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Death rates are widely used as a convenient way of summarising important aspects of health in a population. However, they are not always easy to interpret, and even when techniques such as standardisation are used the impact of premature death may be difficult to assess. Cohort analysis provides summary information that takes account of age at death and that can be displayed graphically in a readily understood format. First used in 1870 by the statistician William Farr, this straightforward technique deserves wider use.1

It is widely accepted that deprivation increases the risk of early death. However, the age at which death from specific causes occurs and the relative contribution of these causes to mortality are rarely described clearly.2 We used data on survival in a cohort of middle aged people, divided into groups according to deprivation, to examine the relation between age, deprivation, and causes of death in a straightforward and graphical way.

Methods and results

From census projections the General Register Office for Scotland provided information on the number of people who were born in 1920 and who survived to the end of 1974. For each year from 1975 to the end of 1997 we looked at all registered deaths by specific cause and used postcodes of residence at time of death to assign Carstairs deprivation scores to each person.3 We grouped the scores into five standard groups on the basis of the distribution of deprivation scores in the total population. A disease specific survival chart was then built up for each of the deprivation groups, on the basis of two assumptions: firstly, that the census projections of people in the total population who were born in 1920 and who survived to the end of 1974 can be assumed to be equally distributed among the five deprivation groups; and secondly, that a person’s Carstairs score at death represents their deprivation during the last years of their life. (Scottish studies indicate that level of deprivation changes little among people of this age group.)4

The number of people born in 1920 who were still alive at the end of 1974 was 70 365 (33 208 men (47%), 37 157 women). Over the next 23 years about half of these people died (19 912 men (28% of the original total) and 15 202 women (22%)). Deprivation had a strong effect on mortality: 44% of men in the least deprived fifth had died by the end of 1997, compared with 72% of men in the most deprived fifth (fig 1); in women the corresponding figures were 30% and 50% (fig 2). Men in the most deprived fifth reached an equivalent mortality seven years on average earlier than men in the least deprived fifth, and the equivalent difference in women was six years.

Comment

Cohort survival graphs are a good way to show that deprived people die from the same conditions as affluent people but some years earlier overall. The risk of premature death in middle age is much greater in the most deprived fifth than in the least deprived. The proportion of deaths from conditions related to smoking, such as lung cancer and respiratory disease, is slightly greater in the most deprived fifth. In women the proportion of deaths from malignant neoplasms is greater in the least deprived fifth than in the most deprived. The graphs clearly show that no specific diseases are related to deprivation; rather, it is as though deprived people have the same mortality pattern as affluent people who are seven years older. The car analogy of Graham Watt, professor of general
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Use of preventive health services is affected by factors such as patient demographics, clinical characteristics, type of provider, and type of healthcare system. Although people with multiple sclerosis may have impaired mobility, their lifespans are similar to age matched population controls. They therefore need standard preventive services to prevent early mortality. We evaluated the relation between mobility and use of preventive services in women with multiple sclerosis.

Participants, methods, and results

In 1996, we sent questionnaires to 1164 adults with multiple sclerosis who had received outpatient care in 1995 or 1994 from one of three systems of health care (two forms of managed care and fee for service insurance) in two regions of the United States. The overall response rate was 80% (930/1164). We report here survey analyses from the 713 women respondents.

We collected self reported rates of cervical smear testing, mammography, and breast examination (if over age 50), blood pressure checks, cholesterol screening, and physician assessment of health habits. We assessed these rates according to the patient's mobility level (fully ambulatory, ambulatory with help, and not ambulatory) and compared them with Healthy People 2000 recommendations. For each preventive service, we used logistic regression to model the relation between that service, mobility, patient demographics, comorbidity, system of health care, indicators for having a primary care physician and a multiple sclerosis physician, and specialty of these physicians.

The mean age of the women was 47 years; 86% were white and 40% had a four year college degree. Overall rates for cervical smear tests, breast examinations, and mammography exceeded Healthy People 2000 recommendations, but rates were highest for the ambulatory group and lowest for the non-ambulatory group (P<0.05, table). Cervical smear testing was below Healthy People 2000 goals for the ambulatory with help and non-ambulatory groups. In contrast, rates for general preventive services did not differ by mobility.

In the multivariable models, ambulatory patients had 5.32 times the odds of having a cervical smear test, 3.62 times the odds of having a breast examination, and 3.24 times the odds of having mammography relative to non-ambulatory patients (all P<0.05). Older age was associated with a lower rate of cervical smear tests; however, no other variables were related to receipt of women's preventive services. Except for an increased odds of assessing eating habits in the non-ambulatory group, mobility status did not affect the odds of receiving general preventive services.

Comment

Use of women's preventive health services was lower in non-ambulatory women than in fully or partially ambulatory women with multiple sclerosis. These results are the same as those in a previous population based US study of women with and without mobility impairments due to various conditions, even though women in our study had a single chronic condition, were younger, were more educated, and all had health insurance and a regular source of care in health systems that met broad national screening goals.

There are several possible explanations for these findings. Doctors may believe that such patients do not have an adequate life expectancy to warrant women's preventive screening. However, such attitudes would be incompatible with the high rates of blood pressure and cholesterol checks. Alternatively, patients may be reluctant to undergo screening services that are potentially uncomfortable or embarrassing. A third possibility is that the medical systems cannot easily accommodate patients with mobility impairments, who may require access to specialised equipment and extra time.
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