Randomised, clinically controlled trial of intensive geriatric rehabilitation in patients with hip fracture: subgroup analysis of patients with dementia
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Abstract

Objective To evaluate the effect of intensive geriatric rehabilitation on demented patients with hip fracture.

Design Preplanned subanalysis of randomised intervention study.

Setting Jyväskylä Central Hospital, Finland.

Participants 243 independently living patients aged 65 years or older admitted to hospital with hip fracture.

Intervention After surgery patients in the intervention group (n = 120) were referred to the geriatric ward whereas those in the control group were discharged to local hospitals.

Main outcome measures Length of hospital stay, mortality, and place of residence three months and one year after surgery for hip fracture.

Results The median length of hospital stay of hip fracture patients with moderate dementia (mini mental state examination score 12-17) was 47 days in the intervention group (n = 24) and 147 days in the control group (n = 42, P = 0.002). Three months after the operation, a significant reduction in survival was observed in the intervention group (n = 91%, P = 0.002) compared to the control group (n = 63%, n = 15). Patients with moderate dementia were significantly less likely to return to their homes and 17% of the patients in the intervention group were discharged to local hospitals.

Conclusions Hip fracture patients with moderate dementia can often return to the community if they are provided with active geriatric rehabilitation.

Introduction

The number of demented patients with hip fracture is increasing as the population ages. In Finland, the prevalence of dementia in people aged 65 years and over is 6.7 per 1000. Alzheimer-type dementia has been reported to increase the risk of hip fractures, with an odds ratio of 6.9. Dementia was the main contributor to the development of functional dependence and decline in a community based study of residents older than 74 years in the Kungsholmen project, Sweden. Dementia has also been associated with less favourable outcome of rehabilitation after hip fracture. However, selected cognitively impaired patients with hip fracture were as likely as mentally normal patients to return to the community in a specialised geriatric inpatient rehabilitation programme. Earlier studies provide conflicting results on the benefits of geriatric assessment and treatment in rehabilitation for elderly patients with hip fractures. A meta-analysis suggested that geriatric assessment programmes with intensive long term management can improve survival and function in old people. No randomised studies have been published on the impact of geriatric rehabilitation on demented patients with hip fracture.

Over the past decade, the median length of stay in orthopaedic wards for patients with hip fracture in central Finland healthcare district has fallen from 19 to five days, and 81% of the patients are now referred to local health centre hospitals for rehabilitation after surgery. Patients in local hospitals owned by local communities are treated by general practitioners. Local hospitals usually have physiotherapists, but other resources for rehabilitation vary from hospital to hospital. About half of the patients in these hospitals are in long term institutional care. In the United States the mean length of hospital stay decreased from 21.9 to 12.6 days between 1981 and 1986.17 Shorter stays were associated with less inpatient treatment and an increase in placement in long term nursing home.18

This study aimed to determine the effect of intensive geriatric rehabilitation after surgery for hip fracture in elderly patients. As a preplanned part of this trial, we studied whether cognitively impaired patients can benefit from geriatric assessment and intensive rehabilitation.

Participants and methods

Study design

Five per cent of the population of Finland lives within central Finland healthcare district, and Jyväskylä Central Hospital is the only place for referral for specialist care in the region. In this randomised, clinically controlled trial, community dwelling patients with acute hip fractures aged 65 years or older were randomly assigned to two rehabilitation groups after surgery at the hospital. All patients were living independently and had been able to walk unaided before the fracture. We excluded patients with pathological fractures, multiple fractures, serious early complications, those receiving calcitonin treatment, and terminally ill patients. Fifteen patients were not able to provide consent because they had severe dementia or other serious problems with communication, and 72 patients refused to participate in the study. Twenty four patients were not asked to participate for unknown reasons, probably because the nurses on the orthopaedic ward forgot. A total of 348 patients with acute hip fractures were not randomised. Sixteen patients were excluded because they were taking calcitonin. The patients were also randomised to nasal calcitonin or placebo for three months. The pro-
portion of patients in these treatment groups was similar in the intervention group and in the control group.

The study was approved by the ethics committee of central Finland healthcare district, and informed consent was obtained from all participants on the first postoperative day.

All patients were encouraged to be mobile on the first postoperative day. The orthopaedic surgeons instructed the patients who had received a hemiendoprosthesis to avoid full flexion of the hip and extreme inversion or eversion depending on the technique of the operation. The patients treated by osteosynthesis were recommended to limit themselves to partial weight bearing for six weeks after the operation. It was, however, agreed that if the patient was unable to follow these recommendations he or she was allowed to be mobilised without restrictions.

