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Abstract

Objective To identify predictors of postnatal catch-up growth from birth to two years and its relation to size and obesity at five years.

Design Regional prospective cohort study.

Setting Avon longitudinal study of pregnancy and childhood, United Kingdom.

Subjects 848 full term singletons from a 10% random sample of the Avon longitudinal study of pregnancy and childhood.

Main outcome measures Maternal birth weight, prepregnancy weight, pregnancy weight gain, height, smoking, and parity, and paternal height. Weight and length of infants at birth, two years, and five years expressed as standard deviation (SD) scores from the UK reference scores for 1990. Percentage fat mass and total fat mass (estimated from skinfolds) and waist circumference at five years.

Results Size at birth was representative of the national reference. Overall, 30.7% (260 of 848) of infants showed a gain in SD score for weight greater than 0.67 SD scores between zero and two years, indicating clinically significant catch-up growth. These children had lower weight, length, and ponderal index at birth than other children, and were more often from primiparous pregnancies. They also had taller fathers than other children, and their mothers had lower birth weights and were more likely to smoke during pregnancy. Children who showed catch-up growth between zero and two years were heavier, taller, and fatter (body mass index, percentage body fat, and waist circumference) at five years than other children.

Conclusions In this contemporary well nourished cohort, catch-up growth was predicted by factors relating to intrauterine restraint of fetal growth. Children who showed catch-up growth between zero and two years were fatter and had more central fat distribution at five years than other children. Mechanisms that signal and regulate early catch-up growth in the postnatal period may influence associations between small size at birth and risks for disease in adulthood.
Introduction

Associations between low birth weight, particularly thinness at birth, and increased risks for disease in adulthood, such as type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease, are now well established. In many population studies these associations are enhanced by and even depend on the development of obesity in adulthood. Therefore any relation between small size at birth and obesity in later life may contribute to the pathogenesis underlying the fetal origins hypothesis.

The greatest variation in rates of weight gain is seen in the first 2 years of life when infants may show significant “catch-up” or “catch-down” growth. These variable growth rates often compensate for intrauterine restraint or enhancement of fetal growth, and by two years of age growth usually follows the genetic trajectory. In recent birth cohort studies, subjects who were small or thin at birth and then showed rapid childhood growth had the greatest risk for disease in adulthood. In the geographically defined birth cohort of the Avon longitudinal study of pregnancy and childhood (ALSPAC), we now show that early postnatal catch-up growth, between birth and two years, is a risk factor for childhood obesity and may therefore contribute to associations between intrauterine growth restraint and risk of disease in adulthood.

Subjects and methods

Subjects

The ALSPAC cohort comprises 14,000 children recruited from all births in the three Bristol based district health authorities between April 1991 and December 1992. We randomly selected a 10% subcohort from the last six months of recruitment, and we measured the infants at birth, every four months to 12 months, every six months to four years, and at five years. Of 1335 term (gestation 37-42 weeks) singletons at birth, two years, and five years for 848 infants (464 boys). Our analyses are confined to infants with complete data on weight and length at birth; weight, height, and body mass index at 2 and 5 years; and maternal and paternal height. Changes in SD scores between birth and two years were calculated for weight and length (scores at two years minus scores at birth) and were adjusted for gestational age. A gain in SD score for weight between zero and two years that was greater than 0.67 SD scores was taken to indicate clinically significant catch-up growth, as 0.67 SD scores represent the width of each percentile band on standard growth charts—that is, second to ninth percentile, ninth to 25th, 25th to 50th, and so on. Similarly, a decrease in SD scores for weight by more than 0.67 SD scores indicated catch-down growth.

Statistics

Associations between SD scores for weight at different ages were assessed with product moment correlations. We used analysis of variance to test differences in scores between children who showed catch-up, no change, or catch-down growth, and we used analysis of covariance when necessary to allow for sex and fat mass. We used $\chi^2$ test for linear trend to test for differences in parity, maternal smoking, and breast feeding. Statistics were analysed with SPSS for Windows (version 7.5.1).

Results

In our cohort, means and distribution of size at birth were similar to the UK growth reference for 1990 (table 1). By two years, however, boys were heavier than the national reference, and by five years both boys and girls were heavier, reflecting the current trends towards increasing weight gain in childhood.

