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ABSTRACT
Objective To determine the safety of spironolactone prescribing in the setting of the UK National Health Service.
Design Population based longitudinal analysis using a record linkage database.
Setting Tayside, Scotland.
Population All patients who received one or more dispensed prescriptions for spironolactone between 1994 and 2007.
Main outcome measures Rates of prescribing for spironolactone, hospital admissions for hyperkalaemia, and hyperkalaemia and renal function without admission, before and after the publication of results from the Randomised Aldactone Evaluation Study (RALES).
Results Prescriptions for spironolactone and measurements of serum creatinine and serum potassium all increased in parallel in Tayside after the release of the RALES results in 1999 (from 2847, 5345, and 5246 in the first half of 1999 to 6582, 10 753, and 10 534 by the second half of 2001, and to 8619, 17 844, and 17 649 by 2007). These increases occurred in patients with and without heart failure. Few hospital admissions for hyperkalaemia occurred over this time: three in the first quarter of 1995, two in the last quarter of 2001, and three in 2007. Among patients who were taking angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors and who had recently been admitted to hospital for heart failure, the rate of spironolactone use was 19.8 per 100 patients in early 1999 rising to 70.1 per 100 patients by late 2001 (P<0.01) and 61.3 by 2007. The rate of outpatient measured hyperkalaemia (serum K+>6 mmol/l) did not increase over time (9.9 per 100 patients in early 1999, 6.9 per 100 patients in late 2001, and 2.9 per 100 patients in 2007) despite the increased use of spironolactone.
Conclusions Despite a marked increase in the use of spironolactone in patients with and without heart failure, no increase was seen in hospital admissions for hyperkalaemia and outpatient hyperkalaemia actually fell. Careful monitoring of patients prescribed spironolactone seems to have been associated with no increase in risk of hyperkalaemia.

INTRODUCTION
The mineralocorticoid antagonist spironolactone is efficacious in patients with heart failure. It is also effective in patients with resistant hypertension, primary aldosteronism, and cirrhosis with secondary aldosteronism. A recent study showed that a rapid increase in use of spironolactone occurred in Canadian patients with heart failure concurrently treated with an angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor after the publication of the Randomised Aldactone Evaluation Study (RALES). However, a marked concurrent increase in hospital admissions with (and subsequent deaths from) hyperkalaemia also occurred. We believed that the risk associated with use of spironolactone was managed better in our region (Tayside) than in Canada and that serious adverse effects were very rare. To test whether we had similar adverse effects to those seen in Canada, we did a population based study linking the dispensing of spironolactone with hospital admissions for hyperkalaemia and renal failure and results of biochemical monitoring in outpatients.

METHODS
We did this study in the population of Tayside in Scotland, using the MEMO (Medicines Monitoring Unit) record linkage database. The MEMO database covers a geographically compact population and serves about 400 000 patients in the National Health Service in Scotland, 97% of whom are white. In brief, this database contains several datasets including all dispensed community prescriptions, hospital discharge data, and other data that are linked by a unique patient identifier, the community health index number. The data have been validated and made anonymous for the purposes of research, as approved by the government appointed guardians of patient confidentiality.

Study design and population
This was a population based longitudinal analysis using the MEMO record linkage database in people resident in Tayside and registered with a general practitioner between January 1994 and December 2007. We studied patients who were treated with spironolactone between January 1994 and December 2001. We compared the
data on spironolactone prescriptions and serum creatinine/potassium measurements before and after the date of online release of the RALES results. This period of time matched that of the Canadian study,7 which enabled us to compare the two studies. We did a further analysis between 2005 and 2007 to determine whether these trends persisted. For technical reasons, the data were incomplete between 2002 and 2004.

Definitions
We defined patients with heart failure with an ICD-9 (international classification of diseases, 9th revision) diagnostic code of 428 or an ICD-10 code of I50. We defined patients with liver cirrhosis as those who had a hospital admission for liver failure with an ICD-9 code of 571 or an ICD-10 code of K70 or K74. Patients with hypertension were those who were on spironolactone treatment and who did not have a diagnosis of heart failure or liver cirrhosis (presumed to be mostly hypertensive patients).

Biochemical data were available for the entire region (primary and secondary care) from 1995 onwards. We defined spironolactone associated hyperkalaemia as serum potassium greater than 6 mmol/l in a patient taking spironolactone treatment.

Outcome variables
The outcome measures were rates of prescribing for spironolactone, any hospital admission for hyperkalaemia, any outpatient serum potassium above 6 mmol/l, and serum creatinine above 220 μmol/l.

Statistical analysis
We used a six month interval in the time series data for spironolactone prescriptions and serum measurements of creatinine and potassium. We used a regression model that takes into account autocorrelation between the measures over time to assess whether associations existed between spironolactone prescribing and biochemical measurements. We examined rates of spironolactone prescriptions, hospital admissions for hyperkalaemia, and serum measurements for creatinine and potassium before and after the release of the RALES study results. We did a trend test to check whether spironolactone prescriptions and measurements of serum creatinine/potassium increased over the entire study period. We compared hyperkalaemia associated with spironolactone prescribing between patients with heart failure, liver cirrhosis, and hypertension. We summarised data as mean (SD) or median (interquartile range) for continuous variables and number (percentage) of patients for categorical variables. We did χ² tests and Kruskal-Wallis tests to determine significant differences in patients’ characteristics between the three groups. We used SAS software (version 9.1) for all statistical analyses.