**Intervention**

The intervention group was referred to the geriatric ward within the central hospital after randomisation, whereas the control group was discharged to local hospitals. The geriatric team at the central hospital consists of a geriatrician internist, a specially trained general practitioner, nurses with training in the care of older patients, a social worker, a neuropsychologist, an occupational therapist, and physiotherapists. A consultant specialist in physical medicine, a neurologist, and a psychiatrist work with the team for up to four days each week. The team collaborates with the patients and the families and also with the local health centres, nursing homes, home help, and home care. Common rehabilitation interventions include providing advice, training, and encouragement and listening to patients’ concerns as well as drug treatment, physiotherapy, occupational therapy, speech therapy, and help with use of appliances, equipment, and daily living aids.

The intervention consisted of assessment by the geriatric team. The patients had physiotherapy sessions twice a day, and daily activities were practised throughout the day with the nurses. The nurses and the physiotherapists had weekly joint meetings to discuss methods of improving rehabilitation. The daily schedule of each patient was planned to support early ambulation, self motivation, and the best possible functional ability. The physiotherapist evaluated walking aids. An occupational therapist evaluated the need for daily living aids, and occupational therapy was offered to those patients who were thought able to benefit from it. Individualised patient and family counselling was provided by physiotherapists and nurses on several occasions, and this was reinforced with a brochure on hip fracture.

The discharge plan was checked during weekly team meetings with the patient and the family, and the physiotherapist made a home visit before the patient was discharged if the team thought that it was necessary. All patients who were discharged to independent living had 10 home visits from the physiotherapist.

**Assessments**

Data on living conditions and scores for the activities of daily living, and the instrumental activities of daily living at the time of the fracture were obtained from patients, relatives, home nurses, and home helps by a nurse. A doctor filled in a questionnaire on chronic morbidity, drugs, complications, and discharge plan. We studied all medical records for the first 12 months after the operation and obtained data on the lengths of stays in hospital and nursing homes, use of medical care, complications, and mortality.

The mini mental state examination was administered about one week after admission to the geriatric ward or the local hospital (10 days after surgery and randomisation). This examination is a well established, reliable, valid, and brief cognitive instrument that has a high interrater reliability and is easy to administer. Suspected severe dementia was defined as a score of 0-11 out of a total of 30. Suspected moderate dementia was defined as a score of 12-17, and suspected mild dementia as a score of 18-23. Scores of 24-30 were defined as normal.

**Statistical analysis**

The principal aim of the analysis was to compare the length of hospital stay, mortality, and place of residence three months and one year after surgery for hip fracture. The results were expressed as mean or median with SD or range and 95% confidence intervals. We compared the groups using the t test or Mann-Whitney U test. Measures with a discrete distribution were expressed as counts (%) and analysed by χ² or Fisher’s exact test. We used Hommel’s adjustments to correct significance levels for multiple testing. We evaluated the normality of variables by Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistics with a Lilliefors significance or Shapiro-Wilk statistics. Correlation coefficients were calculated by the Spearman method.

The planned sample size for the whole trial was about 250 (125 in each group), which gave a power of at least 80% to detect a difference of 20% between the intervention and control rehabilitation groups with two sided α = 0.05. Before the randomisation was started we had no reliable information on the number of community dwelling, demented patients who had hip fractures, but a retrospective study of the medical records of the patients admitted to the central hospital with hip fracture in 1991-3 showed that the prevalence of diagnosed dementia among patients aged 65 or older was 15% (data not shown). We assumed that a substantial difference between the intervention and the control would be detected even if the number of patients in different categories was small.

**Assignment and masking**

The allocation sequence was computer generated and sealed in numbered, opaque envelopes in Helsinki, Finland, by the information technology department of Novartis before the study was started. The envelopes were stored on the orthopaedic ward by the head nurse until patients were randomised.

Before the start of the study a geriatrician (TH), a nurse, and a physiotherapist visited all local hospital wards twice to clarify the objectives of the study and to teach staff how to carry out the tests and fill in the questionnaires. We could not blind the staff doing interventions or assessments. The geriatrician in charge of the study worked on another ward at the time of the study. She did not take part in geriatric rounds or team meetings.
Results

Participant flow and follow up

From October 1994 to December 1998, a total of 260 patients with acute hip fractures were randomised (figure). Eleven patients were later excluded because of a violation of the randomisation criteria, three patients withdrew their consent after randomisation, and three patients were excluded because of a protocol violation. A total of 243 patients were followed. One patient in the intervention group and four in the control group were not tested with the mini mental state examination. The analysis was therefore conducted on 238 patients.

