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Abstracts

Objective To determine whether the incidence of schizophrenia among people from non-white ethnic minorities is greater in neighbourhoods where they constitute a smaller proportion of the total population.

Design Ecological design including retrospective study of case records to calculate the incidence of schizophrenia in the ethnic minority population across electoral wards and multi-level analysis to examine interaction between individuals and environment.

Setting 15 electoral wards in Camberwell, South London.

Participants All people aged 16 years and over who had contact with psychiatric services during 1988-97.

Main outcome measure Incidence rates of schizophrenia according to Research Diagnostic Criteria.

Methods

Identification of participants

We collected clinical and demographic information on all people from a defined area of south London who presented with psychosis during 1988-97. We identified cases from hospital computer records by generating a list of all people admitted with any possible psychotic illness as defined by ICD-9 (international classification of diseases and related health problems, ninth revision) and ICD-10 (tenth revision). We also examined case notes of all patients from the area who had psychiatric hospital records to identify people who made contact with services but were not admitted to hospital.

We checked case records to ensure the individuals had not previously had contact with psychiatric services and rated them using the operational checklist for psychotic disorders.

Ethnic and sociodemographic status

We classified ethnicity on the basis of that recorded by the patients themselves. We also used the patient's and his or her parents' place of birth, and any description of colour to determine ethnicity for those patients who did not have statements of self assigned ethnicity. We were able to split the population into only two groups: a white group (self assigned ethnicity white) and non-white group (all other self assigned ethnicities).

The non-white population was about 40% Caribbean, 30% African, and 10% other. Incident cases were assigned to either white or non-white groups.

The area (about 120 000 people) was divided into electoral wards of about 10 000 people, which had different socioeconomic characteristics. We used the address at presentation to the services to identify wards for all incident cases. We estimated population data using the 1991 census and London Research Centre projections.

Sociodemographic status at ward (neighbourhood) level was based on a composite deprivation score, which includes unemployment, overcrowding, child poverty, lack of amenities, low earnings, no car, and low level of education (but not ethnic group).

Analysis

We carried out indirect standardisation with the Research Diagnostic Criteria rates of schizophrenia for the total 10 year population as the standard and applied them to each ward, stratifying for age, sex, and ethnic minority. We also carried out multilevel Poisson regression analysis to calculate incidence rate ratios for schizophrenia for individual and ward variables and to test for interaction between non-white ethnic minority status at the individual level and proportion of non-white ethnic minority at the neighbourhood level.

We adjusted associations between schizophrenia and individual level non-white ethnic minority status and ward level proportion of non-white ethnic minority for age, sex, and ward level of deprivation.

Introduction

An increased incidence of schizophrenia has been consistently reported in people of African-Caribbean and African origin who are resident in the United Kingdom and less consistently so in those of south Asian origin. As the excess cannot be explained by any known biological risk factor, investigation has turned to the possible role of social environment.

Research in the United States has shown an association between the proportion of an ethnic minority living in a particular area and their rates of admission for mental illness, but a national study in the United Kingdom could not replicate these findings. Clarification of this issue is important not only because of what it may tell us about the aetiology of schizophrenia but also because ethnic minority groups are gradually dispersing throughout the United Kingdom.

We investigated whether the proportion of ethnic minorities in a given area was associated with their incidence rate of schizophrenia at an electoral ward level.
Results

For the period 1988-97 we identified 126 (57%) men and 96 (43%) women as first onset cases. The mean age was 35 years (SD 18), and 126 (57%) were non-white. Of the white patients, 10 were born in the Republic of Ireland and five were born outside the United Kingdom or Republic of Ireland.

Wards differed with respect to incidence of schizophrenia ($\chi^2=5.9$, df=1, P<0.05): the incidence, adjusted for individual level age, sex, and non-white ethnic group, varied from 12 to 38 per 100 000 person years. Table 1 shows the effects of explanatory variables on incidence rate ratios at individual and neighbourhood level.

There was a significant negative interaction between individual level non-white ethnic minority status and the proportion of non-white ethnic minorities at neighbourhood level. Thus the analysis, stratified by thirds of proportion of non-white ethnic minorities, revealed that as the proportion in a given population decreased, the rate of schizophrenia in non-white ethnic minorities increased (table 2). Indeed, there was a “dose-response” relation with increasing incidence in non-white ethnic minorities as the proportion of such minorities in an area fell.

Discussion

Our results are consistent with those from studies in the United States that have found an inverse correlation between an individual's risk of mental illness and the relative proportion of their ethnic group living in an area.\(^1\)^\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\) Cochrane and Bal calculated first and total admission rates for schizophrenia for the whole of England in 1981 for 15 different ethnic groups on the basis of place of birth.\(^5\)\(^6\) Their analysis between and within groups did not find that rates increased as the relative size of the ethnic group decreased, with the exception that there was a strong significant negative correlation ($\approx 0.86$, P<0.01) between admission rates for schizophrenia and relative size of the population born in the Republic of Ireland.

Unlike other studies, we used incidence data rather than data on hospital admission. Moreover, we were able to look at smaller areas that have less variance in coverage and access to services and used appropriate multilevel statistical techniques.

