Evidence based medicine—an oral history
Provides the background to one of modern medicine’s greatest intellectual achievements
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The phrase evidence based medicine was coined by Gordon Guyatt¹ and then appeared in an article in “The Rational Clinical Examination” series in JAMA in 1992, but its roots go much further back. The personal stories of the origins of evidence based medicine were recently explored in a filmed oral history of some of the individuals most strongly associated with the birth of the movement (see video).

JAMA and the BMJ invited six individuals (including us, with RS as host) who have played a prominent part in the development of evidence based medicine to participate in an oral history event and filming. Videos of this event and of interviews with three other evidence based medicine leaders (box) have been woven together and may be accessed at http://ebm.jamanetwork.com. Just 20 years after the term began to be used, an early and informal history has emerged.

Three individuals from an earlier generation were particularly important in inspiring the people interviewed: Thomas C Chalmers, Alvan R Feinstein, and Archibald Cochrane. Some of the contributions of Tom Chalmers, who died in 1995 at age 78, were recently described in JAMA.² David Sackett memorializes Chalmers’ 1955 report of a randomized factorial trial of bed rest and diet for hepatitis: “Reading this paper not only changed my treatment plan for my patient. It forever changed my attitude toward conventional wisdom, uncovered my latent iconoclasm, and inaugurated my career in what I later labeled ‘clinical epidemiology.’”² The rigorous approach taken by Tom Chalmers toward randomized trials and his early adoption of meta-analysis were key to the development of these tools of evidence. Alvan Feinstein, a clinician and researcher at Yale who died in 2001 at age 75, was important in defining clinical epidemiology and in first showing how medical practice could be studied.³ Archie Cochrane, a clinician, epidemiologist, and professor at the Welsh National School of Medicine, who died in 1988 at age 79, published his seminal book Effectiveness and Efficiency: Random Reflections on Health Services in 1972.⁴ His work was the inspiration for the Cochrane Collaboration, which has played a central role in promoting evidence based medicine.⁴

Several of those interviewed identified when they began to be aware of the deficiencies in what might be called “expert based medicine.”³ Brian Haynes, a professor of clinical epidemiology and biostatistics at McMaster University, began his journey to evidence based medicine in medical school in 1969 when he was lectured on the theories of Sigmund Freud. He asked the lecturer for the evidence that the theories were “true.” The lecturer answered candidly that he did not think that there was any evidence, and that he had been sent by the chair of the department, a Freudian, to give the lecture. “I had,” says Haynes, “an intense tingle in my body as I wondered how much of my medical education was based on unproved theories.”³

Iain Chalmers, cofounder of the Cochrane Collaboration and now editor of the James Lind Initiative, attended medical school in the 1960s and like every other student was filled full of facts to regurgitate in examinations. He wasn’t given the tools to find out what worked, and “in retrospect,” he says, “I’m angry about that.” In the early 1970s, Iain Chalmers moved to Cardiff to research a perinatal epidemiology database. Using the observational studies in the database, he looked for evidence of benefit from the increasing number of interventions in obstetrics and could not find any. The work then expanded to the establishment of the UK National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, which had a guiding principle of using existing evidence before generating new research. Chalmers and colleagues conducted systematic reviews of evidence, particularly randomized trials, and in 1989 published Effective Care in Pregnancy and Childbirth.⁴

David Sackett, former professor of medicine at McMaster University, is regarded by many as “the father of evidence based medicine.” In the late 1960s at the age of 32, he was invited by John Evans, an internist, to join a new and different kind of medical school at McMaster. Students would learn from the problems of patients, and epidemiology and statistics would be taught together with the clinical disciplines. After some years of the McMaster program, Sackett and his colleagues decided that they wanted to share what they were doing and wrote a series of articles on what they called “critical appraisal,” which appeared in the Canadian Medical Association Journal in 1981.⁵ At that time, while on sabbatical in Dublin, Sackett began to write with others Clinical Epidemiology: a Basic Science for Clinical Medicine.⁶ This started in 1985 as a book about the critical appraisal of research and developed in the second and
Evidence based medicine grew out of critical appraisal. When Gordon Guyatt, currently a professor of epidemiology, biostatistics, and medicine at McMaster University, took over as director of the internal medicine residency program at McMaster in 1990, he wanted to change the program so that physicians managed patients based not on what authorities told them to do but on what the evidence showed worked. He needed a name, and the first was “scientific medicine.” The faculty reacted against this name with rage, arguing that basic scientists did not do scientific medicine. The next name was “evidence based medicine.”

