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David Oliver: Renaming government agencies won’t improve population health

David Oliver consultant in geriatrics and acute general medicine

Just how committed is the government to improving the health of the population through prevention policy? Merely renaming government agencies is certainly no meaningful solution.

In August 2020 England’s secretary of state for health, Matt Hancock, announced that Public Health England (PHE), an executive agency of the Department of Health and Social Care, was to be scrapped and replaced by a new organisation, the National Institute for Health Protection, to be fully operational by spring 2021.1 2

On 24 March this year the government announced that the body would be launched as the newly renamed UK Health Security Agency.3 Under direct ministerial control, its main purpose would be to protect the country from future health threats and improve pandemic preparedness.

On 29 March the health department’s website then announced the creation of a new Office for Health Promotion to “drive improvements of the nation’s health.”4 This will be a new office within the civil service department, accountable directly to ministers.

Only nine years after PHE’s creation here we are again, with more redrawing of the organogram, rebranding of organisations, and reallocation of roles.5

Scrapping PHE in the middle of a pandemic response looks like blame-shifting: from ministers to officials and government advisers. Renaming agencies and employing many of the same people won’t intrinsically improve pandemic preparedness or health protection, any more than scrapping the Health Protection Agency did in 2012, to make way for PHE. We will still need better planning and preparedness, and more agile, proactive, and reactive responses to threats, whatever we call the agency responsible.

And what of the Office for Health Promotion? The choice of the term “health promotion,” as opposed to, say, “health improvement” or “health inequalities,” is telling. The World Health Organization’s definition of health promotion focuses heavily on health literacy, choice, and behaviour of individuals. But WHO also discusses the need for health to be a central line of government policy across all agencies and for policies that prevent avoidable illness or injury.6 These include healthy cities and pricing and tax policy on food, drink, and tobacco. The examples highlighted on the UK government’s website focus on individual lifestyle changes rather than the wider environment.

Hancock’s 2018 Prevention is Better than Cure document was based on the same ideology, with a major focus on individual agency and not wider socioeconomic determinants of health or inequalities.7 8 The recent Sewell report on structural racism bypassed much established evidence on health and healthcare inequalities among ethnic minorities and pushed responsibility on to individuals and families.9 11

I see no wider pledges from the government to restore seriously cut public health grants, addiction services, or funding of local authorities, or to provide lasting solutions on social care. Nor am I convinced we will see any meaningful commitments on food or alcohol pricing policy and tax regimes in the face of pressure from lobbyists. And that’s before I mention tackling wider socioeconomic determinants of health, including income, housing, employment, or systemic social disparities in access to healthcare, screening, or vaccination.

Something must be done to improve population health and tackle health inequalities. But I doubt that that “something” is this. Especially not from a government that, deep down, believes that responsibility for health lies primarily with individuals and not with government agencies, public policy, public spending, or tougher regulation of industry.
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