Change in SD score for weight 0-2 years

The correlation between SD scores for weight at birth and at two years was low ($r=0.36, P<0.0005$) compared with that between two and five years ($r=0.80, P<0.0005$), indicating large interindividual variation in rate of weight gain between birth and two years. Overall, 30.7% of infants (260) gained greater
than 0.67 SD scores between birth and two years indicating clinically significant catch-up growth, whereas 24.5% (208) lost more than 0.67 scores (catch-down growth); thus only 44.8% remained within the same weight percentile band between zero and two years. SD scores for length also showed significant catch-up (24.9%, 174 of 700) and catch-down (20.7%, 145 of 700) growth; thus only 45.6% remained within the same growth category (catch-up or catch-down category 45.6%) than for weight (55.2%, 244 of 442) and catch-down (20.7%, 145 of 700) growth; thus only 44.8% remained within the same growth category (catch-up or catch-down category 45.6%) than for weight (55.2%, 244 of 442) and catch-down (20.7%, 145 of 700) growth; thus only 44.8% remained within the same growth category (catch-up or catch-down category 45.6%)

### Predictors for catch-up growth 0-2 years

Children who showed catch up in SD scores for weight between zero and two years were lighter, shorter, and thinner at birth than those who showed no change (greater than 0.67 scores) or catch-down growth (table 2). These children also had taller fathers than other children, but there was no difference in either maternal height or body mass index (table 3) or prepregnancy weight or weight gain during pregnancy (data not shown). Catch-up growth between zero and two years was also related to low maternal birth weight and primiparous pregnancy, and there was a trend towards more mothers who admitted to smoking in pregnancy (P = 0.07).

### Catch-up growth 0-2 years and size at five years

Children who showed catch up in SD scores for weight between zero and two years were heavier and taller and had greater body mass index, percentage body fat, and total fat mass than all other children at five years. They also had larger waist circumference at five years, and this difference persisted after allowing for body mass index (P = 0.007) or fat mass (P = 0.006). These results were unaffected by adjustment for breast or bottle feeding. Mean SD score for height at five years in children who showed catch-up growth (mean 0.47 SD 0.80 scores) was also greater than that of their mothers (0.15 SD 1.01, paired t-test P < 0.0005) or fathers (0.05 SD 0.92, P < 0.0005).

Even if catch-up growth was defined on the basis of gain in SD scores for length between zero and two years (greater than 0.67 scores), those who showed catch-up growth had greater body mass index SD scores (0.44 (1.01) scores) and fat mass (3.5 (1.2) kg) at five years than those who showed no change (0.31 (1.00); 3.3 (1.1)) or catch-down growth (0.22 (0.88); 2.9 (0.9)) (P value for trend: body mass index SD scores P < 0.05; fat mass P < 0.0005).

### Discussion

Catch up is a property of human growth whereby children return to their genetic trajectory after a period of five years. Values are means (SDs) unless stated otherwise

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catch-up</th>
<th>No change</th>
<th>Catch-down</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight SD scores</td>
<td>0.0 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length SD scores</td>
<td>0.1 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth</th>
<th>Catch-up</th>
<th>No change</th>
<th>Catch-down</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birth</td>
<td>Weight SD scores</td>
<td>−0.53 (0.91)*</td>
<td>0.01 (0.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height SD scores</td>
<td>−0.23 (0.98)*</td>
<td>−0.08 (0.86)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index SD scores</td>
<td>0.31 (0.94)*</td>
<td>0.12 (0.88)</td>
<td>−0.34 (0.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth</th>
<th>Catch-up</th>
<th>No change</th>
<th>Catch-down</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paternal details</td>
<td>Height SD score</td>
<td>0.05 (0.92)*</td>
<td>−0.07 (0.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index SD score</td>
<td>0.28 (1.01)</td>
<td>0.10 (1.03)</td>
<td>0.01 (1.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal details</td>
<td>Height SD score</td>
<td>0.15 (1.01)</td>
<td>0.10 (1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index SD score</td>
<td>0.29 (1.15)</td>
<td>0.15 (1.10)</td>
<td>0.34 (1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (kg)</td>
<td>3.30 (0.57)*</td>
<td>3.34 (0.58)</td>
<td>3.34 (0.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P value for trend: *<0.05, **<0.005, ***<0.0005.
growth arrest or delay, for example, because of illness. It may occur at any stage of growth, but is most commonly observed in the first 1-2 years of life, and pronounced catch-up growth postnatally is often seen after severe intrauterine growth restraint.

In this contemporary and representative birth cohort, a large proportion of children (30.7%) showed catch-up growth between zero and two years, sufficient to result in a move upwards by the width of at least one percentile band on standard growth charts. These children were lighter and thinner at birth than other children, and their mothers had had lower birth weights and also higher prevalence of primiparous pregnancy and smoking than the other mothers, indicating that fetal growth in these children had been restrained. The main determinants of fetal growth restraint in contemporary societies are not known. In this cohort, maternal diets during pregnancy have been shown to be adequate and unrelated to size at birth. Pregnancy induced hypertension and other maternal disease are also unlikely to be major factors as this cohort was recruited from healthy singletons at full term.

