Isoniazid resistance and death in patients with tuberculous meningitis: retrospective cohort study
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ABSTRACT
Objective To determine whether initial isoniazid resistance is associated with death during the treatment of tuberculous meningitis.

Design Retrospective cohort study.

Setting National Tuberculosis Surveillance System at the Centers for Disease Control in the United States.

Participants Patients with a clinical diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis, reported to the National Tuberculosis Surveillance System between 1 January 1993 and 31 December 2005.

Main outcome measure All-cause mortality during antituberculous treatment.

Results Between 1993 and 2005, 1896 patients had a clinical diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis, positive cultures from any site. In 123 (6%) of these patients, isoniazid resistance was present on initial susceptibility testing. The unadjusted association between initial isoniazid resistance and subsequent death among these 1896 patients did not reach statistical significance (odds ratio 1.38, 95% confidence interval 0.94 to 2.02). However, among 1614 patients with positive cerebrospinal fluid cultures, a significant unadjusted association was found between initial isoniazid resistance and subsequent death (odds ratio 1.61, 1.08 to 2.40). This association increased after adjustment for age, race, sex, and HIV status (odds ratio 2.07, 1.30 to 3.29).

Conclusions Isoniazid resistance on initial susceptibility testing was associated with subsequent death among cases of tuberculous meningitis with positive cerebrospinal fluid cultures. Randomised controlled trials are needed to evaluate the optimal empirical regimen for treating patients with tuberculous meningitis who are at high risk for both initial isoniazid resistance and poor clinical outcomes.

INTRODUCTION
Tuberculous meningitis is the most devastating manifestation of tuberculosis. As a consequence of the merging of the HIV and tuberculosis epidemics, tuberculosis has become the most common cause of bacterial meningitis in some areas.12 Starting effective anti-tuberculous treatment early is essential for a successful outcome.3 Among the first line drugs, isoniazid is the only bactericidal agent that easily crosses the blood-brain barrier, achieving concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid similar to those in serum.46 Rifampicin, ethambutol, and streptomycin all penetrate cerebrospinal fluid poorly, even in the setting of meningeal inflammation.5-8 Pyrazinamide freely crosses the blood-brain barrier, but its role in tuberculous meningitis is uncertain given its bacteriostatic activity against older, resting bacilli.9 10 Isoniazid also has the strongest early bactericidal activity of all first line agents, defined as the reduction of bacillary load during the first two days of anti-tuberculous treatment.11 12

Guidelines for the management of drug resistant tuberculosis are based on analysis of evidence from trials of pulmonary tuberculosis done by the British Medical Research Council,13 in which resistance to isoniazid was not associated with adverse outcomes when combination treatment with ethambutol, rifampicin, and pyrazinamide was used. This experience has informed recommendations for treatment of other forms of tuberculosis, including tuberculous meningitis.14 However, given important differences between tuberculous meningitis and pulmonary tuberculosis with respect to the anatomical locations of organisms, mechanisms of host injury, and rapidity of disease progression, the role of anti-tuberculous drug resistance in tuberculous meningitis deserves an independent examination.15

In other types of bacterial meningitis, bactericidal activity and penetration of cerebrospinal fluid are antimicrobial properties relevant for clinical cure.16 Therefore, isoniazid resistance in tuberculous meningitis may affect treatment outcomes to an extent that has not been seen for pulmonary tuberculosis. During the interval of time needed to obtain results from initial anti-tuberculous drug susceptibility testing (typically six to eight weeks), irreversible progression of disease may have occurred. Whereas previous studies of the association between initial isoniazid resistance and outcome of treatment have been limited by small sample sizes,17 the tuberculosis surveillance dataset maintained by the Centers for Disease Control in the United States offers a unique opportunity to study this relation. We tested the hypothesis that initial isoniazid resistance would be associated with adverse outcomes in...
METHODS

Setting
We analysed data from the National Tuberculosis Surveil-
ance System at the Centers for Disease Control, which has col-
clected aggregate data on cases of tuberculosis since 1953 and pa-

tient specific data (including information on drug susceptibil-

ity) since 1993. State health departments report cases of tuberculosis by
using the report of verified case of tuberculosis standard form, an anonymous reporting mechanism that
consists of an initial report and two follow-up reports.18 A case must satisfy a standardised tubercu-

losis case definition to be included in the national count.

