, important subgroups, and anchored the discussion on patients’ values and preferences. Overall patient partners felt that the cardiovascular and kidney benefit outweigh the harm, but that this could vary considerably among individuals, particularly in terms of access and cost, and the desire to limit the number of medications taken.

Seven participants living with type 2 diabetes were included in a focus group study that informed patients’ values and preferences considerations (six male, one female; all from Canada). The participants informed the minimum important difference of benefit, relative outcome priorities, and important practical issues.
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Main infographic: Summary of recommendations and evidence
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Visual summary of recommendation

These recommendations are relevant for all adults with type 2 diabetes but differ depending on risk factors:

**Population**
- Adults with type 2 diabetes
- No underlying cardiovascular or chronic kidney disease
- Cardiovascular disease
- Chronic kidney disease
- Both

**Cardiovascular risk factors**
- >50 years old
- Male
- Asian, African or Hispanic
- Family history of cardiovascular or kidney disease
- Current smoking
- Dyslipidaemia
- Uncontrolled HbA1c (>6.5%)
- Uncontrolled hypertension (>140/90 mm Hg)

**Recommendation 1**
- No underlying cardiovascular or chronic kidney disease
- 3 or fewer cardiovascular risk factors

**Recommendation 2**
- More than 3 cardiovascular risk factors

**Recommendation 3**
- Cardiovascular disease
- Chronic kidney disease
- Estimated glomerular filtration rate <60 ml/min/1.73 m²
- Albuminuria: albumin-to-creatinine ratio ≥30 mg/g or ≥3 mg/mmol
- Stroke
- Including: Elevated total cholesterol (≥5.2 mmol/L)
- Reduced high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol (<1 mmol/L)

**Recommendation 4**
- Cardiovascular and chronic kidney disease

**Recommendations**

1. Patients with 3 or fewer cardiovascular risk factors
   - Usual care: Strong
   - SGLT-2 inhibitors: Weak
   - We suggest not using SGLT-2 inhibitors

2. Patients with more than 3 cardiovascular risk factors
   - Usual care: Strong
   - SGLT-2 inhibitors: Weak
   - We suggest using SGLT-2 inhibitors

3. Patients with established cardiovascular or renal disease
   - Usual care: Strong
   - SGLT-2 inhibitors: Weak
   - We suggest SGLT-2 inhibitors or GLP-1 receptor agonists

4. Patients with established cardiovascular and renal disease
   - Usual care: Strong
   - SGLT-2 inhibitors: Weak
   - We recommend SGLT-2 inhibitors

5. Patients committed to further reducing their risk for cardiovascular and renal disease outcomes
   - SGLT-2 inhibitors: Strong
   - GLP-1 receptor agonists: Weak
   - We suggest SGLT-2 inhibitors rather than GLP-1 receptor agonists

**SGLT-2 inhibitors**
- Usual care: Strong
- SGLT-2 inhibitors: Weak
- GLP-1 receptor agonists: Strong

**GLP-1 receptor agonists**
- Usual care: Strong
- SGLT-2 inhibitors: Weak
- GLP-1 receptor agonists: Strong

**Disclaimer:** This infographic is not a validated clinical decision aid. This information is provided without any representations, conditions, or warranties that it is accurate or up to date. BMJ and its licensors assume no responsibility for any aspect of treatment administered with the aid of this information. Any reliance placed on this information is strictly at the user’s own risk. For the full disclaimer wording, see BMJ’s terms and conditions: http://www.bmj.com/company/legal-information/

