Association between clinically recorded alcohol consumption and initial presentation of 12 cardiovascular diseases: population based cohort study using linked health records
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ABSTRACT
OBJECTIVES
To investigate the association between alcohol consumption and cardiovascular disease at higher resolution by examining the initial lifetime presentation of 12 cardiac, cerebrovascular, abdominal, or peripheral vascular diseases among five categories of consumption.

DESIGN
Population based cohort study of linked electronic health records covering primary care, hospital admissions, and mortality in 1997-2010 (median follow-up six years).

SETTING
CALIBER (ClinicAI research using Linked Bespoke studies and Electronic Health Records).

PARTICIPANTS
1937 360 adults (51% women), aged ≥30 who were free from cardiovascular disease at baseline.

MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES
12 common symptomatic manifestations of cardiovascular disease, including chronic stable angina, unstable angina, acute myocardial infarction, unheralded coronary heart disease death, heart failure, sudden coronary death/cardiac arrest, transient ischaemic attack, ischaemic stroke, intracerebral and subarachnoid haemorrhage, peripheral arterial disease, and abdominal aortic aneurysm.

RESULTS
114 859 individuals received an incident cardiovascular diagnosis during follow-up. Non-drinking was associated with an increased risk of unstable angina (hazard ratio 1.33, 95% confidence interval 1.21 to 1.45), myocardial infarction (1.32, 1.24 to 1.41), unheralded coronary death (1.56, 1.38 to 1.76), heart failure (1.24, 1.11 to 1.38), ischaemic stroke (1.12, 1.01 to 1.24), peripheral arterial disease (1.22, 1.13 to 1.32), and abdominal aortic aneurysm (1.32, 1.17 to 1.49) compared with moderate drinking (consumption within contemporaneous UK weekly/daily guidelines of 21/3 and 14/2 units for men and women, respectively). Heavy drinking (exceeding guidelines) conferred an increased risk of presenting with unheralded coronary death (1.21, 1.08 to 1.35), heart failure (1.22, 1.08 to 1.37), cardiac arrest (1.50, 1.26 to 1.77), transient ischaemic attack (1.11, 1.02 to 1.37), ischaemic stroke (1.33, 1.09 to 1.63), intracerebral haemorrhage (1.37, 1.16 to 1.62), and peripheral arterial disease (1.35; 1.23 to 1.48), but a lower risk of myocardial infarction (0.88, 0.79 to 1.00) or stable angina (0.93, 0.86 to 1.00).

CONCLUSIONS
Heterogeneous associations exist between level of alcohol consumption and cardiovascular diseases. This has implications for counselling patients, public health communication, and clinical research, suggesting a more nuanced approach to the role of alcohol in prevention of cardiovascular disease is necessary.

REGISTRATION
clinicaltrials.gov (NCT01864031).

Introduction
The relation between alcohol consumption and cardiovascular disease is both complex and controversial. There have been multiple systematic reviews and meta-analyses of the association between consumption and aggregated cardiovascular disease, as well as cardiovascular traits. Most have shown that, compared with non-drinking, moderate levels of alcohol intake are associated with a lower risk of morbidity and mortality from cardiovascular disease, as well as more favourable cardiovascular health profiles in general.
There is, however, a growing scepticism around this observation, with recent commentary pieces pointing out several methodological shortcomings in the evidence on which the U shape is based.10-12 These include failure to have disaggregated the current non-drinking group into lifelong abstainers, former drinkers, and those who drink on an occasional basis. It is known that former drinkers (who might have quit for health reasons) have an increased risk of mortality from cardiovascular disease compared with lifelong non-drinkers; therefore combination of these two groups is likely to lead to the overestimation of the protective effects of moderate drinking. Similarly, it has been shown that the onset of ill health is associated with a reduction in regular consumption to drinking on an occasional basis, therefore combination of these individuals with non-drinkers also introduces bias.

Evidence from short term alcohol feeding interventions has shown that moderate drinking is related to higher concentrations of high density lipoprotein cholesterol and adiponectin, as well as lower concentrations of fibrinogen, but not other intermediate cardiovascular traits such as triglycerides.8 Given this, it could be hypothesised that moderate alcohol consumption might be protective for some cardiovascular diseases but not others.13 Similarly, there are concerns about residual confounding in moderate drinkers, and exploration of heterogeneity in the association between alcohol intake and subtypes of cardiovascular disease with different aetiology could help to alleviate part of this (for example, finding moderate drinking is associated with a lower risk of one cardiovascular disease but not another).

