Ebola is causing moral distress among African healthcare workers

Hundreds of local healthcare workers have died in west African settings that lack the resources to deal with the Ebola outbreak, writes Connie M Ulrich
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No one is talking about what must be profound moral distress among local African healthcare workers. Moral distress can result from not acting in accordance with what you believe to be ethically correct in professional practice, and it can lead to serious health related outcomes such as emotional suffering and physical ailments. The phenomenon was first described by the philosopher Andrew Jameton 30 years ago. It is common to hear clinicians say, “I didn’t do right in that situation.” Moral distress is increasingly common among healthcare clinicians both nationally and internationally and can occur when doctors and nurses feel powerless to voice their concerns, do not agree with treatment procedures, or lack the equipment or resources necessary to advocate for patients. It can manifest as a sense of dread and a feeling of a loss of professional integrity. Many of us in healthcare can easily remember specific cases that led to feelings of moral distress. Perhaps we were questioning the continued use of aggressive measures requested by family members against the advice of the medical team. Or perhaps we were struck by a parent’s emotional insistence to keep his or her critically ill child alive at all costs. Or perhaps we were in the middle of a contentious family conflict that could not be resolved. For example, some evidence reports that 27% of European and Israeli intensive care clinicians cite inappropriateness of care in at least one patient care situation; in a similar way, higher moral distress in US physicians and nurses is associated with pressure to continue “unwarranted aggressive treatment.” However, for African healthcare workers this distress often centres on an inability to meet an overwhelming demand for basic patient care needs with limited supplies and other resources.

This of course is also the case in western Africa. Local healthcare workers know what needs to be done but simply do not have adequate resources to support all the immediate care needs of people infected with Ebola. They are overwhelmed with sick patients, becoming sick themselves, and, in many instances, dying too. In fact, more than 300 healthcare workers have died to date. The immense moral, physical, and psychological consequences of Ebola are obvious.

Ebola has heightened our senses to the daily plight of physicians and nurses, but in west Africa fear is rampant. Local services are short staffed, conditions are crowded, and healthcare workers have to be sprayed down for decontamination. In some instances they are too afraid to come to work at all.

Should clinicians protect themselves and their immediate family members from harm by walking away from their patients? Or should they remain at the bedside even if they know that they do not have the necessary equipment to “do good” for all patients? What if that means they suffer the same fate themselves? Either way, it is morally distressing.

Some local healthcare workers refused to care for infected patients. Such “conscientious objection” may be ethically justified where the risk is imminently greater than the benefit that can be brought. Doctors and nurses deserve protective equipment, up to date information, and universal policies that guide opting out for workers who might be at particular risk.

Stopping the spread of Ebola is an urgent and universal priority. It requires a density of well trained and equipped clinicians. Profound shortages of doctors, nurses, and midwives have undermined the ability of some African countries to meet the United Nations’ millennium development goals.

The Ebola virus brings this stark reality to the fore. Once this epidemic is over, we will need to examine the many ethical questions that have surfaced. What do we owe global societies during an epidemic in terms of medical, nursing, and economic relief? How do we serve those who have served others by...
supporting their health and wellbeing? How do we guarantee that local caregivers are equipped as adequately as foreign aid workers? And, how do we minimise moral distress among doctors and nurses who are already working under extreme circumstances and with limited resources to meet their professional and ethical obligations to patients?

**Human vulnerability**

The United Nations Human Development Report 2014 says that "persistent vulnerability threatens human development, and unless it is systematically tackled by policies and social norms, progress will be neither equitable nor sustainable." The vulnerability of African healthcare workers is all too real. They have seen at first hand the degradation, death, and suffering of their citizens from diseases such as HIV, malaria, tuberculosis, and others; now they are living through Ebola.

Tackling global moral distress will not be easy. Advocating for practice environments that allow all doctors and nurses to meet their ethical obligations in clinical care is our collective social responsibility as members of unique professional groups that serve the public good.

The words of Albert Camus, from his novel *The Plague*, speak well of African local healthcare workers’ resolve, resiliency, and commitment to patient care in the face of extreme adversity: “I have no idea what’s awaiting me, or what will happen when this all ends. For the moment I know this: there are sick people and they need curing.”

And, most immediately, they are in need of caring.
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