Factors affecting participation in screening among all eligible patients and those with psychosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis of psychosis on practice computer:</th>
<th>No (%) participating</th>
<th>Crude odds ratio for participating (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>75/192 (41.2)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.53 to 1.10)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>150/213 (79.9)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sex:
- Male: 10/242 (4.2) 1.0 NA
- Female: 118/253 (46.6) 1.10 (0.77 to 1.57) 0.59

Age (years):
- 0-4: 86/177 (48.6) 1.0 NA
- 5-9: 43/109 (39.4) 0.69 (0.42 to 1.12) 0.12
- 10-14: 47/154 (30.5) 0.87 (0.54 to 1.46) 0.54
- 15-19: 49/105 (46.7) 0.93 (0.57 to 1.50) 0.76

General practice consultations:
- 0-1: 49/143 (34.3) 1.0 NA
- 2-3: 49/149 (49.0) 1.84 (1.09 to 3.11) 0.02
- 4-7: 67/134 (50.0) 1.92 (1.18 to 3.11) 0.01
- ≥8: 60/108 (56.2) 2.39 (1.44 to 4.01) <0.01

No data: 0/15 NA NA

Smoker:
- Yes: 98/208 (47.1) 0.69 (0.48 to 1.01) 0.06
- No: 127/226 (56.2) 1.0 NA

No data: 0/61 NA NA

Diabetes diagnosed:
- Yes: 11/32 (34.4) 1.0 NA
- No: 21/449 (47.7) 1.73 (0.82 to 3.69) 0.15

No data: 0/14 NA NA

People with psychosis only (n=162)

Depot antipsychotic:
- Yes: 21/59 (35.6) 1.40 (0.68 to 2.90) 0.36
- No: 54/119 (45.4) 1.0 NA

No data on drug: 0/24 NA NA

No data: 0/162 NA NA

NA-not applicable.

*In previous year (quarters).
†Self report (at interview or on practice computer).


Corrections and clarifications

Downsizing of acute inpatient beds associated with private finance initiative: Scotland’s case study

A few errors crept into this paper by Matthew G Dunnigan and Allyson M Pollock (26 April, pp 905-8). In the ninth paragraph of the discussion section in the abridged version, the highlighted deficit required annual current savings of £25.6m [not £2.65m] over the four year period. In the results section (in both the abridged and the full version) under the small subheading “New care settings” the final sentence should start: “Acute inpatient care in the private sector in Lothian [not Scotland] is rare...” In the following paragraph (small subheading “Staffed bed rates”) the staffed bed rates for intensive therapy specialties should read 27% (4.6 to 6.3 per 1000 beds); this was wrong in the abridged version only. Finally, in table 1 of the abridged version, the footnote should refer to “Intensive therapy” [not “Staffed bed rates”].

Minerva

We’ve been there before and we’re there again—affiliations to the Minerva picture. In the past, names have sometimes “dropped off” completely; this time, all the names managed to hang on, but the second author’s name should have read Andrew D Morris [not Harris] (19 April, p 890).