In an era of precision medicine, more detailed disease phenotype models are required to improve risk prediction at an individual and population level as well as be able to offer tailored advice to patients, and for this reason there have been calls for research into the association between alcohol consumption and deeper phenotypes of cardiovascular disease.17 The evidence base for specific phenotypes, however, is sparse compared with that of aggregated outcomes. Table A in the appendix provides an overview of research from major investigator led prospective observational studies as well as meta-analyses of the topic of alcohol consumption and a selection of specific cardiovascular diseases. Most research has focused on acute myocardial infarction or stroke (total and broad categories of ischaemic or haemorrhagic), which currently represent about 40% of incident cardiovascular events in the UK. Far less attention has been paid to other cardiovascular endpoints such as heart failure, cardiac arrest/sudden cardiac death, angina, peripheral arterial disease, subtypes of haemorrhagic stroke (intracerebral and subarachnoid haemorrhage), abdominal aortic aneurysm, and transient ischaemic attack, which collectively make up a substantial proportion of morbidity and healthcare expenditure in current clinical practice.18-19

Few studies, however, have been sufficiently powered to examine individual cardiovascular diseases, and fewer still are in a position whereby they are also able to disaggregate the group of current non-drinker into non-drinkers, former drinkers, and occasional drinkers. Linked electronic health record data can be re-used to create cohorts of sufficient size and of satisfactory clinical resolution to be able to carry out such research.18-20 Studies using linked electronic health record data in the context of cardiovascular disease have shown heterogeneous associations between disease phenotypes and various exposures, including sex, blood pressure, type 2 diabetes, and smoking.21-28

We used linked electronic health record data to create a contemporary cohort with a median of six years of follow-up (11,637,926 person years) to investigate for the first time at large scale and within the same study whether the association with alcohol consumption differs across a wide range of incident cardiovascular diseases that are recognised to have different biological mediators. In addition to increased endpoint resolution, we also separated non-drinkers from former and occasional drinkers to provide to additional clarity in this debate.

Methods

Study design and participants

We included 1937,360 anonymised patients from the CALIBER (Cardiovascular research using Linked Bespoke studies and Electronic health Records) programme.29 Details of the enrolment, follow-up, and data sources are presented in the appendix. Briefly, the cohort used patient data from the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD), comprising anonymised patient records from general practices in England. Patients were included if they were aged ≥30 from 1 January 1997 to 25 March 2010 and had no record indicating any cardiovascular disease before study entry (fig 1). CPRD provides primary care data on health behaviours, diagnoses, investigations, procedures, and prescriptions; and its accuracy and completeness are regularly audited. CPRD patients are representative of the UK population in terms of age, sex, ethnicity,30-31 and overall mortality32 and have been validated for epidemiological research.33 Patient CPRD data were further linked with three other data sources: the Myocardial Ischaemia National Audit Project registry (MINAP);34 hospital episodes statistics (HES); and the Office for National Statistics (ONS). MINAP is a national registry of patients admitted to hospital with acute coronary syndromes in England and Wales. HES provides information on all hospital admissions and ONS on cause specific mortality records for all deaths in England and Wales. Information is coded with the hierarchical clinical coding schemes (Read codes, ICD-10 (international statistical classification of diseases, 10th revision), and Office of the Population Censuses and Surveys classification of interventions and procedures35). Our study protocol was registered with ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT01864031) before data were released to the lead author.
**Fig 1** Inclusion of patients in study of clinically recorded alcohol consumption and initial presentation of 12 cardiovascular diseases

### Alcohol assessment

General practitioners or practice nurses prospectively collected and coded self-reported alcohol consumption on the consultation date in CPRD. We used the most recent record of alcohol consumption in the five years before entry into the study to classify participants’ drinking behaviour. In light of current debates on the U or J shaped relation observed between consumption and aggregated cardiovascular disease outcomes we defined five categories of drinking: non-drinkers (Read codes such as “teetotaller” and “non-drinker”), former drinkers (those with codes for “stopped drinking alcohol” and/or “ex-drinker”), occasional drinkers (those with codes for “drinks rarely” and/or “drinks occasionally”), current moderate drinkers (codes such as “alcohol intake within recommended sensible limits” and “light drinker”), and heavy drinkers (codes including “alcohol intake above recommended sensible drinking limits” and “hazardous alcohol use”). We also used data fields with information entered on daily and/or weekly amount of alcohol consumed to define participants as non-drinkers, moderate drinkers (drank within daily and/or weekly sensible drinking limits for the UK at the time of observation), and heavy drinkers (exceeded daily and/or weekly sensible drinking limits). We reclassified non-drinkers as former drinkers if they had any record of drinking or a history of alcohol abuse in their entire clinical record entered on CPRD before study entry. Further details, including a diagram depicting our coding scheme (fig B) plus a full list of the exact Read codes used to define drinking categories (table B) as well as a series of proof of concept validation analyses of the association between these groups and cardiovascular traits (fig C), are available in the appendix.