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guidelines</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
<th>COR/LOE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NICE, 2015</td>
<td>SGLT-2 inhibitor monotherapy recommended as one of the options if metformin is contraindicated or intolerant.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICE, 2015</td>
<td>SGLT-2 inhibitors can be used as an option in combination with metformin and other anti-diabetic drugs.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICE, 2015</td>
<td>GLP-1 receptor agonists suggested as an option if a tripled anti-diabetic therapy is ineffective, intolerant, or contraindicated in adults with BMI ≥ 35 or who may benefit from weight loss.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA, 2021</td>
<td>First line therapy is metformin plus comprehensive lifestyle intervention.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA, 2021</td>
<td>For patients with established ASCVD or indicators of high ASCVD risk, either GLP-1 receptor agonists or SGLT-2 inhibitors are recommended.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA, 2021</td>
<td>For patients with HFrEF, SGLT-2 inhibitors are recommended.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA, 2021</td>
<td>For patients with diabetic kidney diseases and albuminuria, SGLT-2 inhibitors are recommended, and GLP-1 receptor agonists are recommended if SGLT-2 inhibitors are intolerant or contraindicated.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA, 2021</td>
<td>For patients with type 2 diabetes and CKD (but not diabetic kidney disease or albuminuria), either GLP-1 receptor agonists or SGLT-2 inhibitors are recommended.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA, 2021</td>
<td>For patients with and without established ASCVD, but with HFrEF or CKD, SGLT-2 inhibitors are preferred.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESC, 2019</td>
<td>In patients with ASCVD or high or very high cardiovascular risks, SGLT-2 inhibitors or GLP-1 receptor agonists are recommended to reduce cardiovascular events before inspection of HbA1c level.</td>
<td>I-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AACE, 2020</td>
<td>Regardless of glucose level, SGLT-2 inhibitors and/or GLP-1 receptor agonists are recommended in patients with established or at high risk of ASCVD or CKD.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC, 2020</td>
<td>SGLT-2 inhibitors or GLP-1 receptor agonists recommended in adults with type 2 diabetes and one of ASCVD, heart failure, or diabetic kidney disease, or at high risk of ASCVD.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDS/CSE, 2020</td>
<td>All adults with type 2 diabetes need metformin unless contraindicated or not tolerated.</td>
<td>COR IIa LOE B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDS/CSE, 2020</td>
<td>Add GLP-1 receptor agonists or SGLT-2 inhibitors to current regimen regardless of blood glucose level if patients have established ASCVD or at high risk of ASCVD and add SGLT-2 inhibitors to those with heart failure, and SGLT-2 inhibitors to those with CKD (and GLP-1 receptor agonists if SGLT-2 inhibitors contraindicated).</td>
<td>COR: I LOE A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KDIGO, 2020</td>
<td>Metformin recommended in patients with type 2 diabetes, CKD, and eGFR ≥ 30 ml/min/1.73 m².</td>
<td>1-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KDIGO, 2020</td>
<td>SGLT-2 inhibitors recommended in patients with type 2 diabetes, CKD, and eGFR ≥ 30 ml/min/1.73 m².</td>
<td>1-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KDIGO, 2020</td>
<td>Long-acting GLP-1 receptor agonists recommended in patients with type 2 diabetes who have not achieved their individualised glycaemic target with metformin and SGLT-2 inhibitors.</td>
<td>1-B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COR = Class of Recommendation; LOE = Level of Evidence; NICE = National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; ADA/EASD = American Diabetes Association/European Association for the Study of Diabetes; ESC = European Society of Cardiology; AACE = American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists; ACC = American College of Cardiology; CDS/CSE = Chinese Diabetes Society/Chinese Society of Endocrinology; KDIGO = Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes.

ASCVD = atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; HFrEF = heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; CKD = chronic kidney disease; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate.
| Table 2 | Practical issues about use of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists in adults with type 2 diabetes |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Medication routine** | **SGLT-2 inhibitors** | **GLP-1 receptor agonists** | **Usual care*** |
| Tablets swallowed once daily at the same time; some patients need to take them in the morning. Combined formulas of SGLT-2 inhibitors and metformin are available | Injection once or twice daily or once weekly. | Not yet widely available as tablets. Combined formulas of GLP-1 receptor agonists and insulin are available as a single injection. | Most anti-diabetic drugs are tablets except insulin, an injection. Insulin use may be short term, such as during an acute illness. |
| Test and visit | Regular blood samples, and more frequently after starting a new drug. We only consider adding these drugs when the patient has HbA1c >6.5% or above their individualised target. | We suggest a closer monitoring of blood pressure (within 1 month or at any time a hypovolaemic dizziness is suspected). and kidney function (within 3 months) after newly starting SGLT-2 inhibitors. | Self monitoring of blood glucose for people using insulin, sulfonylureas, or meglitinides. |
| Recovery and adaptation | Most people need to take diabetes drugs for the rest of their lives. |  |
| **Adverse effects, interactions, and antidote** | SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 Receptor agonists do not increase the risk of hypoglycaemia when taken alone, but they do increase the risk when used in combination with some drugs such as insulin, sulfonylureas or glinides. | Mild to moderate genital infections can occur. Low blood pressure, dizziness, dehydration, etc, especially among people >65 years old or when combined with diuretics. All patients should monitor for signs of diabetic ketoacidosis (even if blood glucose is within the normal range) and seek hospital attention immediately if it occurs. | Adverse effects vary between specific agents, insulin or insulin secretagogues can cause hypoglycaemia. |
| **Physical wellbeing** | Both drugs have a weight loss effect. |  |
| **Emotional wellbeing** | Emotional stress can occur from starting or adding new oral or injection drug. |  |
| **Pregnancy and nursing** | Both drugs should be avoided during pregnancy and nursing, or in women who may become pregnant. | Lifestyle intervention and human insulin can be considered during pregnancy and nursing. Glibenclamide, metformin, and insulin analogues can be considered in countries that have approved their use during pregnancy (such as US). |
| **Costs and access** | Costs vary between specific agents and depend on health insurance and policy. GLP-1 receptor agonists are usually 2-3 times more expensive than SGLT-2 inhibitors. | Metformin and sulfonylureas are usually inexpensive and easy to access. |
| **Food and drink** | Keep dietary control in the therapeutic regimen as a general management for type 2 diabetes. |  |
| **Drinking more water may be helpful to prevent thirst and dehydration.** | **Storage and transportation before use** | GLP-1 receptor agonists and insulin should be stored and transported at 2-8°C before first use. |  |
| **Baseline kidney function** |  |  |

