Antidepressants for musculoskeletal pain

People need help to live better with their pain, without prescription drugs

Martin Underwood,1,2 Colin Tysall1

Back pain, neck pain, and osteoarthritis are leading causes of disability globally.1 Although non-drug treatments are the preferred first option for such pain and disability, a role remains for drug treatments.2 3 The impact of the opioid prescribing epidemic and the challenges of helping those affected are well known.1 Opioid prescribing is decreasing while gabapentinoid use is increasing, despite a weak evidence base and known harms.5 The linked paper by Ferreira and colleagues (doi:10.1136/bmj.m4825) reporting a well conducted systematic review of trials of antidepressants for these musculoskeletal disorders is timely.5

The authors set a difference of 10 points on a 100 point scale for pain or disability as the smallest worthwhile difference between groups—a threshold commonly used in studies of chronic pain.1 It is also the smallest worthwhile individual treatment benefit from non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or physiotherapy for chronic low back pain.8 This distinction is important since a modest overall benefit at group level could still mean that a some treated individuals gain a worthwhile benefit.9

For back pain, (neck or low back with or without sciatica) pooled data from four industry sponsored trials of duloxetine (n=1432) showed effect sizes substantially smaller than the authors’ prespecified worthwhile between group difference. The limits of the reported 95% confidence intervals effectively excluded any possibility that such an effect size was achieved (mean difference for pain at 3-13 weeks −5.30, 95% confidence interval −7.31 to −3.30). For tricyclic antidepressants, (seven trials, n=591) the mean difference for pain at 3-13 weeks was −9.9 (95% confidence interval −12.57 to −6.69) meaning that a worthwhile effect has not been excluded. NICE does not make a specific recommendation on antidepressants for osteoarthritis.12 Osteoarthritis Research Society International (OARSI) guidance does, however, make a conditional recommendation for the use of duloxetine by people with osteoarthritis and widespread pain or depression.3

Making sense of the various sources of evidence and inconsistent recommendations in this area is challenging. For example, draft NICE guidance is to consider antidepressants for chronic pain but not for chronic sciatica.13 This problem is common across guidance on other drug groups for chronic painful disorders.14 A robust overview is needed to clarify guidance and to inform a consistent approach to use of antidepressants for people with painful disorders. Many people with chronic pain also have symptoms of depression. Any such overview should consider the potential for reducing depressive symptoms

We cannot tell from Ferreira and colleagues’ review how many individuals gained a worthwhile benefit from their drug treatment. Despite the reported small effects at group level, some individuals with back pain or osteoarthritis may gain a personal benefit from serotonin-noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs). Absolute effect sizes for physical treatments for low back pain are of similar magnitudes to those reported here and translate into numbers needed to treat of between 5 and 9.3 If the same were true for SNRs, some people might choose to try that option for a one in 10 chance of a worthwhile reduction in pain after three months. They can easily stop if treatment is ineffective or does not suit them. As others put it: “Expect analgesic failure; pursue analgesic success.”15

Overall, however, drug treatments are largely ineffective for back pain and osteoarthritis and have the potential for serious harm. We need to work harder to help people with these disorders to live better with their pain without recourse to the prescription pad.16
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