### Study endpoints

Patients were followed up until the date of an initial presentation of one of our cardiovascular endpoints (or death from non-cardiovascular causes) or were censored on the date they left the practice or the date of last data submission from their practice. We defined multiple endpoints on the basis of the first recorded diagnosis of one or two of the most common symptomatic manifestations of cardiovascular disease, including chronic stable angina, unstable angina, myocardial infarction, unheralded death from coronary heart disease, heart failure, cardiac arrest/sudden coronary death, transient ischaemic attack, ischaemic stroke, intracerebral haemorrhage, subarachnoid haemorrhage, peripheral arterial disease, and abdominal aortic aneurysm. We additionally estimated associations with non-cardiovascular disease mortality as well as coronary heart disease and stroke events that were not otherwise specified.

### Secondary outcomes

For comparisons with existing studies we estimated models for aggregated coronary heart disease (myocardial infarction and unheralded death from coronary heart disease), cardiovascular disease (all cardiovascular endpoints other than stable angina), fatal cardiovascular disease (combination of fatal coronary heart disease and fatal cardiovascular disease), and all cause mortality. We also decomposed the myocardial infarction category into ST elevation, non-ST elevation, and myocardial infarction not otherwise specified. For further details see table C in the appendix.

### Covariates

Covariates considered in analyses included age (and age²), sex, area-based socioeconomic deprivation (index of multiple deprivation), smoking status, diabetes status, systolic blood pressure, body mass index (BMI), high density lipoprotein cholesterol, use of anti-hypertensive drugs or statins, and whether the patient had received dietary advice. Baseline covariates were defined with the most recent measurement up to one year before study entry (except smoking, which was up to three years). Additional information on how covariates were derived can be found on the CALIBER portal (www.caliberresearch.org/portal) and elsewhere.

### Statistical analysis

We used multivariable Cox proportional hazard models to calculate hazard ratios and associated 95% confidence intervals for the association between categories of drinking and the initial presentation with specific cardiovascular disease phenotypes within a competing risk framework (that is, people could experience only one initial presentation). We plotted Schoenfeld residuals to ascertain that the proportional hazards assumption had not been violated. In our primary analysis we adjusted for age, socioeconomic deprivation, and smoking status. The baseline hazard function of each model was stratified by general practice and sex. Missing data were handled with multiple imputation under a missing at random assumption, and we carried out a series of sensitivity analyses adjusting for additional covariates, comparing imputed data (main analysis) to complete case data (n=1104838, with over a million participants also having information on...
smoking status), limiting analyses to different data sources, and using data only from 2004 onwards when recording of alcohol in primary care was incentivised. We also examined the association between alcohol categories and different cardiovascular diseases within subgroups defined by smoking status and BMI in a series of post hoc analyses suggested by reviewers. Further information on all sensitivity analyses is available in the appendix. Assuming mutual independence between endpoints, we assessed heterogeneity in associations across cardiovascular disease phenotype within drinking categories using the I² statistic. Our reference category in all models was moderate drinkers. All analyses were conducted with Stata v14.

Patient involvement
No patients were involved in setting the research question or the outcome measures, nor were they involved in developing plans for design or implementation of the study. No patients were asked to advise on interpretation or writing up of results. There are no plans to disseminate the results of the research to study participants or the relevant patient community.

Results
Participant characteristics
Table 1 shows characteristics of the sample by category of drinking. Most study participants were non-smokers, had a BMI within the normal range, and were free from diabetes. All types of current non-drinkers were more likely to belong to the most deprived socioeconomic fifth. The distribution of 114,859 initial presentations across a broad range of cardiovascular disease endpoints within each drinking category is shown in figure D in the appendix.

Outcomes for common aggregated cardiovascular disease endpoints and all cause mortality
Figure 2 shows the association between categories of clinically recorded alcohol consumption and coronary heart disease, cardiovascular disease, fatal cardiovascular disease, and all cause mortality. We observed classic J-shaped associations for cardiovascular disease (all and fatal) and all cause mortality, with non-drinkers, former drinkers, and heavy drinkers having an increased risk compared with moderate drinkers. For coronary heart disease, though we found that non-drinkers had an increased risk of experiencing an event (hazard ratio 1.31, 95% confidence interval 1.27 to 1.36), we observed no difference in risk in heavy drinkers (0.97, 0.90 to 1.06) compared with moderate drinkers.

Outcomes for specific phenotypes of cardiovascular disease
Figures 3 and 4 show findings from multivariable Cox models for cardiac and non-cardiac cardiovascular diseases, respectively. Compared with moderate drinkers, non-drinkers had an increased risk of developing unstable angina (hazard ratio 1.33, 95% confidence interval 1.24 to 1.41), unheralded coronary death (1.56, 1.38 to 1.76), heart failure (1.24, 1.11 to 1.38), ischaemic stroke (1.12, 1.01 to 1.24), peripheral arterial disease (1.22, 1.13 to 1.32), and abdominal aortic aneurysm (1.32, 1.17 to 1.49) as their initial presentation of cardiovascular disease.

