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Abstract

Objectives To examine the influence of parental age at delivery and birth order on subsequent risk of childhood diabetes.

Design Prospective population based family study.

Setting Area formerly administered by the Oxford Regional Health Authority.

Participants 1375 families in which one child or more had diabetes. Of 3221 offspring, 1431 had diabetes (median age at diagnosis 10.5 years, range 0.4-28.5) and 1790 remained non-diabetic at a median age of 16.1 years.

Main outcome measures Disease free survival and hazard ratios for the development of type 1 diabetes in all offspring, assessed by Cox proportional hazard regression.

Results Maternal age at delivery was strongly related to risk of type 1 diabetes in the offspring; risk increased by 25% (95% confidence interval 17% to 34%) for each five year band of maternal age, so that maternal age at delivery of 45 years or more was associated with a relative risk of 3.11 (2.07 to 4.66) compared with a maternal age of less than 20 years. Paternal age was also associated with a 9% (3% to 16%) increase for each five year increase in paternal age. The relative risk of diabetes, adjusted for parental age at delivery and sex of offspring, decreased with increasing birth order; the overall effect was a 15% risk reduction (10% to 21%) per child born.

Conclusions A strong association was found between increasing maternal age at delivery and risk of diabetes in the child. Risk was highest in firstborn children and decreased progressively with higher birth order. The fetal environment seems to have a strong influence on risk of type 1 diabetes in the child. The increase in maternal age at delivery in the United Kingdom over the past two decades could partly account for the increase in incidence of childhood diabetes over this period.

Introduction

Type 1 diabetes develops against a background of genetic susceptibility and is mediated by immune mechanisms activated many years before clinical onset of the disease. Studies of the appearance of autoantibodies in the offspring or siblings of individuals with type 1 diabetes suggest that autoimmune responses to islet antigens are generally well established by age 3-5 years, even though the disease may not develop until adult life.1 As in type 2 diabetes, pre-natal and early postnatal factors are known to influence subsequent risk of type 1 diabetes.2 These include intrauterine exposure to viral infection with rubella or enterovirus and birth weight.3,4 Additionally, several studies have reported that high maternal age at delivery increases the risk of type 1 diabetes in the child.5,6 Studies of birth order have produced inconsistent results.7,8 We therefore examined the influence of parental age at delivery and birth order on subsequent risk of diabetes in the child in a large population based family cohort.

Participants and methods

The Bart's-Oxford family study

The Bart's-Oxford (BOX) family study of childhood diabetes is a prospective population based study, which since 1985 has recruited more than 90% of the families of children who have developed type 1 diabetes under the age of 21 in the former Oxford Regional Health Authority area. This area has a population of 2.6 million, including 730 000 people under the age of 21 years. Ascertainment of new cases is more than 95%, and incidence up to age 15 over the period 1985-95 averaged 18.6 (95% confidence interval 17.4 to 19.8) cases per 100 000/year.9 Data, including dates of birth and history of diabetes, are collected during home visits, and further contact with the families is maintained by visits and telephone calls from the field workers, supplemented by annual postal questionnaires. More than 90% of those recruited remain under regular follow up. Diabetes is defined according to World Health Organization criteria, and cases of secondary diabetes or maturity onset diabetes of the young are excluded by examination of the clinical records.

Our analysis included 3221 offspring from 1375 families. A further 91 offspring from 49 families were excluded because the date of birth of the father or mother, or both, was unavailable. The median age of mothers at delivery of the included offspring was 27 years (range 16-45) and the median age of fathers was 29 years (range 16-74). The analysis included 1294 firstborn children, 1177 second children, 516 third children, 171 fourth children, 41 fifth children, and 22 children who were sixth or more in the birth order. Of the 1790 offspring who remained non-diabetic at the time of the analysis, 21 had been randomised into a diabetes intervention trial at median age 15.7 years (range 7-25).

Data analysis

We used Cox proportional hazards regression to assess disease free survival and hazard ratios for development of diabetes. Survival time was from birth to the date of diagnosis of the disease. Offspring who did not develop diabetes were censored at the date of last contact or date of entry into a diabetes intervention trial. The sex of each offspring, maternal and paternal ages at delivery, and order of birth of the offspring were included in...
Results

Survival analysis

Of 3221 offspring included in this analysis, 1431 had developed diabetes (2.5/1000 children) and 1790 remained non-diabetic at median age 16.1 years (range 0-43). Overall, 57% (816 participants) of those with diabetes were male. Figure 1 shows the Kaplan-Meier survival curves for each five year band of maternal age at delivery. Cox regression analysis showed that maternal age, paternal age, birth order, and sex of offspring were independent determinants of risk. There was no evidence of significant non-linearity for parental age or birth order variables, and no significant first order interactions were found. The table shows the hazard ratios for these four variables in univariate and multivariate analyses. Risk increased with increasing maternal age at delivery and was less strongly associated with increasing paternal age. Overall risk of diabetes increased by 25% (95% confidence interval 17% to 34%) for each five year band of maternal age and by 9% (5% to 16%) for each five year increase in paternal age. Multivariate analysis showed that increasing birth order conferred some protection. The overall effect of increasing birth order was a 15% risk reduction (10% to 21%) per child. The risk in male offspring was 21% higher (8% to 35%) than in females.

