



# Pre-pregnancy potato consumption and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus: prospective cohort study

Wei Bao,<sup>1</sup> Deirdre K Tobias,<sup>2,3</sup> Frank B Hu,<sup>3,4,5</sup> Jorge E Chavarro,<sup>3,4,5</sup> Cuilin Zhang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Epidemiology Branch, Division of Intramural Population Health Research, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Rockville, MD 20852, USA

<sup>2</sup>Division of Preventive Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02215, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Nutrition, Harvard T H Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02115, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T H Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02115, USA

<sup>5</sup>Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Correspondence to: C Zhang zhangcu@mail.nih.gov

Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h6898>)

Cite this as: *BMJ* 2016;352:h6898 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h6898>

Accepted: 03 December 2015

## ABSTRACT

### STUDY QUESTION

What is the association between potato consumption before pregnancy and the risk of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM)?

### METHODS

This prospective cohort study included 15 632 women from the Nurses' Health Study II (1991-2001). They had no previous GDM or chronic diseases before pregnancy. Consumption of potatoes and other foods was assessed every four years. Incident first time GDM was ascertained from self reports of a physician diagnosis of GDM, which was previously validated by medical records.

### STUDY ANSWER AND LIMITATIONS

Over the 10 year follow-up there were 854 incident cases of GDM among 21 693 singleton pregnancies. After adjustment for age, parity, and dietary and non-dietary factors, women who consumed more potatoes before pregnancy had higher rates of developing GDM. Substitution of two servings a week of total potatoes with other vegetables, legumes, and whole grain foods was significantly associated with a 9-12% lower risk of GDM. Consumption and diabetes were self reported, and severity of diabetes was unknown. More than 90% of women were white. A causal association cannot be assumed.

### WHAT THIS PAPER ADDS

Higher levels of potato consumption before pregnancy are associated with greater risk of GDM, and substitution of potatoes with other vegetables, legumes, or whole grain foods might lower the risk.

### FUNDING, COMPETING INTERESTS, DATA SHARING

Funding was received from the Intramural Research Program of the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development,

the National Institutes of Health, and the American Diabetes Association (No 7-12-MN-34). The authors have no competing interests or additional data to share.

### Introduction

Potatoes are the third most commonly consumed food crop in the world, after rice and wheat.<sup>1</sup> In the United States, about 35% of women of reproductive age (that is, aged 19-50) consume potatoes daily, accounting for 8% of daily total energy intake.<sup>2</sup> The health effects of potatoes are inconclusive,<sup>1,3</sup> and there have been longstanding debates on the appropriate placement of potatoes in dietary guidance.<sup>4-6</sup> Nonetheless, the Dietary Guidelines for Americans continue to include potatoes in the vegetable food group and encourage consumption.<sup>7</sup> Though potatoes are rich in vitamin C, potassium, dietary fiber, and some phytochemicals,<sup>6</sup> unlike other vegetables they can have detrimental effects on glucose metabolism because they contain large amounts of rapidly absorbable starch.<sup>3</sup> Indeed, several epidemiologic studies have linked higher potato consumption to increased concentrations of fasting plasma glucose,<sup>8</sup> insulin resistance,<sup>8</sup> and an increased risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus.<sup>9,10</sup>

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is a common complication of pregnancy characterized by glucose intolerance with onset or first recognition during pregnancy.<sup>11</sup> GDM is not only associated with adverse perinatal outcomes,<sup>12</sup> it is also related to increased long term cardiometabolic risk in both mothers and their offspring.<sup>11,13</sup> It is therefore crucial to identify modifiable risk factors that could contribute to the prevention of GDM. Previous studies have found that a diet with a higher glycemic index is related to higher plasma glucose and HbA1c concentrations during pregnancy<sup>14,15</sup> and could increase the risk of GDM.<sup>16</sup> The association between consumption of potatoes, a commonly consumed food with a high glycemic index, and GDM risk, remains unknown. Using data from a large prospective cohort study, we examined the association between potato consumption before pregnancy and risk of incident GDM.

### Methods

#### Study population

The Nurses' Health Study II (NHSII) is an ongoing prospective cohort study of 116 430 female nurses aged 24-44 at study inception in 1989.<sup>17</sup> The participants received a biennial questionnaire regarding disease outcomes and lifestyle behaviors, such as smoking status and medication use. Follow-up for each questionnaire cycle was greater than 90%.<sup>18</sup>

## WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

Gestational diabetes is a common complication of pregnancy and is at the center of a vicious circle of diabetes-begets-diabetes across generations

The Dietary Guidelines for Americans continuously include potatoes within the vegetable food group as a healthy food, though previous studies suggest that potatoes can adversely affect glucose metabolism because they contain large amounts of rapidly absorbable starch

The association between potato consumption and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus remains unknown

## WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

Pre-pregnancy potato consumption was significantly and positively associated with the risk of GDM

Substitution of potatoes with other vegetables, legumes, or whole grain foods might lower the risk of GDM

We included participants from NHSII in this analysis if they reported at least one incident singleton pregnancy that lasted more than six months. The 1991 questionnaire was the first time dietary information was captured. We therefore set this year as the baseline for this analysis and included only pregnancies occurring after the return of 1991 questionnaire. The 2001 questionnaire was the last time GDM was ascertained as most participants had passed reproductive age by then; thus, length follow-up of GDM was up to the return of the 2001 questionnaire. Individual pregnancies were eligible if the woman did not report GDM in a previous pregnancy and had no prior diagnosis of type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, or cancer. We excluded pregnancies if the woman did not return at least one pre-pregnancy food frequency questionnaire, left more than 70 questionnaire items blank, or reported unrealistic total energy intake (<2510 or >14644 kJ/day, equivalent to <600 or >3500 kcal/day). We excluded women with GDM in a previous pregnancy because they might change their diet and lifestyle during the next pregnancy to prevent recurrence.