---

Table 1 | Baseline demographic and health related characteristics of 193,360 adults according to clinically recorded drinking category. Figures are percentages* unless stated otherwise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Non-drinker (14.3%)</th>
<th>Former drinker (3.7%)</th>
<th>Occasional drinker (11.9%)</th>
<th>Moderate drinker (61.7%)</th>
<th>Heavy drinker (8.4%)</th>
<th>Alcohol statuses missing</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) age (years)</td>
<td>48.5 (16.6)</td>
<td>49.5 (16.6)</td>
<td>48.1 (15.7)</td>
<td>45.8 (14.2)</td>
<td>45.8 (12.7)</td>
<td>45.8 (12.7)</td>
<td>48.0 (16.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smoker</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>63.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former smoker</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>129.3 (19.0)</td>
<td>130.5 (18.2)</td>
<td>129.9 (18.2)</td>
<td>129.3 (17.0)</td>
<td>133.5 (17.1)</td>
<td>133.7 (18.9)</td>
<td>131.0 (18.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Categorical BMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underweight (&lt;18.5)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal weight (18.5-24)</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>43.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight (25-29)</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately obese (30-34)</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morbidly obese (35+)</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) HDL cholesterol concentration (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.1 (1.1-1.5)</td>
<td>1.2 (1.0-1.5)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.1-1.6)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.1-1.6)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.2-1.8)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.1-1.6)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.1-1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used anti-hypertensive drugs</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used statins</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offered dietary advice</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMIs = body mass index; IQR = interquartile range; HDLC = high density lipoprotein cholesterol.

*Row percentages displayed for drinking categories calculated only within those with information on alcohol consumption (n=104,838; 57% of overall sample). In imputed data, drinking category proportions are as follows: 14.7% non-drinkers, 3.2% former drinkers, 11.6% occasional drinkers, 62.4% moderate drinkers, and 8.1% heavy drinkers. Proportion of participants with non-missing values of covariates: smoking 73.0% (1,413,749 participants), systolic blood pressure 73.2% (1,418,578 participants), BMI 30.6% (592,127 participants), and HDLC 5.5% (107,080 participants). All other covariates have 100% coverage.
### Outcome Hazard ratio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coronary heart disease (n=38 285)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-drinker</td>
<td>6631</td>
<td>1.31 (1.27 to 1.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former drinker</td>
<td>1495</td>
<td>1.28 (1.19 to 1.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occasional drinker</td>
<td>4927</td>
<td>1.13 (1.07 to 1.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate drinker</td>
<td>22 158</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy drinker</td>
<td>3074</td>
<td>0.97 (0.90 to 1.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular disease (n=103 130)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-drinker</td>
<td>19 338</td>
<td>1.23 (1.19 to 1.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former drinker</td>
<td>4461</td>
<td>1.29 (1.22 to 1.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occasional drinker</td>
<td>14 147</td>
<td>1.10 (1.07 to 1.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate drinker</td>
<td>56 923</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy drinker</td>
<td>8260</td>
<td>1.14 (1.10 to 1.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All cause mortality (n=136 894)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-drinker</td>
<td>30 553</td>
<td>1.24 (1.20 to 1.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former drinker</td>
<td>6877</td>
<td>1.09 (1.03 to 1.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occasional drinker</td>
<td>19 048</td>
<td>1.05 (1.03 to 1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate drinker</td>
<td>70 074</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy drinker</td>
<td>10 342</td>
<td>1.34 (1.31 to 1.38)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 2 | Multivariable adjusted hazard ratios for aggregated cardiovascular endpoints for clinically recorded non-drinkers and former, occasional, and heavy drinkers compared with moderate drinkers in cohort of 1.93 million adults adjusted for age (and age²), sex, socioeconomic deprivation, and smoking status.

Heavy drinkers had an increased risk of their initial presentation of cardiovascular disease being unheralded coronary death (hazard ratio 1.21, 95% confidence interval 1.08 to 1.35), heart failure (1.22, 1.08 to 1.37), cardiac arrest/sudden coronary death (1.50, 1.26 to 1.77), and transient ischaemic attack (1.11, 1.02 to 1.21) (fig 3) and ischaemic stroke (1.33, 1.09 to 1.63), intracerebral haemorrhage (1.37, 1.16 to 1.62), and peripheral arterial disease (1.35, 1.23 to 1.48) (fig 4).

Heavy drinkers, however, had a lower risk of experiencing a myocardial infarction (0.88, 0.79 to 1.00) and stable angina (0.93, 0.86 to 1.00) as their first cardiovascular disease (fig 3).