RESULTS
Spironolactone use in Tayside population
The number of spironolactone prescriptions and the number of patients who were taking spironolactone rose significantly after the release of the RALES study results (September 1999) from 1018 patients with 2847 prescriptions in the first half of 1999 to 2067 patients with 6582 prescriptions by the second half of 2001. We also saw an increase in recent years, with 2564 patients and 8619 prescriptions in the first half of 2007 (fig 1). Tayside has a very stable population, so prescription numbers reflect the rate of prescribing. The proportions of patients who were taking low dose (≤25 mg/day), medium dose (26-50 mg/day), and high dose (>50 mg/day) spironolactone were 50.8%, 24.9%, and 24.3% for patients with heart failure (n=1235); 6.5%, 15.9%, and 77.6% for patients with liver cirrhosis (n=340); and 33.9%, 32.8%, and 33.3% for patients with hypertension (n=5883) during the period 1999-2001.

Serum measurements and hospital admissions
Measurements of serum creatinine and serum potassium increased in parallel in patients who were taking spironolactone in Tayside after the release of the RALES results in 1999 (from 5345 and 5246 in the first half of 1999 to 10753 and 10534 by the second half of 2001). These increases before and after July 1999 were statistically significant (P<0.01). These increases occurred in patients with and without heart failure and continued in recent years (to 17844 and 17649 in 2007). The regression coefficient was 2.18
between spironolactone use and serum creatinine or potassium measurements. The numbers/rates of high serum creatinine measurements (>220 μmol/l) and of hyperkalaemia (serum potassium measurements >6 mmol/l) did not increase significantly after the publication of RALES (fig 2). However, the rate of mild hyperkalaemia (defined as serum potassium >5 mmol/l but ≤6 mmol/l) increased over the study period (see web extra figure), suggesting that mild hyperkalaemia was appropriately identified and managed to avoid development of clinically significant hyperkalaemia. Few hospital admissions for hyperkalaemia occurred over time, ranging from three in the first quarter of 1995 to two in the last quarter of 2001 and three in 2007; we found no significant trend for increased hyperkalaemia (trend test, P=0.12) over the entire study period. We found a significant trend for serum creatinine measurements >220 μmol/l (P<0.01) over the study period, but this trend did not mirror increased spironolactone use.

Spironolactone use among patients with heart failure receiving ACE inhibitors

Figure 3 shows spironolactone use and hyperkalaemia (defined as serum potassium >6 mmol/l) in patients recently admitted to hospital for heart failure who were receiving angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors. Use of spironolactone increased after the online release of the RALES results, from 19.8 per 100 patients in the first half of 1999 to 70.1 per 100 patients by the second half of 2001 (P<0.01) and 61.3 in 2007. However, the rates of hyperkalaemia did not increase over time (from 9.9 per 100 patients in early 1999 to 6.9 per 100 patients by late 2001 (P<0.01 and 2.9 in 2007). We found no impact of the RALES study on use of aspirin and statins over time (fig 3). However, statin use rose gradually over time (trend test, P<0.01), reflecting the impact of statins in the primary and secondary prevention of coronary heart disease.7-11

Characteristics of patients with spironolactone associated hyperkalaemia

Between 1995 and 2001, 578 patients had spironolactone associated hyperkalaemia (defined as serum potassium >6 mmol/l). The table shows the characteristics of these patients with heart failure, liver cirrhosis, and hypertension. We found no significant differences in sex or rates of hyperkalaemia between the three
CHARACTERISTICS OF PATIENTS WHO DEVELOPED SPIRONOLACTONE ASSOCIATED HYPERKALAEMIA (SERUM K+ ≥ 6 MMOL/L) BETWEEN 1995 AND 2001. VALUES ARE NUMBERS (PERCENTAGES) UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Heart Failure (n=172)</th>
<th>Liver Cirrhosis (n=45)</th>
<th>Hypertension (n=361)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median (interquartile range) serum K+ concentration (mmol/l)</td>
<td>6.4 (6.2-6.7)</td>
<td>6.4 (6.2-6.7)</td>
<td>6.4 (6.2-6.8)</td>
<td>0.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>91 (53)</td>
<td>20 (44)</td>
<td>156 (43)</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>81 (47)</td>
<td>25 (56)</td>
<td>205 (57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) age</td>
<td>73.2 (9.7)</td>
<td>55.6 (12.5)</td>
<td>72.8 (12)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social deprivation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11 (6)</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>16 (4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>37 (22)</td>
<td>8 (18)</td>
<td>75 (21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>37 (22)</td>
<td>7 (16)</td>
<td>108 (30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>27 (16)</td>
<td>9 (20)</td>
<td>78 (22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>19 (11)</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>35 (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 and 7</td>
<td>41 (24)</td>
<td>15 (33)</td>
<td>48 (13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spironolactone daily dose:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤25 mg</td>
<td>61 (36)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90 (25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-50 mg</td>
<td>49 (29)</td>
<td>5 (11)</td>
<td>117 (32)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50 mg</td>
<td>62 (36)</td>
<td>40 (89)</td>
<td>154 (43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine concentration &gt; 220 μmol/l</td>
<td>130 (76)</td>
<td>32 (71)</td>
<td>192 (53)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

