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Abstract

Objectives To assess the associations between having had a fall and chronic diseases and drug use in elderly women.

Design Cross sectional survey, using data from the British women's heart and health study.

Setting General practices in 23 towns in Great Britain.

Participants 4050 women aged 60-79 years.

Main outcome measure Whether women had had falls in the previous 12 months.

Results The prevalence of falling increased with increasing numbers of simultaneously occurring chronic diseases. However, no such relation with falling was found in the fully adjusted data for the number of drugs used. Circulatory disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, depression, and arthritis were all associated with an increased odds of falling. The fully adjusted, population attributable risk of falling associated with having at least one chronic disease was 32.2% (95% confidence interval 19.6% to 42.8%). Only two classes of drugs (hypnotics and anxiolytics, and antidepressants) were independently associated with an increased odds of falling. Each class was associated with an increase of about 50% in the odds of falling, and each had a population attributable risk of < 5%.

Conclusion Chronic diseases and multiple pathology are more important predictors of falling than polypharmacy.

Methods

Participants The women were participants in the British women's heart and health study. Full details of the selection of participants and measurements taken have been reported in previous studies. We used the British regional heart study framework to randomly select women aged 60-79 from general practice lists in 23 towns in England, Scotland, and Wales. No women were excluded from the study, and all 7166 women in the age range, regardless of whether they normally lived in private accommodation, a residential home, or a nursing home, and irrespective of medical conditions, were invited to participate. Transport to examination centres was offered to immobile and frail women. Invitations were sent to the women, and two reminders were sent to non-responders. A total of 4286 women (60% of those invited) participated.

Assessment of falls In the self completed questionnaire participants were asked whether they had had a fall in the previous 12 months, how many times they had fallen, and whether they had received medical attention for any falls. No specific definition of a fall was given in these questions.

Assessment of chronic diseases We collated details of clinical diagnoses of each of the women's chronic diseases, together with the dates of first diagnosis, from a combination of review of primary care medical records, interviews by a research nurse, and the participants' responses to the questionnaire.

Introduction

Falls among elderly people are common and are associated with increased morbidity, disability, social isolation, and a lower quality of life and with early entry into residential care in this group. A number of chronic diseases are associated with a higher risk of falling, as are several classes of drugs, particularly tranquillisers and antidepressants. However, few studies have assessed the independent associations of a range of risk factors in a single study group. We aimed to assess the independent associations of chronic diseases and drug use and the risk of falls in a group of women aged 60-79 years and living in the community.
Assessment of current drug use

Participants were asked to bring all their current medicines to the clinic visit, and at the research nurse’s interview a full drug history was taken. Drugs were coded according to the British National Formulary: hypnotics and anxiolytics (drugs in section 4.1); antidepressants (4.3); any central nervous system drugs (4.1 to 4.11); analgesics (4.7 and 10.1.1); cardiovascular system drugs (2.1 to 2.12); endocrine system drugs (6.1 to 6.7); and respiratory system drugs (3.1 to 3.3). In analysing the data on analgesics we did a sensitivity analysis in which non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs were not included in the definition (only drugs in section 4.7 were included). The results of this sensitivity analysis did not differ significantly from the results presented here.

Other measurements

We measured blood pressure with a Dinamap 1846SX vital signs monitor (GE Clinical Services, Northampton). We took two measurements in succession, with a 1 minute interval, on the right arm, with the participant seated and the arm supported on a cushion at chest level. Participants were then asked to stand, with their arms relaxed and at their sides, and two standing measurements were taken. The mean of the two measurements was used in all analyses. Postural hypotension was defined as a reduction between sitting and standing of ≥20 mm Hg in systolic blood pressure or ≥10 mm Hg in diastolic pressure. We assessed the association of low standing blood pressure (systolic ≤100 mm Hg or diastolic ≤60 mm Hg) with falls.

Statistical analysis

We used multiple logistic regression to assess associations with falls. Of the 4286 women who participated 425 could not be assigned an adult social class. These women were likely to have been married to unemployed men, and as their risk factor profiles were similar to those of women in social class V they were allocated to this group, as in previous analyses. Sensitivity analyses in which these women were excluded showed no significant difference in the findings. There were a few missing data for each of the other variables, and the multivariable analyses were conducted on the 3742 women (92%) with complete data on all the variables that were included in the final fully adjusted model.

