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Abstract

Objective To delineate the risk factors, symptom patterns, and longitudinal course of prolonged illnesses after a variety of acute infections.

Design Prospective cohort study following patients from the time of acute infection with Epstein-Barr virus (glandular fever), Coxiella burnetii (Q fever), or Ross River virus (epidemic polyarthritis).

Setting The region surrounding the township of Dubbo in rural Australia, encompassing a 200 km geographical radius and 104 400 residents.

Participants 253 patients enrolled and followed at regular intervals over 12 months by self report, structured interview, and clinical assessment.

Outcome measures Detailed medical, psychiatric, and laboratory evaluations at six months to apply diagnostic criteria for chronic fatigue syndrome. Premorbid and intercurrent illness characteristics recorded to define risk factors for chronic fatigue syndrome. Self reported illness phenotypes compared between infective groups.

Results Prolonged illness characterised by disabling fatigue, musculoskeletal pain, neurocognitive difficulties, and mood disturbance was evident in 29 (12%) of 253 participants at six months, of whom 28 (11%) met the diagnostic criteria for chronic fatigue syndrome. This post-infective fatigue syndrome phenotype was stereotyped and occurred at a similar incidence after each infection. The syndrome was predicted largely by the severity of the acute illness rather than by demographic, psychological, or microbiological factors.

Conclusions A relatively uniform post-infective fatigue syndrome persists in a significant minority of patients for six months or more after clinical infection with several different viral and non-viral micro-organisms. Post-infective fatigue syndrome is a valid illness model for investigating one pathophysiological pathway to chronic fatigue syndrome.

Introduction

Chronic fatigue syndrome is defined as persistent or relapsing fatigue that cannot be explained by other medical or psychiatric conditions, which has been present for at least six months, is not alleviated by rest, and causes substantial reduction in daily activities. Although chronic fatigue syndrome is commonly reported to develop after an acute infective illness, many case-control studies have failed to find consistent associations between chronic fatigue syndrome and either known or novel infectious agents. Post-infective fatigue states have a long history and have been linked to a diverse spectrum of infections, including brucellosis (which is caused by an intracellular bacterium), glandular fever (caused by the herpesvirus Epstein-Barr virus), Lyme disease (caused by infection with the tickborne spirochaete Borrelia burgdorferi), Q fever (caused by the intracellular, rickettsia-like pathogen Coxiella burnetii), and viral meningitis (most commonly caused by enteroviral infection). By contrast, a comprehensive prospective study of clinical outcomes after common, more minor, viral infections found no association with prolonged fatigue. Population based prospective studies of the spectrum of post-infective fatigue states are therefore needed to delineate the key symptoms and longitudinal course of the post-infective fatigue syndrome; to identify demographic, microbial, immunological, and psychological risk factors; and to determine whether disparate pathogens can precipitate chronic fatigue syndrome.

Methods

Study site

The ongoing Dubbo infection outcomes study is centred on the township of Dubbo in a rural region of southwestern Australia, encompassing a 200 km radius and 104 400 residents (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2001). The population includes approximately 8% Aboriginal Australians.

Participants

The 94 family practitioners and all four diagnostic pathology laboratories that serve the region cooperated to provide us with coded reports of all IgM positive serological results indicating acute Epstein-Barr virus, Q fever, or Ross River virus infections. Patients aged 16 years or over, who provided written informed consent, were enrolled through their family doctor. We excluded patients who had symptoms present for more than six weeks or reported pre-existing medical disorders or drug use likely to be associated with prolonged fatigue. After the baseline assessment, we followed up participants at three weeks, six weeks, and three months, after which we further evaluated a matched case-control series (see below). We reviewed all enrolled participants again at 12 months.

Interview schedules and self report instruments

At enrolment, the study nurse recorded the clinical, medical, psychiatric, and family history. A semi-structured psychiatric
construct validity of this instrument have been shown.

Reports of reasons for presentation to primary care.

Personality inventory—neuroticism scale,

score.

We classified participants as provisional cases of post-infective

case definitions

Case definitions

We classified participants as provisional cases of post-infective
fatigue syndrome if their SOMA scores at all time points up to
and including three months exceeded the established threshold
score. We invited these cases, and control participants matched
by age and sex who had recovered promptly from the same
infection, at six months for a medical interview, examination by a
physician (AL), and laboratory investigation to exclude
alternative medical explanations for ongoing symptoms, such as
hypothyroidism or primary sleep disorder. A psychiatrist (IH)
also assessed them, to ensure that no exclusionary psychiatric
diagnosis was evident and to allocate comorbid diagnoses
according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental
Disorders, fourth edition (DSM-IV). Where appropriate, AL and IH
diagnosed the chronic fatigue syndrome (termed here
defined by testing of longitudinally collected sera.