Subsequently, JAMA (through one of us, DR) established relationships with Sackett and Guyatt that led eventually to two pioneering series of articles in JAMA. The first was “The Rational Clinical Examination,”12 which was intended “to make a science out of taking a history and doing an examination.” These enterprises are fundamental to medicine but had not been scientifically studied. The second was the “Users’ Guides to the Medical Literature,” which was designed to help clinicians keep up to date by enabling them to interpret the burgeoning medical literature and to facilitate clinical decisions based on evidence from the medical literature rather than hope or authority.13

In the oral history video, Sackett distinguishes evidence based medicine from critical appraisal because it combines research evidence with clinical skills and patient values and preferences. He comments that clinicians have to be able to make the diagnosis and then discuss options with patients. Sackett uses the example of non-valvular atrial fibrillation in which a patient has a small risk of a stroke. He asks and answers, “Should the patient take warfarin and so risk a bleed? Most patients see a stroke as about four times worse than a bleed as about four times worse than a bleed. You combine the example of non-valvular atrial fibrillation in which a patient has a small risk of a stroke. He asks and answers, “Should the patient take warfarin and so risk a bleed? Most patients see a stroke as about four times worse than a bleed. You combine

Muir Gray, a public health physician and UK National Health Service manager, and Iain Chalmers were both inspired by the program at McMaster and persuaded Sackett to move to Oxford in 1994, where he worked as a clinician and was also director of the Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine. Sackett worked to spread evidence based medicine to the rest of the UK, Europe, and beyond. He visited most UK district general hospitals and many in Europe and would begin his visit by doing a round on patients admitted the previous night with young physicians and showing evidence based medicine in action. The young physicians realized that they could challenge their seniors in a way that was not possible with expert based medicine. It was liberating and democratizing.

Evidence based medicine quickly became popular, Sackett believes, for two main reasons: it was supported by senior clinicians who were secure in their practice and happy to be challenged and it empowered young physicians—and subsequently nurses and other clinicians. Evidence based medicine did, however, produce a backlash, particularly, says Sackett, “among middle-level guys who were used to making pronouncements,” including an unsigned, critical editorial in the Lancet in 1995 entitled “Evidence-based medicine, in its place.”14 Among the many responses to that backlash was an editorial in the BMJ by Sackett and others entitled “Evidence based medicine: what is it and what it isn’t.”15 That BMJ editorial, says Sackett, “turned the whole thing around.” It carefully refuted all the complaints made against evidence based medicine: it wasn’t old hat, impossible to practice, cookbook medicine, the creature of managers and purchasers, or concerned only with randomized trials.

The systematic evidence of what worked in pregnancy and childbirth stimulated the thought that the same could be done for the rest of healthcare, and in May 1991, while walking beside a tributary of the Thames, Iain Chalmers conceived the idea of the Cochrane Centre. It began in 1992 and from the beginning was intended as an international program, which, because of the immensity of the task of reviewing and assessing the entire literature on all interventions, needed to be based on the efforts of well trained volunteers. The Cochrane Collaboration began in 1993 and has grown to include champions of evidence based medicine around the world.

Evidence-Based Medicine: An Oral History is now available free for all to see and learn about the origins of this movement. The video features leaders’ perspectives on the past, present, and future of evidence based medicine, along with personal reflections of clinical and patient encounters and shared decision making in the context of evidence based medicine. The video makes clear that much has been achieved, but that much remains to be done.
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