and more common side effects, such as headache or urinary retention, are not life threatening, our data should result in more widespread use of spinal or epidural anaesthesia.
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Outcome of case finding among relatives of patients with known heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia

D Bhatnagar, J Morgan, S Siddiq, M I Mackness, J P Miller, P N Durrington

Abstract

Objectives To assess the feasibility of detecting new cases of heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia by using a nurse led genetic register.

Design Case finding among relatives of patients with familial hypercholesterolaemia.

Setting Two lipid clinics in central and south Manchester.

Subjects 259 (137 men and 122 women) probands and 285 first degree relatives.

Results Of the 200 first degree relatives tested, 121 (60%) had inherited familial hypercholesterolaemia. The newly diagnosed patients were younger than the probands and were generally detected before they had clinically overt atherosclerosis. Concentrations of serum cholesterol were, respectively, 8.4 (1.7 SD) mmol/l and 8.1 (1.9 SD) mmol/l in affected men and women and 5.6 (1.0 SD) mmol/l and 5.6 (1.1 SD) mmol/l in unaffected men and women. Screening for risk factors as recommended in recent guidelines for coronary heart disease prevention would have failed to identify most of the affected relatives in whom hypertension, diabetes mellitus, cigarette smoking, and obesity were uncommon.

Conclusions By performing cholesterol tests on 200 relatives, 121 new patients with familial hypercholesterolaemia were discovered. Because 1 in 500 people in the United Kingdom are affected by this condition, to detect a similar number by population screening over 60 000 tests would be required, and only a few of these patients would have been detected had cholesterol testing been restricted to those with other risk factors for coronary heart disease. A case exists for organising a genetic register approach, linking lipid clinics nationally.

Introduction

Familial hypercholesterolaemia in its heterozygous form occurs in around 1 in 500 people in Europe and North America, making it the most common potentially lethal genetic disorder. The characteristic clinical syndrome in adulthood comprises an increased serum cholesterol concentration, tendon xanthomata, and premature coronary heart disease, the median age of onset for coronary heart disease being around 50 years in men and 59 in women.1 2 Statin treatment and the opportunity for prompt access to cardiological services for patients with familial hypercholesterolaemia seem to have improved survival.3 In trials using coronary angiography, cholesterol lowering treatment is at least as effective in patients with familial hypercholesterolaemia as it is in other types of
Patients with coronary disease. Most of the potential 100,000 patients with familial hypercholesterolaemia in the United Kingdom are probably undiagnosed, because only a small proportion attend lipid clinics. The same is also likely to be true in other countries. Often the clinical syndrome of familial hypercholesterolaemia is due to a mutation of the low density lipoprotein receptor. However, genetic testing is not currently a feasible means of establishing the diagnosis, except perhaps under special circumstances.

It is generally agreed that screening the population for high cholesterol concentrations should be undertaken only as part of a multifactorial approach for the detection of people with a high coronary risk so that cholesterol lowering and antihypertensive treatments can be used in the most cost effective way. Familial hypercholesterolaemia, however, seems to be a condition in which a single risk factor (high cholesterol from birth) often leads to an absolute coronary risk in the range for statin treatment well before middle age. We aimed to assess the possibility of using a genetic register method to diagnose new cases of familial hypercholesterolaemia, which has the potential to be adopted nationally.

Participants and methods

Probands aged 18 years or over attending two adjacent lipid clinics (Manchester Royal Infirmary and University Hospital of South Manchester) for the first time between 1987 and 1998 were identified if serum cholesterol concentrations exceeded 7.5 mmol/l (or low density lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations exceeded 4.9 mmol/l) with tendon xanthomas present in the patient or in first degree or second degree relatives.

Nurses were trained to identify corneal arcus, xanthelasmas, and tendon xanthomas and to administer a questionnaire to probands and their first degree relatives that inquired about the presence of other risk factors for coronary and cardiovascular disease already evident. A detailed family history was recorded for the probands. The risk factors recorded were hypertension, cigarette smoking, diabetes mellitus, coronary heart disease, previous diagnosis of stroke, and the possible presence of intermittent claudication.