#### Assessment of exposure

Beginning in 1991 and every four years thereafter, study participants reported their usual food intake during the previous year on a food frequency questionnaire. The validity and reproducibility of the questionnaire are documented elsewhere.<sup>19-21</sup> For potato consumption, we asked each participant how often, on average, they had consumed baked, boiled, or mashed potatoes (serving size: one baked or boiled or 237 mL (equivalent to one cup) mashed) or French fries (serving size 113 g) during the previous year. The possible responses of frequency ranged from never or less than once/month to six or more times a day. In a previous validation study, the corrected correlations between the food frequency questionnaire and the diet records were 0.66 for potatoes and 0.60 for French fries.<sup>21</sup> We summed consumptions of baked, boiled, or mashed potatoes and French fries as total potato consumption. In addition, we asked the participants their frequency of consumption of potato chips or corn chips (combined) during the previous year (serving size 28 g or small bag).

#### Ascertainment of outcomes

The NHSII participants reported incident GDM on each biennial questionnaire through 2001. For women who reported more than one pregnancy lasting more than six months within a two year questionnaire period, GDM status was attributed to the first pregnancy. In a prior validation study among a subgroup of the NHSII cohort, 94% of GDM self reports were confirmed by medical records.<sup>17</sup> In a random sample of parous women without GDM, 83% reported undergoing a glucose screening test during pregnancy and 100% reported frequent prenatal urine screenings, which indicated a high level of GDM surveillance in this cohort.<sup>17</sup> During the period that GDM events were reported in the present study (1991-2001), the National

Diabetes Data Group criteria<sup>22</sup> have been widely adopted for GDM diagnosis.

#### Assessment of covariates

Participants reported their height and weight in 1989 and updated their weight on each biennial questionnaire. In a previous validation study, self reported weight was highly correlated with weight measured by a technician ( $r=0.97$ ).<sup>23</sup> Body mass index (BMI) was computed as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. Total physical activity was ascertained by frequency of engaging in common recreational activities, from which metabolic equivalent hours per week were derived. A prior validation study showed that questionnaire based estimates correlated well with detailed activity diaries ( $r=0.56$ ).<sup>24</sup>

To account for potential confounding by the participants' overall quality of diet, we derived a diet score—the alternate healthy eating index 2010 (AHEI-2010)—for each participant, as previously described.<sup>25</sup> In brief, we allotted 0 to 10 points for intake of each of the following components, with adherence to dietary recommendations receiving 10 points: vegetables (servings/day), fruits (servings/day), whole grains (g/day), sugar sweetened beverages and fruit juice (inversely scored, servings/day), nuts and legumes (servings/day), red/processed meat (inversely scored, servings/day), trans fat (inversely scored, % of energy), long chain n-3 fats (mg/day), polyunsaturated fat (% of energy), sodium (inversely scored, mg/day), and alcohol (drinks/day; we assigned the highest score to moderate (0.5-1.5 drinks/day) and the worst score to heavy ( $\geq 2.5$  drinks/day) alcohol consumers). The overall AHEI-2010 ranged from 0 to 110 points, with a higher score indicating a better quality of diet and associated with a lower risk of incident chronic diseases, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and cancer.<sup>25</sup>

#### Statistical analysis

We calculated a cumulative average intake of potato consumption before pregnancy for each individual at each time period to reduce variation within individuals and to represent long term habitual pre-pregnancy diet.<sup>26</sup> For instance, the 1991 intake was used for the follow-up between 1991 and 1995, the average of the 1991 and 1995 intake was used for the follow-up between 1995 and 1999, and so on.

Descriptive statistics at baseline are presented as means (standard deviations) for continuous variables and percentages for categorical variables. To compare categories of pre-pregnancy potato consumption, we used analysis of variance test for continuous variables and  $\chi^2$  test for categorical variables. We used log-binomials models<sup>27</sup> with generalized estimating equations to estimate relative risks and 95% confidence intervals. Generalized estimating equations allowed us to account for correlations among repeated observations (pregnancies) contributed by a single participant.<sup>28</sup> In a few instances, the log-binomials models did not converge, and we used log-Poisson models,<sup>29</sup> which provide consistent but not fully efficient risk estimates.

Pre-pregnancy covariates in the multivariable models included age (continuous), parity (0, 1, 2, and  $\geq 3$  pregnancies lasting six or more months), race/ethnicity (white, African-American, Hispanic, Asian, other, and missing/not reported), family history of diabetes (yes, no), cigarette smoking (never, past, current, and missing/not reported), physical activity (fourths), total energy intake (fourths), and overall diet quality as represented by the AHEI-2010 dietary pattern score (fourths). We additionally adjusted for biennially updated measures of BMI (<21, 21-22.9, 23.0-24.9, 25.0-26.9, 27.0-28.9, 29.0-30.9, 31.0-32.9, 33.0-34.9,  $\geq 35.0$ ). BMI was entered into the model separately because it can also be an intermediate on the pathway between potato consumption and GDM risk. We derived covariates from the questionnaire preceding each pregnancy, except race/ethnicity and family history of diabetes, which were reported in 1989. Categorical covariates included an indicator variable for missing data, if necessary. To tests for linear trends across categories of potato consumption we assigned the median intake for each category and fitted this as continuous variable in the models. In a sensitivity analysis for the tests of linear trends, we used the actual continuous amount of total potato consumption in the analysis.

We estimated the effect of substituting two servings/week of total potatoes with the same serving amount of alternative foods (that is, other vegetables, legumes, or whole grain foods) by including both as continuous variables in the same multivariable model, which also contained non-dietary covariates and dietary covariates including total energy intake. We estimated relative risks and 95% confidence intervals for the substitution associations by computing the difference in their  $\beta$  coefficients and in their own variances and covariance.<sup>30</sup>

To evaluate effect modification, we performed stratified analyses according to age (<35 v  $\geq 35$ ), parity (nulliparous v parous), family history of diabetes (yes v no), physical activity before pregnancy (<median v  $\geq$  median), overall quality of diet (AHEI-2010 dietary pattern score; <median v  $\geq$  median), and overweight status before pregnancy (BMI <25 v  $\geq 25$ ). We tested interactions between these factors and potato consumption by adding multiplicative interaction terms of the binary effect modifiers and continuous linear trend variables in the multivariable models.