Table 1 Characteristics of women who had had no fall or at least one fall in the previous 12 months. Values are percentage of women (95% confidence interval), adjusted for age, except where otherwise indicated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug category</th>
<th>No falls (n=3864)</th>
<th>At least one fall (n=686)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension and anxiolytics</td>
<td>4.3 (3.7 to 5.1)</td>
<td>8.6 (6.7 to 10.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressants</td>
<td>9.0 (8.1 to 10.0)</td>
<td>17.1 (14.4 to 20.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any central nervous system drug</td>
<td>23.3 (22.1 to 25.0)</td>
<td>36.9 (33.3 to 40.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any analgesic</td>
<td>12.6 (11.6 to 13.6)</td>
<td>18.1 (15.4 to 21.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any cardiovascular disease drug</td>
<td>40.9 (39.3 to 42.6)</td>
<td>50.4 (46.7 to 54.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any endocrine system drug</td>
<td>19.9 (18.5 to 21.2)</td>
<td>21.4 (18.5 to 24.7)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any respiratory system drug</td>
<td>10.2 (9.2 to 11.2)</td>
<td>13.1 (10.8 to 15.9)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Socioeconomic position

Manual social class (III manual, IV, or V) 52.0 (50.2 to 53.7) 55.3 (51.4 to 59.1) 0.12
From deprived area 19.3 (18.5 to 20.6) 20.7 (17.3 to 24.3) 0.61

Physiological and behavioural characteristics

Consume alcohol daily 17.5 (16.1 to 18.8) 17.5 (14.8 to 20.5) 0.80
Heavy drinking (≥14 units alcohol a week) 5.3 (4.8 to 6.3) 6.0 (4.4 to 8.0) 0.39
Mean body mass index (kg/m²) 27.4 (27.2 to 27.6) 28.4 (28.0 to 28.8) <0.001
Mean HDL cholesterol concentration (mmol/l) 1.60 (1.55 to 1.64) 1.64 (1.60 to 1.67) 0.22
Triglyceride concentration (geometric mean) (mmol/l) 1.66 (1.63 to 1.68) 1.68 (1.62 to 1.74) 0.41
Hypertension 50.5 (48.7 to 52.4) 51.6 (47.5 to 55.4) 0.39
Mean forced expiratory volume in 1 second (l) 1.99 (1.97 to 2.02) 1.94 (1.90 to 1.99) 0.02
Mean haemoglobin concentration (g/l) 13.5 (13.5 to 13.8) 13.3 (13.1 to 13.6) 0.002
Hip or wrist fracture in previous 12 months 0.2 (0.1 to 0.4) 0.8 (5.1 to 8.9) <0.001

*Reduction in blood pressure between sitting and standing of ≥20 mm Hg systolic blood pressure or ≥10 mm Hg diastolic blood pressure.
†Systolic blood pressure ≤100 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure ≤60 mm Hg.
‡Blood pressure >160/95 mm Hg or patient taking treatment for blood pressure.
model. These 3742 women did not differ from the women without complete data in age or in the prevalence of any falls, frequent falls, or falls where the women received medical attention (P>0.4 for all variables). We estimated population attributable risks of falling for risk factors from the fully adjusted logistic regression models, using maximum likelihood estimates as proposed by Greenland and Drescher. In all analyses we used robust standard errors, which take into account the clustering effects in each town, to calculate 95% confidence intervals. We used Stata version 8.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, 2002) for all statistical analyses.

### Results

Of the 4286 participants 4050 (94%) provided data on falls. There were no differences between the women who provided these data and the other women in age, prevalence of any chronic diseases, drug use, or socioeconomic position (P>0.15 for all variables). Of the 4050 women 686 had fallen at least once in the previous 12 months, giving a prevalence of 16.9% (95% confidence interval 15.8% to 18.1%). The prevalence of frequent falling was 7.0% (6.2% to 7.8%) and of falls where the women had fallen had a lower mean haemoglobin concentration below 80 g/l, 15 had a haemoglobin concentration below 80 g/l, 15 had a concentration below 100 g/l, and 222 (5.5%) had a concentration below 120 g/l. Alcohol consumption was not related to falling. In the 12 months before the women's baseline examination 55 women (1.28%) had experienced hernia or fracture, 15 women (0.37%) had a fractured hip, and 4 women (0.10%) had a fracture of the wrist.