Patients

Table 1 shows the demographic and clinical data of the patients. Patients in the intervention group had more problems with activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living. The mini mental state examination scores correlated strongly with the activities of daily living scores ($r = 0.52$ (95% confidence interval 0.42 to 0.61) and the instrumental activities of daily living scores $r = 0.65$ (0.58 to 0.72) at baseline.

There were 42 (35%) trochanteric fractures in the intervention group and 44 (36%) in the control group. All trochanteric fractures were treated by osteosynthesis. There were no differences between the intervention group and the control group in the methods of surgery for cervical fractures: 60 (77%) patients in the intervention group and 53 (67%) in the control group versus 53 (67%) in the intervention group and 44 (36%) in the control group.

Analysis

The median length of stay on the geriatric ward was 18 days, after which 65 (54%) patients in the intervention group were discharged to independent living. The lengths of hospital stay after surgery were calculated from the day of surgery to the day of a discharge lasting at least two weeks. Table 2 shows the lengths of hospital stay of the patients according to mini mental state examination scores. There were no significant differences between the intervention group and the control group in the length of hospital stay among patients with normal scores or among patients with scores indicating severe dementia. The median stay of patients with mild dementia was 29 days (range 16 to 138) in the intervention group and 46 days (range 10 to 365) in the control group ($P = 0.002$). The median length of hospital stay of the patients with moderate dementia was 47 days (range 10 to 365) in the intervention group and 147 days (range 18 to 365) in the control group ($P = 0.04$).

Table 3 shows the place of residence and the mortality of the patients three months and one year after surgery according to mini mental state examination scores. Fifteen (63%) patients with moderate dementia in the intervention group were still living independently at three months compared with two (17%) in the control group (95% confidence interval of difference 11% to 69%, $P = 0.009$). Of the patients with mild dementia, 32 (91%) in the intervention group were living independently at three months operation compared with 28 (67%) in the control group (7% to 42% $P = 0.009$). One year after the operation, 62% of the patients with moderate dementia and 77% of the patients with mild dementia were living independently in the intervention group. The corresponding values in the patients in the control group were 33% (−6% to 57%, $P = 0.1$) and 76% (−19% to 20%) ($P = 0.092$).

There were no significant differences in mortality between the intervention and the control group for any of the mini mental state groups. First year mortality for all patients in the study was 28% for patients with severe dementia, 17% for patients with moderate dementia, 10% for those with mild dementia, and 12% for patients with normal scores.

Discussion

We compared the quality of the current rehabilitation scheme in local hospitals with intensive rehabilitation in a geriatric hospital ward. Patients were recruited over four years, and the study could not have included more patients without extending to other healthcare districts and hospitals.

We found no differences in age or sex distribution, operative care, or distribution of types of hip fracture between the groups. The difference in the proportion of demented patients was coincidental. The mini mental state examination is a screening method for dementia. It has also been used to assess the severity of dementia. We did not use any other method to

| Table 1 Demographic and clinical data of the hip fracture patients in intervention and control groups |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                | Intervention     | Control          |
| No (%) of women                | 84 (70)          | 90 (73)          |
| Mean (range) age (years)       | 80 (67-92)       | 80 (66-97)       |
| No (%) living alone            | 82 (52)          | 79 (57)          |
| Median (range) mini mental state examination score* | 20 (0-30) | 23 (0-30) <0.001 |
| Median (interquartile range) activities of daily living score† | 5 (5-6) | 6 (5-6) 0.007 |
| Median (interquartile range) instrumental activities of daily living score‡ | 4 (1-7) | 5.5 (3-8) 0.004 |
| Median (range) self assessed melancholy (0-100 mm)¶ | 48 (0-100) | 22 (0-100) 0.14 |

*Full range 0-30, higher score=lower function. † Full range 0-6, higher score=lower function. ‡ Full range 0-8, higher score=lower function. ¶ Visual analogue scale: full range 0-100, higher score=more melancholy.
Table 2 Length of hospital stay according to mini mental state examination score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score†</th>
<th>Intervention group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–11</td>
<td>19 (32)</td>
<td>9 (16)</td>
<td>0.902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–17</td>
<td>24 (44)</td>
<td>12 (23)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–23</td>
<td>35 (61)</td>
<td>42 (73)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24–30</td>
<td>41 (71)</td>
<td>56 (93)</td>
<td>0.376</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value adjusted by using Hommel’s method.
†Mini mental state examination was not performed on one patient in the intervention group and four in the control group.

