he had a few convulsions but no impaired consciousness or other signs of severe malaria. On day 2 the parasitaemia was 4%. Treatment was changed to mefloquine, which was successful. His 4 year old brother, case 2, was febrile with 0.5% infected erythrocytes. His symptoms resolved with atovaquone and proguanil hydrochloride, and the parasites were cleared after three days. On day 28 he was again febrile with *P. falciparum* parasites and was successfully treated with mefloquine. The mother (case 3), although asymptomatic, had a few *P. falciparum* rings. She was treated with atovaquone and proguanil hydrochloride, which cleared the parasites without recrudescence.

Drug concentrations were measured by high pressure liquid chromatography in repeated serum samples. The concentrations of atovaquone (7.6-13.9 μM), proguanil (300-1200 nM), and cycloguanil (125-400 nM) were all above the levels considered therapeutic in children and adults. Treatment failure therefore could not be attributed to poor bioavailability of the drug.

Cases 1 and 3 were infected with single clones whereas case 2 had five genetically diverse parasite populations, detected by analysis of merozoite surface proteins 1 and 2. Mutation A803G (changing tyrosine to serine in 268) in cytochrome b, related to resistance to atovaquone, was detected in cases 2 and 3 by polymerase chain reaction and restriction fragment length polymorphism of loci 133, 268, 272, 275, 280, and 284, and confirmed by sequencing (table). However, only wild types were found in case 1. Analyses of loci 51, 59, 108, and 164 in the dihydrofolate reductase gene, related to resistance to proguanil and cycloguanil, revealed wild types in all samples except those from case 2, in which triple mutation were found at recrudescence.

**Comment**

Treatment of three patients with atovaquone and proguanil hydrochloride for *P. falciparum* malaria was unsuccessful in two non-immune children but successful in an adult with probable partial protective immunity. The patients had adequate blood concentrations of the drugs, indicating resistance by *P. falciparum*. Mutation in cytochrome b may have contributed to treatment failure but cannot be the only mechanism for resistance to the drug combination because it was also detected in the patient who responded well and was not detected in the patient with early treatment failure. Atovaquone and proguanil hydrochloride represents one of the main new developments in malaria chemotherapy, but because of the resistance shown at this early stage there is a need for careful surveillance of drug efficacy.

**Contributors:** JL treated the patients. AF coordinated the analyses and wrote the paper with the assistance of the other authors, specifically AB, NL, VB, and MT performed the pharmacological analyses. PG, GS, and SB performed the genetic analyses. AF will act as guarantor for the paper.
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Respondents' comments about portrayal of doctors in news media*

- Media attitudes and seemingly constant negative criticism are having a significant effect on morale of doctors around me (Northern and Yorkshire)
- Getting a bit disillusioned with constant negative press coverage (South East)
- Doctor bashing constantly in the media (London)
- It's particularly difficult now that doctors are being witch-hunted by the UK press (North Western)
- Staff on the whole are fed up with being slated in the press (South West)
- Constantly under attack by the media (Scotland)
- I feel strongly that as a profession we need to start fighting for our reputation in the media—without trust our job will be unbearable, impossible, stressful, and unenjoyable (Trent)
- I often feel very guilty being a doctor, or sometimes ashamed, as we have such a bad press (Wales)
- The media demonises the medical profession—seizing upon the occasional failure (of course these must be addressed) to condemn the entire profession (London)
- Deteriorating attitude of general public, media, government to role of doctor (South East)
- Government is currently battering public support for doctors by promoting “dodgy doctor” scandals (Scotland)
- Seemingly unquenchable thirst for medical blood in the press—doctor bashing does just that, damages doctors! (London)
- Media opinion of the medical profession (“blundering doctors”) has spilled over on to the wards, and patients question everything we do (South East)
- Respect has gone, media medic bashing has done its job (London)
- In view of the current media and government enthusiasm for portraying doctors in such a negative light, I feel let down that no influential body, BMA etc, is attempting to defend the majority of doctors who work hard and competently in a difficult, stressful environment (Northern and Yorkshire)
- Considering working abroad because of current “doctor bashing” in the media (Trent)
- I would consider leaving medicine if current anti-doctor media worsens (South East)
- If morale/conditions and public/political/media attitudes remain the same then may seriously consider leaving medicine (London)
- I am happy with my choice of job but it does not surprise me to hear of people who wish to leave medicine, especially now when the media highlights to the public the mistakes made by a small minority in the medical profession (Northern Ireland)
- I will stay in medicine, despite all the doctor bashing in the press, I am still proud to be part of the profession (South East)

*The record of their submission, including editors’ and reviewers’ comments, is accessible by passwords, so that authors see only their own papers and reviewers see only those they are meant to.

NHS region or country of doctor’s medical school is in parentheses

alongside comments about broader aspects of their work. Typical comments showed that these respondents felt demoralised or undermined by criticism of doctors in the media. A few commented that such criticism was making them doubt whether they wished to continue in medicine, and a few emphasised that they would not be put off medicine by criticism in the media.

Comment

Doctors who have qualified recently have begun to comment that they are being demoralised by the news media’s criticism of the profession. Only a small minority of respondents to our surveys comment on any topic that we do not raise with them. It is hard to know whether views like those in the box would have proved to be widely held by doctors if we had asked respondents specifically about media coverage. We were struck, however, by the similarity of expression about portrayal in the news media from doctors writing from different geographical locations. We were also struck by their strength of feeling. Although the numbers were small, it would be a pity if these sentiments were becoming common among young doctors right at the start of their careers. Moreover, if school students considering a medical career are similarly affected by adverse media comment, some may change their minds. On the one hand, professions cannot expect to avoid criticism; no doubt all professions sometimes feel vilified by the press. On the other hand, it is clear that criticism considered to be unfair has a real, damaging effect on the morale of some doctors.

Karen Hollieck administered the survey, and Janet Justice and Alison Stockford entered the data. We thank all the doctors who took part in the study.
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