We used Stata version 8.0 for all analyses. We used robust standard errors, which take into account the clustering effects in each town, to calculate 95% confidence intervals. We used Stata version 8.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, 2002) for all statistical analyses.

### Drug use and falls

Just over 70% (2887) of the women were taking at least one drug, and 622 (15.4%) were taking five or more drugs. There was a strong linear association between the number of drugs that women took and whether they had had a fall (figure). However, the association was not significant when the data were adjusted for chronic diseases and other potential confounding factors. The crude odds ratio for a fall in the previous 12 months for each additional drug taken was 1.14 (1.10 to 1.19), but the fully adjusted odds ratio was 1.01 (0.96 to 1.06). Linear associations between frequent falls and falls receiving medical attention and the number of drugs taken were similar, with the fully adjusted models showing no significant associations. Use of hypnotics or anxiolytics and use of antidepressants were associated with an increased odds of falling, even with adjustment for chronic disease status (including ever having had a diagnosis of depression) and other potential confounding factors (table 2). In the fully adjusted analyses analgesics, cardiovascular system drugs, endocrine system drugs, and respiratory system drugs were not independently associated with having had a fall (table 2).

### Chronic disease and falls

Nearly three quarters (2961) of the women had at least one chronic disease. There was a marked linear trend of increasing odds of falling with increasing number of chronic diseases (figure). This association remained even after adjustment for drug use and other potential confounding factors. The crude odds ratio for any fall in the previous 12 months was 1.46 (1.36 to 1.56), and the fully adjusted odds ratio was 1.37 (1.25 to 1.49). We found similar linear trends for the association between increasing numbers of simultaneously occurring chronic diseases and frequent falls and treated falls, and these associations remained significant in the fully adjusted models.

### Circulatory disorder, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, depression, and arthritis were each associated with an increased odds of falling, even with adjustment for drug use and other potential confounding factors (table 3). The population attributable risk of having had at least one fall in the previous 12 months,

### Table 2 Relation between drug use and falls in previous 12 months in 3742 UK women aged 60-79 years. Values are crude or fully adjusted* odds ratios (95% confidence interval)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of drug</th>
<th>Any falls (n=640) vs no falls (n=3102)</th>
<th>Two or more falls (n=265) vs no falls (n=3102)</th>
<th>Falls where medical attention was given (n=246) vs no falls (n=3102)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crude Fully adjusted</td>
<td>Crude Fully adjusted</td>
<td>Crude Fully adjusted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypnotics and anxiolytics</td>
<td>1.94 (1.41 to 2.68)</td>
<td>1.41 (1.00 to 1.98)</td>
<td>1.28 (0.73 to 2.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressants</td>
<td>2.02 (1.58 to 2.59)</td>
<td>1.53 (1.15 to 2.02)</td>
<td>1.93 (1.33 to 2.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any central nervous system drug</td>
<td>1.49 (1.34 to 1.66)</td>
<td>1.25 (1.11 to 1.41)</td>
<td>1.95 (1.26 to 1.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analgesics</td>
<td>1.25 (1.01 to 1.55)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.79 to 1.25)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.77 to 1.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any cardiovascular drug</td>
<td>1.11 (1.04 to 1.18)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.89 to 1.05)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.95 to 1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any endocrine system drug</td>
<td>1.13 (0.96 to 1.33)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.77 to 1.16)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.92 to 1.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any respiratory system drug</td>
<td>1.09 (0.98 to 1.23)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.78 to 1.06)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.94 to 1.35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for age, body mass index, haemoglobin concentration (continuous variables), circulatory disease, diabetes, thyroid disease, asthma or bronchitis, depression, catarrh or glaucoma, arthritis, heavy alcohol consumption (binary variables), and adult social class (I, II, III non-manual, III manual, IV, V) (indicator variable).
primary care

COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

(indicator variable).

depression, cataract or glaucoma, arthritis, and heavy alcohol consumption (binary variables), and adult social class (I, II, III non-manual, III manual, IV, V)

*Adjusted for age, body mass index, haemoglobin concentration (continuous variables), circulatory disease, diabetes, thyroid disease, asthma or bronchitis, depression, cataract or glaucoma, arthritis, and heavy alcohol consumption (binary variables), and adult social class (I, II, III non-manual, III manual, IV, V) indicator variable.