To assess the robustness of our findings, we performed a series of sensitivity analyses by using different modeling approaches. For instance, in a sensitivity analysis, we adjusted for individual food items related to diabetes (such as red meat, fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and sugar sweetened beverages), instead of the AHEI diet quality score that represents a combination of individual food items as a dietary pattern.<sup>25</sup> In addition, we performed a sensitivity analysis using the most recent data for potato consumption before pregnancy instead of the cumulative average intake as the exposure variable. We also conducted sensitivity analyses by adjusting for major covariates as more refined categories (such as tenths) or as continuous variables. Among the participants included in this analysis, less than 3%

of covariate data were missing for a given variable. Collectively, only 4.5% of the total participants had at least one missing variable in the covariates. To examine the impact of incomplete data in our analysis, we conducted a sensitivity analysis by omitting those participants with incomplete covariate data.

All statistical analyses were performed with SAS software (version 9.3; SAS Institute).  $P < 0.05$  was considered significant.

### Patient involvement

No patients were involved in setting the research question or the outcome measures, nor were they involved in developing plans for recruitment, design and implementation of the study. No patients were asked to advise on interpretation or writing up of results. There are no plans to disseminate the results of the research to study participants or the relevant patient community.

### Results

During the 10 years of follow-up, we documented 854 incident pregnancies affected by GDM among 21 693 eligible singleton pregnancies from 15 632 women (6061 women with more than one pregnancy). At baseline in 1991, women with higher potato consumption were younger, less likely to be nulliparous, and more likely to be current smokers (table 1). They had a higher BMI, performed less physical activity, consumed greater total energy, and had a lower quality of overall diet as represented by the AHEI-2010 dietary pattern score.

Higher total potato consumption was significantly associated with an increased risk of GDM (table 2). After adjustment for age, parity, dietary and non-dietary factors, the relative risks of GDM for total potato consumption of 1, 2-4, and  $\geq 5$  servings/week, compared with <1 serving/week, were 1.21 (95% confidence interval 0.98 to 1.50), 1.34 (1.10 to 1.64), and 1.62 (1.24 to 2.13), respectively ( $P < 0.001$  for trend). The association was modestly attenuated but remained significant after additional adjustment for BMI, with the corresponding relative risks of 1.20 (0.97 to 1.48), 1.27 (1.04 to 1.55), and 1.50 (1.15 to 1.96), respectively ( $P = 0.006$  for trend). Additional adjustment for glycemic index did not alter the association between consumption and risk. In addition, associations did not differ by other risk factors for GDM, such as age, parity, family history of diabetes, physical activity, overall diet quality, or overweight status (data not shown). Substitution of two servings per week of total potatoes with other vegetables, legumes, and whole grain foods was significantly associated with a lower risk of GDM: 9% (0.91, 0.85 to 0.97) lower risk for other vegetables, 10% (0.90, 0.83 to 0.99) for legumes, and 12% (0.88, 0.83 to 0.94) for whole grain foods (fig 1).

We also examined the association between risk of GDM and different types of potato preparations (tables 3 and 4). The multivariable adjusted relative risks for GDM for baked, boiled, or mashed potato consumption of 1, 2-4, and  $\geq 5$  servings/week, compared with <1 serving/week, were 1.16 (95% confidence interval 0.97 to 1.39), 1.30 (1.08 to 1.57), and 1.57 (1.14 to 2.15), respectively ( $P = 0.001$  for trend). The association



**Table 1 | Age standardized characteristics of study population (n=15 632) from Nurses' Health Study II in 1991, according to categories of total potato consumption before pregnancy. Figures are numbers (age standardized percentage\*) unless stated otherwise. All comparisons were significant across categories except family history of diabetes**

