Continuous positive airway pressure as treatment for systemic hypertension in people with obstructive sleep apnoea: randomised controlled trial
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ABSTRACT

Objective To assess the effect of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) on 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring values in a large number of patients with untreated systemic hypertension of new onset and obstructive sleep apnoea.

Design Multicentre, double blind, randomised, placebo controlled trial.


Participants 340 patients recently diagnosed as having systemic hypertension by a general practitioner (systolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mm Hg, or both) and an apnoea-hypopnoea index per hour of sleep of ≥15 events/hour.

Intervention Patients were assigned to CPAP (n=169) or sham CPAP (n=171) for three months.

Main outcome measurements Net changes in the different 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring values from baseline to three months of optimal or sham CPAP.

Results 277 (81%) of the 340 patients randomised were men; the patients had a mean age of 52.4 (SD 10.5) years, a body mass index of 31.9 (5.7), an Epworth sleepiness scale score of 10.1 (4.3), an apnoea-hypopnoea index of 43.5 (24.5). No differences between groups were seen at baseline. Compared with placebo and analysed by intention to treat, the mean 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring values from baseline to three months were lower in the CPAP group than in the placebo group for systolic blood pressure (P=0.01), diastolic blood pressure (P<0.001), and mean arterial blood pressure (P<0.001).

Conclusions CPAP produced a statistically significant reduction in blood pressure in patients with systemic hypertension and obstructive sleep apnoea. This reduction is small and did not achieve the 3 mm Hg drop in mean 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure that the trial was powered to detect. Consequently, these results may have uncertain clinical relevance. However, taking into account the prevalence of hypertension and the likelihood of comorbidities, the decrease in blood pressure, although minimal, may be beneficial.

Trial registration Clinical trials NCT00202527.

INTRODUCTION

Obstructive sleep apnoea is a common disorder, characterised by repetitive episodes of upper airway obstruction, which can cause poor health status with increased comorbidity and mortality, primarily due to cardiovascular causes. 1,2 Systemic hypertension has been suggested as one of the major causes of cardiovascular disease in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea, and large scale epidemiological studies have shown that obstructive sleep apnoea is associated with systemic hypertension and cardiovascular complications.3-14 However, few high quality prospective observational studies have examined this question, and only two longitudinal studies have been done. One of these found a clear association between obstructive sleep apnoea and new cases of systemic hypertension,11 and the other failed to show any association.12 In this second study, systemic hypertension was seen only in overweight patients.13 The data on the incidence of the disease are therefore not clear, probably primarily owing to associated comorbidities such as obesity.

Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) is the best treatment for obstructive sleep apnoea,15 the most accepted indication for CPAP is symptomatic obstructive sleep apnoea. Theoretically, if obstructive sleep apnoea is a cause of systemic hypertension, CPAP treatment should improve blood pressure control. Randomised clinical trials can confirm this hypothesis, and data from systematic reviews and meta-analyses...
show a small but consistent reduction in blood pressure. However, the effect of CPAP treatment on blood pressure was highly variable. This heterogeneity could have several causes: most of the studies were done in small samples; almost all the studies were done in single institutions, reflecting local characteristics; most studies have been carried out in men; the methods used for measuring blood pressure (24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring or office blood pressure measurement) varied between the studies; studies included patients with and without hypertension, as well as different types of hypertension and treatments; the methods used to establish a diagnosis of obstructive sleep apnoea and the definition of hyponoeeas varied; the presence or absence of hypersomnolence and the criteria used for its evaluation or concomitant comorbidity varied; the studies had either crossover or parallel designs; studies used pills, sham CPAP, sub-therapeutic CPAP, or conservative treatment in control participants; and the duration of treatment varied between one and 52 weeks. In summary, meta-analyses of randomised trials are only as good as the trials on which they are based, and many of the trials were not done in the patients most likely to benefit, which could explain the heterogeneity of the results. The greatest benefit is likely to be seen in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea who already have untreated systemic hypertension and are thus likely to be more sensitive to treatment of systemic hypertension as a result of amelioration of obstructive sleep apnoea.