Clinical and demographic data are collected in the
initial report as described extensively elsewhere.18 For
each case with a positive culture or smear, the anato-
mical site is included in the initial report. The initial
drug regimen is reported, along with the date that treat-
ment started. For all states except California, HIV sta-
tus is included in the report. For California, HIV status
is obtained by linking with the California AIDS regis-
try, which does not include HIV positive people with-
out AIDS. No matching with the California AIDS regis-
try has occurred since 2004. Results for patients
with negative HIV tests are also unavailable for cases in
California.

Approximately 80% of cases of tuberculosis
reported to the Centers for Disease Control are culture
positive, and approximately 97% of culture positive
cases have accompanying drug susceptibility data,
which are included in the first follow-up report. The
second follow-up report includes the date treatment
stopped and reasons for stopping treatment, which are “completed therapy,” “moved,” “lost,” “unco-
operative or refused,” “not TB,” “died,” “other,” and
“unknown.”

Participants
Our analysis examined data on all cases of tuberculosis
reported between 1 January 1993 and 31 December
2005, which allowed for at least two years of follow-
up. We selected patients for inclusion if a meningeal
site of involvement was reported and if they were
alive at diagnosis and started anti-tubercular treat-
ment. We excluded patients with a previous diagnosis of
tuberculosis, as we reasoned that these patients may
be treated differently by clinicians on the basis of
known or suspected drug resistance from previous

treatment histories. To test the hypothesis that initial
isoniazid resistance is associated with subsequent
death, we limited the analysis to patients with a diag-
nosis of tuberculous meningitis, a positive culture for

*Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from any site, and initial iso-

niazid susceptibility data. We excluded patients with

initial resistance to both isoniazid and rifampicin
(multi-drug resistance).

Statistical methods
We determined the unadjusted association between

initial isoniazid resistance and subsequent death by
using the *χ²* test. We calculated an odds ratio and a

95% confidence interval to evaluate the strength of
the association and the precision of the effect. We
then stratified the association of initial isoniazid resis-
tance and subsequent death according to whether the
patient was infected with HIV and whether the patient
had a positive cerebrospinal fluid culture.

We screened other demographic and clinical factors
for inclusion in a multivariate logistic regression model
on the basis of unadjusted associations with death
(*P*<0.25). We treated age categorically because it was
not normally distributed. Once we had identified vari-
ables for further evaluation in the multivariate model,
we used multiple imputation for variables with missing
observations. We determined clinical and demo-

graphic variables to have missing observations if the
variable was listed as “not done” or “unknown” or
had a missing response. Observations with missing
values were not a random sample of the entire dataset,
as is the case with “missing completely at random”
data. Rather, whether certain clinical variables (such
as HIV status) were missing depended on other vari-
ables in the dataset (such as age and race), creating a
“missing at random” pattern. With such data, remov-
ing participants with missing observations from the
analysis will lead to a biased sampling of the study
population, and for this reason we chose multiple
imputation as the approach to handle missing data.
The final estimates in the multivariate model came
from five imputed datasets.20

Variables remained in the model if they changed the
odds ratio for isoniazid resistance and subsequent death
by more than 15%. For clinical reasons, sex and HIV co-
infected remained in the final model, regardless of their
effect on the association of interest. We evaluated
whether a statistically significant interaction was present
between HIV co-infection and initial isoniazid resis-
tance, as well as between positive cerebrospinal fluid

cultures and initial isoniazid resistance. We did a sec-

ondary analysis limited to adults initially treated with
isoniazid, rifampicin (or rifabutin), pyrazinamide, and

ethambutol. Finally, we did sensitivity analyses examin-
ing different approaches to handling missing HIV sta-
tus, as well as different assumptions about the patients
who were lost to follow-up. We used STATA v10.0 for
all statistical calculations.

RESULTS

Unadjusted analyses
A total of 3114 cases of tuberculous meningitis were
reported to the Centers for Disease Control between
1 January 1993 and 31 December 2005, and 3023
(97%) occurred in people without a previous diagnosis
of tuberculosis. After exclusion of 967 patients lacking
positive cultures from any site, 131 patients without

patients being treated for an initial episode of tubercu-

los meningitis.
Cases of clinical tuberculous meningitis reported in United States, 1993-2005 (n=3114)

Cases with previous diagnosis of tuberculosis (n=91)
Cases without previous diagnosis of tuberculosis (n=3023)
Cases without positive cultures for Mycobacterium tuberculosis (n=967)
Cases with positive cultures from any site (n=2056)
Cases without susceptibility testing to isoniazid (n=131)
Cases with susceptibility testing to isoniazid (n=1925)
Cases with Mycobacterium tuberculosis resistant to isoniazid and rifampicin (n=29)
Cases of tuberculous meningitis analysed (n=1896)

Selection of cases for primary analysis

Cases of clinical tuberculous meningitis reported in United States, 1993-2005 (n=3114) were selected for primary analysis. Cases with multi-drug resistant disease (1896 patients) were included for analysis (figure).