| Characteristics                                 | Consumption (servings/week) |                 |                 |                 |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|   | <1                          | 1               | 2-4             | ≥5              |
| No of participants                              | 3206                        | 4068            | 6832            | 1526            |
| Mean (SD) age (years)                           | 32.3 (3.4)                  | 32.1 (3.3)      | 31.9 (3.2)      | 31.6 (3.2)      |
| White   | 2891 (90.3)                 | 3808 (93.6)     | 6433 (94.2)     | 1425 (93.3)     |
| Family history of diabetes                      | 352 (10.8)                  | 431 (10.6)      | 764 (11.2)      | 197 (13.1)      |
| Nulliparous                                     | 1394 (43.8)                 | 1468 (36.2)     | 2164 (31.6)     | 449 (28.9)      |
| Current smoking                                 | 232 (7.2)                   | 345 (8.5)       | 638 (9.4)       | 174 (11.4)      |
| Alcohol (g/d)                                   | 2.9 (5.0)                   | 3.2 (5.4)       | 3.0 (5.2)       | 2.7 (4.8)       |
| BMI   | 22.9 (4.0)                  | 23.2 (4.0)      | 23.7 (4.6)      | 24.2 (4.8)      |
| Physical activity (metabolic equivalent-h/week) | 25.5 (31.4)                 | 24.7 (31.0)     | 22.0 (27.1)     | 22.0 (29.6)     |
| Total calories (kJ/d)                           | 6453.8 (2048.9)             | 7176.4 (2038.9) | 8106.9 (2188.2) | 9559.6 (2248.5) |
| Carbohydrate (%E)                               | 51.2 (7.7)                  | 50.7 (7.1)      | 50.3 (7.0)      | 50.3 (7.3)      |
| Protein (%E)                                    | 19.6 (3.6)                  | 19.5 (3.4)      | 19.1 (3.1)      | 18.6 (3.1)      |
| Total fat (%E)                                  | 29.9 (5.6)                  | 30.4 (5.3)      | 31.4 (5.3)      | 32.3 (5.7)      |
| Saturated fat (%E)                              | 10.9 (2.6)                  | 11.0 (2.4)      | 11.3 (2.3)      | 11.5 (2.4)      |
| Monounsaturated fat (%E)                        | 11.1 (2.3)                  | 11.4 (2.2)      | 11.9 (2.3)      | 12.5 (2.5)      |
| Polyunsaturated fat (%E)                        | 5.4 (1.5)                   | 5.4 (1.3)       | 5.5 (1.2)       | 5.5 (1.2)       |
| Trans fat (%E)                                  | 1.4 (0.5)                   | 1.5 (0.5)       | 1.7 (0.6)       | 1.9 (0.7)       |
| Cholesterol (mg/dt)                             | 232.9 (68.8)                | 236.3 (64.3)    | 240.4 (61.0)    | 238.5 (66.0)    |
| Glycemic index†                                 | 53.1 (3.7)                  | 53.4 (3.2)      | 54.4 (2.9)      | 55.6 (2.7)      |
| Glycemic load†                                  | 124.4 (22.9)                | 123.0 (20.5)    | 123.0 (19.8)    | 124.1 (20.2)    |
| Total fiber (g/dt)                              | 18.1 (6.0)                  | 18.2 (5.6)      | 17.9 (5.1)      | 18.2 (5.2)      |
| Magnesium (mg/dt)                               | 335.4 (78.9)                | 328.7 (74.3)    | 311.0 (69.3)    | 292.6 (65.9)    |
| Heme iron (mg/dt)                               | 1.0 (0.4)                   | 1.1 (0.4)       | 1.1 (0.4)       | 1.1 (0.4)       |
| Potassium (mg/dt)                               | 2880.1 (527.7)              | 2887.1 (510.4)  | 2879.2 (491.8)  | 2900.6 (514.3)  |
| Calcium (mg/dt)                                 | 1161.4 (487.3)              | 1118.3 (426.5)  | 1036.1 (390.2)  | 940.0 (351.4)   |
| Vitamin C (mg/dt)                               | 290.4 (334.6)               | 252.0 (272.9)   | 232.0 (245.1)   | 204.0 (198.5)   |
| Vitamin E (mg/dt)                               | 24.4 (54.7)                 | 20.8 (46.3)     | 19.5 (40.4)     | 16.2 (29.6)     |
| Red meat (servings/d)                           | 0.5 (0.4)                   | 0.6 (0.5)       | 0.8 (0.5)       | 1.2 (0.7)       |
| Poultry (servings/d)                            | 0.4 (0.3)                   | 0.4 (0.3)       | 0.5 (0.3)       | 0.5 (0.3)       |
| Fish (servings/d)                               | 0.2 (0.2)                   | 0.2 (0.2)       | 0.2 (0.2)       | 0.2 (0.2)       |
| Eggs (servings/d)                               | 0.1 (0.2)                   | 0.2 (0.2)       | 0.2 (0.2)       | 0.2 (0.2)       |
| Low fat dairy (servings/d)                      | 1.4 (1.3)                   | 1.6 (1.3)       | 1.6 (1.2)       | 1.5 (1.3)       |
| High fat dairy (servings/d)                     | 0.9 (0.9)                   | 0.9 (0.9)       | 1.0 (0.9)       | 1.1 (0.9)       |
| Nuts (servings/d)                               | 0.2 (0.3)                   | 0.2 (0.4)       | 0.3 (0.3)       | 0.3 (0.4)       |
| Legumes (servings/d)                            | 0.3 (0.3)                   | 0.3 (0.3)       | 0.4 (0.3)       | 0.5 (0.4)       |
| Vegetables (servings/d)                         | 2.7 (2.2)                   | 3.0 (1.9)       | 3.3 (2.0)       | 3.6 (2.3)       |
| Fruits (servings/d)                             | 1.1 (0.9)                   | 1.2 (1.0)       | 1.2 (1.0)       | 1.2 (1.1)       |
| Whole grain foods (servings/d)                  | 1.3 (1.2)                   | 1.4 (1.2)       | 1.5 (1.2)       | 1.6 (1.3)       |
| SSBs (servings/d)                               | 0.4 (0.7)                   | 0.4 (0.7)       | 0.6 (0.9)       | 0.8 (1.1)       |
| AHEI score                                      | 52.1 (10.5)                 | 50.5 (10.3)     | 46.4 (10.4)     | 42.0 (10.9)     |

%E=% of energy; AHEI=alternate healthy eating index; BMI=body mass index; MET=metabolic equivalent; SSB=sugar sweetened beverage.

\*Age standardized percentages might not be equal to percentages directly calculated by numerator and denominator in each category of potato consumption.

†Energy adjusted.

remained significant after additional adjustment for BMI. For French fries, the multivariable adjusted relative risks for GDM for consumption at 1-3 servings/month, 1 serving/week, and ≥2 servings/week, compared with no consumption or consumption <1 serving/month, were 1.07 (0.88 to 1.29), 1.25 (1.00 to 1.55), and 1.31 (1.00 to 1.70), respectively (P=0.03 for trend). The association was attenuated and no longer significant after additional adjustment for BMI. We observed a significant and positive correlation between the consumption of different types of potato preparations


(that is, baked, boiled, or mashed potatoes, and French fries); the Pearson correlation coefficient was 0.18 (P<0.001). This indicates that a woman who ate more baked, boiled, or mashed potatoes was more likely to eat more French fries, and vice versa. To examine the independent associations between different types of potato preparations and GDM, we included both types simultaneously in the same multivariable model and observed almost identical magnitude of the associations with risk. The mutually adjusted relative risks for GDM associated with each increment of one serving/week consumption were 1.08 (1.03 to 1.14) for baked, boiled, or mashed potatoes and 1.08 (1.03 to 1.14) for French fries. For potato chips or corn chips combined, we found no significant association with risk; the multivariable adjusted relative risks for GDM for consumption at 1-3 servings/month, 1 serving/week, and ≥2 servings/week, compared with no consumption or consumption <1 serving/month, were 0.97 (0.81 to 1.16), 1.02 (0.83 to 1.25), and 1.00 (0.80 to 1.24), respectively (P=0.87 for trend).

