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Abstract

Objective To explore the impact on mortality and morbidity of parenteral penicillin given to children before admission to hospital with suspected meningococcal disease.

Design Retrospective comparison of fatal and non-fatal cases.

Setting England, Wales, and Northern Ireland; December 1997 to February 1999.

Participants 158 children aged 0-16 years (26 died, 132 survived) in whom a general practitioner had made the diagnosis of meningococcal disease before hospital admission.

Results Administration of parenteral penicillin by general practitioners was associated with increased odds ratios for death (7.4, 95% confidence interval 1.5 to 37.7) and complications in survivors (5.0, 1.7 to 15.0). Children who received penicillin had more severe disease on admission (median Glasgow meningococcal septicemia prognostic score (GMSPS) 6.5 v 4.0, P = 0.002). Severity on admission did not differ significantly with time taken to reach hospital.

Conclusions Children who were given parenteral penicillin by a general practitioner had more severe disease on reaching hospital than those who were not given penicillin before admission. The association with poor outcome may be because children who are more severely ill are being given penicillin before admission.

Introduction

General practitioners in the United Kingdom are currently expected to carry benzyl penicillin in their emergency bags and to administer it parenterally before hospital admission whenever they suspect a diagnosis of meningococcal disease. This guidance reflects the potential of the disease to cause death in a matter of hours and the excess morbidity and mortality associated with delays in treatment. Observational studies that have attempted to assess the impact of such use in clinical practice, however, have reported conflicting results. In 1992 Cartwright et al reported a 40% reduction in case fatality in children diagnosed by general practitioners before admission.1,2 In contrast, two more recent studies from Denmark report a twofold to threefold increase in mortality associated with antibiotics given before admission.3,4 We report data that allow further exploration of this important issue.

Methods

From 1997 to 1999 the Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health (RCPCH) and the paediatric department at Imperial College London conducted a study of 190 fatal cases of meningococcal disease in children in England, Wales, and Northern Ireland. For each case, researchers approached three children who survived meningococcal disease as controls matched by age group (four strata) and region.1 The parents of 544 children (114 who died, 430 who survived) consented to participate (fig 1). We restricted our analysis to the subset of 158 children (26 who died, 132 who survived) in whom meningococcal disease was diagnosed by general practitioners before admission to hospital and who were therefore eligible to receive penicillin before admission.

We collected and corroborated data from six sources: questionnaires with parents, telephone interviews with general practitioners (conducted by NN), copies of primary care records (including notes made by deputising services), hospital referral letters, and records of complaints made to health authorities. We obtained information about progression of symptoms (from the parent); the severity of the illness and the provisional diagnosis at the general practice consultation at which the referral decision was made (from the medical record or telephone interview with the general practitioner, or both); the severity of the illness at hospital admission (from the hospital case record); and the time delays involved at each stage. When the information from each source differed, we used contemporaneous medical records rather than questionnaires completed after the event.

Severity of illness in general practice was dichotomised and categorised as “severe” if one or more of the following items were
recorded in the medical record: 999 or blue light ambulance call; circulatory collapse (on basis of vital signs or statement such as “shocked”; “shut down”); or loss of consciousness. Severity of illness on hospital admission was assessed by calculating the Glasgow meningococcal septicaemia prognostic score (GMSPS) on the basis of data extracted from the hospital admission record; this was available for 116 children. Data on complications (renal, cardiovascular, or respiratory failure; neurological complication; tissue necrosis requiring excision or amputation) among survivors were ascertained from hospital records and parents for 108 children.

We used general practice records or the parental questionnaire or both, to ascertain whether parenteral penicillin was administered before admission in 152 children. We have expressed the effects of penicillin and the other factors considered as odds ratios rather than risk ratios because of the case-control approach used to identify the cohort. Adjustment of crude odds ratios was done by logistic regression using SPSS version 12. The factors included in the logistic model were sex, previous use of oral antibiotics, duration of illness, meningococcal serotype, presence of haemorrhagic rash, presentation with septicaemia, and severity assessed by the general practitioner.

### Results

Parenteral penicillin was given to 105 children and not given to 47 children. In six children we could not determine whether penicillin had been given. The most common reason given by the general practitioner for not giving penicillin was uncertainty in the diagnosis (27/47, 57%), mainly because the rash was not haemorrhagic. Other reasons included penicillin allergy (seven) and to avoid any delay in hospital admission (six). We could not ascertain the reason for seven children.