‡Acute infection confirmed by testing of longitudinally collected sera.

*Completed 10 years or less of formal education.

‡EBV=Epstein-Barr virus; RRV=Ross River virus.

Table 1

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of the cohort (n=253). Values are numbers (percentages) unless stated otherwise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serology</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Mean (range)</th>
<th>age (years)</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Education*</th>
<th>Employed†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EBV confirmed‡</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>22 (16-49)</td>
<td></td>
<td>38/68</td>
<td>56 (45)</td>
<td>30/66 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRV confirmed‡</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40 (18-69)</td>
<td></td>
<td>27/60</td>
<td>36 (43)</td>
<td>30/59 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q fever confirmed‡</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>40 (18-75)</td>
<td></td>
<td>43/63</td>
<td>31 (43)</td>
<td>40/62 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not confirmed‡</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>38 (16-77)</td>
<td></td>
<td>36/82</td>
<td>37 (44)</td>
<td>60/79 (76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All subjects</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>34 (16-77)</td>
<td></td>
<td>108/253 (43)</td>
<td>95/240 (40)</td>
<td>177/245 (72)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EBV=Epstein-Barr virus; RRV=Ross River virus.

‡Acute infection confirmed by testing of longitudinally collected sera.

*Completed 10 years or less of formal education.

‡EBV=Epstein-Barr virus; RRV=Ross River virus.

Statistical analysis

We used SPSS 12.0.1 for statistical analyses. To describe the clinical
phenotypes (both cross sectionally and longitudinally) and to
assign values to the severity of each symptom domain, we did
factor analysis with principal axis factoring and varimax rotation;
we included all 34 items of the somatic and psychological health
report from the 229 participants who had full data available at
assessments done four to eight weeks after the onset of acute
illness. For calculation of the case rates for post-infective fatigue
syndrome at each time point, we designated participants who
discontinued (n=26) as recovered and retained them in the
denominator. We used Spearman rank order correlations to
assess associations between symptom factor scores and disability
measures. We assessed risk factors for caseness at each time point
with stepwise multiple regression analyses. To understand the
patterns of resolution of the symptom domains, we calculated
gradients of the change in mean factor scores per unit of time,
and we did planned contrast analyses to compare these data
across the infective subgroups of participants.

Results

Demographic characteristics

We received laboratory notifications of 855 potential partici-
ants with IgM positive results over a five year study period. We
were able to contact 430 of these through their family doctor,
and 253 (59%) of them agreed to detailed longitudinal
assessments. The demographic and illness characteristics of
these 253 participants (summarised in table 1) were not
significantly different from an additional 177 who agreed to be
followed by self report only—age 34.3 versus 37.0 years,
difference = 2.7 years (95% confidence interval 6.08 to 0.67)
years; sex (per cent male) 57% versus 56%, difference = 2%
(−10% to 13%). We found a non-significant trend towards
higher baseline symptom scores and worsened disability param-
eters in the self report cohort compared with the main cohort.
These groups of patients did not differ from those who declined
enrolment (data not shown).

The demographic features of the main cohort were
consistent with the expected patterns of exposure to these
pathogens; Epstein-Barr virus infection was most common in
adolescents and young adults, Q fever was most common in men
(largely because of the nature of the occupational exposure, such
as meat working or shearing), and Ross River virus was most
common in participants with outdoor activities that increase the
likelihood of mosquito bites.23–25 In all three infection groups,
approximately 25% of the original serological diagnoses were
not confirmed by our more stringent criteria applied longitudi-
nally. This is consistent with the recognised limitations of
diagnoses made on the basis of detection of IgM antibodies in a
single serum sample.
The rates of premorbid psychiatric diagnoses in the confirmed cases of post-infective fatigue syndrome and the matched (recovered) control participants, determined by formal psychiatric assessment of both groups at six months, were comparable—21% versus 17%, difference = 5% (−18% to 27%)—as were the rates of intercurrent psychiatric disorders—21% versus 10%, difference = 11% (−10% to 33%). Similarly, the rates of psychiatric disorder between cases and all remaining participants, detected by the structured interview at baseline, did not differ—premorbid psychiatric disorder 23% versus 14%, difference = 9% (−23% to 13%); intercurrent psychiatric disorder 23% versus 10%, difference = 13% (−8% to 28%). Interestingly, the case rates of provisional post-infective fatigue syndrome in the self report cohort were significantly higher at six and 12 months (55% and 32%) than in the main cohort. Higher rates of disability were also reported in the self report cohort.