The association between potato consumption between pregnancy and risk of GDM was robust in multiple sensitivity analyses. First, we observed similar results when we adjusted for individual food items related to diabetes (such as red meat, fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and sugar sweetened beverages), instead of the AHEI diet quality score in the multivariable model (appendix table A). The adjusted relative risks for GDM for total potato consumption of 1, 2-4, and ≥5 servings/week, compared with <1 serving/week, were 1.18 (95% confidence interval 0.95 to 1.45), 1.21 (0.99 to 1.48), and 1.40 (1.08 to 1.83), respectively (P=0.03 for trend). Second, a sensitivity analysis in which we used the actual continuous amount of total potato consumption, instead of the median level of each category of total potato consumption, in the test of linear trend analysis, yielded almost the same results as those in our main analysis (appendix table B). Third, we observed similar results in a sensitivity analysis that omitted participants with incomplete covariate data, which comprised 4.5% of the total participants (appendix table C). The adjusted relative risks for GDM for total potato consumption of 1, 2-4, and ≥5 servings/week, compared with <1 serving/week, were 1.21 (0.97 to 1.50), 1.28 (1.04 to 1.57), and 1.51 (1.14 to 1.98), respectively (P=0.007 for trend). Fourth, we also observed similar results in a sensitivity analysis using the most recent pre-pregnancy potato consumption, rather than the cumulative average intake of potatoes, as the exposure variable (appendix table D). The adjusted relative risks for GDM for total potato consumption of 1, 2-4, and ≥5 servings/week, compared with <1 serving/week, were 1.17 (0.95 to 1.44), 1.27 (1.04 to 1.55), and 1.35 (1.04 to 1.75), respectively (P=0.02 for trend). In addition, we conducted sensitivity analyses by adjusting for major covariates (such as physical activity levels, BMI) as more refined categories (that is, tenths) or as continuous variables (appendix tables E-G). The significant association between total potato consumption and risk of GDM persisted.

**Table 2 | Relative risks (95% confidence intervals) for gestational diabetes mellitus according to total potato consumption before pregnancy**

|                 | Consumption (servings/week) |                     |                     |                     | P for trend |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|
|                 | <1                          | 1                   | 2-4                 | ≥5                  |             |
| GDM/pregnancies | 136/4336                    | 211/5763            | 403/9599            | 195/1995            | —           |
| Models*:        |                             |                     |                     |                     |             |
| 1               | 1.00                        | 1.24 (1.01 to 1.54) | 1.48 (1.22 to 1.79) | 1.93 (1.51 to 2.48) | <0.001      |
| 2               | 1.00                        | 1.25 (1.01 to 1.54) | 1.45 (1.19 to 1.77) | 1.81 (1.39 to 2.37) | <0.001      |
| 3               | 1.00                        | 1.21 (0.98 to 1.50) | 1.34 (1.10 to 1.64) | 1.62 (1.24 to 2.13) | <0.001      |
| 4               | 1.00                        | 1.20 (0.97 to 1.48) | 1.27 (1.04 to 1.55) | 1.50 (1.15 to 1.96) | 0.006       |

\*Model 1: age (months) and parity (0, 1, 2, ≥3); model 2: model 1+race/ethnicity (white, African-American, Hispanic, Asian, others), family history of diabetes (yes, no), cigarette smoking (never, past, current), physical activity (fourths), and total energy intake (fourths); model 3: model 2+alternate healthy eating index 2010 (fourths); model 4: model 3+pre-pregnancy BMI (nine categories; <21, 21-22.9, 23.0-24.9, 25.0-26.9, 27.0-28.9, 29.0-30.9, 31.0-32.9, 33.0-34.9 and ≥35.0).



**Fig 1 | Risk of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) associated with substitution of all vegetables (not including potatoes), legumes, or whole grain foods for potatoes at same serving amount (two servings/week). Adjusted for age (months), parity (0, 1, 2, ≥3), race/ethnicity (white, African-American, Hispanic, Asian, others), family history of diabetes (yes, no), cigarette smoking (never, past, current), physical activity (fourths), total energy intake (fourths), alternate healthy eating index 2010 (fourths), and pre-pregnancy BMI (nine categories: <21, 21-22.9, 23.0-24.9, 25.0-26.9, 27.0-28.9, 29.0-30.9, 31.0-32.9, 33.0-34.9 and ≥35.0)**

## Discussion

In this large prospective cohort study, we found that higher pre-pregnancy consumption of potatoes was significantly associated with a greater risk of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), even after adjustment for other major risk factors for GDM such as age, family history of diabetes, physical activity, overall diet quality, and BMI. We estimated that substitution of total potatoes with other vegetables, legumes, or whole grain foods was significantly associated with a lower risk of GDM.

## Results in relation to other studies and implications of findings

Although the association between potato consumption and risk of GDM has not been previously investigated, higher potato consumption has been associated with markers of altered glucose metabolism, including increased fasting plasma glucose concentrations and insulin resistance, in people at high risk.<sup>8</sup> In addition, our results were in line with those from several previous studies,<sup>9,10</sup> although not all,<sup>31,32</sup> on the associations of potato consumption with risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus. For instance, a previous study in women who were not pregnant found that higher consumptions of potatoes and French fries was associated with a moderately

increased risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus after adjustment for age and dietary and non-dietary factors; women with the highest fifth of consumptions of potatoes and French fries, compared with those with the lowest fifth, had a 14% and 21% higher risk, respectively.<sup>9</sup>

Potatoes are widely consumed worldwide. Understanding of the association between consumption and risk of GDM, a common complication of pregnancy that has adverse impacts on the health of women and their children, has important clinical and public health implications. In the US, the Dietary Guidelines for Americans includes potatoes in the vegetable food group,<sup>7</sup> whereas the United Kingdom's national dietary guidelines classifies potatoes in the starchy food group.<sup>33</sup> Both guidelines, however, advise people to consume plenty of potatoes as either vegetables or starchy foods. Findings from the present study raise concerns about such guidance. Our findings, together with data from other studies,<sup>34-38</sup> further highlight the potential role of pre-pregnancy diet in the development of GDM. Future intervention studies are warranted to confirm these findings.

## Possible explanations of findings

Higher consumption of potatoes, in particular French fries, could be an indicator of a low quality diet. We therefore adjusted for quality of overall diet in our analysis. The associations with risk of GDM were moderately attenuated but remained significant after adjustment for overall diet quality. We observed similar results when we adjusted major food groups related to diabetes (that is, red meat, vegetable and fruit, and whole grain foods) and sugar sweetened beverages in place of overall diet quality score (appendix table A). These data suggest that the association between total potato consumption and risk of GDM could be independent of other dietary risk factors for GDM.