Children who showed catch-up growth had taller fathers than the other children. This may indicate a postnatal effect of paternal genes on childhood growth but could also be explained by increased maternal restraint in response to greater fetal growth potential, as suggested by crossbreeding experiments in animals. Catch-up growth was also related to low maternal birth weight. From observations of birth weights among extended human kindreds, Ounsted concluded that maternal restraint may be inherited as a trait through the maternal line. In this ALSPAC cohort, we recently showed an association between a common genetic variant in mitochondrial DNA and thinness at birth in infants who subsequently showed catch-up growth. Thus, interaction between maternally and paternally derived fetal genotypes could be an important determinant of fetal growth restraint and postnatal catch-up growth.

How infants who were restrained in utero catch up postnatally is largely unknown, although greater food intake has been observed compared with other infants. Concentrations of cord blood leptin are positively related to ponderal index at birth but inversely related to weight gain in infancy, and thus low concentrations of this hormone at birth may provide a signal for catch-up growth through reduced inhibition of satiety.

Children who showed catch up in weight or length between zero and two years were heavier and taller than other children at five years and they were also taller in relation to their mothers' and fathers' heights. Furthermore, these children had greater body mass index, percentage body fat, total fat mass, and central fat distribution, which are variables of childhood size linked to metabolic markers for risk of disease in adulthood and are predictive for adulthood obesity. Thus, in contemporary, affluent societies the biological predisposition to catch-up growth conferred by intrauterine growth restraint may be inherited as a trait through the maternal line. In this ALSPAC cohort, we recently showed an association between a common genetic variant in mitochondrial DNA and thinness at birth in infants who subsequently showed catch-up growth. Thus, interaction between maternally and paternally derived fetal genotypes could be an important determinant of fetal growth restraint and postnatal catch-up growth.

Catch-up growth in childhood and death from coronary heart disease: What is already known on this topic

The increased risks for diseases in adulthood, such as type 2 diabetes and hypertension, associated with small size at birth are exacerbated, and in some population studies only seen, in those subjects who become obese.

What this study adds

The greatest variation in rates of weight gain occurs in the first 1-2 years of postnatal life.

Infants who showed catch-up growth during this period were smaller and thinner at birth and had more maternal indicators of intrauterine growth restraint but were larger and fatter than other children at five years.

Factors that signal and regulate postnatal catch-up growth may therefore contribute to the pathogenesis underlying the fetal origins hypothesis.
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Factors underlying the effect of organisational downsizing on health of employees: longitudinal cohort study
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Abstract

Objective To explore the underlying mechanisms between organisational downsizing and deterioration of health of employees.

Design Longitudinal cohort study. Data were assembled from before downsizing (time 1); during major downsizing affecting some job categories (time 2); and after downsizing (time 3). Contributions of changes in work, support, and health related behaviours between time 1 and time 2 to the relation between downsizing and sickness absence at time 3 were assessed by multilevel modelling. Mean length of follow up was 4.9 years.

Setting Raisio, a town in Finland.

Subjects 764 municipal employees who remained in employment after downsizing.

Main outcome measures Records of absences from work from all causes with medical certificate.

Results Downsizing was associated with negative changes in work, impaired support from spouse, and increased prevalence of smoking. Sickness absence rate from all causes was 2.17 (95% confidence interval 1.54 to 3.07) times higher after major downsizing than after minor downsizing. Adjustment for changes in work (for instance, physical demands, job control, and job insecurity) diminished the relation between downsizing and sickness absence by 49%. Adjustments for impaired social support or increased smoking did not alter the relation between downsizing and sickness absence. The findings were unaffected by sex and income.

Conclusions The exploration of potential mediating factors provides new information about the possible causal pathways linking organisational downsizing and health. Downsizing results in changes in work, social relationships, and health related behaviours. The observed increase in certificated sickness absence was partially explained by concomitant increases in physical demands and job insecurity and a reduction in job control. A considerable proportion of the increase, however, remained unexplained by the factors measured.

Introduction

Driven by alterations in the national and global economy, international competition, and the rapid pace of technological change organisational downsizing (that is, reduction in numbers of staff by businesses and other organisations) became an important aspect of working life in developed countries in the last decades of the 20th century.1 Regardless of whether downsizing is an effective business strategy resulting in better corporate performance, its potential deleterious consequences on the health of employees have become apparent.3–4 Vahtera et al, for example, reported that the health of those who kept their jobs depended on the extent to which staffing levels were reduced in the category of job concerned.1 Medically certified long term sickness absences, irrespective of cause, were twice as common after major downsizing (>18%) than after minor downsizing (<8%).