Primary care

The challenge of integrating genetic medicine into primary care

Jon Emery, Susan Hayflick

The likely increases in availability of DNA-based tests and demand by patients for genetic information and advice mean that primary care practitioners will need to become genetically literate. Genetic medicine is already beginning to enter the realms of primary care through the availability of testing for predisposition to certain cancers and carrier screening and diagnostic tests for common recessive disorders such as cystic fibrosis and hereditary haemochromatosis. For the near future these issues will probably remain the focus of genetic medicine in primary care, but this could shift if pharmacogenetic research fulfils even some of its early promises.

We discuss the implications of genetic advances for primary care, how genetic medicine could be integrated into primary care, and the skills that primary care practitioners will need to provide advice.

Methods

We searched Medline and Embase for relevant papers, combining terms relating to primary care and clinical genetics. We included papers identified from a previous systematic review of primary research on the role of family practice in genetics and relevant papers published subsequently. The papers were heterogeneous in their focus and methods, and, when appropriate, we state the evidence on which our statements are based.

Genetic medicine and the interface between primary and secondary care

As more is learned about the genetic aspects of common diseases, the question arises as to who is best placed to meet the incipient service need. Traditionally, clinical genetics has dealt mostly with rare conditions, counselling couples about risks for recurrence for subsequent pregnancies or families affected by adult-onset disorders such as Huntington’s disease. With the discovery of several genes that underlie certain familial cancers, referrals to clinical geneticists about family history of cancer have risen considerably. At Addenbrooke’s Hospital, Cambridge, referrals to the cancer genetics clinic rose from 400 to almost 800 in the year to mid-1999, even though many of these patients were unlikely to carry a mutation in a known susceptibility gene for cancer.

The recent completion of the human genome project and current research into single nucleotide polymorphisms will lead to the identification of more genetic mutations that increase risk of common diseases such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and cancers. But clinical genetics departments will be unable to cope with a further rise in demand given their existing workforce and all branches of medicine, especially primary care, will be required to advise patients about genetic issues.

Role of primary care in genetic services

Rather than development of a “primary care genetics service,” specific elements of genetic medicine could be incorporated into the generalist role (box 1). In the United Kingdom primary care is well placed to support an integrated genetic service given the traditional focus on the family and relatively well computerised longitudinal health records. Qualitative studies of UK general practitioners show that identification of people at increased risk of a genetic

Summary points

Primary care practitioners need to become genetically literate
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A 31 year old man presented with rectal bleeding due to haemorrhoids and mentioned to his general practitioner that cancer ran in the family. The doctor took a family history and found that the patient's father had developed colorectal cancer at the age of 48 and his paternal grandmother at 60. His paternal aunt had developed endometrial cancer at 55. The doctor referred him to the regional genetics clinic on the basis of locally agreed guidelines. After confirmation of the family history, the patient's father was contacted and offered diagnostic genetic testing. His father was found to carry a mutation in the MLH1 gene which causes hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer. After genetic counselling the patient chose to undergo predictive genetic testing and was found to carry the same mutation. He now undergoes regular colonoscopic surveillance for the detection and removal of adenomatous polyps.

### Genetic screening programmes

Primary care could play an important part in the provision of various genetic screening programmes, particularly in supporting informed choices about antenatal diagnosis of common genetic disorders. In the United Kingdom there are community based programmes for neonatal screening for phenylketonuria and antenatal serum screening for Down's syndrome. In the United States neonatal screening for haemoglobinopathy occurs routinely in most states, and antenatal screening for cystic fibrosis carrier status has been recommended nationally. A series of pilot studies of antenatal and general population screening for cystic fibrosis carriers has shown that patients can be adequately counselled in primary care, but uptake of the test by patients depended heavily on how it was offered (box 4). 8 10

There have been calls in the United Kingdom for a national selective screening policy in primary care for thalassaemia and sickle cell disease in those at risk (for example, in African-Caribbeans, southern Mediterraneans, and Asians) and proposals for structured antenatal screening for haemoglobinopathies, which
would enable counselling and antenatal diagnosis in the first trimester.37

Population screening for hereditary haemochromatosis has been promoted because of the relatively high prevalence of mutations in the HFE gene that cause the disease and because there is effective treatment through regular phlebotomy. Pilot studies in the United States have shown that primary care can provide an adequate screening service,38 but doubts exist about the epidemiology of mutation carriers, in particular the proportion who will develop significant disease. Diagnostic testing in primary care by genotype or serum transferrin for patients with possible symptoms of the disease (for example, arthralgia, diabetes, fatigue) has been proposed to enable earlier diagnosis,39 but the cost effectiveness of this strategy requires evaluation.