Our findings are biologically plausible, though the detailed underlying mechanisms remain to be elucidated. Potatoes are a food with a high glycaemic index because of the large amount of starch that is absorbed rapidly after ingestion.<sup>3</sup> High potato consumption can result in a sharp postprandial rise in blood glucose concentrations and induce oxidative stress to pancreatic  $\beta$  cells and subsequently  $\beta$  cell dysfunction or  $\beta$  cell exhaustion.<sup>39-41</sup> Beyond the high glycaemic index, French fries also contain altered fatty acid composition and an increased amount of degradation products from the frying oil and dietary advanced glycation end products that are generated during the frying process.<sup>42,43</sup> These changes in fried food have previously been implicated in the development of insulin resistance and diabetes.<sup>44,45</sup> In contrast, other vegetables, legumes, and whole grain foods typically have a low glycaemic index, in addition to their richness in vitamins, minerals, fiber, and bioactive phytochemicals; therefore, substitution of potatoes with these foods could lower the risk of GDM. The association between French fries and risk of GDM was substantially attenuated after additional adjustment for BMI, indicating that the association might be largely explained by BMI. This is not surpris-

**Table 3 | Relative risks (95% confidence intervals) for gestational diabetes mellitus according to consumption of baked, boiled, or mashed potatoes before pregnancy**

|                 | Consumption (servings/week) |                     |                     |                     | P for trend |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|
|                 | <1                          | 1                   | 2-4                 | ≥5                  |             |
| GDM/pregnancies | 202/5911                    | 274/7338            | 329/7493            | 49/951              | —           |
| Model*:         |                             |                     |                     |                     |             |
| 1               | 1.00                        | 1.18 (0.99 to 1.41) | 1.39 (1.17 to 1.64) | 1.69 (1.24 to 2.28) | <0.001      |
| 2               | 1.00                        | 1.17 (0.98 to 1.40) | 1.36 (1.13 to 1.63) | 1.63 (1.19 to 2.24) | <0.001      |
| 3               | 1.00                        | 1.16 (0.97 to 1.39) | 1.30 (1.08 to 1.57) | 1.57 (1.14 to 2.15) | 0.001       |
| 4               | 1.00                        | 1.13 (0.95 to 1.35) | 1.25 (1.04 to 1.51) | 1.52 (1.11 to 2.07) | 0.004       |

\*Model 1: age (months) and parity (0, 1, 2, ≥3); model 2: model 1+race/ethnicity (white, African-American, Hispanic, Asian, others), family history of diabetes (yes, no), cigarette smoking (never, past, current), physical activity (fourths), and total energy intake (fourths); model 3: model 2+alternate healthy eating index 2010 (fourths); model 4: model 3+pre-pregnancy BMI (nine categories; <21, 21-22.9, 23.0-24.9, 25.0-26.9, 27.0-28.9, 29.0-30.9, 31.0-32.9, 33.0-34.9 and ≥35.0).

**Table 4 | Relative risks (95% confidence intervals) for gestational diabetes mellitus according to consumption of French fries before pregnancy**

|                 | Consumption (servings/week) |                     |                     |                     | P for trend |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|
|                 | None*                       | <1                  | 1                   | ≥2                  |             |
| GDM/pregnancies | 145/4439                    | 383/10199           | 216/4884            | 110/2171            | —           |
| Model†:         |                             |                     |                     |                     |             |
| 1               | 1.00                        | 1.25 (1.03 to 1.51) | 1.62 (1.32 to 2.00) | 1.84 (1.45 to 2.35) | <0.001      |
| 2               | 1.00                        | 1.18 (0.98 to 1.43) | 1.46 (1.18 to 1.80) | 1.59 (1.24 to 2.04) | <0.001      |
| 3               | 1.00                        | 1.07 (0.88 to 1.29) | 1.25 (1.00 to 1.55) | 1.31 (1.00 to 1.70) | 0.03        |
| 4               | 1.00                        | 1.02 (0.84 to 1.24) | 1.16 (0.94 to 1.45) | 1.18 (0.91 to 1.53) | 0.14        |

\*Includes <1 serving/month.

†Model 1: age (months) and parity (0, 1, 2, ≥3); model 2: model 1+race/ethnicity (white, African-American, Hispanic, Asian, others), family history of diabetes (yes, no), cigarette smoking (never, past, current), physical activity (fourths), and total energy intake (fourths); model 3: model 2+alternate healthy eating index 2010 (fourths); model 4: model 3+pre-pregnancy BMI (nine categories; <21, 21-22.9, 23.0-24.9, 25.0-26.9, 27.0-28.9, 29.0-30.9, 31.0-32.9, 33.0-34.9 and ≥35.0).

ing because frying with oil can increase the energy density of food,<sup>46</sup> and studies have found an increased risk of obesity associated with higher consumption of fried food.<sup>47,48</sup> A previous study has shown a significant association between potato consumption and long term weight gain in the general population.<sup>49</sup> It is possible that eating more potatoes is related to higher gestational weight gain and, subsequently, an increased risk of GDM. Unfortunately, we did not have data on gestational weight gain to further explore the potential mechanism.

### Strengths and potential limitations

The strengths of this study include the prospective design with a long follow-up that establishes the temporal direction of the associations, the large number of cases of GDM that ensures sufficient statistical power, and the repeated and comprehensive assessment of many dietary and lifestyle variables that allows us to assess potential confounding and effect modification. We acknowledge that there were also several potential limitations. First, like other observational studies, measurement error in self reported exposures (potato consumption) is possible. As the pre-pregnancy dietary information was captured prospectively, the random error within an individual would most likely be non-differential, which could have attenuated the observed associations toward

null. Furthermore, the use of cumulative averages of dietary intakes for participants who filled in more than one pre-pregnancy food frequency questionnaire reduces random error within an individual.<sup>26</sup> Second, cases of GDM were self reported in this study. Our previous validation study indicated a high degree of accuracy of self reported GDM in this cohort compared with medical record review.<sup>17</sup> The rate of GDM (5.5%) in this study was in the upper range of the usual reported rates (range 3-6%) in the US based on the NDDG (National Diabetes Data Group) criteria during the same period.<sup>50,51</sup> This rate likely reflected the high rate of screening in this cohort, which is composed of health professionals. Third, we do not have data on the severity of GDM in this cohort. Whether higher habitual consumption of potatoes is associated with increasing severity of GDM warrants future investigation. Fourth, our study population consisted mostly of white American women. Thus, the generalizability of the observed associations might be limited to similar populations. The relative homogeneity of the study population, however, reduces potential confounding due to unmeasured socioeconomic variability. Fifth, although we adjusted for many potential confounders, we are unable to fully rule out the possibility of residual confounding by unmeasured factors. Finally, because of the observational nature of our study, we cannot establish a causal relation between potato consumption and risk of GDM. A carefully conducted observational study, however, could provide a reasonable approach to evaluate the association. In addition, we controlled for major risk factors of GDM to minimize confounding.