Characteristics of post-infective fatigue syndrome

If the same pathophysiology underpinned all the clinical aspects of the acute infective illness and the post-infective fatigue state, we would predict that the individual symptom factors that we had derived empirically would resolve in a uniform manner across the time points assessed. In fact, we found substantial variation, particularly early in the course of the illness. In the group of 28 confirmed cases of post-infective fatigue syndrome, the median score on the acute sickness factor rapidly dropped to zero, whereas the median scores for fatigue, musculoskeletal pain, and neurocognitive disturbance remained high (fig 2). When we compared the kinetics of resolution of the symptom factors for the group as a whole, again the acute sickness and irritability factors showed the greatest initial speed of resolution. By contrast, the fatigue and neurocognitive disturbance factors showed significant reductions only late in the course of the illness (fig 3). These differences were most significant in the period between baseline and three months, when planned contrasts showed that the key construct of fatigue differed from all other factors (all P < 0.05), with the exception of neurocognitive disturbance. When we compared the gradients between three and six months, significant differences no longer existed, suggesting that the symptom domains had become more uniform and stable over time.

Importantly, these final symptom patterns were also highly stereotyped, regardless of the original infective trigger. Planned contrasts of the patterns of resolution of the six symptom factors by infective subcohorts revealed that only musculoskeletal pain showed significant differences in prevalence and natural history in the early post-infective period (baseline to three months: Ross River virus v Epstein-Barr virus, P < 0.001; Ross River virus v Q fever, P < 0.01). The central symptom domains of post-infective fatigue syndrome did not differ between the infection groups at later time points.

Risk factors for acute sickness

Demographic characteristics did not generally predict the scores on the six symptom factors recorded at baseline (table 2). We saw an association between older age and the fatigue score during the acute illness. Serologically confirmed Ross River virus infection was associated with the severity of the musculoskeletal pain factor, consistent with the propensity of this infection to cause arthralgia. Higher neuroticism and external locus of control scores were associated with more severe mood disturbance.

Risk factors for post-infective fatigue syndrome

The predictors of post-infective fatigue syndrome over the 12 months after acute infection were largely limited to the factor...
scores that reflect severity of acute illness (table 3). Importantly, premorbid and intercurrent psychiatric disorder did not show predictive power for post-infective fatigue syndrome at any time point.

Discussion

Prolonged fatigue states after infections are common and disabling and may persist for 12 months. Although the acute phase of the infections varied, the post-infective fatigue illnesses shared a similar clinical phenotype. Severity of the acute illness, and not demographic or psychological factors, was predictive of post-infective fatigue syndrome.

Strengths and weaknesses

The application of the chronic fatigue syndrome case definition to designate incident cases in the post-infective setting described here provides strong evidence for a causative role of these infections in triggering chronic fatigue syndrome. The rate of post-infective fatigue syndrome detected at six months (11%) is comparable to those in the three previous cohort studies, which followed only patients with glandular fever. These findings confirm that chronic fatigue syndrome is a relatively common sequel of several different infections—now documented to include Epstein-Barr virus, Ross River virus, and Q fever—but not minor upper respiratory tract or gastrointestinal infections. Nevertheless, the case rate for post-infective fatigue syndrome in the group who were followed from the serologically unconfirmed infections was similar, suggesting that severity of the acute illness rather than the specific pathogen may be the major determinant of post-infective fatigue syndrome. The patients who elected to participate by self report only with less frequent follow-up apparently had a more severe and protracted illness course, potentially suggesting a bias against inclusion of participants with more severe illness in the main cohort. However, these participants were not evaluated in comparable detail, so these differences may also reflect the higher rates of post-infective fatigue illness and duration in studies based on self report only.

Unlike in previous reports, we required the cases to have serological confirmation of Epstein-Barr virus infection (not required in some studies), continuity of the prolonged fatigue state from onset of the infective illness through to six months (not required in other studies), and strict application of the diagnostic criteria for chronic fatigue syndrome with exclusion of alternative medical and psychiatric disorders, including through the recommended laboratory investigations (not required in some studies).