Former drinkers had an augmented risk of unstable angina (hazard ratio 1.23, 95% confidence interval 0.97 to 1.55), myocardial infarction (1.31, 1.18 to 1.46), unheralded coronary death (1.40, 1.06 to 1.83), heart failure (1.40, 1.22 to 1.60), and cardiac arrest/sudden coronary death (1.37, 1.12 to 1.67) (fig 3) and ischaemic stroke (1.16, 1.00 to 1.35), transient ischaemic attack (1.16, 0.99 to 1.37), peripheral arterial disease (1.32, 1.12 to 1.57), and abdominal aortic aneurysm (1.23, 0.99 to 1.52) (fig 4) being their initial cardiovascular disease presentation.

Occasional drinkers had an increased risk of myocardial infarction (hazard ratio 1.14, 95% confidence interval 1.05 to 1.23), unheralded coronary death (1.13, 0.99 to 1.29), and heart failure (1.19, 1.11 to 1.27) (fig 3) and peripheral arterial disease (1.11, 1.01 to 1.21) (fig 4).

We present findings for non-cardiovascular disease death (as well as coronary heart disease and stroke, not otherwise specified) in fig F in the appendix. All other categories of drinking were associated with an increased risk of non-cardiovascular disease mortality compared with moderate drinkers.

We found no significant heterogeneity in the association with alcohol consumption across subtypes of myocardial infarction (fig F in appendix). There was, however, evidence of significant heterogeneity in the initial presentation of cardiovascular diseases within the categories of non-drinking and heavy drinking (table D in appendix).

**Effect modification by sex**

We found some evidence that the association between alcohol consumption and heart failure and non-cardiovascular disease mortality differed by sex (see table E and figs I and J in the appendix). Specifically, among women we observed no increased risk between heavy drinking and heart failure and an attenuated, although still increased, risk in women who did not drink compared with moderate drinkers.

**Sensitivity analyses**

Findings of sensitivity analyses are in the appendix. Interpretation did not change substantially when we adjusted only for age and sex (fig G) or after additional adjustment for systolic blood pressure, BMI, and diabetes mellitus (fig H).

**Post hoc analyses**

Estimates from post hoc analyses within subgroups defined by smoking status (figs O and P) and BMI (figs Q, R, S) are also presented in the appendix. Our interpretation was not materially altered when we used data only from 2004 onwards (fig M). Our findings when we used complete case methods were broadly concordant with those obtained using multiple imputation (fig N).
Cardiac Hazard ratio (95% CI)

Myocardial infarction (n=16239)

- Non-drinker: 2670, Hazard ratio: 1.32 (1.24 to 1.41)
- Former drinker: 645, Hazard ratio: 1.31 (1.18 to 1.46)
- Occasional drinker: 2054, Hazard ratio: 1.14 (1.05 to 1.23)
- Moderate drinker: 9581, Hazard ratio: 1.00 (reference)
- Heavy drinker: 1289, Hazard ratio: 0.88 (0.79 to 1.00)

Unheralded coronary heart disease death (n=5515)

- Non-drinker: 1211, Hazard ratio: 1.56 (1.38 to 1.76)
- Former drinker: 245, Hazard ratio: 1.40 (1.06 to 1.83)
- Occasional drinker: 720, Hazard ratio: 1.13 (0.99 to 1.29)
- Moderate drinker: 2879, Hazard ratio: 1.00 (reference)
- Heavy drinker: 461, Hazard ratio: 1.21 (1.08 to 1.35)

Heart failure (n=14359)

- Non-drinker: 3247, Hazard ratio: 1.24 (1.11 to 1.38)
- Former drinker: 743, Hazard ratio: 1.40 (1.22 to 1.60)
- Occasional drinker: 2306, Hazard ratio: 1.19 (1.11 to 1.27)
- Moderate drinker: 7182, Hazard ratio: 1.00 (reference)
- Heavy drinker: 822, Hazard ratio: 1.22 (1.08 to 1.37)

Unstable angina (n=5636)

- Non-drinker: 999, Hazard ratio: 1.33 (1.21 to 1.45)
- Former drinker: 206, Hazard ratio: 1.23 (0.97 to 1.55)
- Occasional drinker: 708, Hazard ratio: 1.05 (0.94 to 1.18)
- Moderate drinker: 3286, Hazard ratio: 1.00 (reference)
- Heavy drinker: 437, Hazard ratio: 0.95 (0.83 to 1.08)

Stable angina (n=13221)

- Non-drinker: 2142, Hazard ratio: 1.15 (1.09 to 1.21)
- Former drinker: 479, Hazard ratio: 1.10 (0.96 to 1.26)
- Occasional drinker: 1781, Hazard ratio: 1.07 (0.97 to 1.19)
- Moderate drinker: 7850, Hazard ratio: 1.00 (reference)
- Heavy drinker: 969, Hazard ratio: 0.93 (0.86 to 1.00)