As systemic hypertension and obstructive sleep apnoea are very common diseases with high morbidity and mortality, clarifying the effect of CPAP on these patients is very important. Accordingly, our study has been designed to minimise the limitations and shortcomings seen in previous studies and to use an adequate number of patients. We did a multicentre controlled trial in patients with moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea and recently diagnosed but untreated systemic hypertension. We aimed to assess the effects of CPAP treatment separately and distributed to CPAP machines, with no apparent differences between optimal CPAP and sham CPAP. We specifically instructed doctors and nurses not to try to obtain any information that might indicate which arm of treatment the patient was assigned to. Only sleep clinic nurses who maintained the machines and assisted patients at home had information about treatment (CPAP or sham), but they were not involved in outcome assessments. After six and 12 weeks of treatment, a new 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring measurement was done, with the patients still on the allocated treatment (CPAP or sham). The main outcome variables were net changes in the different 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring values from baseline to three months of optimal or sham CPAP.

Protocol design
This was a multicentre, randomised, prospective, double blind, parallel study controlled by placebo (sham CPAP) in patients from 11 hospitals in Spain. General practitioners recruited patients with untreated, newly diagnosed systemic hypertension and snoring and sent them to the hospitals’ sleep laboratories. Systemic hypertension was diagnosed according to standard criteria and was defined as systolic blood pressure of at least 140 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure of at least 90 mm Hg, or both. Consecutive patients who met all the inclusion criteria were invited to undergo full polysomnography. Patients were randomised if they had an apnoea-hypopnoea index of 15 events/hour or more. We did a physical examination and recorded patients’ medical history, and we recorded the Epworth sleepiness scale and EuroQol scores at baseline and at six and 12 weeks. We did 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring according to the standard recommendations.

An external unit—the Health Research Unit of the Txagorritxu Hospital—generated the allocation sequence, using a computerised randomisation procedure. When an eligible patient was identified, the clinician sent the patient’s identification information (date of birth, sex, and initials) by email, and the group assignment to either optimal therapeutic CPAP or sham CPAP was returned within 24 hours. Each patient signed a consent form before being included in the study, and all patients were informed about both arms of the trial (CPAP and sham CPAP as placebo—CPAP at a very low pressure (<1 cm H2O) without any known therapeutic effect). We also ran teaching and training sessions (with CPAP and sham) before titration of optimal CPAP. Patients remained blinded as to whether they were receiving CPAP or sham, and systemic hypertension was not treated with drugs during the study. The doctors and nurses who assessed the patients in outpatient clinics did not receive any information about the treatment arm. Sham CPAP was prepared separately and distributed to CPAP machines, with no apparent differences between optimal CPAP and sham CPAP. We specifically instructed doctors and nurses not to try to obtain any information that might indicate which arm of treatment the patient was assigned to. Only sleep clinic nurses who maintained the machines and assisted patients at home had information about treatment (CPAP v sham), but they were not involved in outcome assessments. After six and 12 weeks of treatment, a new 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring measurement was done, with the patients still on the allocated treatment (CPAP or sham). The main outcome variables were net changes in the different 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring values from baseline to three months of optimal or sham CPAP.

METHODS
Patients
We included men and women aged between 18 and 75 years who had just been diagnosed as having systemic hypertension by a general practitioner using cuff measurements, but had not been treated, and who were habitual snorers. We excluded patients if they had secondary systemic hypertension, had blood pressure over 180/110 mm Hg, had cognitive deterioration, were professional drivers or handled dangerous machinery, worked shifts, were pregnant, or had life threatening obstructive sleep apnoea or a severe chronic disease. We also excluded patients previously treated for obstructive sleep apnoea and patients with any contraindication for prescribing CPAP. Patients who used antihypertensive drugs, psychotropic drugs, stimulants, antidepressants, or illicit drugs or drank alcohol to excess were also excluded.

