Long term effects of dietary sodium reduction on cardiovascular disease outcomes: observational follow-up of the trials of hypertension prevention (TOHP)
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ABSTRACT

Objective To examine the effects of reduction in dietary sodium intake on cardiovascular events using data from two completed randomised trials, TOHP I and TOHP II.

Design Long term follow-up assessed 10-15 years after the original trial.

Setting 10 clinic sites in 1987-90 (TOHP I) and nine sites in 1990-5 (TOHP II). Central follow-up conducted by post and phone.

Participants Adults aged 30-54 years with prehypertension.

Intervention Dietary sodium reduction, including comprehensive education and counselling on reducing intake, for 18 months (TOHP I) or 36-48 months (TOHP II).

Main outcome measure Cardiovascular disease (myocardial infarction, stroke, coronary revascularisation, or cardiovascular death).

Results 744 participants in TOHP I and 2382 in TOHP II were randomised to a sodium reduction intervention or control. Net sodium reductions in the intervention groups were 44 mmol/24 h and 33 mmol/24 h, respectively. Vital status was obtained for all participants and follow-up information on morbidity was obtained from 2415 (77%), with 202 reporting a cardiovascular event. Risk of a cardiovascular event was 25% lower among those in the intervention group (relative risk 0.75, 95% confidence interval 0.57 to 0.99, P=0.01). In secondary analyses, 67 participants died (0.80, 0.51 to 1.26, P=0.34).

Conclusion Sodium reduction, previously shown to lower blood pressure, may also reduce long term risk of cardiovascular events.

INTRODUCTION

Evidence shows that reduced sodium intake lowers blood pressure and can prevent hypertension. Observational data indicate a strong positive association between sodium intake and blood pressure within and between populations.12 Randomised trials of sodium reduction in people with and without hypertension have supported these observational findings.3 4 The dietary approaches to stop hypertension (DASH-sodium) study offers strong evidence of short term effects on blood pressure in a dose-response fashion,4 and five large randomised trials that lasted at least one year have confirmed a modest effect of sodium reduction on blood pressure in those with high normal blood pressure (“prehypertension”).6 10

In contrast, data on the effect of dietary sodium intake on subsequent morbidity and mortality are limited and inconclusive. Several ecological studies support a direct association between higher sodium intake or urinary sodium excretion and mortality from stroke.11 12 Prospective studies generally suggest a direct association despite imperfect measures of sodium intake, although results are mixed. Analyses of the national health and nutrition examination follow-up study (NHEFS) found that dietary sodium intake was either inversely13 14 or directly15 associated with increased risk of cardiovascular disease. In Scottish,16 Finnish,17 and Japanese18 studies, a single measure of urinary excretion was directly correlated with increased risk of coronary heart disease or stroke, although this direct relation has been disputed by some.19 In one lifestyle intervention trial reporting cardiovascular outcomes, there was a non-significant trend towards reduced cardiovascular disease in those assigned to a reduced sodium intervention.20

The causal effect of sodium reduction on subsequent disease can best be tested directly in a randomised trial. Interpretation of non-experimental studies, such as those cited above, is complicated because of methodological concerns. Trials of sodium reduction, however, have not been large enough or lasted long enough to provide adequate data on clinical outcomes.20 We followed up participants in two randomised lifestyle intervention trials—the trials of hypertension prevention phase I (TOHP I)6 and phase II (TOHP II)9—for subsequent cardiovascular outcomes. Both
Individual and weekly group counselling sessions were provided during the first three months, with additional counselling and support less frequently for the remainder of follow-up. Participants in the control group followed their usual diets and were given general guidelines for healthy eating. The follow-up period for the lifestyle interventions was 18 months. The final data were collected in 1989 to early 1990. In the intervention group, the net decrease in sodium excretion from baseline to 18 months was 44 mmol/24 h, and net changes in systolic/diastolic blood pressure were $-1.7/−0.8$ (P<0.01 and <0.05, respectively).

TOHP II

The second TOHP trial tested the effects of weight loss and sodium reduction on incident hypertension and blood pressure over three to four years. The design was a 2×2 factorial, with intervention groups of weight loss alone, sodium reduction alone, a combination of weight loss and sodium reduction, and a usual care group. Participants were aged 30-54 years, weighed 110-163% of desirable weight, and had average blood pressure of 83-89 mm Hg for diastolic and 110-165% of desirable weight, and had average blood pressure of 83-89 mm Hg for diastolic and <140 mm Hg for systolic without antihypertensive medication. A total of 2382 participants were randomised into the trial from December 1990 to March 1992 at nine clinic sites. The active sodium reduction intervention was similar to that in TOHP I. Individual and weekly group counselling sessions were offered initially, with less intensive counselling and support thereafter, specific to sodium reduction. Mini-modules to reinforce the content were offered in the later years of the intervention. The final data were collected in March 1995.