Cardiac arrest/sudden cardiac death (n=3375)

- Non-drinker: 458, Hazard ratio: 1.11 (0.96 to 1.28)
- Former drinker: 127, Hazard ratio: 1.37 (1.12 to 1.67)
- Occasional drinker: 394, Hazard ratio: 1.03 (0.82 to 1.30)
- Moderate drinker: 1996, Hazard ratio: 1.00 (reference)
- Heavy drinker: 400, Hazard ratio: 1.50 (1.26 to 1.77)

Fig 3 | Multivariable adjusted hazard ratios for cardiac cardiovascular diseases for clinically recorded non-drinkers and former, occasional, and heavy drinkers compared with moderate drinkers in cohort of 1.93 million adults adjusted for age (and age²), sex, socioeconomic deprivation, and smoking status

Discussion

In this population based cohort study of a large scale contemporary clinical sample we found considerable heterogeneity in the association between recorded alcohol consumption and the initial presentation of 12 cardiovascular diseases.

Our findings for aggregated endpoints are in line with those of previous observational studies, showing that there is an increased risk of coronary heart disease, cardiovascular disease, and all cause mortality in the group of non-drinkers from whom former and occasional drinkers have been removed. At the same time, compared with moderate drinkers, heavy drinkers have an increased risk of experiencing all but coronary heart disease. This lends further support to the validity of using routinely collected clinical data on alcohol consumption in research and risk prediction algorithms.

Novel associations and improved resolution for association between alcohol consumption and specific cardiovascular diseases

Our finding that moderate alcohol consumption is associated with a lower risk of initially presenting with a range of cardiovascular diseases is consistent with results of previous smaller studies (see table A). We extend this earlier work in clarifying that the protective effect observed for moderate drinking and major clinical outcomes such as myocardial infarction, ischaemic stroke, sudden coronary death, peripheral arterial disease, and abdominal aortic aneurysm is present even after separation of the group of current non-drinkers into more specific categories. Unlike others, we found no evidence of a protective effect of moderate drinking for subarachnoid haemorrhage, but we observed an increased risk of intracerebral haemorrhage among heavy drinkers, which is consistent with reports elsewhere. Other studies have also shown protective effects of alcohol consumption, even at heavy levels, for myocardial infarction.

In most outcomes for which we found a protective effect of moderate drinking, the risk of initially presenting with that endpoint was higher in former drinkers, which is consistent with the “sick quitter” hypothesis, although we still observed excess risk among non-drinkers.

To our knowledge, we have provided the first set of analyses examining the association between alcohol intake and subcategories of myocardial infarction, finding no heterogeneity across subtypes of ST elevation, non-ST elevation, and myocardial infarction not otherwise specified. This is also the first study to explore the association between not drinking and transient ischaemic attack. There was no difference in risk between non-drinking and occasional drinking groups compared with those with a moderate alcohol intake. Moderate drinking, however, was associated with a lower risk of initially presenting with unstable angina in contrast with non-drinking.

Furthermore, we report the first findings for alcohol consumption and unheralded coronary death, an outcome of major importance to public health, showing that both non-drinkers and heavy drinkers were more likely than moderate drinkers to present with coronary death with no previous symptomatic presentations. We are also the first to show that heavy drinkers are more likely to initially present with peripheral arterial disease and fill in a current gap in the evidence base by showing no association between heavy drinking and the initial presentation of abdominal aortic aneurysm.

Sex differences in association between alcohol consumption and cardiovascular diseases

We observed few associations that differed in their magnitude by sex, which is consistent with a recent meta-analysis for aggregated cardiovascular disease. We extend this to multiple cardiovascular disease phenotypes (except heart failure, for which there was a significant sex difference).
Other cardiovascular