Protocol design
This was a multicentre, randomised, prospective, double blind, parallel study controlled by placebo (sham CPAP) in patients from 11 hospitals in Spain. General practitioners recruited patients with untreated, newly diagnosed systemic hypertension and snoring and sent them to the hospitals’ sleep laboratories. Systemic hypertension was diagnosed according to standard criteria and was defined as systolic blood pressure of at least 140 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure of at least 90 mm Hg, or both. Consecutive patients who met all the inclusion criteria were invited to undergo full polysomnography. Patients were randomised if they had an apnoea-hypopnoea index of 15 events/hour or more. We did a physical examination and recorded patients’ medical history, and we recorded the Epworth sleepiness scale and EuroQol scores at baseline and at six and 12 weeks. We did 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring according to the standard recommendations.

An external unit—the Health Research Unit of the Txagorritxu Hospital—generated the allocation sequence, using a computerised randomisation procedure. When an eligible patient was identified, the clinician sent the patient’s identification information (date of birth, sex, and initials) by email, and the group assignment to either optimal therapeutic CPAP or sham CPAP was returned within 24 hours. Each patient signed a consent form before being included in the study, and all patients were informed about both arms of the trial (CPAP and sham CPAP as placebo—CPAP at a very low pressure (<1 cm H2O) without any known therapeutic effect). We also ran teaching and training sessions (with CPAP and sham) before titration of optimal CPAP. Patients remained blinded as to whether they were receiving CPAP or sham, and systemic hypertension was not treated with drugs during the study. The doctors and nurses who assessed the patients in outpatient clinics did not receive any information about the treatment arm. Sham CPAP was prepared separately and distributed to CPAP machines, with no apparent differences between optimal CPAP and sham CPAP. We specifically instructed doctors and nurses not to try to obtain any information that might indicate which arm of treatment the patient was assigned to. Only sleep clinic nurses who maintained the machines and assisted patients at home had information about treatment (CPAP v sham), but they were not involved in outcome assessments. After six and 12 weeks of treatment, a new 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring measurement was done, with the patients still on the allocated treatment (CPAP or sham). The main outcome variables were net changes in the different 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring values from baseline to three months of optimal or sham CPAP.
Table 1 | Characteristics of patients at baseline. Values are means (SD) unless stated otherwise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>CPAP (n=169)</th>
<th>Sham (n=171)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>53.2 (10.2)</td>
<td>51.7 (10.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (%) male</td>
<td>133 (79)</td>
<td>144 (84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>31.9 (5.7)</td>
<td>31.9 (5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epworth sleepiness scale (0-24)</td>
<td>10.3 (4.2)</td>
<td>9.8 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (%) active smokers</td>
<td>49 (29)</td>
<td>39 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption (g/day of ethanol)</td>
<td>22.9 (26.4)</td>
<td>19.7 (22.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>31.9 (5.7)</td>
<td>31.9 (5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (%) male</td>
<td>133 (79)</td>
<td>144 (84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>53.2 (10.2)</td>
<td>51.7 (10.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (%) active smokers</td>
<td>49 (29)</td>
<td>39 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption (g/day of ethanol)</td>
<td>22.9 (26.4)</td>
<td>19.7 (22.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Office blood pressure:
- Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg): 131.1 (11.6) vs 128.8 (11.4)
- Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg): 82.5 (8.0) vs 81.8 (8.6)

24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring:
- Diurnal systolic blood pressure (mm Hg): 135.1 (12.1) vs 132.5 (11.3)
- Nocturnal systolic blood pressure (mm Hg): 123.1 (13.3) vs 121.4 (14.1)
- Diurnal diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg): 85.7 (8.5) vs 84.7 (9.2)
- Nocturnal diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg): 76.1 (8.1) vs 76.0 (10.1)
- Mean 24 hour blood pressure (mm Hg): 98.7 (8.4) vs 97.5 (8.9)
- No (%) hypertensive: 108 (64) vs 96 (56)