In keeping with the factorial design, effects of the sodium reduction intervention were analysed by grouping data for the two sodium reduction interventions (alone or with weight loss) and for the two non-sodium reduction groups (usual care or weight loss alone). At 36 months, the pooled active sodium groups experienced a net decrease in sodium excretion of 33 mmol/24 h, with no significant blood pressure reduction. The sodium reduction alone group experienced a net 40 mmol/24 h reduction in sodium excretion with corresponding blood pressure reductions of $1.2/0.7$ mm Hg compared with usual care, which was significant ($P<0.02$) for systolic blood pressure only. The sodium reduction only intervention resulted in lower incidence of hypertension, with a relative risk of 0.82 ($P=0.05$) compared with usual care.

Follow-up study

The observational follow-up for cardiovascular disease began in 2000, about 10 years after the end of TOHP I and five years after the end of TOHP II, and ended in 2004-5. We collected data on all events occurring since the end of the trials. The TOHP coordinating centre conducted the follow-up centrally by mail and phone. Questionnaires were posted beginning in January 2000, followed by phone calls as needed. We sought detailed information on cardiovascular and other
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Fig 1 Flow of participants through two trials and status at follow-up

found small but significant direct effects of sodium reduction on reducing blood pressure in diverse samples of normal weight and overweight adults with high normal blood pressure. We determined the long term effects, over a period of 10-15 years, of sodium reduction on cardiovascular disease and mortality.

METHODS

TOHP I

The first TOHP trial tested the feasibility and efficacy of seven non-pharmacological interventions in reducing blood pressure in people with high normal blood pressure. Interventions included weight loss, sodium reduction, stress management, and nutritional supplements (calcium, magnesium, potassium, and fish oil). Participants aged 30-54 were eligible if their mean diastolic blood pressure was 80-89 mm Hg without antihypertensive medication. Randomisation occurred at 10 clinic sites from September 1987 to October 1988. Of the 2182 total participants in TOHP I, 327 were randomised to a sodium reduction intervention and 417 to a usual care control group.

The active intervention, described in detail elsewhere, involved dietary and behavioural counselling on how to identify sodium in the diet, self-monitor intake, and select or prepare lower sodium foods and condiments suited to personal preferences.
health outcomes. We sent additional questionnaires to responders at two year intervals through early 2005, with interim annual postcards for collection of address changes and study outcomes.

Our prespecified primary outcome was cardiovascular disease, a composite of myocardial infarction, stroke, coronary artery bypass graft (CABG), percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA), or death with a cardiovascular cause. We repeated analyses excluding CABG and PTCA, and to examine consistency of results and eliminate any potential diagnostic bias, we also repeated the analysis for total mortality.

On notification of occurrence of a potential non-fatal outcome, we sought consent to obtain medical records. A study physician, blinded to randomisation assignment, reviewed the records to validate the reported outcome using standardised criteria. We also searched the national death index to identify deaths to December 2003 among those who did not respond to the questionnaires.

There were 297 non-fatal outcomes reported, including multiple reports per person. We obtained consent to examine medical records for 216 (73%) and obtained records for 196 (91%) of those with consent. Of the reported outcomes with records, we confirmed occurrence of cardiovascular disease in 178 reports (91%), including multiples reports per person. We included in these analyses all first reported outcomes, except for those that did not meet our criteria on record review.

We collected information on self reported sodium intake on the final follow-up questionnaire sent in 2004-5, which asked participants about their current preferences for salty and low sodium foods (“like a lot,” “like some,” “dislike some,” or “dislike a lot”) and whether they “always,” “usually,” “sometimes,” or “never” use low sodium products, read food labels for sodium, or keep track of daily intake of sodium (mg). We examined these data by randomised group to assess long term patterns of sodium use after the trial. Because of potential changes after a diagnosis related to a cardiovascular disease we included in these analyses only those who did not experience a study outcome.