Interpretation

We believe that selection bias or confounding by economic deprivation is unlikely in our study. To invalidate our results any confounder, such as drug misuse or presence of a particularly high risk group, would have to act differentially across neighbourhoods, exerting maximum effect in neighbourhoods with a low proportion of non-white ethnic minorities, less effect on those with medium proportion, and least effect on those with a high proportion.

Mechanism

Our findings point towards there being a social risk factor for the increased rate of schizophrenia reported in non-white ethnic minorities in the United Kingdom. A possible mechanism is increased exposure to, and/or reduced protection against, stress and life events. Specific stresses for people in ethnic minority groups could be overt discrimination, institutionalised racism, and perceived alienation, isolation, and anomie.\(^2\)\(^7\) The more isolated a member of an ethnic minority, the more likely he or she may be to encounter such stresses.\(^2\)\(^3\) People from ethnic minorities may be more likely to be singled out or be more vulnerable when they are in a small minority. Reduced protection from the effects of such stresses could be due to decreased social networks or social buffers in small or dispersed ethnic minority populations.
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What is already known on this topic

An increased incidence of schizophrenia has been reported in several ethnic minorities in the United Kingdom.

Biological risk factors do not seem to explain this

Reports from the United States have shown an association between the proportion of an ethnic minority living in an area and their admission rates for mental illness in general.

What this study adds

The lower the proportion of non-white ethnic minorities in a local area the higher the incidence of schizophrenia in those minorities

Table 1 Influence of explanatory variables on unadjusted and adjusted incidence rate ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unadjusted ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Adjusted ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.71 to 0.84)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.82 (0.76 to 0.9)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.66 (0.5 to 0.86)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.66 (0.51 to 0.86)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-white ethnic minority</td>
<td>3.75 (2.87 to 4.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.28 (2.49 to 4.34)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbourhood level equivalent of individual level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of non-white ethnic minorities</td>
<td>1.12 (0.91 to 1.34)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.83 (0.63 to 1.1)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbourhood level deprivation</td>
<td>1.06 (1.01 to 1.11)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.05 (0.98 to 1.13)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Change in incidence and incidence rate ratios for research diagnostic criteria for schizophrenia according to operational checklist for psychotic disorders with proportion of ethnic minority

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportion of non-white ethnic minorities</th>
<th>Incidence per 100 000</th>
<th>Unadjusted ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted ratio* (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lowest third (8-22.8%)</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>5.15 (2.96 to 8.96)*</td>
<td>4.4 (2.49 to 7.75)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle third (23-28.1%)</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>3.69 (2.58 to 5.89)*</td>
<td>3.83 (2.38 to 5.54)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest third (28.2-57%)</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>2.32 (1.48 to 3.63)*</td>
<td>2.38 (1.49 to 3.79)†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for age (years), sex, and ward deprivation 2 score. P<0.001.
Prescriptions for antiulcer drugs in Australia: volume, trends, and costs
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H₂ receptor antagonists and proton pump inhibitors have markedly changed the management of peptic ulcer and gastro-oesophageal reflux disease; they have also changed the profile of national drug budgets. Antiacid drugs have retained the leading position in drug sales worldwide: sales of antacid drugs were valued at $US1.29 billion ($86bn) in 1998 and were increasing at 3% a year.

Since 1992 the Australian government’s pharmaceutical benefits scheme has required prescribers of proton pump inhibitors to certify the presence of peptic ulcer disease or ulcerating oesophagitis (confirmed by endoscopy, radiography, or surgery) and refractory to treatment with other drugs, scleroderma oesophageal, or Zollinger-Ellison syndrome. The aim of this study was to assess how these restrictions have affected prescribing of antiacid drugs.

Participants, methods, and results

We analysed data from the pharmaceutical benefits scheme on the number of prescriptions for H₂ receptor antagonists, proton pump inhibitors, and cytoprotectants to certify the presence of peptic ulcer disease or ulcerating oesophagitis. Between 1992-3 and 1999 total prescriptions for H₂ receptor antagonists, proton pump inhibitors, and cytoprotectants increased by 109%—increases of 51% for H₂ receptor antagonists and 122% for proton pump inhibitors and a decrease of 84% for cytoprotectants. Prescriptions for proton pump inhibitors increased by 40% between 1995-6 and 1996-7 and by 43% between 1996-7 and 1999. Prescriptions for H₂ receptor antagonists increased by 3% between 1995-6 and 1996-7 and decreased by 4% between 1996-7 and 1999. Proton pump inhibitors have continued to make up an increasing proportion of total antacid drugs prescribed (13% in 1994-5, 20% in 1995-6, 25% in 1996-7, and 34% in 1999).

Comment

The proportion of proton pump inhibitors prescribed relative to H₂ receptor antagonists is at odds with the guidelines for the Australian pharmaceutical benefits scheme and with data on the epidemiology of refractory oesophagitis. Despite restrictions, proton pump inhibitors accounted for 34% of prescriptions for antacid drugs and for 51% of government expenditure on antacid drugs in 1999. Around 7-8% of consultations with general practitioners are for gastrointestinal problems, and this proportion did not change between 1992 and 1999. Australians seem to consult at higher rates for gastrointestinal symptoms than do other nationalities. The continued rise in the...