Of these 1896 patients, 1614 (85%) had a positive culture from cerebrospinal fluid and 282 (15%) had positive cultures only from other sites. Five hundred and forty-one (29%) patients died during treatment. Of the remaining 1355 patients, 1177 (87%) completed treatment and 178 (13%) were lost to follow-up. Among patients who completed treatment, the median duration of treatment was 366 days for isoniazid susceptible disease and 438 days for isoniazid resistant disease. For patients who died, the median duration of treatment was 37 days for isoniazid susceptible disease and 52 days for isoniazid resistant disease. For patients who were lost to follow-up, the median duration of treatment was 146 days for isoniazid susceptible disease and 190 days for isoniazid resistant disease.

One hundred and twenty-three (6%) patients had isoniazid resistance on initial drug susceptibility testing. Overall, 43 (35%) of 123 patients with initial isoniazid resistance died during treatment, compared with 498 (28%) of 1773 patients without isoniazid resistance (odds ratio 1.38, 95% confidence interval 0.94 to 2.02).

Among all patients, 989 (52%) had known HIV status (404 HIV positive, 585 HIV negative) and 907 (48%) had unknown HIV status. HIV was not associated with initial isoniazid resistance (P=0.6) among patients with known HIV status, although it was strongly associated with death (odds ratio 4.20, 3.16 to 5.59).

When restricted to patients with known HIV status, the unadjusted association between isoniazid resistance and death was not significant among HIV positive or HIV negative patients. Specifically, among known HIV positive patients, 16 deaths occurred among 29 patients with isoniazid resistant disease and 181 deaths among 375 patients with isoniazid susceptible disease (odds ratio 1.32, 0.63 to 2.78). Among known HIV negative patients, six deaths occurred among 37 patients with isoniazid resistant disease and 102 deaths among 548 patients with isoniazid susceptible disease (odds ratio 0.85, 0.35 to 2.03). As both stratum specific associations (odds ratios) included the null value of 1, known HIV infection was not a significant effect modifier of the relation between initial isoniazid resistance and subsequent death.

In unadjusted analysis stratified by the presence or absence of a positive cerebrospinal fluid culture, the increased risk of death in patients with initial isoniazid resistance was limited to patients with a positive cerebrospinal fluid culture. Among 1614 patients with a positive cerebrospinal fluid culture, 43 (39%) of 109 with initial isoniazid resistance died during treatment, compared to 433 (29%) of 1505 patients without initial isoniazid resistance (odds ratio 1.61, 1.08 to 2.40). Among the 282 patients with a positive culture from a non-cerebrospinal fluid site, none of the 14 patients with initial isoniazid resistance died (odds ratio 0, 0 to 0.87).

Adjusted analyses
Table 1 shows the characteristics screened for inclusion in the multivariate logistic regression model, the number of non-missing observations for each characteristic, the proportion of patients with each characteristic according to treatment outcome, and the unadjusted odds ratios for the outcome of death during treatment. In the adjusted analysis, advancing age and race were the only significant confounders of the association between initial isoniazid resistance and death. We found no interaction between HIV status and initial isoniazid resistance (P=0.7). In contrast, a significant interaction existed between isoniazid resistance and positive cerebrospinal fluid cultures (P<0.001). Among the 1614 patients with positive cerebrospinal fluid cultures, the odds ratio for initial isoniazid resistance and death was 2.07 (1.30 to 3.29). Table 2 shows the individual odds ratios for the other variables among patients with positive cerebrospinal fluid cultures.

Secondary analyses
As the biological rationale of this investigation rested on the pharmacological properties of the first line agents, we did a secondary analysis of 1050 adults (older than 14 years) with positive cerebrospinal fluid cultures treated initially with isoniazid, rifampicin (or rifabutin), ethambutol, and pyrazinamide (odds ratio for initial isoniazid resistance and subsequent death 2.14, 1.25 to 3.64).