Polysomnographic variables:
- Apnoea-hypopnoea index (No/hour of sleep): 44.5 (24.6) vs 42.5 (24.5)
- Mean SaO₂ during sleep (%): 89.5 (5.5) vs 90.1 (4.5)
- Lowest SaO₂ during sleep (%): 79.9 (9.3) vs 80.1 (10.6)
- Sleep time with SaO₂<90% (%): 13.2 (20.3) vs 10.6 (16.1)
- Total sleep (minutes): 448.2 (42.9) vs 447.6 (45.8)
- Sleep N1 (minutes): 47.1 (54.0) vs 42.2 (42.1)
- Sleep N2 (minutes): 207.9 (67.4) vs 206.4 (70.8)
- Sleep N3 (minutes): 39.7 (37.9) vs 41.9 (40.8)
- REM sleep (minutes): 51.0 (29) vs 52.8 (30)
- Arousal from sleep (No/hour of sleep): 39.8 (22.7) vs 37.2 (24)

CPAP=continuous positive airway pressure; SaO₂=arterial oxygen saturation.

Procedures

Sleep studies
Full overnight polysomnography was done in the sleep laboratories of the participating centres according to international recommendations. Sleep stages, arousal, oxygen saturation, apnoeas, and hypopnoeas were scored by using conventional criteria. We defined an apnoea as a complete (>90%) cessation of airflow of at least 10 seconds and a hypopnoea as any discernible reduction in airflow (around 50%) for at least 10 seconds, along with a drop in oxygen saturation of more than 3%, and an electroencephalographic arousal, or both. We considered the polysomnography recording to be valid for scoring if the total sleep time was longer than 180 minutes.

CPAP treatment
We titrated optimal CPAP by using auto-CPAP (AutoSet-T, ResMed, Sydney, Australia), according to a previous validation by the Spanish Sleep and Breathing Group. The optimal pressure was determined visually from the raw data, and patients were sent home with this pressure for 12 weeks. Patients assigned to sham CPAP received this treatment at home for 12 weeks, using the method described by Farré et al.

We assessed compliance with CPAP (both optimal and sham) from the device counter. We checked for side effects and any problems with the treatment at one, four, six, and 12 weeks.

24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring
We recorded 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring with a Spacelabs model 90207. The cuff was programmed to inflate every 20 minutes between 6 am and 10 pm (“daytime”) and every 30 minutes between 10 pm and 6 am (“night-time”), and the blood pressure data were processed automatically. We recorded 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring data at baseline and at six and 12 weeks. We made the diagnosis of systemic hypertension by 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring according to standard criteria; we defined it as systolic blood pressure 135 mm Hg or above, diastolic blood pressure 85 mm Hg or above, or both during waking hours and systolic blood pressure 120 mm Hg or above, diastolic blood pressure 75 mm Hg or above, or both during sleeping hours.

Database
We designed a database, accessible online, which was posted in the Respira network of the Spanish Respiratory Society (www.redrespira.net). Each participating centre could access only its own data. The principal researcher was responsible for sending all the data to the statistical committee and to external evaluators for analysis of the results.

Statistical analysis
We used SPSS version 15.0 to analyse data. We expressed continuous variables as means and standard deviations and qualitative variables as percentages. We compared the baseline characteristics of the two groups (CPAP and sham) by using two tailed unpaired t tests for continuous variables and χ² tests to evaluate within group and between group changes in blood pressure.
Table 2: Results of 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring by changes at 6 and 12 weeks for all fully evaluable patients (CPAP, n=169; sham, n=171)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood pressure measurement</th>
<th>Follow-up at 6 weeks</th>
<th>Follow-up at 12 weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difference* (95% CI) P value†</td>
<td>Difference* (95% CI) P value†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diurnal systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>2.6 (0.8 to 4.4) 0.004</td>
<td>1.6 (&lt;0.2 to 3.3) 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diurnal diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>1.8 (0.7 to 2.9) 0.001</td>
<td>1.1 (&lt;0.1 to 2.3) 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diurnal mean blood pressure</td>
<td>2.1 (0.8 to 3.3) 0.001</td>
<td>1.3 (&lt;0.1 to 2.5) 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocturnal systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>4.1 (2.1 to 6.1) &lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.1 (0.9 to 5.2) 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocturnal diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>2.2 (0.9 to 3.5) &lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.5 (0.1 to 3.0) 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocturnal mean blood pressure</td>
<td>2.8 (1.4 to 4.3) &lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.1 (0.5 to 3.6) 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>3.1 (1.5 to 4.7) &lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.1 (0.4 to 3.7) 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>1.9 (1.0 to 2.9) &lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.3 (0.2 to 2.3) 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean blood pressure</td>
<td>2.3 (1.2 to 3.4) &lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.5 (0.4 to 2.7) 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Differences in blood pressure (mm Hg) between continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) and sham groups. †Calculated by t test; compares treatment effects.

