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Do doctors position defibrillation paddles correctly? Observational study

Richard M Heames, Daniel Sado, Charles D Deakin

Defibrillation is necessary to restore normal sinus rhythm in a patient having a ventricular fibrillation arrest. Each minute of delay in restoring sinus rhythm increases mortality by 7-10%. Successful defibrillation requires depolarisation of a critical mass of myocardiun, which is most likely to be achieved if the defibrillation paddles are correctly placed. Recent guidelines from the European Resuscitation Council state that the sternal paddle should be placed “below the right clavicle in the mid-clavicular line” and that the apical paddle should be placed “over the left lower ribs in the mid/anterior axillary line.” The limited literature available and our own observations suggest that these anatomical positions are not adhered to during defibrillation. We undertook an observational study to assess paddle positioning during defibrillation.

Methods and results

We recruited 101 doctors of all grades and acute specialties at Southampton General Hospital over a period of two weeks, who were unprepared and unaware of the nature of the study. They were shown an anatomically accurate male resuscitation manikin that they were told was in ventricular fibrillation. They were asked to defibrillate the manikin, which required the initial placement of sternal and apical defibrillation pads on the chest wall, on to which were placed the defibrillation paddles. The position of the centre of the defibrillation pads was recorded by using a grid placed over the chest wall. It was assumed that positions of the pad centre and the paddle centre were anatomically
Differences in therapeutic consequences of exercise testing between a rural and an urban Danish county: population based study

Troels Niemann, Torsten Toftegaard Nielsen, Niels Thorsgaard, Jørgen Lous

Coronary angiography is the main diagnostic test for deciding whether to refer a patient for coronary revascularisation, but referral for coronary angiography may vary significantly among regions. Regional differences have been explained by the fact that access to cardiac catheterisation facilities is associated with a higher likelihood of undergoing angiography. We investigated the impact of exercise stress testing on decisions taken about patients suspected of having angina pectoris and the barriers to referral for coronary angiography.

Subjects, methods, and results

We identified all exercise tests and coronary angiography performed during 1996 in two Danish counties, Aarhus (urban) and Ringkøbing (rural), with five hospitals in each county. The total study population was about 900,000 inhabitants. Invasive cardiac facilities were available only in Aarhus but were for use of both counties. Data from the County Public Health Authorities on the number of admissions resulting from acute myocardial infarction and from the Danish National Board of Health on mortality from suspected ischaemic heart disease showed a similar or slightly higher prevalence of ischaemic heart disease in Ringkøbing in 1996.

A total of 2934 patients underwent bicycle exercise testing and 1691 patients underwent coronary angiography. Age adjusted rates of exercise testing were 3315 (urban) and 3183 (rural) per million inhabitants (rate ratio 1.04 (95% confidence interval 0.96 to 1.11)). Age adjusted angiography rates were 2162 (urban) and 1244 (rural) per one million inhabitants (1.74 (1.66 to 1.83)). Proportions of patients with an exercise test result that suggested disease (angina pectoris, severe ischaemia on electrocardiography, or decreased blood pressure) were similar among the 10 hospital catchment areas (table). The decision to refer for coronary angiography a patient who had a test result that suggested disease was taken either by a...