Multiple imputation assumes that whether an observation is missing does not depend on unobserved variables. The reporting of HIV status for residents of California occurs only for patients listed in the AIDS registry, and not for patients who tested negative for HIV, which violates this assumption. To test the sensitivity of our results to this mechanism, we repeated the analysis after imputing HIV status for all California residents, without matching to the AIDS registry (odds ratio for initial isoniazid resistance and subsequent death among patients with positive cerebrospinal fluid cultures 2.12, 1.34 to 3.36).
Intravenous drug use, non-intravenous drug use, and alcohol use were all associated with subsequent death in unadjusted analysis, yet these characteristics were not associated with isoniazid resistant disease among patients with known status. In a sensitivity analysis, we found that the potential for unmeasured confounding due to missing observations for these three characteristics was minimal.

Finally, we investigated the extent to which loss to follow-up could have influenced the observed association. Firstly, we excluded 178 patients with an outcome other than completion or death and repeated the steps of the primary analysis (odds ratio 2.22, 1.37 to 3.60). Secondly, we introduced non-differential misclassification of outcome among patients lost to follow-up, randomly assigning 50% of those patients to the outcome of death, regardless of exposure status (odds ratio 1.90, 1.24 to 2.92). Thirdly, we introduced differential misclassification of outcome, by randomly re-assigning 25% of patients lost to follow-up to death in the isoniazid susceptible category but none in the isoniazid resistant category (odds ratio 1.74, 1.10 to 2.76). None of these modifications changed the conclusion that initial isoniazid resistance was associated with subsequent death.

### Table 1
Unadjusted analysis of characteristics associated with death (n=1896). Values are numbers (percentages) unless stated otherwise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Death (n=541)</th>
<th>Other outcomes (n=1355)</th>
<th>Unadjusted odds ratio for death (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isoniazid resistance on initial susceptibility testing:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>43 (8)</td>
<td>80 (6)</td>
<td>1.38 (0.94 to 2.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive cerebrospinal fluid culture</td>
<td>43/476 (9)</td>
<td>66/1138 (6)</td>
<td>1.61 (1.08 to 2.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture from non-cerebrospinal fluid site</td>
<td>0/65 (0)</td>
<td>14/217 (6)</td>
<td>0 (0 to 0.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age categories (years):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤1</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
<td>85 (6)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.04 to 0.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1 to ≤4</td>
<td>8 (1)</td>
<td>114 (8)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.10 to 0.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;4 to ≤14</td>
<td>6 (1)</td>
<td>52 (4)</td>
<td>0.43 (0.14 to 1.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;14 to ≤24</td>
<td>23 (4)</td>
<td>100 (7)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.48 to 1.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;24 to ≤34</td>
<td>67 (12)</td>
<td>247 (18)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;34 to ≤44</td>
<td>124 (23)</td>
<td>263 (19)</td>
<td>1.74 (1.22 to 2.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;44 to ≤54</td>
<td>96 (18)</td>
<td>188 (14)</td>
<td>1.88 (1.29 to 2.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;54 to ≤64</td>
<td>61 (11)</td>
<td>123 (9)</td>
<td>1.83 (1.19 to 2.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;64 to ≤74</td>
<td>82 (15)</td>
<td>112 (8)</td>
<td>2.70 (1.79 to 4.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;74</td>
<td>70 (13)</td>
<td>71 (5)</td>
<td>3.63 (2.32 to 5.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>85 (16)</td>
<td>188 (14)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>231 (43)</td>
<td>458 (34)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.82 to 1.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>148 (28)</td>
<td>432 (32)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.55 to 1.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/native Hawaiian, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>62 (12)</td>
<td>256 (19)</td>
<td>0.54 (0.36 to 0.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>11 (2)</td>
<td>8 (1)</td>
<td>3.04 (1.07 to 9.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>351 (65)</td>
<td>799 (59)</td>
<td>1.29 (1.05 to 1.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV positive</td>
<td>197/305 (65)</td>
<td>207/684 (30)</td>
<td>4.20 (3.16 to 5.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>318/421 (76)</td>
<td>747/1186 (63)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare worker</td>
<td>9/421 (2)</td>
<td>43/1186 (4)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.21 to 1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>94/421 (22)</td>
<td>396/1186 (33)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.43 to 0.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injecting drug use within previous year</td>
<td>44/419 (11)</td>
<td>49/1192 (4)</td>
<td>2.74 (1.80, 4.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug use (non-injecting) within previous year</td>
<td>47/410 (11)</td>
<td>80/1183 (7)</td>
<td>1.79 (1.22, 2.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use within previous year</td>
<td>70/406 (17)</td>
<td>111/1180 (9)</td>
<td>2.01 (1.45, 2.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless within previous year</td>
<td>29/488 (6)</td>
<td>57/1278 (4)</td>
<td>1.36 (0.86 to 2.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary disease</td>
<td>226 (42)</td>
<td>511 (38)</td>
<td>1.19 (0.97 to 1.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive acid fast bacilli smear of sputum</td>
<td>89/260 (34)</td>
<td>154/570 (27)</td>
<td>1.41 (1.03 to 1.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive sputum culture</td>
<td>165/246 (67)</td>
<td>303/531 (57)</td>
<td>1.53 (1.21 to 2.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal chest radiograph</td>
<td>131/507 (63)</td>
<td>720/1290 (56)</td>
<td>1.37 (1.11 to 1.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident of correctional facility at diagnosis</td>
<td>20/537 (4)</td>
<td>30/1349 (2)</td>
<td>1.70 (0.96 to 3.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident of long term care facility at diagnosis</td>
<td>26/513 (5)</td>
<td>55/1319 (4)</td>
<td>1.23 (0.76 to 1.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign birth</td>
<td>185/532 (35)</td>
<td>591/1347 (44)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.55 to 0.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive tuberculin skin test</td>
<td>120/275 (44)</td>
<td>543/880 (62)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.37 to 0.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive acid fast bacilli smear from non-sputum specimen</td>
<td>167/470 (36)</td>
<td>367/1163 (32)</td>
<td>1.20 (0.95 to 1.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive cerebrospinal fluid culture</td>
<td>476 (88)</td>
<td>1138 (84)</td>
<td>1.40 (1.04 to 1.88)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
death among cases of tuberculous meningitis with positive cerebrospinal fluid cultures.