OSOP = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

estimated from the fully adjusted models, was 6.2% (2.0% to 10.0%) for coronary heart disease, 6.2% (1.6% to 10.5%) for circulatory disease, 8.0% (3.3% to 12.4%) for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, 9.4% (5.4% to 13.3%) for depression, and 17.4% (10.4% to 23.9%) for arthritis. The fully adjusted odds ratio of having had a fall in the previous 12 months associated with having at least one of the chronic diseases in table 3 was 1.81 (1.42 to 2.31), and the population attributable risk was 32.2% (19.6% to 42.8%). When we stratified the analyses by the year in which the participant's disease was first diagnosed, we found positive associations between falls and diseases diagnosed before (including up to 10 years before) the year in which the women had a fall.

Table 3
Relation between diagnoses of chronic diseases and falls in previous 12 months in 3742 UK women aged 60-79 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of drug</th>
<th>Any falls (n=640)</th>
<th>No falls (n=3102)</th>
<th>Two or more falls (n=265)</th>
<th>no falls (n=3102)</th>
<th>Falls where medical attention was given (n=246)</th>
<th>no falls (n=3102)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crude</td>
<td>Fully adjusted</td>
<td>Crude</td>
<td>Fully adjusted</td>
<td>Crude</td>
<td>Fully adjusted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary heart disease</td>
<td>1.82 (1.47 to 2.25)</td>
<td>1.50 (1.16 to 1.90)</td>
<td>2.63 (2.14 to 3.15)</td>
<td>2.12 (1.69 to 3.03)</td>
<td>1.82 (1.33 to 2.50)</td>
<td>1.64 (1.11 to 2.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any circulatory disease</td>
<td>1.73 (1.42 to 2.12)</td>
<td>1.42 (1.10 to 1.83)</td>
<td>2.63 (2.00 to 3.44)</td>
<td>1.96 (1.39 to 2.77)</td>
<td>1.76 (1.30 to 2.38)</td>
<td>1.56 (1.07 to 2.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>1.33 (0.90 to 1.90)</td>
<td>1.23 (0.85 to 1.78)</td>
<td>1.49 (0.91 to 2.46)</td>
<td>1.22 (0.69 to 2.15)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.71 to 2.18)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.58 to 1.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid disease</td>
<td>1.30 (1.00 to 1.68)</td>
<td>1.20 (0.90 to 1.61)</td>
<td>1.77 (1.25 to 2.50)</td>
<td>1.70 (1.13 to 2.56)</td>
<td>1.36 (0.92 to 2.00)</td>
<td>1.23 (0.80 to 1.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD</td>
<td>1.48 (1.24 to 1.78)</td>
<td>1.48 (1.19 to 1.84)</td>
<td>1.51 (1.14 to 1.98)</td>
<td>1.38 (1.00 to 1.90)</td>
<td>1.53 (1.15 to 2.04)</td>
<td>1.51 (1.09 to 2.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>2.01 (1.63 to 2.48)</td>
<td>1.76 (1.41 to 2.21)</td>
<td>2.67 (2.01 to 3.66)</td>
<td>2.11 (1.54 to 2.90)</td>
<td>2.45 (1.82 to 3.31)</td>
<td>2.19 (1.58 to 3.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye disease</td>
<td>1.48 (1.19 to 1.84)</td>
<td>1.22 (0.91 to 1.54)</td>
<td>1.96 (1.14 to 3.32)</td>
<td>1.21 (0.86 to 1.69)</td>
<td>1.41 (1.00 to 1.97)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.81 to 1.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthritis</td>
<td>1.92 (1.64 to 2.26)</td>
<td>1.60 (1.33 to 1.93)</td>
<td>2.08 (1.62 to 2.69)</td>
<td>1.48 (1.13 to 1.97)</td>
<td>1.74 (1.30 to 2.33)</td>
<td>1.44 (1.04 to 1.97)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for age, body mass index, haemoglobin concentration (continuous variables), circulatory disease, diabetes, thyroid disease, asthma or bronchitis, depression, cataract or glaucoma, arthritis, and heavy alcohol consumption (binary variables), and adult social class (I, II, III non-manual, III manual, IV, V)