Estimated effect of temporal changes in maternal age distribution

Figure 2 shows the distribution of maternal age at delivery in England and Wales since 1960. The most noticeable changes were that the proportion of children born to mothers aged 20-24 years decreased from 37% to 19% between 1970 and 1996, whereas the proportion of children born to mothers aged 35-39 years increased from 14% to 18% during the same period. The estimated cumulative incidence of diabetes up to age 15, as estimated from the Cox regression model, was summed to give a factor proportional to the cumulative incidence of diabetes in the Oxford area. The estimated cumulative incidence of diabetes up to age 15 of 2.5/1000 children was calculated on the basis of data estimated cumulative incidence of diabetes up to age 15 (Office of Population Censuses and Surveys). An estimated cumulative incidence of diabetes up to age 15 of 2.5/1000 children was calculated on the basis of data on the incidence of childhood diabetes in the Oxford region in 1985. For each calendar year, the proportion of children born to mothers in each age group was multiplied by the percentage of children predicted to have developed diabetes by age 15, as estimated from the Cox regression model (assuming the child to be in the baseline category for all characteristics—that is, a firstborn female with a father aged less than 20 at delivery). This was summed to give a factor proportional to the cumulative incidence of diabetes in that annual birth cohort. This sum was then expressed relative to the estimate for the 1970 birth cohort. The estimated effect of changes in distribution of maternal age on the incidence of diabetes was calculated by using demographic data for England and Wales (Office of Population Censuses and Surveys). An estimated cumulative incidence of diabetes up to age 15 of 2.5/1000 children was calculated on the basis of data on the incidence of childhood diabetes in the Oxford region in 1985. For each calendar year, the proportion of children born to mothers in each age group was multiplied by the percentage of children predicted to have developed diabetes by age 15, as estimated from the Cox regression model (assuming the child to be in the baseline category for all characteristics—that is, a firstborn female with a father aged less than 20 at delivery). This was summed to give a factor proportional to the cumulative incidence of diabetes in that annual birth cohort. This sum was then expressed relative to the estimate for the 1970 birth cohort.
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The incidence of childhood diabetes has increased in many parts of the world since the 1950s. Studies from Finland, which has the highest incidence of childhood diabetes in the world, have shown that the incidence is now about four times as high as in 1953, when the first nationwide study was performed, with a linear trend over nearly 40 years. The increase in incidence has been most noticeable in developed countries, which have shown an increasing maternal age at first childbirth over a similar period. In the United Kingdom, for example, there are now fewer mothers in the 20-24 year age band and more in the 30-34 year age band (Fig 2). We estimate that changes in the distribution of maternal age at delivery could account for an 11% increase in the cumulative incidence of diabetes between 1970 and 1996.

The incidence of type 1 diabetes in childhood has increased rapidly in the population we are studying, but the proportion of mothers aged more than 35 years at delivery was higher for children with diabetes. Similar population based case-control studies found an increased risk of diabetes among children born to older mothers in Scotland but not in Northern Ireland, and a Danish study found a linear risk of diabetes with increasing maternal age for males but not for females. One case-control study found no association with maternal age.

We studied the effect of parental age and risk of diabetes within a large population based cohort of children with diabetes and their siblings. This cohort is larger than the studies described above and has the additional advantage that probands are compared with siblings rather than unrelated controls. Survival analysis was therefore performed within a group in which genetic susceptibility to type 1 diabetes was increased and can be assumed to be independent of parental age at delivery. Our major finding was that increasing maternal age at delivery was associated with a log linear increase in risk of diabetes in the offspring. There was also a weaker association between risk and the father’s age at delivery. The most comparable study to ours was that from Pittsburgh, which examined just over a thousand families and showed a significant association between a maternal age of more than 35 years and the cumulative frequency of diabetes in children. No trend was found in younger maternal age groups. The observation that children of older mothers have an increased risk of diabetes seems reasonably secure. We have extended this observation by showing a log linear relation throughout reproductive life sufficiently powerful to influence the overall rate of diabetes within a population.

Whereas most studies on maternal age at birth and risk of type 1 diabetes have had consistent results, the literature on birth order is conflicting. Our study, based on multivariate analysis, may help to explain this. We found that univariate analysis showed no association with birth order, but after adjustment for maternal age, an inverse association with birth order was apparent. In other words, later offspring have a higher risk of diabetes associated with maternal age but are also relatively protected compared with firstborn children. The combined effect of low birth order and high maternal age is such that risk of diabetes will be highest in firstborn children of mothers who start their families late.
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Whereas most studies on maternal age at birth and risk of type 1 diabetes have had consistent results, the literature on birth order is conflicting. Our study, based on multivariate analysis, may help to explain this. We found that univariate analysis showed no association with birth order, but after adjustment for maternal age, an inverse association with birth order was apparent. In other words, later offspring have a higher risk of diabetes associated with maternal age but are also relatively protected compared with firstborn children. The combined effect of low birth order and high maternal age is such that risk of diabetes will be highest in firstborn children of mothers who start their families late.
What is already known on this topic
Several studies have shown that children born to older mothers have an increased risk of type 1 diabetes
Most studies have compared cases with census data or controls and therefore have not matched for genetic susceptibility
Most reports suggest that increased risk is limited to the offspring of mothers aged more than 35 years at delivery. Conflicting reports exist concerning birth order and subsequent risk of type 1 diabetes