**DISCUSSION**

In this national cohort of people with tuberculous meningitis, isoniazid resistance on initial susceptibility testing was associated with subsequent death among those with a positive cerebrospinal fluid culture for *M. tuberculosis*. This association was independent of age and HIV status and remained robust in a secondary analysis limited to adults treated initially with isoniazid, rifampicin (or rifabutin), ethambutol, and pyrazinamide and in sensitivity analyses that considered loss to follow-up.

Comparison with previous studies

Outcomes of treatment for tuberculous meningitis are poor when resistance to both isoniazid and rifampicin is present. Isoniazid is unique among the first line anti-tuberculous agents for its dual properties of high penetration of cerebrospinal fluid and bactericidal activity against *M. tuberculosis*. Concentrations of rifampicin in cerebrospinal fluid during treatment for tuberculous meningitis are typically within the range of the minimum inhibitory concentration for *M. tuberculosis*, which may be inadequate for rapid sterilisation of cerebrospinal fluid. With initial isoniazid resistance, the four drug regimen is left without a bactericidal agent with high levels of cerebrospinal fluid penetration. That the detrimental effect of isoniazid resistant/rifampicin susceptible disease is intermediate between drug susceptible and multi-drug resistant disease should not be surprising. Our findings also build on an earlier study showing delayed cerebrospinal fluid sterilisation in cases of tuberculous meningitis that are resistant to either isoniazid or streptomycin.

Although reviews of trials from the British Medical Research Council suggested that four drug treatment is an adequate empirical regimen in the setting of isoniazid resistance, a recent meta-analysis of a larger number of clinical trials has highlighted the uncertainty in this area. We found that isoniazid resistance is a threat to the successful treatment of tuberculosis when meningeval involvement is present. The narrow time window between starting treatment and death, relative to the time needed for culture and susceptibility results, makes the choice of empirical treatment for tuberculous meningitis a critical decision. The use of rapid, molecular assays for drug susceptibility testing would provide earlier identification of drug resistant cases of tuberculous meningitis and should be evaluated further.