Results of 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring by changes at 6 and 12 weeks for all fully evaluable patients (CPAP, n=169; sham, n=171)

During the follow-up period, we estimated the effect size at six and 12 weeks by dividing this difference by the standard deviation of the baseline measurement. The number of patients needed for the study was set at 151 participants in each group on the basis of an assumption of an SD of 7.2 (obtained from a pilot study) for the change in mean 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure after CPAP and detection of an effect of 3 mm Hg or greater between the CPAP and sham groups, with a power of 95% and a significance level of 5%, using a two sided test.

The primary outcome was the net change in 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring values from baseline to three months of optimal or sham CPAP; we calculated this as the difference in the change (baseline minus follow-up) in mean values and expressed the results by intention to treat. Following widely accepted guidelines, we imputed missing data by using simple imputation methods. In our case, we assumed that when patients withdrew from the study their blood pressure would return to baseline levels. Consequently, we used the “baseline observation carried forward” approach, which implies that the imputed changes in blood pressure for those patients with no measurements at six or 12 weeks will equal 0 mm Hg (more unfavourable than the mean or median change observed in either the sham or CPAP arms of the study). This was, therefore, a very conservative approach in our context. We are aware that this approach underestimates the standard errors of the estimations, and we present all estimations with their confidence intervals.

An independent committee not involved in the study (Statistical Service of the Basque Health Research Institute) did two intermediate analyses, using the method proposed by O’Brien and Fleming, when 50% and 75% of the sample had completed the study. Stopping rules were P<0.0030 for 50% and P<0.0163 for 75%. Therefore, to maintain the overall risk α of the study at the 0.05 level, we set the value of P for a significant result in the final analysis <0.0307. We sent the results of these analyses to the Health Ethics Committee of the Basque Country, which recommended continuing the study.

Results of 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring compared with the sham group for all the 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring variables. The reduction in mean 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure at 12 weeks was 1.5 (95% confidence interval 0.4 to 2.7) mm Hg (P=0.01) greater in the CPAP group than in the sham group. The effect was greater for systolic than for diastolic blood pressure and for nocturnal blood pressure than for diurnal blood pressure. We saw a similar reduction by the sixth week of the trial (2.3 (1.2 to 3.4) mm Hg; P<0.001). The results improved when we restricted them to only patients who had systemic hypertension as determined by the results of the 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring, as the reduction in 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure was 1.7 (0.2 to 3.2) mm Hg (P=0.02) (see web table A). When we considered only patients who complied with treatment (objective use of CPAP or sham CPAP for more than four hours), the 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure decreased by 2.2 (0.6 to 3.7) mm Hg (P=0.01) (see web table B). The results also improved when analysed by protocol, and the mean 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure of the CPAP group decreased by 1.9 (0.5 to 3.4) mm Hg (P=0.01) (see web table C).