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ischaemic stroke (n=6053)</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-drinker</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>1.12 (1.01 to 1.26)</td>
<td>1.16 (1.00 to 1.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former drinker</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>0.93 (0.83 to 1.05)</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occasional drinker</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>1.33 (1.09 to 1.63)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate drinker</td>
<td>3368</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy drinker</td>
<td>507</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subarachnoid haemorrhage (n=1278)</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-drinker</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>1.12 (0.91 to 1.39)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former drinker</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.84 (0.57 to 1.26)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occasional drinker</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>1.01 (0.76 to 1.35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate drinker</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy drinker</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1.16 (0.74 to 1.84)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intracerebral haemorrhage (n=2388)</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-drinker</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>1.03 (0.85 to 1.25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former drinker</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.92 (0.64 to 1.33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occasional drinker</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1.11 (0.93 to 1.33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate drinker</td>
<td>1343</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy drinker</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>1.37 (1.16 to 1.62)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transient ischaemic attack (n=11714)</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-drinker</td>
<td>2091</td>
<td>1.03 (0.95 to 1.12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former drinker</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>1.16 (0.99 to 1.37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occasional drinker</td>
<td>1667</td>
<td>1.03 (0.96 to 1.10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate drinker</td>
<td>6636</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy drinker</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>1.11 (1.02 to 1.21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peripheral arterial disease (n=11519)</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-drinker</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>1.22 (1.13 to 1.32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former drinker</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>1.32 (1.12 to 1.57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occasional drinker</td>
<td>1537</td>
<td>1.11 (1.01 to 1.22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate drinker</td>
<td>6225</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy drinker</td>
<td>1287</td>
<td>1.35 (1.23 to 1.48)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abdominal aortic aneurysm (n=3135)</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-drinker</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>1.32 (1.17 to 1.49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former drinker</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>1.23 (0.99 to 1.52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occasional drinker</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>0.97 (0.80 to 1.17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate drinker</td>
<td>1848</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy drinker</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>0.94 (0.68 to 1.29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Strengths: high resolution of exposure and endpoints in a contemporary clinical cohort**

One of the primary strengths of our study is its large size, which allowed us to examine risk of multiple cardiovascular diseases within the same sample, some of which are too rare to reliably investigate in smaller studies. Use of data from several electronic health record databases further improved the validity of our cardiovascular disease endpoints. Furthermore, we attempted to stratify the group of current non-drinkers into non-drinkers and former and occasional drinkers to clarify whether the observed protective effects of moderate drinking were present when these groups were separated from each other. Another strength of our use of a contemporary cohort is that our exposure variable reflects the drinking habits encountered by health workers in present day clinical practice, whereas the information used in consented cohort studies often echoes drinking behaviour prevalent 15-20 years or more ago. This strength also carries over to other behaviours and clinical practice.

**Limitations**

Of course, our study is not without limitations. For example, our categories of drinking were based not only on self reported alcohol intake as reported by patients to their general practitioner or practice nurse but also our own judgement as how best to combine the recorded codes. Self reported measures of drinking have been criticised, and it is likely that a certain degree of misclassification bias is present in our drinking categories—for example, some of the occasional drinkers were probably regular/moderate drinkers while some moderate drinkers were likely to be heavy drinkers. Therefore, the heavy drinkers in our study could represent the more extreme end of the drinking spectrum.

Furthermore, no standard questions about drinking were used by all health professionals during the study period, meaning that their own personal biases might have resulted in further misclassification—for example, whether they consume alcohol or not. Individuals might respond more honestly when recording their alcohol consumption on a paper questionnaire than directly to a medical professional (differential reporting by sex, fear of being judged, etc), but there was no way to quantify what proportion of data were collected by either method. It is important to bear in mind, however, that the information on alcohol consumption we used is intrinsically relevant to clinical practice as it was gathered as part of routine care and is therefore the sort of information on which clinicians will base their subsequent advice and/or treatment of patients in day to day clinical practice. Additionally, it has been standard practice in every meta-analysis of alcohol consumption and aggregated cardiovascular disease (plus other diseases) to date to combine data collected using different methods, and we do not consider our approach any more inherently biased than that. We also carried out a series of analyses linking the categories of drinking we used in this study to multiple cardiovascular traits, and they behaved as expected indicating acceptable validity of our approach.

We were unable to account for differences in risk by beverage type, though findings in this area are largely mixed, and it has been argued that beverage specific effects are more often a result of residual confounding by socioeconomic position than true effects. Furthermore, we were unable to account for the impact of drinking pattern or changes in drinking over time on different cardiovascular outcomes. Frequency of consumption is an important omission as it is known that most people do not spread their drinking equally across the week and even isolated episodes of heavy drinking are enough to eliminate the protective effects observed for coronary heart disease in otherwise moderate drinkers. We also did not explicitly seek to determine “thresholds” of drinking associated with the lowest risk of harm, instead we used existing...
Clinically recorded data on alcohol consumption to examine for the first time at large scale and within the same study the association between broadly defined categories of drinking (with an emphasis placed on separating different non-drinking groups) and the initial presentation of a range of pathologically diverse cardiovascular diseases. After we have shown that heterogeneous associations exist across cardiovascular endpoints, a logical next step forward would be to more thoroughly investigate the shape of the dose-response association using continuous measures of alcohol consumption. Furthermore, our thorough examination of alcohol consumption recorded in electronic health records has additional clinical implications in having highlighted areas in which measurement of alcohol could be improved in clinical practice (such as drinking pattern).