**Strengths and limitations**

This study had several limitations. Although the exact cause of death is not reported, we believed it to be unlikely that a significant proportion of patients who stopped treatment owing to death would have died for reasons unrelated to the underlying disease process, given the severity of disease characteristic of tuberculous meningitis. We could not measure clinical outcomes other than death during treatment. Survivors of tuberculous meningitis are often left with considerable neurological disability, and we could not assess the effect of initial isoniazid resistance on relevant non-fatal outcomes. We also were unable to measure the use of adjunctive corticosteroids, which was associated with decreased mortality in a randomised controlled clinical trial.

We handled missing data by the method of multiple imputation. This approach permits complete data methods for analysis, reduces bias due to missing data, and incorporates imputation error into standard errors. The variable with the greatest degree of missing data was HIV status (unknown in 48% of cases). Among patients with known HIV status, HIV infection was not associated with initial isoniazid resistance (P=0.6). Furthermore, HIV status was not a confounder of the association between initial isoniazid resistance and subsequent death. An absence of association between HIV infection and isoniazid resistance has also been noted in studies of all cases of tuberculosis in the surveillance datasets of the United States and the United Kingdom. Therefore, unmeasured confounding by HIV status would be unlikely to be strong enough to explain the association between initial isoniazid resistance and subsequent death.

In considering the effect of loss to follow-up, we found that the association of initial isoniazid resistance and subsequent death remained significant even with 25% differential misclassification of loss to follow-up. This degree of differential misclassification is unlikely given the difference in median duration of treatment.

---

**Table 2** Multivariate logistic regression model for death among 1614 patients with positive cerebrospinal fluid cultures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isoniazid resistance</td>
<td>2.07 (1.30 to 3.29)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>1.20 (0.88 to 1.63)</td>
<td>0.243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age categories (years):</td>
<td>Overall &lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0.076 (0.008 to 0.69)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1 to ≤6</td>
<td>0.23 (0.067 to 0.82)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;6 to ≤14</td>
<td>0.38 (0.102 to 1.41)</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;14 to ≤24</td>
<td>1.22 (0.64 to 2.34)</td>
<td>0.540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;24 to ≤34</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;34 to ≤44</td>
<td>1.30 (0.87 to 1.92)</td>
<td>0.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;44 to ≤54</td>
<td>1.97 (1.23 to 3.15)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;54 to ≤64</td>
<td>1.83 (1.09 to 3.09)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;64 to ≤74</td>
<td>4.36 (2.48 to 7.67)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;74</td>
<td>6.90 (3.85 to 12.38)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race categories:</td>
<td>Overall &lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>1.44 (1.01 to 2.06)</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>1.21 (0.74 to 1.99)</td>
<td>0.431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>0.64 (0.30 to 1.35)</td>
<td>0.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>9.07 (2.65 to 31.02)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>0.85 (0.20 to 3.52)</td>
<td>0.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV positive</td>
<td>3.57 (1.87 to 6.82)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes Pacific Islander and native Hawaiian categories. Includes multiple race and unknown categories.
WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

The mortality of tuberculous meningitis is higher than that of any other form of tuberculosis. Starting effective anti-tuberculous treatment early is the key to a successful outcome. Isoniazid has pharmacological properties that may promote its effectiveness in the treatment of tuberculous meningitis.

What this study adds

Initial isoniazid resistance was associated with death during anti-tuberculous treatment in patients with tuberculous meningitis. In areas with a high prevalence of isoniazid resistance among newly diagnosed cases of tuberculosis, treatment strategies other than the standard four drug regimen should be evaluated between patients who were lost to follow-up and patients who died.

Conclusions and policy implications

On a global scale, the mortality from tuberculous meningitis attributable to isoniazid resistance will be greater when isoniazid resistance among newly diagnosed cases is more common. In addition, the relation between initial isoniazid resistance and death may be different in settings without access to second line anti-tuberculous drugs with demonstrated activity in the central nervous system (such as cycloserine or the fluoroquinolones), or in settings without readily available neurosurgical services. Recommendations for the treatment of tuberculosis suggest the use of an empirically expanded regimen for seriously ill patients when drug resistance is suspected. Our findings lend strength to this recommendation by showing an increased risk of death in patients with tuberculous meningitis and initial isoniazid resistance. Identification of risk factors for isoniazid resistance among patients with tuberculous meningitis could help clinicians with the choice of empirical treatment. Randomised controlled trials are needed to evaluate the optimal empirical regimen for patients with tuberculous meningitis who are at high risk for both initial isoniazid resistance and poor clinical outcomes.
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