Table 3 shows the main data at baseline and after six and 12 weeks of CPAP or sham treatment. The 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring showed statistically significant decreases in most blood pressure parameters for the CPAP group but not for the sham group. Figure 2 shows a simplified graphic representation of the changes in 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring from baseline to post-CPAP or post-sham treatment. We found statistically significant differences only in the CPAP group. Table 3 also shows the results of the Epworth sleepiness scale and the EuroQol scale over time. The Epworth scores improved significantly in the two groups (CPAP and sham), but the effect was greater in the CPAP group (2.2 (1.4 to 3.0); P<0.001). The EuroQol improved...
Table 3 | Results of 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. Values are mean (SD) unless stated otherwise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood pressure measurement</th>
<th>CPAP group (n=169)</th>
<th>Effect size (SD units)</th>
<th>Sham CPAP group (n=171)</th>
<th>Effect size (SD units)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD) mm Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diurnal systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>135 (12)</td>
<td>132 (14)**</td>
<td>133 (13)**</td>
<td>132 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diurnal diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>86 (8)</td>
<td>84 (9)**</td>
<td>84 (9)*</td>
<td>85 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocturnal systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>123 (13)</td>
<td>119 (15)**</td>
<td>119 (14)**</td>
<td>121 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocturnal diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>76 (9)</td>
<td>74 (10)**</td>
<td>74 (10)**</td>
<td>76 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean 24 hour systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>92 (10)</td>
<td>89 (11)**</td>
<td>89 (10)**</td>
<td>91 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean 24 hour diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>83 (8)</td>
<td>81 (9)**</td>
<td>81 (9)**</td>
<td>82 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (%) hypertensive</td>
<td>108 (64)</td>
<td>93 (55)*</td>
<td>94 (56)*</td>
<td>96 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (%) non-dippers</td>
<td>109 (64)</td>
<td>97 (57)</td>
<td>94 (56)*</td>
<td>107 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epworth sleepiness scale</td>
<td>10.4 (4.2)</td>
<td>7.6 (3.8)**</td>
<td>7.2 (3.7)**</td>
<td>8.6 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuroQol (visual analogue scale)</td>
<td>69 (15)</td>
<td>74 (14)**</td>
<td>76 (16)**</td>
<td>72 (17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPAP=continuous positive airway pressure.

*P<0.05 compared with baseline, calculated by paired t test for continuous variables or McNemar test for proportion of patients with hypertension and proportion of non-dippers.

**P<0.001 compared with baseline, calculated by paired t test.

significantly only in the CPAP group (4.7 (1.2 to 8.1); P=0.01). We did not find any associations between blood pressure changes and changes in the Epworth (P=0.11) or EuroQol scores (P=0.29). We did find a statistically significant association between compliance with CPAP and improvements in the Epworth scale (P=0.01) but not in quality of life as measured with EuroQol (P=0.05). At baseline, 109 (64%) patients in the CPAP group and 107 (63%) in the sham group showed a non-dipping pattern. After 12 weeks, 94 (56%) patients showed this pattern in the CPAP group (P=0.02), but this change was not apparent in the sham group. Similarly, we found a statistically significant reduction in the percentage of patients with hypertension only in the CPAP group (P=0.04).

Table 4 shows compliance with CPAP and sham treatments at six and 12 weeks, expressed in hours per night, as well as compliance of more than three and four hours per night. Compliance was similar in the two groups, and we found no differences over time between the CPAP and sham groups. The level of pressure applied in the optimal CPAP group was 8.8 (SD 1.6) cm H2O. Of 340 patients, 259 (76%) had some secondary effects from the treatment (124 (73%) in the CPAP group and 135 (79%) in the sham group). Most of these effects were mild, short term, and self limiting, and we found no differences between groups. However, 12 patients in the CPAP group and 10 in the sham group discontinued the treatment because of poor tolerance.

DISCUSSION

This study shows that, in patients with a new diagnosis of systemic hypertension and obstructive sleep apnoea, 12 weeks of CPAP treatment significantly decreased 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure, with a net reduction of around 2 mm Hg, a decrease that could affect morbidity and mortality.31 32 This approach might eventually modify, in certain cases, the indication for prescribing CPAP.

