

for access to laboratory reports of influenza. AMcM now works at the National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health, Australian National University, Canberra.

Contributors: BGA was involved in study design, statistical analysis, and preparation of the manuscript. PM was involved in collection of data on vaccination and influenza and preparation of the manuscript. AF was involved in study design and was principal investigator for this study and the parent study. SK was involved in collection of data on vaccination, influenza, and weather. AMcM and PW were involved in study design. SP was involved in statistical analysis. All contributors commented on manuscript drafts and participated in study progress meetings. BGA is the guarantor of the paper. He accepts full responsibility for the conduct of the study, had access to the data and controlled the decision to publish in consultation with the other authors.

Funding: This study was supported by the UK Medical Research Council. PM was funded by the Wellcome Foundation (grant number 051637) during this work. PW is supported by a public health career scientist award (NHS Executive, CCB/BS/PHCS031).

Competing interests: None declared.

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the relevant local research ethics committees.

- Govaert TM, Thijs CT, Masurel N, Sprenger MJ, Dinant GJ, Knottnerus JA. The efficacy of influenza vaccination in elderly individuals: a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *JAMA* 1994;272: 1661-5.
- Christenson B, Lundbergh P, Hedlund J, Ortqvist A. Effects of a large-scale intervention with influenza and 23-valent pneumococcal vaccines in adults aged 65 years or older: a prospective study. *Lancet* 2001;357:1008-11.
- Fleming DM, Watson JM, Nicholas S, Smith GE, Swan AV. Study of the effectiveness of influenza vaccination in the elderly in the epidemic of 1989-90 using a general practice database. *Epidemiol Infect* 1995;115:581-9.
- Gross PA, Hermogenes AW, Sacks HS, Lau J, Levandowski RA. The efficacy of influenza vaccine in elderly persons: a meta-analysis and review of the literature. *Ann Intern Med* 1995;123:518-27.
- Hedlund J, Christenson B, Lundbergh P, Ortqvist A. Effects of a large-scale intervention with influenza and 23-valent pneumococcal vaccines in elderly people: a 1-year follow-up. *Vaccine* 2003;21:3906-11.

- Nichol KL, Nordin J, Mullooly J, Lask R, Fillbrandt K, Iwane M. Influenza vaccination and reduction in hospitalizations for cardiac disease and stroke among the elderly. *N Engl J Med* 2003;348:1322-32.
- Voordouw BC, van der Linden PD, Simonian S, van der Lei J, Sturkenboom MC, Stricker BH. Influenza vaccination in community-dwelling elderly: impact on mortality and influenza-associated morbidity. *Arch Intern Med* 2003;163:1089-94.
- Christenson B, Lundbergh P. Comparison between cohorts vaccinated and unvaccinated against influenza and pneumococcal infection. *Epidemiol Infect* 2002;129:515-24.
- Mangani P, Cumberland P, Hodgson C, Roberts J, Cutts F, Hall AJ. A cohort study of the effectiveness of influenza vaccine in older people, performed using the United Kingdom general practice research database. *J Infect Dis* 2004;190:1-10.
- Ashley J, Smith T, Dunnell K. Deaths in Great Britain associated with the influenza epidemic of 1989/90. *Population Trends* 1991;65:16-20.
- Zambon MC, Stockton JD, Clewley JP, Fleming DM. Contribution of influenza and respiratory syncytial virus to community cases of influenza-like illness: an observational study. *Lancet* 2001;358:1410-6.
- Fletcher AE, Jones DA, Bulpiitt CJ, Tulloch AJ. The MRC trial of assessment and management of older people in the community: objectives, design and interventions [ISRCTN23494848]. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2002;2:21.
- Goddard NL, Kyncl J, Watson JM. Appropriateness of thresholds currently used to describe influenza activity in England. *Commun Dis Public Health* 2003;6:238-45.
- Schwartz J, Spix C, Touloumi G, Bacharova L, Barumamdzadeh T, le Tertre A, et al. Methodological issues in studies of air pollution and daily counts of deaths or hospital admissions. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1996;50(suppl 1):S3-11.
- Bruzzi P, Green SB, Byar DP, Brinton LA, Schairer C. Estimating the population attributable risk for multiple risk factors using case-control data. *Am J Epidemiol* 1985;122:904-14.
- Smith T, Schellenberg JA, Hayes R. Attributable fraction estimates and case definitions for malaria in endemic areas. *Stat Med* 1994;13:2345-58.
- Armstrong BG. Effect of measurement error on epidemiological studies of environmental and occupational exposures. *Occup Environ Med* 1998;55:651-6.
- Pattenden S, Nikiforov B, Armstrong BG. Mortality and temperature in Sofia and London. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2003;57:628-33.
- Armstrong BG. Fixed factors that modify the effects of time-varying factors: applying the case-only approach. *Epidemiology* 2003;14:467-72.