groups of patients. Patients in the liver cirrhosis group were younger and more socially deprived and had used higher doses of spironolactone compared with patients in the heart failure and hypertension groups. The proportions with high creatinine (>220 μmol/l) at any time before the date of hyperkalaemia during the same period were 76% (n=130) in the heart failure group, 71% (n=32) in the liver cirrhosis group, and 53% (n=192) in the hypertension group (P<0.01), indicating that renal impairment is a potential risk factor and presages hyperkalaemia associated with spironolactone use. Of these 578 patients, 451 (78.0%) reduced the dose of spironolactone and 33 (5.7%) stopped taking spironolactone and 33 (5.7%) reduced the dose and presages hyperkalaemia associated with spironolactone use. Of these 578 patients, 451 (78.0%) stopped taking spironolactone and 33 (5.7%) stopped taking spironolactone, measurement of serum potassium and creatinine also increased, suggesting that patients who were at risk from spironolactone use were monitored (and presumably managed) well. In support of this concept, we found an increase in mild hyperkalaemia but no increase in more severe hyperkalaemia. Perhaps as a result of this, serious renal side effects were rare.

Our data support the view that proper monitoring in the community improves care and delivers maximum benefit to patients. Unlike the Canadian study, which reported a considerable increase in the rate of hospital admission for hyperkalaemia, we did not see such an increase; nor did we see an increase in severe biochemical hyperkalaemia over time. Our results suggest that the benefit of spironolactone in patients with heart failure and hypertension can be realised in a “real world” population if this drug is carefully monitored. This highlights the usefulness of monitoring as a risk management tool and shows that proper monitoring can deliver substantial benefits for patients. This is an important observation, as a recent study showed that between 2005 and 2007 less than a third of eligible patients admitted to hospital for heart failure and participating in a quality improvement registry received aldosterone antagonist treatment as recommended by heart failure guidelines.

The RALES study results had a major impact on use of spironolactone in patients with heart failure. In parallel, the 2004 British Hypertension Society guidelines recommended that spironolactone should be used as fourth line treatment and also resulted in a marked increase in the use of this drug, often added for patients already taking polytherapy. More recently, studies have shown the benefit of spironolactone in patients with and without hyperaldosteronism and patients with resistant hypertension. Increased aldosterone concentrations within the physiological range predisposed to the development of hypertension. Renewed interest in mineralocorticoid antagonism thus exists.

Among patients who had spironolactone associated hyperkalaemia, 75% were over 65 years old, 61% had high serum creatinine before the development of hyperkalaemia, and 44% were taking a high daily dose of spironolactone. This highlights the need to monitor this group of patients even more carefully, as previous studies have shown that older patients are at higher risk of spironolactone associated hyperkalaemia.

Our study has limitations. Firstly, the results reflect only one NHS region. However, we would expect other regions of the NHS to have similar monitoring systems. Secondly, we used ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes for hospital admission due to heart failure and liver disease, which may be subject to some degree of misclassification because of changes in coding patterns. Thirdly, this is an observational study. We did not adjust for any other risk factors, and we cannot rule out residual confounding. Further study on this topic in a large population would strengthen the evidence of safe spironolactone use in patients with heart failure.

CONCLUSION
The risk associated with use of spironolactone can be managed in the setting of the NHS, and adverse effects can be minimised. Our data provide reassurance that spironolactone is a safe drug in heart failure, hypertension, and liver disease when it is used in conjunction with appropriate monitoring.
Careful monitoring of electrolytes and renal function seems to be safe in the setting of the NHS, probably owing to the recent increase in spironolactone use for treating heart failure, hypertension, or liver disease. Monitoring patients at risk of adverse drug reactions can improve the benefit/risk ratio. A Canadian study found an alarming rate of hyperkalaemia due to spironolactone use in patients with heart failure. No increased hyperkalaemia or renal toxicity occurred in association with increased use of spironolactone in patients with coronary heart disease and a broad range of initial cholesterol levels. A review of the medical treatment of primary aldosteronism found that aldosterone levels are not the only marker of inappropriately high aldosterone activity.

**WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC**

- Spironolactone is efficacious in patients with heart failure, resistant hypertension, and liver cirrhosis with secondary aldosteronism.
- A Canadian study found an alarming rate of hyperkalaemia due to spironolactone use in patients with heart failure.
- Monitoring patients at risk of adverse drug reactions can improve the benefit/risk ratio.

**WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS**

- No increased hyperkalaemia or renal toxicity occurred in association with increased use of spironolactone in patients with heart failure, hypertension, or liver disease.
- Spironolactone prescribing seems to be safe in the setting of the NHS, probably owing to careful monitoring of electrolytes and renal function.
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