First degree relatives were sent a personalised, standard letter explaining the reason for suspecting that they might have familial hypercholesterolaemia, its importance, and the method of diagnosis. They were invited to visit either the Manchester Royal Infirmary or the general practice to complete a questionnaire, have the clinical features of familial hypercholesterolaemia assessed with the help of an information pack containing colour photographs of xanthomas, and have a blood sample taken and sent to the Manchester Royal Infirmary.

The results of the relatives’ blood tests for serum cholesterol concentrations were sent to the general practitioners with a letter explaining why the test had been done and the importance of the result. The location of the nearest lipid clinic was provided when the test gave a positive result. General practitioners also had the option of treating newly diagnosed patients themselves, with advice, if requested. Relatives with newly diagnosed familial hypercholesterolaemia were sent a letter indicating that their cholesterol concentration was increased and suggesting that they make an appointment to see their general practitioner. Counseling was also available by telephone. Relatives not inheriting familial hypercholesterolaemia were also informed.

The research ethics committees at both hospitals considered that the register was an extension of usual clinical practice.

Concentrations of serum cholesterol, low density lipoprotein cholesterol, high density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, serum apolipoprotein B, and serum Lp(a) lipoprotein were determined using standard methods.

Statistics—We used Student’s t test, the Mann-Whitney U test, and the \( \chi^2 \) test whenever appropriate. We considered probabilities \( \leq 0.05 \) as significant.

Results

Compliance of probands and availability of relatives

Of 282 probands identified, all but three agreed to participate. Thus 250 (90%) (137 men and 122 women) provided details of their family tree. Of these, 216 (83%) had at least one living first degree relative, the total number of whom was estimated to be 285. Of these, 205 (72%) were tested. Of the 80 not tested, 25 were already known to have familial hypercholesterolaemia, 26 considered themselves to live too far away, 18 refused to participate, six agreed but did not attend, and five were infirm. In 26% of cases more than one relative of a proband was tested.

Detection of new cases

Of the 205 relatives tested, the results for cholesterol concentration were available in 200, of whom 121 (60%; 46 men and 75 women) proved positive (heterozygotes by definition). Male probands were less likely to provide a cooperative relative than were female probands: 137 male probands yielded 46 new cases, whereas 122 female probands produced 75 new cases (\( P < 0.0005 \)).

Clinical characteristics of probands and relatives

Tendon xanthomas were present in 91% of male and 87% of female probands, whereas only 26% of the newly diagnosed men and 19% of the newly diagnosed women possessed them. Further clinical features of probands, affected relatives, and unaffected relatives are given in table 1.