(Accepted 6 July 2004)

doi 10.1136/bmj.38198.594109.AE

Implications of the incidence of influenza-like illness in nursing homes for influenza chemoprophylaxis: descriptive study

Richard Harling, Andrew Hayward, John M Watson

Influenza causes substantial morbidity and mortality among nursing home residents. In September 2003, the National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) issued guidelines for the use of neuraminidase inhibitors for flu prophylaxis.¹ These state that oseltamivir should be given to all residents in nursing and residential homes each time a single case of influenza-like illness (ILI) is recognised in a resident or staff member and when flu is known to be circulating in the community. Oseltamivir is effective for flu prophylaxis in young healthy people, but there is little evidence of its effectiveness in elderly nursing home residents.² Estimates of its cost effectiveness vary widely. Last winter, from 3 November 2003 to 25 January 2004, we conducted surveillance for ILI in a chain of nursing homes across England. The data allow an analysis of the implications of implementing the NICE guidelines.

Participants, methods, and results

Nurses in 48 nursing homes recorded data daily about ILI in residents on a standard proforma. The case defi-

nition for ILI was "fever $\geq 37.8^{\circ}\text{C}$ measured orally or an acute deterioration in physical or mental ability, plus either new onset of one or more respiratory symptoms or an acute worsening of a chronic condition involving respiratory symptoms." The nurses had been trained how to do the surveillance.

The table shows the results. Most residents were aged over 65 years; 70% were women; 34% were classified as "high dependency"; and 75% had received flu vaccination. The weekly incidence of ILI varied from 15.2 to 30.0 cases per 1000 residents.

Comment

Giving oseltamivir prophylaxis according to the NICE guidelines would require substantial resources. Almost three quarters (35) of the homes (a total of 2004 residents) had at least one new case of ILI at some point during the four weeks in which flu activity in the community was at "normal seasonal" levels (defined in

Editorial by
Jefferson and
articles pp 647, 660

University College
London Centre for
Infectious Disease
Epidemiology,
Department of
Primary Care and
Population
Sciences, Royal Free
Hospital, London
NW3 2PF

Richard Harling
specialist registrar in
public health

Andrew Hayward
senior lecturer

continued over

BMJ 2004;329:663-4

This article was posted on [bmj.com](http://bmj.com/cgi/doi/10.1136/bmj.38204.674595.AE) on 27 August 2004: <http://bmj.com/cgi/doi/10.1136/bmj.38204.674595.AE>

Respiratory Diseases Department, Communicable Disease Surveillance Centre, Health Protection Agency, London NW9 5EQ
 John M Watson consultant epidemiologist
 Correspondence to: R Harling r.harling@pcps.ucl.ac.uk

Results of surveillance for flu-like illness—overall (12 weeks) and during period when community weekly consultation rate for flu-like illness exceeded 50 per 100 000 population.³ Values are ranges (mean; SD)

	Overall	When community rate exceeded 50 per 100 000 population ³
Nursing homes providing data each week	46-48	46-48
Residents under surveillance each week	2675-2816 (2738; 53)	2683-2816 (2755; 68)
New cases of flu-like illness each week	41-84 (53; 12)	43-56 (50; 5)
Homes with ≥1 new case of flu-like illness a week	13-24 (20; 3)	21-24 (21; 2)
Residents in homes with ≥1 new case of flu-like illness a week	874-1428 (1220; 173)	1116-1386 (1270; 115)
GP consultations needed for cases of flu-like illness each week	29-59 (44; 11)	40-59 (47; 9)
Hospital admissions with flu-like illness each week	0-6 (3; 2)	1-6 (4; 2)
Deaths with flu-like illness each week	0-6 (3; 2)	0-4 (3; 2)

England as a weekly consultation rate for ILI of 50-200 per 100 000 population reported by the Royal College of General Practitioners' sentinel surveillance scheme³). All these residents would have been eligible for at least one course of oseltamivir during this period and might have been eligible for extended prophylaxis when ILI cases were observed in more than one week in their home.

