Budgeting for covid-19: changing the narrative and narrating the change
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The UK government’s plan for dealing with covid-19 has now moved from the “contain” phase to the “delay” stage. This is just a recognition of reality. The World Health Organization has confirmed that the outbreak is now a pandemic, and the spread of disease is moving as expected. The move to the “delay” phase raises the question of what an effective UK reaction to pandemic covid-19 is going to look like, other than to buy us some preparation time.

ITV’s political editor Robert Peston has a close working relationship with sources inside Boris Johnson’s government, and his latest blog outlines the government’s “herd immunity” strategy. It is worth quoting: “The strategy of the British government in minimising the impact . . . is to allow the virus to pass through the entire population so that we acquire herd immunity, but at a much delayed speed so that those who suffer the most acute symptoms are able to receive the medical support they need, and such that the health service is not overwhelmed and crushed by the sheer number of cases it has to treat at any one time.”

Peston reports that the government’s plans are based on scientific advice that covid-19 cannot now be contained and that the draconian measures seen in China are likely only to delay its spread, which will resume once freedom of movement returns. The move to the “delay” phase is a recognition of reality. The World Health Organization has confirmed that the outbreak is now a pandemic, and the spread of disease is moving as expected. The move to the “delay” phase raises the question of what an effective UK reaction to pandemic covid-19 is going to look like, other than to buy us some preparation time.

The budget addressed the important issue of the pensions taper tax by raising the annual income threshold to £200 000 with a maximum annual employer contribution of £40 000. This should help deal with the concerns of many senior doctors that they might be caught unawares by this tax and effectively have to pay to do the extra shifts the NHS greatly needs if it is to tackle long waiting times—let alone the effects of the covid-19 pandemic.

The Department for Health and Social Care capital budget is set to increase by £1bn over previous plans: the extra money will help pay to do the extra shifts the NHS greatly needs if it is to tackle long waiting times—let alone the effects of the covid-19 pandemic.

The Treasury documents note that this is an initial figure, which could be increased if needed. Given the past decade’s political narrative on the need for austerity, readers might be forgiven for wondering where the chancellor will get this money. The Treasury’s data, analysed by the independent Institute for Fiscal Studies, shows that it almost all comes from increasing government borrowing, financially facilitated by the Bank of England’s emergency decision earlier that morning to cut the interest rate to 0.25%.

The Institute for Fiscal Studies’ analysis observes that “current spending per person for most public services will remain well below 2010-11 levels in 2024-25 . . . Outside of health though spending per person will still be 14% lower, and around 19% lower once you account for the spending that is simply replacing EU funding.”

Only the most dreadful cynic would remark that the budget shows the government increasing the migrant health contribution to £625 a year (it was £200 when introduced in 2017). So of course I’m not going to do so. But it’s a remarkable rate of inflation, isn’t it? And bound to encourage international recruitment to the NHS, no doubt.

The budget addressed the important issue of the pensions taper tax by raising the annual income threshold to £200 000 with a maximum annual employer contribution of £40 000. This should help deal with the concerns of many senior doctors that they might be caught unawares by this tax and effectively have to pay to do the extra shifts the NHS greatly needs if it is to tackle long waiting times—let alone the effects of the covid-19 pandemic.

The Department for Health and Social Care capital budget is set to increase by £1bn over previous plans: the extra money will help pay to do the extra shifts the NHS greatly needs if it is to tackle long waiting times—let alone the effects of the covid-19 pandemic.
The change in approach from “contain” to “delay” requires the public to trust those communicating this advice—and in the case of politicians, they don’t, as I noted in The BMJ last week.\(^{15}\) Political populism has been a highly contagious global virus. There is a rich irony in how poorly that contagious virus prepared us for covid-19.

In Janan Ganesh’s entertaining commentary for the Financial Times,\(^ {16} \) he cites 10% Less Democracy, a new book by economist Garett Jones arguing for more rule by the experts, or “epistocracy.” It’s the experts—the clinicians—who are on the front line, dealing with the covid-19 pandemic. Good luck, and take care.
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