While we examined a range of cardiovascular diseases, we were unable to resolve some specific subtypes—for example, thrombotic versus embolic ischaemic stroke. This means that an even greater degree of heterogeneity could be present across subtypes of disease. While we tried to minimise measurement error in the group of non-drinkers by using a patient’s entire clinical history to define them as former drinkers if they had any record of drinking, it is likely that this approach did not capture all former drinkers. As such it is possible that the increased risk of initially presenting with several cardiovascular diseases in non-drinkers is partly caused by drinking category contamination/existing comorbidities (for example, we found that non-drinkers were more likely to have diabetes or be obese). Finally, as with all observational studies, we were unable to exclude residual confounding—for example, we did not have information on amount of tobacco smoked (as well as other smoking related traits such as age at initiation, pattern/duration of smoking, and exposure to second-hand smoke), dietary habits, or level of physical activity as these are lacking in the pre-existing electronic databases we used. By assessing the relatively negligible changes in the magnitude of the effect estimates observed for alcohol and aggregated coronary heart disease, ischaemic stroke, and myocardial infarction, pre- and post-adjustment for dietary components and physical activity in large studies to date, however, we are somewhat confident that even if we were able to adjust for these factors, our overall conclusions would not materially change.

**Clinical and public health implications**

As noted previously, there is growing belief that the cardiovascular benefits of moderate drinking might have been overestimated, including in a recent large scale Mendelian randomisation study that found no protective effects of moderate alcohol intake for aggregate cardiovascular disease (though there have been some critical commentaries of this study). A sister paper from the Alcohol-ADHIB consortium, however, found evidence for non-linear associations between alcohol intake and some cardiovascular disease traits, including non-high density lipoprotein cholesterol, BMI, waist circumference, and C reactive protein. We would expect these associations to then translate into greater heterogeneity of association with specific cardiovascular disease endpoints as seen here, underlying the importance of greater granularity in endpoint specification.

The main clinical implications stemming from our findings are concerned with primary prevention and personalised risk. For example, if a patient reports heavy drinking they can be informed that if they continue to do so they have an increased risk of initial presentation with ischaemic stroke, heart failure, cardiac arrest, transient ischaemic attack, intracerebral haemorrhage, or peripheral arterial disease, as well coronary death with no previous symptoms. These findings could have further translational value in an era whereby risk prediction algorithms are being developed and/or improved for specific cardiovascular disease phenotypes through having shown that the association with alcohol consumption is not common across diseases. Similarly, having shown that data on clinically recorded alcohol consumption can be validly used in research settings, in the future such information could be incorporated in disease specific risk prediction algorithms nested in clinical practice.

While we did find that heavy drinkers had a lower risk of presenting with a myocardial infarction, this needs to be considered within the context of our study, which was focused on initial presentation. This does not mean that heavy drinkers will not go on to experience a myocardial infarction in the future, just that they were less likely to present with this as their first diagnosis compared with moderate drinkers. Furthermore, heavy drinkers were more likely to initially present with death from causes other than non-cardiovascular disease, meaning it is possible that they are less likely to initially present with any cardiovascular disease because they die from other causes before they are able to develop a cardiovascular disease.

Similarly, while we found that moderate drinkers were less likely to initially present with several cardiovascular diseases than non-drinkers, it could be argued that it would be unwise to encourage individuals to take up drinking as a means of lowering their risk (although it must be noted that the findings from this study do not directly support this as we did not consider transitions from non-drinking to drinking). This is because there are arguably safer and more effective ways of reducing cardiovascular risk, such as increasing physical activity and smoking cessation, which do not incur increased risks of alcohol related harm such as alcohol dependence, liver disease, and cancer. It is also worth bearing in mind that our focus was on risk of initial presentation with one cardiovascular disease rather than another, not absolute risk of cardiovascular disease. Ultimately an individual’s decision to drink should not be considered in isolation from other health behaviours or risk factors and instead be motivated by their own personal circumstances.

**doi: 10.1136/bmj.j909 | BMJ 2017;356:j909 | thebmj**
Finally, from a public health perspective, our finding that moderate drinking is not universally associated with a lower risk of all cardiovascular conditions also supports the decision not to incorporate the apparent protective effects of drinking for cardiovascular disease in the recent UK chief medical officers’ alcohol guidelines review.76

Conclusions
Collectively, our findings, from the most comprehensive study to date of the relation between alcohol consumption and risk of cardiovascular disease, indicate that moderate alcohol consumption is associated with a lower risk of initially presenting with several, but not all, cardiovascular diseases. Similarly, we show that heavy drinking is differentially associated with a range of such diseases. This has implications for patient counselling, public health communication, and disease prediction algorithms and suggests the necessity for a more nuanced approach to the role of alcohol consumption in the prevention of cardiovascular disease.
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Appendix 1: Appendix