Our weekly incidence of ILI was far higher than that reported by the sentinel scheme. This is likely to reflect the active surveillance; however, if our case definition was less specific than that used in the sentinel scheme, this also might account for the higher rate. Case definitions for flu are notoriously inaccurate, particularly in elderly people, in whom infection may present atypically.⁴ However, as 6% of our cases required admission to hospital and 6% died, not only mild illnesses were being recorded.

If our results applied to all 500 000 residents of nursing and residential homes in England,⁵ then at least 360 000 courses of oseltamivir should have been

offered last winter. To be effective, oseltamivir must be given within 48 hours of exposure to infection, which requires prompt recognition of cases and rapid prescription of the drug to other residents. The drug costs £12.73 (\$23.24; €19.30) for a seven day course—more if extended prophylaxis is required.

The NICE guidelines highlight the potential usefulness of oseltamivir in nursing homes. The use of a single case of ILI as the threshold for prophylaxis, however, may be impractical and costly. It might be sensible to reserve the drug for control of outbreaks when flu is microbiologically confirmed or strongly suspected on the basis of epidemiological features or local surveillance data. Further studies are needed to determine the best strategy for flu chemoprophylaxis in nursing homes.

Contributors: RH collected the data and wrote the paper; AH had the original idea for the study; and JMW provided additional data and expert scientific advice. RH is the guarantor. Funding: Department of Health.

Competing interests: None declared.

Ethical approval: The study has ethical approval from the London Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee.

What is already known on this topic

National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidelines state that oseltamivir should be given to all residents of nursing and residential homes each time a single case of influenza-like illness (ILI) is recognised in a resident or staff member and when flu is known to be circulating in the community

What this study adds

As two fifths of all nursing homes have a case of ILI every week in winter, complying with the NICE guidelines would require substantial resources

- 1 National Institute for Clinical Excellence. *Technology appraisal guidance 67. Guidance on the use of oseltamivir and amantadine for the prophylaxis of influenza*. 2003. www.nice.org.uk/Docref.asp?d=86789 (accessed 10 Aug 2004).
- 2 Cooper NJ, Sutton AJ, Abrams KR, Allan Wailoo A, David Turner D, Nicholson KG. Effectiveness of neuraminidase inhibitors in treatment and prevention of influenza A and B: systematic review and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* 2003;326:1235-9.
- 3 Health Protection Agency. *HPA national surveillance of influenza weekly reports 2003/04*. www.hpa.org.uk/infections/topics_az/influenza/fluactivity0304.htm (accessed 10 Aug 2004).
- 4 Govaert TM, Dinant GJ, Aretz K, Knotterus JA. The predictive value of influenza symptomatology in elderly people. *Fam Pract* 1998;15:16-22.
- 5 Department of Health. *The residential care and nursing home sector for older people: an analysis of past trends, current and future demand*. London: DoH, 2002. (Search via www.dh.gov.uk) (Accepted 16 June 2004)

doi 10.1136/bmj.38204.674595.AE

Submitting articles to the *BMJ*

We are now inviting all authors who want to submit a paper to the *BMJ* to do so via the web (<http://submit.bmj.com>).

Benchpress is a website where authors deposit their manuscripts and editors go to read them and record their decisions. Reviewers' details are also held on the system, and when asked to review a paper reviewers will be invited to access the site to see the relevant paper. The system is secure, protected by passwords, so that authors see only their own papers and reviewers see only those they are meant to.

Anyone with an internet connection and a web browser can use the system.

The system provides all our guidance and forms and allows authors to suggest reviewers for their paper. Authors get an immediate acknowledgement that their submission has been received, and they can watch the progress of their manuscript. The record of their submission, including editors' and reviewers' reports, remains on the system for future reference.

The system itself offers extensive help, and the *BMJ* Online Submission Team will help authors and reviewers if they get stuck.

Benchpress is accessed via <http://submit.bmj.com> or via a link from *bmj.com*