Discussion

The risk of falling rose with the number of drugs taken and the number of chronic diseases each woman had, but the association was stronger for multiple pathology than for polypharmacy and remained in the fully adjusted analyses. The population attributable risk of falling associated with having any chronic disease was much higher (32%) than that associated with use of psychotropic drugs (between 2% and 5%). Thus in public health terms targeting prevention and control of chronic diseases rather than polypharmacy may be a more useful strategy for preventing falls in elderly people. Factors commonly supposed to be associated with falls, such as postural hypotension, alcohol consumption, and reduced physiological reserve (as shown by low forced expiratory volume in 1 second) were not associated with falls in this study.11 12 Contrary to evidence in previous reviews that risk factors differ for single falls, frequent falling, and treated falls, we found similar risk factor profiles for the different types of fall.13 14

Limitations of the study

Our response rate (69%) is moderate but consistent with other large contemporary epidemiological surveys.15 As reported previously our respondents were slightly younger than non-respondents and were less likely to have a primary care medical record of stroke or diabetes, although the prevalence of coronary heart disease and cancer did not vary between respondents and non-respondents.16 Because chronic diseases are associated with falling we may have underestimated the prevalence of falls. The associations of chronic diseases with falls, such as postural hypotension, alcohol consumption, and reduced physiological reserve (as shown by low forced expiratory volume in 1 second) were not associated with falls in this study.11 12 Contrary to evidence in previous reviews that risk factors differ for single falls, frequent falling, and treated falls, we found similar risk factor profiles for the different types of fall.13 14
and drug use with falling would only be exaggerated if the associations among non-respondents were in the opposite direction to the associations among respondents or if they were non-existent, both of which are unlikely.

Our study is cross sectional and may therefore be susceptible to reverse causality. With respect to the effects of antidepressants and hypnotics or anxiolytics, it is possible that having had a fall may lead to anxiety or depression and therefore treatment for these conditions. However, assessing the association between drug use and the prevalence of falling is relevant, because the plausible mechanism by which central nervous system drugs result in falls is related to their contemporary use. That there was an association between falls and number of chronic diseases among women whose diagnosis had been made before the year of the fall indicates that these associations are not due to reverse causality.

We could not assess the effect of all the major chronic diseases that affect this age group. In particular we did not collect information on cognitive function. However, that the participants were able to complete a detailed health questionnaire suggested that few if any women had severe dementia. Elderly people may under-report falls. Any such misclassification is likely to be non-systematic and would therefore dilute the associations. We used a crude indicator of the severity of falls: whether the participant had had medical attention. Although having received medical attention may indicate a greater severity of fall, it also reflects sociodemographic and personal factors that influence the likelihood of getting medical care after a fall.

### What is already known on this topic

A number of chronic diseases, use of tranquilisers and antidepressants, and polypharmacy are associated with an increased risk of falling, although the independent associations of particular diseases and types of drug are unclear.

### What this study adds

The risk of falling rises with the number of simultaneous chronic diseases.

Elderly women with circulatory diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, arthritis, and depression are at a higher risk of falling, and chronic diseases may account for 30% of falls in this group.

Anxiolytics, hypnotics, and antidepressants are the only classes of drugs that are independently associated with falling.

Chronic diseases may increase the risk of falls through direct effects of the disease and indirect effects, such as reduced physical activity, muscle weakness, and poor balance. Perhaps because observational research has focused more on drug use than chronic diseases, the effect of specific chronic diseases on the risk of falling has not been the main focus of controlled trials of interventions to prevent falls, although the inclusion of exercises specifically aimed at reducing falls as well as at improving cardiovascular fitness in cardiac rehabilitation programmes has been recommended. Chronic diseases and multiple pathology, rather than polypharmacy, may be the most important predictors of falling.

### Implications

As in other studies, our results show an association between the number of psychotropic drugs taken and the risk of falling. Although the population attributable risk of falling associated with these drugs was small, they may be an important cause of morbidity in people using them. Trials have shown that gradual withdrawal of psychotropic drugs is feasible among elderly people and is associated with a decreased risk of falling.

Chronic diseases may increase the risk of falls through direct effects of the disease and indirect effects, such as reduced physical activity, muscle weakness, and poor balance. Perhaps because observational research has focused more on drug use than chronic diseases, the effect of specific chronic diseases on the risk of falling has not been the main focus of controlled trials of interventions to prevent falls, although the inclusion of exercises specifically aimed at reducing falls as well as at improving cardiovascular fitness in cardiac rehabilitation programmes has been recommended. Chronic diseases and multiple pathology, rather than polypharmacy, may be the most important predictors of falling.
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