### Statistical methods

Our analysis focuses on the 744 and 2382 participants randomised to a sodium intervention or control in TOHP I and TOHP II, respectively. We compared trial characteristics at baseline with t tests or χ² tests of association and examined response to the follow-up questionnaire with logistic regression. The primary analysis was a time to event analysis of first cardiovascular event after randomisation with Cox’s proportional hazards regression model among responders to the follow-up. We pooled the two trial periods using stratification in the model, allowing the baseline hazard to differ by trial, with common predictor coefficients. To allow for possible differences in questionnaire response, primary analyses controlled for clinic, age, race, and sex and assignment to a weight loss intervention in TOHP II, with additional adjustment for baseline weight and baseline sodium excretion. We carried out other analyses with data from TOHP I and II separately and estimated and plotted cumulative incidence curves, adjusted for clinic, age, and sex, for each trial separately.

### Table 1 | Characteristics of participants in TOHP I and II according to allocation to sodium reduction intervention or control group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>TOHP I</th>
<th>TOHP II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention (n=327)</td>
<td>Control (n=417)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline No (%)</td>
<td>232 (71.0)</td>
<td>299 (71.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (%) of men</td>
<td>82.7 (14.3)</td>
<td>82.8 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.1 (3.8)</td>
<td>27.1 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>124.8 (8.5)</td>
<td>125.1 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>83.7 (2.7)</td>
<td>83.9 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium excretion (mmol/24 h)</td>
<td>154.6 (59.9)</td>
<td>156.4 (60.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change to end of trial</td>
<td>−55.2 (76.9)</td>
<td>−11.3 (77.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMIM=body mass index; SBP=systolic blood pressure; DBP=dia
tolic blood pressure.

*In TOHP II (a 2×2 factorial trial), participants were grouped according to whether they did or did not receive reduced sodium intervention. Hence, active sodium reduction group includes those assigned to sodium reduction alone and to sodium reduction plus weight loss, while control group includes those assigned to weight loss alone and to usual care.
In a sensitivity analysis using logistic regression we performed an intention to treat analysis treating non-responders as non-events. Results were similar and are not reported. Because mortality follow-up was virtually complete, we included all randomised participants in analyses of mortality alone in a full intention to treat analysis. We conducted additional analyses within subsets defined by age, sex, race, baseline body mass index, and assignment to a weight loss intervention. We analysed questions on current sodium preferences after the trial as binary outcomes using $\chi^2$ tests. Analyses were conducted with SAS version 8.2 and SPlus version 6.2.

### RESULTS

A total of 744 participants were randomised to a sodium intervention or control in TOHP I and 2382 in TOHP II (fig 1). Baseline characteristics were evenly distributed, except for age, which was higher in the sodium reduction intervention group in each trial (table 1).26 27 Change in weight was similar, and change in sodium excretion was greater among those randomised to sodium reduction interventions.

We obtained follow-up information on cardiovascular outcomes or death for 2415 participants (77%). Follow-up rates were similar in the sodium intervention and control groups, with higher response among those in TOHP II (table 2). We had information on mortality for all participants, including non-responders. Two hundred participants (8% of the responders) experienced study outcomes.

The crude rate of cardiovascular disease was somewhat lower among those assigned to the sodium reduction intervention ($P=0.21$ in stratified analysis) than corresponding controls (table 2). After adjustment for baseline characteristics, particularly the imbalance in age, there were significant differences between groups. Figure 2 shows adjusted cumulative incidence rates of cardiovascular disease by trial and intervention. After we controlled for clinic site, demographic information, and randomisation to a weight loss intervention (in TOHP II), the estimated reduction in relative risk of cardiovascular disease among those in the sodium reduction versus control interventions was 25% (relative risk 0.75, 95% confidence interval 0.57 to 0.99, $P=0.04$). Additional adjustment for baseline weight

