GMC impartiality double standards

During the editing process we misinterpreted the last line of Peter Wilmhurst’s Letter *(BMJ* 2013;346:f27, doi:10.1136/bmj.f27) so that it was edited to read: “Mullen and the GMC are right to expect impartiality in those analysing evidence when the livelihood of a doctor is at stake, but not when the lives of patients are at risk.” Peter Wilmhurst wanted to correct his meaning here, which would have been more accurately expressed by the sentence: “Mullen and the GMC are right to expect impartiality in those analysing evidence when the livelihood of a doctor is at stake, but wrong not to expect it when the lives of patients are at risk.”
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