Pharmacogenetics

The commercial drive by the pharmaceutical industry to discover the biological explanations that underlie individual variation in drug response could potentially have a far greater impact on future practice in primary care.40 Early examples of how apolipoprotein E status affects response to lipid lowering drugs and treatment for Alzheimer’s disease41 hint at the possibility of applying genetic testing to tailor drug treatment for a wide range of disorders. Pharmacogenetics could be used to identify patients who are at risk of severe adverse effects from drug treatment, such as bleeding complications of warfarin,42 or non-response due to inadequate dosing, such as with antidepressants.43 The cost effectiveness of testing for prothrombotic mutations such as factor V Leiden before prescription of oral contraceptives is uncertain but testing may be appropriate for women with a strong family history of thrombosis.44 Pharmacogenetic information may require less understanding of genetic principles than would be necessary, for example, to provide counselling for carrier screening for cystic fibrosis. Ultimately, therefore, pharmacogenetics may be a much greater driving force for the application of genetic medicine in primary care than specific genetic screening programmes.

Genetic skills in primary care and training needed

If primary care is to incorporate genetics, certain generic knowledge and skills must be acquired that focus initially on the ascertainment of genetic risk, in particular taking an adequate family history and annotating this in the form of a pedigree (box 5). Ideally this should span three generations and include details about the age of onset of disease and age of death for each relative. An awareness of associated cancers in specific familial cancers (for example, endometrial cancer in hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer) and the importance of ethnicity in determining risk for certain diseases (for example, haemoglobinopathies) would guide the collection of information on family history and its interpretation. Additional skills in assessment of risk and in communication are required for appropriate discussion of an individual’s risk.

The recent and rapid developments in genetic medicine mean that many primary care practitioners have received minimal training in clinical genetics. Various methods will be required to support practitioners to develop these genetic skills and knowledge, including changes to the undergraduate curriculum—for example, discussing risk assessment of colorectal cancer as part of training in gastroenterology—to promote an integrated approach to genetic medicine. Postgraduate training and assessment in primary care should include approaches to developing and examining the outlined genetic skills. But these will depend first on the acquisition of genetic skills among all clinical teachers.

A randomised controlled trial of a multidisciplinary postgraduate educational programme showed limited increase in screening for haemoglobinopathy in primary care.45 Additional, more innovative methods are required, including management guidelines and computerised pedigree drawing and decision support.46 Online resources will become increasingly useful both for health professionals and their patients.”

Box 4 Antenatal carrier screening

A 24 year old primiparous Asian woman came to see her general practitioner saying that she was seven weeks pregnant. After discussion with the doctor, the woman and her partner decided to be tested for haemoglobinopathy status and both were found to be carriers for β-thalassaemia. The couple were referred to a specialist centre and counselled about prenatal diagnosis. Chorionic villus sampling was performed at 11 weeks, and the fetus was heterozygous for β-thalassaemia. A healthy child was delivered at term, and the couple will be offered prenatal diagnosis in subsequent pregnancies.

Box 5 Genetic skills and knowledge required in primary care

Taking, recording, and interpreting family history
- Recognition of common patterns of inheritance
- Awareness of the importance of ethnicity in determining risk (for example, Ashkenazi Jews and increased risk of BRCA mutations) and specific cancer clusters
- Communication of risk and counselling in a non-directive manner
- Understanding of the limitations of genetic testing, including the implications of testing for insurance
While these slower acting educational processes take effect, additional approaches to integrate existing genetic services with primary care will be necessary. Studies in the United Kingdom are evaluating the use of community genetic counsellors acting as outreach workers from the genetics clinic to liaise with local general practices. Such practitioners are either genetic nurses or genetic counsellors, and they provide an initial filter for referrals to the geneticist and perform an educational role. However, there are probably not enough trained counsellors to support widespread adoption of such a model. An alternative approach is for primary care groups to support the development of primary care specialists, possibly working in conjunction with community genetic counsellors, to act as an intermediate point of referral between general practice and specialist genetic clinics. A third allied model is to train a specific practitioner from each practice in genetic skills to act as an in-house expert, supported by electronic resources.

Future directions

Integrating genetics into primary care requires a gradual adoption and incorporation of specific elements of genetic medicine. There are reasonable doubts as to how quickly the new genetics will deliver clinically useful tests and knowledge to primary care and whether it will initially raise more questions than answers. There are concerns about potential harm from inappropriate use of genetic testing in primary care and a reluctance by primary care practitioners to adopt these new responsibilities. Because of the uncertainties around the benefits and harm from genetic testing, the clinical implications of a specific genetic test will require careful evaluation, including information about cost effectiveness, before widespread adoption is recommended.

None the less, the genetic medicine will shortly be arriving in general practice. For the next five years this will centre around screening for carriers of haemoglobinopathies and cystic fibrosis and assessment of risk for certain cancers. Beyond that there will be increasing use of genetic information to tailor drug selection and dosage and to predict risk of common conditions such as diabetes and cardiovascular disease. A multifaceted approach is essential to support the procurement of these skills in primary care (figure). The impact of genetics on primary care is currently small and primary care practitioners may not yet perceive genetic education as relevant. It will require increased public demand for genetic advice or the development of genetic tests of direct clinical value to drive the acquisition of genetic skills. If even a fraction of the claims made about the impending impact of genetics on clinical practice came true, clinical genetics services would be overwhelmed. We must not miss this opportunity to prepare primary care for the new genetics.
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