### Conclusion

In summary, in this large prospective cohort study, pre-pregnancy potato consumption was significantly and positively associated with the risk of incident GDM. Substitution of potatoes with other vegetables, legumes, or whole grain foods was associated with a lower risk of GDM. These findings should be confirmed in intervention studies and randomized controlled trials.

**Contributors:** WB and CZ contributed to the study design. JEC and FBH were involved in data collection. WB analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript. DKT conducted technical review. All authors contributed to the interpretation of the results and revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content and approved the final version of the manuscript. WB and CZ are guarantors.

**Funding:** This study was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health (contract No HHSN275201000020C). The Nurses' Health Study II was funded by research grants DK58845, CA50385, P30 DK46200, and UM1 CA176726 from the National Institutes of Health. DKT and FBH were supported by a mentored fellowship from the American Diabetes Association (No 7-12-MN-34).

**Competing interest:** All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at [www.icmje.org/doi\\_disclosure.pdf](http://www.icmje.org/doi_disclosure.pdf) and declare: no support from any organization for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

**Ethical approval:** The study protocol was approved by the Partners Human Research Committee (Boston, MA, USA). The completion of the self administered questionnaire was considered to imply informed consent.



**Transparency:** The senior author, CZ, affirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted.

**Data sharing:** No additional data available.

This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 3.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>.