### Table 2 | Response to follow-up and cardiovascular disease and total mortality according to allocation to sodium intervention or control group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intervention (%)</th>
<th>Control (%)</th>
<th>P value (pCMH*)</th>
<th>Odds ratio or hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>1169/1518 (77.0)</td>
<td>1246/1608 (77.5)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.62)</td>
<td>0.93† (0.78 to 1.11, $P=0.42$); 0.93† (0.78 to 1.11, $P=0.42$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOHP I</td>
<td>231/327 (70.6)</td>
<td>311/417 (74.6)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOHP II</td>
<td>938/1191 (78.8)</td>
<td>935/1191 (78.5)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular disease$\dagger$ (among responders in TOHP follow-up)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>88/1169 (7.5)</td>
<td>112/1246 (9.0)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.21)</td>
<td>0.75‡ (0.57 to 0.99, $P=0.044$); 0.70** (0.53 to 0.94, $P=0.018$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOHP I</td>
<td>17/231 (7.4)</td>
<td>32/311 (10.3)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.48** (0.25 to 0.92, $P=0.027$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOHP II</td>
<td>71/938 (7.6)</td>
<td>80/935 (8.6)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.79** (0.57 to 1.09, $P=0.16$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mortality (among all randomised)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>35/1518 (2.3)</td>
<td>42/1608 (2.6)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.64)</td>
<td>0.81¶ (0.52 to 1.27, $P=0.35$); 0.80** (0.51 to 1.26, $P=0.34$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOHP I</td>
<td>10/327 (3.1)</td>
<td>14/417 (3.4)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.76** (0.33 to 1.74, $P=0.52$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOHP II</td>
<td>25/1191 (2.1)</td>
<td>28/1191 (2.4)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.83** (0.48 to 1.41, $P=0.49$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\dagger$From Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test stratifying by trial.  
$\dagger$Odds ratio from logistic regression adjusted for trial, clinic, age, race, sex, and weight loss intervention.  
$\dagger$Odds ratio additionally adjusted for baseline weight and sodium excretion.  
$\dagger$Myocardial infarction, stroke, revascularisation, or death due to cardiovascular cause.  
$\dagger$Hazard ratio from Cox regression analysis stratified by trial and adjusted for clinic, age, race, sex, and weight loss intervention.  
$\dagger$Hazard ratio additionally adjusted for baseline weight and sodium excretion.
and sodium excretion strengthened the association (0.70, 0.53 to 0.94, P=0.02). Effect estimates were similar, although less significant, after further adjustment for change in weight during the trials (0.74, 0.55 to 1.01, P=0.06). Results were similar when we analysed them separately by trial. Analyses for interactions indicated that effects of the sodium reduction intervention were similar across categories defined by sex (P=0.98), race (white v black P=0.79, white v other P=0.63), age (30-44 v 45-54 years, P=0.43), body mass index (<25 v ≥25, P=0.34), and active weight loss intervention overall (P=0.55) or within TOHP II only (P=0.17) (table 3). When we excluded revascularisation procedures from the composite outcome, 124 participants experienced cardiovascular disease (76 myocardial infarctions, 19 strokes, six both, and 23 cardiovascular deaths with no previous reported myocardial infarction or stroke). The fully adjusted point estimates were similar to those for the primary outcome, but were not significant (0.72, 0.50 to 1.03, P=0.07).

Sixty seven of the 3126 participants died; 35 in the intervention groups and 42 in the comparison groups. The magnitude of risk reduction in this full intention to treat analysis was consistent with results for the primary outcome (table 2 and fig 3). After adjustment for baseline characteristics, including weight and sodium excretion, there was a 20% lower mortality among those in the sodium reduction intervention (0.80, 0.51 to 1.26, P=0.34). Results were similar for each trial. Twenty five deaths were due to cardiovascular disease; 10 in the intervention groups and 15 in the comparison groups (0.62, 0.28 to 1.40, P=0.25).

Table 3 | Effect of sodium reduction intervention on cardiovascular disease among subgroups in TOHP I and TOHP II, with hazard ratios for intervention versus control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Events</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>P value for interaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1607 156</td>
<td>0.71 (0.51 to 0.97)</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>731 33</td>
<td>0.71 (0.35 to 1.43)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>1889 163</td>
<td>0.71 (0.52 to 0.98)</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>381 20</td>
<td>0.86 (0.33 to 2.26)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>68 6</td>
<td>0.08 (0.00 to 2.20)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.63*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-44</td>
<td>1253 62</td>
<td>0.61 (0.36 to 1.03)</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>1085 127</td>
<td>0.76 (0.53 to 1.08)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>184 10</td>
<td>0.24 (0.05 to 1.16)</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥25</td>
<td>2154 179</td>
<td>0.72 (0.53 to 0.96)</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concurrent weight loss intervention:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes†‡</td>
<td>909 73</td>
<td>0.63 (0.39 to 1.01)</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.55†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No:</td>
<td>1429 116</td>
<td>0.76 (0.52 to 1.10)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOHP I</td>
<td>519 44</td>
<td>0.48 (0.25 to 0.92)</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOHP II</td>
<td>910 72</td>
<td>1.00 (0.62 to 1.59)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI=body mass index.
*For other v white.
†TOHP II only.
‡Test comparing sodium effects in overall weight loss v no weight interventions. P=0.17 for difference in sodium effect by weight loss intervention in TOHP II only.
WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC
Randomised trials in people with and without hypertension show reduction in blood pressure with lower sodium intake.
Few observational studies and virtually no trial data exist on the effect of sodium intake on subsequent cardiovascular disease.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS
Reduction in dietary sodium intake also seems to prevent cardiovascular disease.