Comparison with other studies

Two systematic reviews, four meta-analyses including 21 randomised controlled trials, and two recent studies have evaluated the effect of CPAP treatment on blood pressure in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea.16-21 33 34 The results showed a statistically significant net reduction in blood pressure with CPAP compared with changes in the control group, especially in patients with more severe obstructive sleep apnoea.18 19 However, some studies have shown little or no effect,35-38 and the results are heterogeneous with large confidence intervals, from reductions as great as 36 mm Hg to increases of 13 mm Hg.39 40 In fact, of the 23 studies, only four included more than 100 patients.27 34 35 41 Only some of these trials had good blood pressure measurements, and few had a pre-specified primary outcome. Despite these limitations, obstructive sleep apnoea is now generally recognised to be a causal risk for systemic hypertension,23 although the association is not as strong as was once feared.

Some data suggest that people with hypersomnolence have a better response to CPAP compared with other patients.26-28 33 35-38 42-44 Although although meta-analyses and our results do not support this.19 Similarly, patients with hypersomnolence, including more hypertensive patients, showed greater reduction in blood pressure with CPAP,35 but this was not uniform and Campos-Rodriguez et al did not find that CPAP had any effect on blood pressure in hypertensive patients with somnolence.44 Moreover, in the meta-analyses, as seen in our results, no clinical variables were found to predict reductions in blood pressure with CPAP treatment.19 However, the decrease in blood pressure...
was greater in patients with better compliance with CPAP.

Classically, people have accepted that asymptomatic patients treated with CPAP will probably have poor compliance in the absence of noticeable benefits on reduced hypersomnolence or improved quality of life. Our patients were mildly sleepy, with a slight increase in Epworth scores. They were patients with obstructive sleep apnoea and untreated hypertension recruited consecutively by general practitioners and had acceptable compliance with CPAP and dropout rates, similar to those of the sham group. However, a remaining question is the feasibility of more than 12 weeks of CPAP treatment for this symptomless hypertensive population. Barbé et al. followed a large sample of hypertensive, non-sleepy patients with obstructive sleep apnoea for 12 months. The compliance of the group treated with CPAP was 4.7 hours/night, which was very similar to our own results, suggesting that CPAP treatment is feasible in this population.

Data from meta-analyses suggest that the beneficial effects of CPAP on blood pressure are detectable in the first few weeks of treatment, and a few studies have lasted more than 12 weeks. One could argue that vascular remodelling and other structural cardiovascular changes would not be evident in short term trials of CPAP treatment and that longer treatment may be needed to obtain greater reductions in blood pressure. However, results from randomised trials have found significant reductions in blood pressure with a few weeks of CPAP treatment. We also found relevant decreases in blood pressure at six weeks in the CPAP group compared with the sham group. In spite of a non-significant decrease between weeks six and 12, the effect was still present at the end of the study. These data suggest that reductions in blood pressure are evident a few weeks after CPAP treatment.

The 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring provides a measure of the patterns of blood pressure during sleep. The prognostic value of night-time blood pressure has been found to be superior to that of daytime blood pressure. We found a net reduction for nocturnal systolic blood pressure of 3.1 mm Hg, which could have clinical significance. In addition, 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring allowed us to identify non-dipping patients. In most people, blood pressure drops by 10-20% during the night (dippers), and people who do not show such reductions seem to be at increased risk of cardiovascular events with a higher prevalence of organ damage and less favourable outcomes. Most patients with obstructive sleep apnoea have a non-dipping pattern, and we found a statistically significant reduction in the percentage of non-dipping patients only in the CPAP group. Similarly, only the group treated with CPAP showed a statistically significant percentage reduction in the number of patients with systolic hypertension. These data, despite the small effect size, could have a clinical effect.

CPAP has the well known effect of improving Epworth sleepiness scores in both sleep and non-sleepy patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. Our patients were mildly sleepy, and both treatment groups (CPAP and sham) improved significantly; this shows the importance of doing a randomised controlled trial, as any intervention can produce some improvement. The effect was significantly greater in the CPAP group, however. The EuroQol has been shown to be an effective test for measuring quality of life in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea, and our results show a statistically significant improvement in the EuroQol scores only in the CPAP group, although we did not find any associations between compliance with CPAP and EuroQol results. This might be caused by good baseline results on the EuroQol test. On the other hand, we found a good correlation between compliance with CPAP and improvement in Epworth scores, also suggested by other studies, which supports the hypothesis that symptomatic improvement
Further research is warranted to investigate whether CPAP, alone or combined with other treatments, is useful in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea and systemic hypertension.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

CPAP reduced blood pressure by around 2 mm Hg in patients with untreated systemic hypertension and obstructive sleep apnoea, but an effect of this size has uncertain clinical relevance.