- 1 Camire ME, Kubow S, Donnelly DJ. Potatoes and human health. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2009;49: 823-40. doi:10.1080/10408390903041996 19960391
- 2 Freedman MR, Keast DR. Potatoes, including French fries, contribute key nutrients to diets of U.S. adults: NHANES 2003-2006. *J Nutr Ther* 2012;1: 1-11.
- 3 McGill CR, Kurilich AC, Davignon J. The role of potatoes and potato components in cardiometabolic health: a review. *Ann Med* 2013;45: 467-73. doi:10.3109/07853890.2013.813633 23855880
- 4 Willett WC. The dietary pyramid: does the foundation need repair? *Am J Clin Nutr* 1998;68: 218-9. 9701173
- 5 Forbes GB. The potato's placement in the dietary pyramid. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1999;69: 572-3. 10075348
- 6 King JC, Slavin JL. White potatoes, human health, and dietary guidance. *Adv Nutr* 2013;4: 3935-401S. doi:10.3945/an.112.003525 23674809
- 7 Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion. Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2010. 7th ed U.S. Department of Agriculture and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2010. <http://www.cnpp.usda.gov/DietaryGuidelines>.
- 8 Ylönen SK, Virtanen SM, Groop LBotnia Research Group. The intake of potatoes and glucose metabolism in subjects at high risk for Type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Med* 2007;24: 1049-50. doi:10.1111/j.1464-5491.2007.02206.x 17725708
- 9 Halton TL, Willett WC, Liu S, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Hu FB. Potato and french fry consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes in women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2006;83: 284-90. 16469985
- 10 Montonen J, Järvinen R, Heliövaara M, Reunanen A, Aromaa A, Knekt P. Food consumption and the incidence of type II diabetes mellitus. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2005;59: 441-8. doi:10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602094 15674312
- 11 American Diabetes Association. Gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 2004;27(Suppl 1): S88-90. doi:10.2337/diacare.27.2007.S88 14693936
- 12 Metzger BE, Lowe LP, Dyer ARHAPO Study Cooperative Research Group. Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcomes. *N Engl J Med* 2008;358: 1991-2002. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0707943 18463375
- 13 Reece EA, Leguizamón G, Wiznitzer A. Gestational diabetes: the need for a common ground. *Lancet* 2009;373: 1789-97. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60515-8 19465234
- 14 Scholl TO, Chen X, Khoo CS, Lenders C. The dietary glycemic index during pregnancy: influence on infant birth weight, fetal growth, and biomarkers of carbohydrate metabolism. *Am J Epidemiol* 2004;159: 467-74. doi:10.1093/aje/kwh068 14977642
- 15 Tieu J, Crowther CA, Middleton P. Dietary advice in pregnancy for preventing gestational diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008;(2): CD006674. 18425961
- 16 Zhang C, Liu S, Solomon CG, Hu FB. Dietary fiber intake, dietary glycemic load, and the risk for gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 2006;29: 2223-30. doi:10.2337/dc06-0266 17003297
- 17 Solomon CG, Willett WC, Carey VJ. A prospective study of pregravid determinants of gestational diabetes mellitus. *JAMA* 1997;278: 1078-83. doi:10.1001/jama.1997.0350130052036 9315766
- 18 Colditz GA, Hankinson SE. The Nurses' Health Study: lifestyle and health among women. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2005;5: 388-96. doi:10.1038/nrc1608 15864280
- 19 Willett WC, Sampson L, Stampfer MJ. Reproducibility and validity of a semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire. *Am J Epidemiol* 1985;122: 51-65. 4014201
- 20 Willett WC, Sampson L, Browne ML. The use of a self-administered questionnaire to assess diet four years in the past. *Am J Epidemiol* 1988;127: 188-99. 3337073
- 21 Salvini S, Hunter DJ, Sampson L. Food-based validation of a dietary questionnaire: the effects of week-to-week variation in food consumption. *Int J Epidemiol* 1989;18: 858-67. doi:10.1093/ije/18.4.858 2621022
- 22 National Diabetes Data Group. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and other categories of glucose intolerance. *Diabetes* 1979;28: 1039-57. doi:10.2337/diab.28.12.1039 510803
- 23 Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Chute CG, Litin LB, Willett WC. Validity of self-reported waist and hip circumferences in men and women. *Epidemiology* 1990;1: 466-73. doi:10.1097/00001648-199011000-00009 2090281
- 24 Wolf AM, Hunter DJ, Colditz GA. Reproducibility and validity of a self-administered physical activity questionnaire. *Int J Epidemiol* 1994;23: 991-9. doi:10.1093/ije/23.5.991 7860180
- 25 Chiuve SE, Fung TT, Rimm EB. Alternative dietary indices both strongly predict risk of chronic disease. *J Nutr* 2012;142: 1009-18. doi:10.3945/jn.111.157222 22513989
- 26 Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Rimm E. Dietary fat and coronary heart disease: a comparison of approaches for adjusting for total energy intake and modeling repeated dietary measurements. *Am J Epidemiol* 1999;149: 531-40. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a009849 10084242
- 27 Wacholder S. Binomial regression in GLIM: estimating risk ratios and risk differences. *Am J Epidemiol* 1986;123: 174-84. 3509965
- 28 Hanley JA, Negassa A, Edwards MD, Forrester JE. Statistical analysis of correlated data using generalized estimating equations: an orientation. *Am J Epidemiol* 2003;157: 364-75. doi:10.1093/aje/kwf215 12578807
- 29 Zou G. A modified poisson regression approach to prospective studies with binary data. *Am J Epidemiol* 2004;159: 702-6. doi:10.1093/aje/kwh090 15033648
- 30 Pan A, Sun Q, Bernstein AM. Red meat consumption and mortality: results from 2 prospective cohort studies. *Arch Intern Med* 2012;172: 555-63. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2011.2287 22412075
- 31 Liu S, Serdula M, Janket SJ. A prospective study of fruit and vegetable intake and the risk of type 2 diabetes in women. *Diabetes Care* 2004;27: 2993-6. doi:10.2337/diacare.27.12.2993 15562224
- 32 Hodge AM, English DR, O'Dea K, Giles GG. Glycemic index and dietary fiber and the risk of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2004;27: 2701-6. doi:10.2337/diacare.27.11.2701 15505008
- 33 UK National Health Service. The eatwell plate. <http://www.nhs.uk/Livewell/Goodfood/Pages/eatwell-plate.aspx>.
- 34 Zhang C, Ning Y. Effect of dietary and lifestyle factors on the risk of gestational diabetes: review of epidemiologic evidence. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2011;94(Suppl): 1975S-9S. doi:10.3945/ajcn.110.001032 21613563
- 35 Tobias DK, Zhang C, Chavarro J. Prepregnancy adherence to dietary patterns and lower risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2012;96: 289-95. doi:10.3945/ajcn.111.028266 22760563
- 36 Bao W, Bowers K, Tobias DK, Hu FB, Zhang C. Prepregnancy dietary protein intake, major dietary protein sources, and the risk of gestational diabetes mellitus: a prospective cohort study. *Diabetes Care* 2013;36: 2001-8. doi:10.2337/dc12-2018 23378620
- 37 Bao W, Bowers K, Tobias DK. Prepregnancy low-carbohydrate dietary pattern and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus: a prospective cohort study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2014;99: 1378-84. doi:10.3945/ajcn.113.082966 24717341
- 38 Schoenaker DA, Soedamah-Muthu SS, Callaway LK, Mishra GD. Prepregnancy dietary patterns and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus: results from an Australian population-based prospective cohort study. *Diabetologia* 2015;58: 2726-35. doi:10.1007/s00125-015-3742-1 26358582
- 39 Riccardi G, Rivellese AA, Giacco R. Role of glycemic index and glycemic load in the healthy state, in prediabetes, and in diabetes. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008;87: 2695-74S. 18175767
- 40 Willett W, Manson J, Liu S. Glycemic index, glycemic load, and risk of type 2 diabetes. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2002;76: 274S-80S. 12081851
- 41 Ceriello A, Esposito K, Piconi L. Oscillating glucose is more deleterious to endothelial function and oxidative stress than mean glucose in normal and type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes* 2008;57: 1349-54. doi:10.2337/db08-0063 18299315
- 42 Guallar-Castillón P, Rodríguez-Artalejo F, Lopez-García E. Consumption of fried foods and risk of coronary heart disease: Spanish cohort of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition study. *BMJ* 2012;344: e363. doi:10.1136/bmj.e363 22275385
- 43 Goldberg T, Cai W, Peppas M. Advanced glycoxidation end products in commonly consumed foods. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2004;104: 1287-91. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2004.05.214 15281050
- 44 Cai W, Ramdas M, Zhu L, Chen X, Striker GE, Vlassara H. Oral advanced glycation endproducts (AGEs) promote insulin resistance and diabetes by depleting the antioxidant defenses AGE receptor-1 and sirtuin 1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012;109: 15888-93. doi:10.1073/pnas.1205847109 22908267
- 45 Cahill LE, Pan A, Chiuve SE. Fried-food consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease: a prospective study in 2 cohorts of US women and men. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2014;100: 667-75. doi:10.3945/ajcn.114.084129 24944061
- 46 Pokorn J, Pánek J, Trojáková L. Effect of food component changes during frying on the nutrition value of fried food. *Forum Nutr* 2003;56: 348-50. 15806930
- 47 Guallar-Castillón P, Rodríguez-Artalejo F, Fornés NS. Intake of fried foods is associated with obesity in the cohort of Spanish adults from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2007;86: 198-205. 17616781

- 48 Qi Q, Chu AY, Kang JH. Fried food consumption, genetic risk, and body mass index: gene-diet interaction analysis in three US cohort studies. *BMJ* 2014;348: g1610. 24646652
- 49 Mozaffarian D, Hao T, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Hu FB. Changes in diet and lifestyle and long-term weight gain in women and men. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364: 2392-404. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1014296 21696306
- 50 Magee MS, Walden CE, Benedetti TJ, Knopp RH. Influence of diagnostic criteria on the incidence of gestational diabetes and perinatal morbidity. *JAMA* 1993;269: 609-15. doi:10.1001/jama.1993.03500050087031 8421365
- 51 Ferrara A, Hedderon MM, Quesenberry CP, Selby JV. Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus detected by the national diabetes data group or the carpenter and coustan plasma glucose thresholds. *Diabetes Care* 2002;25: 1625-30. doi:10.2337/diacare.25.9.1625 12196438

© BMJ Publishing Group Ltd 2016

### Appendix: Supplementary tables A-G