What this study adds
A strong log linear inverse relation was found between maternal age at delivery and risk of diabetes, equivalent to a 25% increase in risk for each 5 year rise in maternal age
Multivariate analysis showed that risk, adjusted for the effects of parental age at delivery and sex of the offspring, is highest in firstborn children and decreased progressively with higher birth order
Increasing maternal age at delivery of the first child may have contributed to the rising incidence of childhood diabetes

with an annual increase of 4% from 1985 to 1996.16 Increasing maternal age at delivery can only partly explain an increase of this magnitude, and other as yet unknown factors must be involved. A trend to earlier onset of disease has also been observed in our area, as in Finland.14 19 The weak inverse correlation between maternal age at birth and age at diagnosis in the child shown in our present study and also in Pittsburgh15 would be expected to have a small influence on this trend.

Why should maternal age influence risk of type 1 diabetes? An acquired genetic abnormality seems unlikely because, although parental age is associated with several genetic disorders due to aneuploidy—most notably Down’s syndrome—no chromosomal abnormality is present in type 1 diabetes. Intrauterine viral infection can influence subsequent risk of diabetes in the child and might account for the higher risk in firstborn children, but it would not explain the effect of increasing maternal age.1 17 An alternative possibility, prompted by epidemiological observations in atopic conditions, including asthma, is that maturation of the immune system may be influenced by maternal age. Atopic disease is thought to be mediated via a predominant Th2 (T helper) lymphocyte response, whereas type 1 diabetes and other autoimmune diseases are mediated via Th1 responses. This is reflected in the clinical observation that children with type 1 diabetes have a lower prevalence of asthmatic symptoms than controls.21 Studies in asthma and other atopic disorders have shown that these Th2 mediated diseases are associated with low maternal age.22 21 24 We would therefore speculate that factors associated with higher maternal age influence maturation of the immune system in the offspring; possibly increasing predisposition to type 1 diabetes in later life by shifting the balance towards Th1 responses.

Conclusions
High maternal age—and possibly high paternal age—increases the risk of type 1 diabetes in offspring. Additionally, risk increases in a log linear fashion throughout the maternal age range. Higher maternal age at birth is associated with younger age of onset of type 1 diabetes in the child. Firstborn children are at greater risk than children of higher birth order. These observations, if confirmed, could account in part for the increasing incidence of diabetes and for the trend towards younger age at diagnosis. The fetal origins of type 2 diabetes, an aetiologically distinct disorder, are now well established.17 Fetal or neonatal influences could prove equally important in type 1 diabetes.
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Cytokines are the hormonal messengers responsible for most of the biological effects in the immune system, such as cell mediated immunity and allergic type responses. Although they are numerous, cytokines can be functionally divided into two groups: those that are proinflammatory and those that are essentially anti-inflammatory but that promote allergic responses.

T lymphocytes are a major source of cytokines. These cells bear antigen specific receptors on their cell surface to allow recognition of foreign pathogens. They can also recognise normal tissue during episodes of autoimmune diseases. There are two main subsets of T lymphocytes, distinguished by the presence of cell surface molecules known as CD4 and CD8. T lymphocytes expressing CD4 are also known as helper T cells, and these are regarded as being the most prolific cytokine producers. This subset can be further subdivided into Th1 and Th2, and the cytokines they produce are known as Th1-type cytokines and Th2-type cytokines.

Th1-type cytokines tend to produce the proinflammatory responses responsible for killing intracellular parasites and for perpetuating autoimmune responses. Interferon gamma is the main Th1 cytokine. Excessive proinflammatory responses can lead to uncontrolled tissue damage, so there needs to be a mechanism to counteract this. The Th2-type cytokines include interleukins 4, 5, and 13, which are associated with the promotion of IgE and eosinophilic responses in atopy, and also interleukin-10, which has more of an anti-inflammatory response. In excess, Th2 responses will counteract the Th1 mediated microbicidal action. The optimal scenario would therefore seem to be that humans should produce a well balanced Th1 and Th2 response, suited to the immune challenge.

Many researchers regard allergy as a Th2 weighted immune response, although it is now apparent that babies with allergies who are born with a generally weaker Th1 response, who go on to develop full blown allergies may be those who are born with a generally weaker Th1 response, although it is now apparent that babies with allergies produce weak Th1 and Th2 responses.

Some people have suggested that immunisation programmes (and the subsequent reduction in microbiological exposure) are responsible for the increasing incidence of atopy. There is, however, no evidence that immunisation causes atopy. Moreover, this is not an argument that we should be exposing children to potentially fatal diseases again. If experiencing native diseases reduces the incidence of atopy, then the task of immunologists must be to develop vaccines that mimic the positive effects of infection.