The reduction in blood pressure was greater in patients with systemic hypertension diagnosed by 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring and in those with good compliance with CPAP.

Further research is warranted to investigate whether CPAP, alone or combined with other treatments, is useful in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea and systemic hypertension.

is associated with better compliance with CPAP. However, neither Epworth nor EuroQol scores were associated with changes in blood pressure, suggesting that such changes were poorly correlated with clinical symptoms or quality of life in our patients.

Strengths and limitations of study

This study had several strengths that lend confidence to our findings. Firstly, the sample was the largest multicentre trial to date, with 11 participating hospitals, and used 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. Secondly, all patients had obstructive sleep apnoea diagnosed by polysomnography with the same method. Thirdly, patients had just been diagnosed as having systemic hypertension and had received no treatment other than conservative measures. We believe that this is a key point, as it allowed us to study the kind of patients that general practitioners usually treat, not filtered by sleep clinics or hospitals. Finally, the compliance in the sham CPAP group was the same as in the treatment group.

Some weaknesses and caveats should be mentioned. Firstly, we do not have any data on the 94 patients who refused to participate, and we cannot be sure about the magnitude of potential bias. Secondly, CPAP was titrated by using auto-CPAP and not by polysomnography. However, studies by our group have shown that these systems are comparable. Thirdly, the follow-up period was 12 weeks and may not be completely representative of longer periods. Fourthly, we used sham CPAP as a placebo, and we recognise that despite all the measures we took to ensure adequate blinding to allocation of patients, some patients (probably, but not certainly, in the placebo group) complained that they continued snoring. Perfect blinding of the study groups is almost impossible when using sham CPAP as a placebo; although Farré et al found that no variables change with a placebo mask, Rodway et al and Marshall et al found that variables may change in association with sham CPAP. These changes are generally small, however, with minimal clinical significance, suggesting that the use of sham CPAP as a placebo is supported in intervention studies in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea.

Fifthly, the magnitude of the effect was small, raising the question of whether a reduction in blood pressure is needed for it to be considered clinically relevant, although small decreases in blood pressure (of around 2 mm Hg, as seen in our study) might reduce cardiovascular risk. The effect was greater when we restricted the results to patients who had systemic hypertension according to the results of the 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring or who complied well with treatment or when we analysed the results by protocol. Finally, we did not find any clinical variables to predict the change in 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring values after CPAP. The potential mechanism by which CPAP treatment reduces blood pressure levels to a different extent in different patients with obstructive sleep apnoea is therefore unclear and is probably related to gene expression. Identifying specific markers for selecting subgroups of patients in which blood pressure levels are expected to be reduced to a greater extent with CPAP should be the next step.

Conclusions

In patients with both untreated systemic hypertension and obstructive sleep apnoea, CPAP significantly reduces blood pressure in addition to the well known beneficial effects on obstructive sleep apnoea related symptoms. This reduction was small and did not show the 3 mm Hg drop in mean 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure that the trial was powered to detect. Consequently, these results may have uncertain clinical relevance. However, taking into account the prevalence of hypertension and the likelihood of comorbidities, the decrease in blood pressure, although minimal, may be beneficial. The reduction in blood pressure was higher in patients with systemic hypertension diagnosed by 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (removing patients with “white coat” systemic hypertension), and also in patients who used CPAP more than three hours a night. Therefore, applying CPAP as a treatment or co-treatment in patients with both conditions, regardless of symptoms, could be useful in selected cases, although this needs to be confirmed by further studies. In addition, our results could also lead to comparative treatment trials between CPAP and antihypertensive drugs, especially in patients with different severities of systemic hypertension and obstructive sleep apnoea.
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