*Should not be taken while a person is sick, especially if there is vomiting, diarrhoea, or the person isn’t eating and drinking very much.*

**Test and visit**

- Regular blood samples, and more frequently after starting a new drug. We only consider adding these drugs when the patient has HbA1c >6.5% or above their individualised target.
- We suggest a closer monitoring of blood pressure (within 1 month or at any time a hypovolaemic dizziness is suspected) and kidney function (within 3 months) after newly starting SGLT-2 inhibitors.

**Recovery and adaptation**

- Most people need to take diabetes drugs for the rest of their lives.

**Adverse effects, interactions, and antidote**

- SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 Receptor agonists do not increase the risk of hypoglycaemia when taken alone, but they do increase the risk when used in combination with some drugs such as insulin, sulfonylureas or glinides.
- Mild to moderate genital infections can occur. Low blood pressure, dizziness, dehydration, etc, especially among people >65 years old or when combined with diuretics. All patients should monitor for signs of diabetic ketoacidosis (even if blood glucose is within the normal range) and seek hospital attention immediately if it occurs.

**Physical wellbeing**

- Both drugs have a weight loss effect.

**Emotional wellbeing**

- Emotional stress can occur from starting or adding new oral or injection drug.

**Pregnancy and nursing**

- Both drugs should be avoided during pregnancy and nursing, or in women who may become pregnant.
- Lifestyle intervention and human insulin can be considered during pregnancy and nursing. Glibenclamide, metformin, and insulin analogues can be considered in countries that have approved their use during pregnancy (such as US).

**Costs and access**

- Costs vary between specific agents and depend on health insurance and policy. GLP-1 receptor agonists are usually 2-3 times more expensive than SGLT-2 inhibitors.
- Metformin and sulfonylureas are usually inexpensive and easy to access.

**Food and drink**

- Keep dietary control in the therapeutic regimen as a general management for type 2 diabetes.

**Storage and transportation before use**

- GLP-1 receptor agonists and insulin should be stored and transported at 2-8°C before first use.

**Exercise and activities**

- Keep proper exercise and activities as a general management for type 2 diabetes.

**Travel time and driving**

- Patients at risk for undetected symptomatic hypoglycaemia need to be aware of the risk of driving. Patients using GLP-1 receptor agonists and insulin may need a special package to bring their drugs and their injection equipment when travelling. The drugs should be stored below 30°C (25°C for exenatide and benzaglutide), avoiding sunshine and freezing after first use.
Table 2 | Practical issues about use of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists in adults with type 2 diabetes (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SGLT-2 inhibitors</th>
<th>GLP-1 receptor agonists</th>
<th>Usual care*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FDA label suggests empagliflozin should not be used in patients with eGFR &lt;45 mL/min/1.73 m², and canagliflozin, ertugliflozin, and dapagliflozin should not be used in patients with eGFR &lt;30 mL/min/1.73 m². All SGLT-2 inhibitors are contraindicated in patients with kidney failure or dialysis.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DPP-4 = Dipeptidyl peptidase 4; FDA = US Food and Drug Administration; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate.

* Usual care may include some combination of lifestyle intervention, metformin, thiazolidinediones, dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors, sulfonylureas, meglitinides, α-glucosidase inhibitors, and insulin.