Effect of dementia

We found that the severity of cognitive impairment was related to higher mortality and less successful return to independent living. This has been found in other studies. Weibull and Lieberman and colleagues reported that the success of rehabilitation was significantly associated with reduced mental status in the mini mental state examination. Cognitive function was assessed during the first week after admission to the rehabilitation unit. The odds of successful rehabilitation in patients without dementia were found to be 20 times higher than for a patient with dementia. The average duration of stay in a geriatric ward of patients with dementia was 34.8 days.

Earlier randomised studies of geriatric rehabilitation after hip fracture do not allow direct conclusions to be drawn about its effect on patients with dementia. Studies in the United States and Scotland found no significant gains in mortality or length of hospital stay with evaluation by a geriatric team and geriatric consultation on an orthopaedic ward. The cognitive function of the patients in these studies was not reported. Kennie et al found that women with hip fracture who were admitted to a geriatric ward after surgery had a shorter median stay and were less likely to be discharged into institutional care than women who remained on an orthopaedic ward. After one year the mortality was lower and more patients were living independently. However, the patients in the control group were older and had more severe cognitive impairment than those in the intervention group. Among patients with moderate impairment, the median length of stay in hospital was 21 days in the geriatric treatment group (n = 7) and 61 days in the control group (n = 16). Our results are comparable with these findings.

Patients in an Australian study benefited from early geriatric assessment and discharge planning, accelerated rehabilitation, and closer family caregiver contact. The mean length of hospital stay was shorter and more patients were discharged to independent living. The proportion of cognitively impaired patients was similar in the intervention and control groups, but the patients in the intervention group were younger than those in the control group. A Swedish study found no significant differences between rehabilitation of hip fracture patients on an orthopaedic ward and rehabilitation on a local hospital ward for geriatric patients with orthopaedic problems. However, the cognitive function of the patients was not evaluated, the men in the intervention group were older, and there were more patients with subtrochanteric fractures in the geriatric rehabilitation group.

The quality and cost of treatment schemes depend on the surgical and rehabilitation methods and how the community cares for elderly people. Successful rehabilitation requires caring for the physical, social, and psychosocial needs of elderly patients. Demented patients with hip fractures probably benefited especially from practising daily activities throughout the day, early mobilisation and discharge, physiotherapy

Table 3 Place of residence and mortality of patients according to score on mini mental state examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>3 months</th>
<th>1 year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interven</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tion</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–11</td>
<td>7 (37)</td>
<td>7 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>8 (42)</td>
<td>4 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td>1 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19 (100)</td>
<td>9 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–17</td>
<td>15 (83)</td>
<td>2 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td>1 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>4 (17)</td>
<td>8 (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
<td>1 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24 (100)</td>
<td>12 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–23</td>
<td>32 (91)</td>
<td>28 (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>2 (0)</td>
<td>19 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>2 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>35 (100)</td>
<td>42 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24–30</td>
<td>35 (85)</td>
<td>47 (84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>5 (12)</td>
<td>7 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>41 (100)</td>
<td>46 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What is already known on this topic

Demented patients with hip fracture have higher mortality and are more likely to need long term residential care than patients without dementia

What this study adds

Geriatric assessment and intensive rehabilitation after hip fracture in patients with mild or moderate dementia diminishes the length of hospital stay

Patients with mild dementia in the geriatric rehabilitation group were as successful as patients with normal cognitive function in returning to independent living

One year after hip fracture, significantly fewer patients with moderate dementia in the geriatric rehabilitation group were in institutional care

Intensive, multidisciplinary geriatric rehabilitation should be considered for hip fracture patients with mild or moderate dementia

in the familiar surroundings at home, individualised family counselling, and collaboration with the families and home care.
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Endpiece
Just worn out

I could not ascertain by my own observation, or through the medical attendants, that Sir Hildebrand Oshbadstine died of any formed complaint bearing a name in the science of medicine. He seemed completely worn out and broken down by fatigue of body and distress of mind; just as a vessel, buffeted and tossed by a storm of tempestuous gales, his timbers oversprained, and her joints loosened, will sometimes spring a leak and founder, where there are no apparent causes for her destruction.
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