White and colleagues also identified the importance of the specific pathogen and of severity of the acute illness; they found that having a confirmed Epstein-Barr virus infection and severe fatigue at baseline predicted post-infective fatigue syndrome caseness at six months. In addition, comparable to our findings,
 preamble and intercurrent mood disorders were not associated with an increased likelihood of post-infective fatigue syndrome.

A weakness of our study is that the sample size of the participant group reported here did not allow definitive exclusion of risk factors for post-infective fatigue syndrome with small effect sizes. In addition, the participant group enrolled in the cohort was likely to be biased by factors influencing presentation to the general practitioner, including illness severity and psychosocial factors.

### Table 2 Risk factors for post-infective fatigue syndrome (n=229). Values are standardised β coefficients from regression analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Symptom domains†</th>
<th>3 months</th>
<th>6 months‡</th>
<th>12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female=1)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>−0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (secondary=1)</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (tertiary=1)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premorbid psychiatric disorder (DSM-IV)</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercurrent psychiatric disorder (DSM-IV)</td>
<td>0.31*</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroticism score</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td>0.27*</td>
<td>−0.22*</td>
<td>0.45***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locus of control score</td>
<td>0.27**</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>0.18*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbiological</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBV confirmed‡</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−0.11</td>
<td>−0.26*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRV confirmed‡</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>0.37***</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q fever confirmed‡</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td>−0.003</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premorbid psychiatric disorder (DSM-IV)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercurrent psychiatric disorder (DSM-IV)</td>
<td>−0.24</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroticism score</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locus of control score</td>
<td>−0.004</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbiological factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBV confirmed‡</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRV confirmed‡</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q fever confirmed‡</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3 Symptom domains†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom domains†</th>
<th>3 months</th>
<th>6 months‡</th>
<th>12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute sickness</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>−0.11</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritability</td>
<td>0.24*</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musculoskeletal pain</td>
<td>0.27*</td>
<td>0.30*</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood disturbance</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurocognitive disturbance</td>
<td>0.24*</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>0.50**</td>
<td>0.35**</td>
<td>0.27*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Meaning of the study

Examination of outcomes after the three distinctive acute infections reported here strongly implicates aspects of the host response to infection (rather than the pathogen itself) as the likely determinants of post-infective fatigue syndrome, as the case rates after infection with Epstein-Barr virus (a DNA virus), Ross River virus (an RNA virus), and C burnetii (an intracellular bacterium) were comparable and the symptom characteristics progressively merged over time. In combination with the predominantly self limiting natural history of post-infective fatigue syndrome recorded here, these risk factors and demographic characteristics indicate that patients with post-infective fatigue syndrome constitute a distinguishable subset within the broad diagnostic category of chronic fatigue syndrome. This is consistent with the recognised heterogeneity in patient groups identified within the label of chronic fatigue syndrome.

### Unanswered questions and future research

In patients in this cohort, we recently reported strong, positive correlations with symptoms of acute infection and the spontaneous ex vivo production of the pro-inflammatory cytokines, interleukin 1β and interleukin 6. This finding is consistent with accumulated evidence from animal studies, which indicates that the acute sickness response to infection is mediated by the action of these cytokines on the central nervous system. However, in the longitudinal study reported here the symptom domains that characterise the acute illness experience (notably “acute sickness” and “irritability”) resolved rapidly compared with the domains that are more characteristic of chronic fatigue syndrome (“fatigue” and “neurocognitive disturbance”). Consistent with these data, we have recently found that markers of inflammation and the concentrations of pro-inflammatory cytokines do not remain high in patients with post-infective fatigue syndrome (Vollmer-Conna et al, manuscript in preparation). Similarly, detailed analysis of viral load and antiviral immune responses in a nested case-control series derived from the Epstein-Barr virus cohort did not reveal significant differences between patients with post-infective fatigue syn-
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What is already known on this topic

A post-infective fatigue syndrome that meets diagnostic criteria for chronic fatigue syndrome may follow Epstein-Barr virus infection but not common, minor viral infections

What this study adds

Post-infective fatigue syndrome represents a common and stereotyped outcome from several viral and non-infectious illnesses

The key risk factor for post-infective fatigue syndrome is the severity of the acute illness and not age, sex, or psychological factors
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