The table shows that penicillin given before admission was associated with a sevenfold increase in risk of death, after adjustment for identified confounding factors (odds ratio 7.4, 95% confidence interval 1.5 to 37.7). Fifty seven children (27/47, 57%), mainly because the rash was not haemorrhagic. Other reasons included penicillin allergy (seven) and to avoid any delay in hospital admission (six). We could not ascertain the reason for seven children.

The table shows that penicillin given before admission was associated with a sevenfold increase in risk of death, after adjustment for identified confounding factors (odds ratio 7.4, 95% confidence interval 1.5 to 37.7). Fifty seven children (27/47, 57%), mainly because the rash was not haemorrhagic. Other reasons included penicillin allergy (seven) and to avoid any delay in hospital admission (six). We could not ascertain the reason for seven children.

### Discussion

Our data confirm results from previous retrospective studies from Denmark in showing an association between penicillin treatment in the community and poor outcome. Penicillin is more likely to be given if the child is extremely unwell, and the authors of one of the Danish papers explicitly drew attention to the likelihood of unadjusted confounding by severity. Though we had more data on severity before admission, the general practitioner’s assessment of severity was not strongly predictive of mortality (odds ratio 1.8). This may have been because we had only two groups classified by severity and vital signs were seldom recorded by the general practitioner. So one likely explanation for the high odds ratio we obtained for mortality is that there is a strong residual selection bias towards giving penicillin to the most severely ill children. This is supported by the finding that children who received penicillin had more severe disease as measured by GMSPS on admission.

An alternative explanation for the increased severity of disease in the children treated with penicillin is that penicillin precipitates shock by liberating endotoxin during bacteriolysis. The severity of disease in the children treated with penicillin is that penicillin precipitates shock by liberating endotoxin during bacteriolysis. We found no evidence of this. There was no change in the severity of the disease on hospital presentation with increasing time up to three hours after administration of penicillin. Furthermore, severity was not increased in children who did not receive penicillin in periods up to three hours taken

### Odds of death associated with administration of pre-hospital penicillin and other pre-hospital factors in 158 children in whom meningococcal disease was suspected by a general practitioner before admission. Figures are numbers (percentages) of children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Deaths (n=26)</th>
<th>Survivors (n=132)</th>
<th>Unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted odds* ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>17 (65)</td>
<td>69 (52)</td>
<td>1.72 (0.67 to 4.54)</td>
<td>1.86 (0.66 to 5.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin given before admission</td>
<td>22/24 (92)</td>
<td>83/128 (65)</td>
<td>9.96 (1.27 to 38.50)</td>
<td>7.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serogroup C infection</td>
<td>16 (65)</td>
<td>32 (24)</td>
<td>5.00 (1.91 to 13.22)</td>
<td>4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset to admission &lt;25th centile</td>
<td>8 (31)</td>
<td>33 (25)</td>
<td>1.33 (0.48 to 3.64)</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP assessed illness as severe</td>
<td>14 (54)</td>
<td>53 (40)</td>
<td>1.74 (0.78 to 3.20)</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemorrhagic rash before admission</td>
<td>22 (85)</td>
<td>103 (78)</td>
<td>5.00 (0.45 to 5.79)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septicaemic disease without localisation</td>
<td>21 (81)</td>
<td>77 (58)</td>
<td>3.00 (0.99 to 9.72)</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral antibiotics in week before admission</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td>8 (6)</td>
<td>1.29 (0.8 to 7.24)</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjustments for other factors shown in table with logistic regression.

Six cases excluded because we could not determine whether or not penicillin had been administered before admission.
to reach hospital. This suggests that a short delay without penicillin is not deleterious.

Why did the original paper by Cartwright et al (and a contemporaneous paper by Strang and Pugh) suggest a positive benefit from penicillin when we and our Danish colleagues show such a clear association with poor outcomes? One contributory explanation for the difference lies in the inclusion or exclusion of children in whom the general practitioner did not make the diagnosis of meningococcal disease and who were therefore unlikely to receive penicillin before admission. If we had included the 166 children who were seen but not diagnosed by general practitioners and who reached hospital at a median of 12 hours later without having parenteral penicillin before admission, the estimated crude odds of death after penicillin would be reduced from 5.96 to 1.45, at the upper limit of the 95% confidence interval of the estimate by Cartwright et al. The current discrepancies can be answered only by a randomised controlled trial.
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