Secondly, measurements of dietary sodium intake during the trial phase were based on carefully collected repeated assessments of 24 hour urinary excretion. Observed baseline sodium excretion was in agreement with the average self reported intake of 3600 mg (156.0 mmol/24 h) seen in data from the national health and nutrition examination survey (NHANES) 1999-2000.26 One limitation of the study is the less than complete rate of follow-up. As a long term observational study of completed trials, however, the rates of follow-up (100% for mortality and 77% for morbidity) were relatively high. The response rate was similar by intervention group and thus unlikely to bias the results. In addition, analysis of total mortality, an outcome that is completely objective and virtually complete, showed a lesser but consistent reduction in risk.

A further limitation is the lack of direct measurement of blood pressure, weight, and sodium intake during follow-up, though questionnaire data support the presence of long term effects of the intervention. The intervention groups reported significantly better adherence to a reduced sodium eating pattern. Although we cannot rule out social desirable bias in reporting, the findings are supported by evidence that preference for salt can decrease after about three months on a reduced sodium intake diet.24 Persistence of adherence to intervention, albeit attenuated, has been observed at one year follow-up for other dietary modifications even in the absence of continued counselling.25 Maintenance of dietary sodium changes may be relatively better than for some other aspects of dietary change.23 If we assume the attenuation of effect that is often seen in studies of dietary change, these results might underestimate the potential public health benefits of policy changes to improve adoption and long term adherence to lower sodium intakes.

ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES

DISCUSSION
In this long term follow-up of two completed lifestyle intervention trials, people with prehypertension assigned to a sodium reduction intervention experienced a 2.5-30% lower risk of cardiovascular outcomes in the 10 to 15 years after the trial. This magnitude of risk reduction was evident in each trial, in most subgroup analyses, and in various sensitivity analyses, such as those that excluded coronary revascularisation from the composite outcome, with total mortality as the trial outcome, and with an alternative set of adjustment variables. Although several of these subsidiary analyses did not achieve a conventional level of significance, the magnitude of risk reduction tended to be similar.

Strengths and weaknesses
Our follow-up study was of sufficient size and duration to assess the effects of sodium reduction on cardiovascular outcomes based on randomised trial data. Despite its relatively small size as a trial of clinical outcomes, it provides some of the strongest objective evidence to date that lowering sodium intake, even among those without hypertension, reduces the risk of future cardiovascular disease. Previous studies have been observational, relying on suboptimal measurements of dietary sodium intake, which is extremely difficult to measure. Many observational studies had a single assessment of dietary sodium intake, and many relied on dietary recall methods, which tend to be inaccurate and to underestimate actual sodium intake. Such problems with measuring sodium intake may explain the inconsistent and sometimes paradoxical findings.14 15 19

Observational studies measuring sodium excretion have found a more consistent positive association.17 18

Our study has several additional strengths. Firstly, participants were demographically heterogeneous, and all had prehypertension, placing them at increased risk of experiencing cardiovascular outcomes.20 20
Besides its effects on blood pressure, an expanding body of evidence suggests that a high sodium intake has detrimental cardiovascular effects independent of blood pressure. High sodium intake increases extracellular sodium concentrations and may adversely affect vascular reactivity and growth and stimulate myocardial fibrosis. Additionally, several cross sectional studies have documented a direct relation between sodium intake and left ventricular mass. The latter mechanisms may explain the sizeable reduction in cardiovascular disease, despite the relatively modest effects on blood pressure seen during the TOHP trials.

Results of our follow-up study reinforce recommendations to lower dietary sodium intake as a means of preventing cardiovascular disease in the general population. To date, policy recommendations have relied to a large extent on consistent evidence that sodium reduction lowers blood pressure, an aetologically relevant, well accepted, and modifiable cardiovascular risk factor. High blood pressure, however, is not a cardiovascular event, and there has been a call for large scale, long term trials of sodium reduction with clinical outcomes.

Our study provides unique evidence that sodium reduction might prevent cardiovascular disease and should dispel any residual concern that sodium reduction might be harmful.

In conclusion, sodium reduction, previously shown to lower blood pressure and prevent hypertension, also seems to prevent cardiovascular disease. The TOHP interventions reduced sodium intake by about 25% to 33%, approaching current recommendations for a 50% decrease in the amount of sodium in food in the United States. The observed reduction in cardiovascular risk associated with this sodium decrease was substantial and provides strong support for population-wide reduction in dietary sodium intake to prevent cardiovascular disease.
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