Cardiovascular disease was significantly more common in probands than it was in newly diagnosed affected relatives and in unaffected relatives, particularly coronary heart disease (table 2). A low prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors was found apart from increased concentrations of cholesterol in either the probands or their newly diagnosed relatives (table 2). Serum cholesterol concentrations would thus be unlikely to be measured in newly diagnosed relatives in the United Kingdom except in those with overt coronary heart disease. Furthermore, the average coronary risk in the newly diagnosed relatives, according to the Framingham risk equation on which the UK, American, and European guidelines are based, was
Table 1 Age, body mass index, physical signs, and concentrations of lipids and lipoproteins in familial hypercholesterolaemia probands and their affected and unaffected relatives. Values in parentheses are 95% confidence intervals unless stated otherwise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Mean (SD) age</th>
<th>Body mass index (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Corneal arcus (%)</th>
<th>Xanthelasma (%)</th>
<th>Tendon xanthomas (%)</th>
<th>Serum cholesterol (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Median (interquartile range) serum triglyceride (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Serum low density lipoprotein cholesterol (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Serum high density lipoprotein cholesterol (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Apolipoprotein B (g/l)</th>
<th>Median (interquartile range) Lp(a) lipoprotein (g/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probands (n=137)</td>
<td>45.8 (11.4)</td>
<td>25.2 (24.7 to 25.7)</td>
<td>49 (41 to 58)</td>
<td>21 (14 to 28)</td>
<td>91 (63 to 99)</td>
<td>8.5 (8.1 to 8.9)</td>
<td>1.67 (1.11-2.30)</td>
<td>6.0 (5.6 to 6.4)</td>
<td>1.24 (1.13 to 1.35)</td>
<td>1.44 (1.38 to 1.50)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.119-0.735)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected (n=46)</td>
<td>34.5 (14.8)**</td>
<td>25.1 (23.9 to 26.3)</td>
<td>17 (8 to 31)***</td>
<td>0 (0 to 12)**</td>
<td>26 (14 to 41)**</td>
<td>8.4 (7.9 to 9.9)</td>
<td>1.75 (1.00-2.61)</td>
<td>5.3 (4.9 to 5.8)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.11 to 1.35)**</td>
<td>1.45 (1.32 to 1.58)</td>
<td>0.148 (0.064-0.562)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaffected (n=37)</td>
<td>26.7 (13.2)**</td>
<td>24.8 (23.5 to 26.1)</td>
<td>3 (0 to 14)**</td>
<td>0 (0 to 9)*</td>
<td>0 (0 to 10)**</td>
<td>9.5 (8.3 to 9.9)*</td>
<td>1.20 (0.87-1.67)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.8 to 3.4)**</td>
<td>1.33 (1.36 to 1.70)**</td>
<td>0.94 (0.85 to 1.03)**</td>
<td>0.086 (0.038-0.353)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probands (n=122)</td>
<td>48.9 (12.6)</td>
<td>24.6 (24.0 to 25.3)</td>
<td>40 (40 to 58)</td>
<td>25 (18 to 34)</td>
<td>81 (71 to 93)</td>
<td>8.5 (8.3 to 9.3)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.83-1.56)</td>
<td>5.5 (5.1 to 5.9)</td>
<td>1.59 (1.50 to 1.68)</td>
<td>1.48 (1.38 to 1.58)</td>
<td>0.479 (0.196-0.821)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected (n=75)</td>
<td>38.2 (18.5)**</td>
<td>23.6 (22.3 to 24.9)</td>
<td>17 (10 to 28)***</td>
<td>16 (9 to 26)</td>
<td>19 (11 to 29)**</td>
<td>8.1 (7.7 to 8.5)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.76-1.52)</td>
<td>8.0 (5.6 to 6.4)</td>
<td>1.45 (1.36 to 1.54)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.85 to 0.99)**</td>
<td>0.202 (0.067-0.441)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaffected (n=42)</td>
<td>36.7 (16.2)**</td>
<td>24.6 (22.9 to 26.3)</td>
<td>2 (0 to 13)**</td>
<td>0 (0 to 8)**</td>
<td>0 (0 to 8)**</td>
<td>5.6 (5.3 to 5.9)**</td>
<td>1.02 (0.71-1.41)</td>
<td>3.2 (3.0 to 3.5)**</td>
<td>1.54 (1.38 to 1.70)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.85 to 0.99)**</td>
<td>0.086 (0.053-0.386)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.005 compared with probands.
What is already known on this topic

Familial hypercholesterolaemia occurs in 1 in 500 people in Europe and North America

High cholesterol concentrations in this group should be treated with statins

What this study adds

Most relatives of known patients with familial hypercholesterolaemia wanted their cholesterol concentration measured

Most patients were diagnosed before the clinical onset of coronary heart disease

This would rarely have been the case during a screening approach for multiple risk factors

because their wives write the Christmas cards. A possible improvement to the present strategy might therefore be to ensure that wives are, if possible, present when male probands are interviewed.

The high prevalence of cardiovascular disease in probands is likely to be the result of the older age of the probands compared with that of the newly diagnosed relatives, and because their hypercholesterolaemia was discovered as the consequence of presenting with vascular symptoms. The present findings thus suggest that this method of detecting new cases often identifies them before vascular disease is clinically overt which, given the mortality associated with a first myocardial infarction (around 30%) and the subsequent morbidity, is a potentially important advantage.

It has been calculated that the cost per life year gained from cholesterol reduction in familial hypercholesterolaemia is similar to that in patients after acute myocardial infarction, which is generally considered to be highly cost effective: more so, for example, than the cost of a generic thiazide to treat hypertension.

There are potentially detrimental effects of screening.

Our approach avoids the adverse effects caused by screening of the general population, leading to the discovery of huge numbers of asymptomatic people with more common less severe hypercholesterolaemia in which the health gain from such knowledge may be minimal. Furthermore, our pragmatic approach also avoided the potential psychological harm caused by DNA testing. It also ensured that counselling was provided by healthcare workers who had frequent contact with patients with familial hypercholesterolaemia. There are this many workers who had frequent contact with patients with familial hypercholesterolaemia through established lipid clinics using the genetic register approach reported here.
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