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Abstract

Objective To assess the impact of screening on the incidence of and mortality from cervical cancer.

Design Comparison of age specific incidence and mortality before and after the introduction of the national call and recall system in 1988.

Setting England.

Subjects Women aged over 19 years.

Results From the mid-1960s, the number of smears taken rose continuously to 4.5 million at the end of the 1980s. Between 1988 and 1994, coverage of the target group doubled to around 85%. Registrations of in situ disease increased broadly in parallel with the numbers of smears taken. The overall incidence of invasive disease remained stable up to the end of the 1980s, although there were strong cohort effects; from 1990 incidence fell continuously and in 1995 was 35% lower than in the 1980s. The fall in overall mortality since 1950 accelerated at the end of the 1980s; there were strong cohort effects. Mortality in women under 55 was much lower in the 1990s than would have been expected.

Conclusions The national call and recall system and incentive payments to general practitioners increased coverage to around 85%. This resulted in falls in incidence of invasive disease in all regions of England and in all age groups from 30 to 74. The falls in mortality in older women were largely unrelated to screening, but without screening there might have been 800 more deaths from cervical cancer in women under 55 in 1997.

Introduction

Invasive cervical cancer is the second most common cancer in women worldwide, but 80% of cases occur in developing countries. The incidence of the disease has been falling in many western countries, but not in Great Britain, over the past 40 years. The cervical smear test was developed over 50 years ago, and screening began in Great Britain, some Nordic countries, and parts of North America in the 1960s.

In the late 1980s the incidence of cervical cancer in Great Britain was in the middle of the European range. But mortality was among the highest of the developed countries, and in Europe only Denmark had a higher rate. Survival improved slightly in England during the 1980s, but remained worse than in most other European countries. It is much poorer for later than earlier stage disease.

A link between cervical cancer and a sexually transmitted infection was first suggested because it was associated with women who had had many sexual partners, or whose partner had, and an early age at first intercourse. Evidence for the aetiological role of human papillomavirus has accumulated from both molecular and epidemiological studies. Other risk factors include smoking, oral contraceptives, parity, cervical trauma during childbirth, and hormonal influences of pregnancy. Changes in these risk factors over time will have affected the incidence of cervical cancer.

Although cervical screening in England started in 1964, for over 20 years it failed to achieve sufficient coverage of women or follow up of all women with positive results. During the 1980s, several recommendations were made to improve the screening programme, and in 1987 an intercollegiate working party recommended that screens be repeated every three years for women aged 20 to 64 years. A national call and recall system was established in 1988. In 1996, 60% of district health authorities operated a 3 year recall. Financial incentives were first introduced with general practitioner contracts in 1990.

To assess the impact of the screening programme in England we examined trends in the numbers of smears taken and other characteristics of the screening programme; age specific trends for both in situ and invasive cervical cancer from 1971 to 1995; and age specific mortality from cervical cancer from 1950 to 1997.

Subjects and methods

The target age group for screening is 20 to 64 years; coverage is defined from 1995 onwards as the percentage of women aged 25 to 64 who had a smear test in the previous 5 years (for 1988 to 1994, the previous 5½ years). The cancer registration system has been described elsewhere. Both the ascertainment and quality of data from cancer registries in Great Britain are generally high.

Data on registrations of in situ and invasive cervical cancer from 1971 to 1991 are based on records of individual cases submitted to and validated by the Office for National Statistics. Figures for 1992 to 1995 are based on annual data supplied by the regional cancer registries (from cases that had been validated by the registries and aggregated into 5 year age groups). Only small overall differences exist between the two types of data. For the two regions for which data were not available for 1993 to 1995, we assumed that the age specific incidence changed by the same amount as the average change in those regions for which data were available. Information on the stage of invasive disease was not available centrally.

We used published data on mortality from cervical cancer for 1950 to 1997. Although there have been three changes in the international classification of diseases over this period, no substantial alterations have occurred relating to cervical cancer. We adjusted the
the annual age specific rates for both incidence and mortality were calculated as the numbers of cases divided by the estimated mid-year population. Summary rates for incidence and mortality were directly age standardised by using the European standard population (5 year age bands). Confidence intervals for age specific and age standardised rates were calculated on the assumption that the number of cases followed a Poisson distribution; the figures show typical 95% confidence intervals.

Results
Screening programme
The number of smear taken rose by about 6% each year until the early 1980s, after which the increase was about 8% each year to the end of the decade; since then, about 4.5 million smears have been taken each year (fig 1). Coverage of the target age group in the screening programme rose from 42% in 1988 to 85% in 1994, a level subsequently maintained (see fig 3). Coverage increased in all age groups, but particularly for older women (55 to 64 years).

Carcinoma in situ
The registration rate of carcinoma in situ rose broadly in line with the increasing numbers of smears taken, from about 10/100,000 women (2100 cases) in 1971 to 80 per 100,000 (20,000 cases) in the mid-1990s (fig 1). The apparent large increase in the rates in 1984 and 1985 is due to the inclusion for the first time of registrations of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade III. Since 1987 the trends in registrations in women aged 20-24 and 25-29 have been continually upward, whereas women aged from 30 to 49 have shown no overall increase (fig 2). Registrations for older groups were consistently low and fell with age.

Invasive cancer
From 1971 to the mid-1980s incidence remained between 14 and 16/100,000 (on average 3900 cases a year) (fig 3). It fell for five consecutive years after 1990, reaching just over 10/100,000 in 1995, about 35% lower than in the mid-1980s. The 2900 cases diagnosed in 1995 represented 3% of all malignancies (excluding non-melanoma skin cancer) in women. Age specific incidence has, however, changed differently in the various age groups (fig 4). In 1995, the overall pattern was similar to that in 1990, but the incidence in every age group from 30-34 to 70-74 was substantially (and significantly) lower—by an average 9/100,000 (110 cases).

Incidence varied considerably across the regional health authorities: the crude rates in 1990 ranged from around 11/100,000 (200 cases) in North West Thames
with age up to 60 years. Mortality in each birth cohort historically increased mid-1980s—that is, women born in the mid-1950s—times. \( \frac{3}{100,000} \) (100 deaths) in the mid-1980s (fig 6). Mor-
(30 deaths) in the mid-1960s to a plateau of around (25-34 years) mortality trebled from around \( \frac{1}{100,000} \) to \( \frac{3}{100,000} \) in women aged 25-34 in 1997 represented 2% of cancer deaths in women.
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from the Nordic countries, where the implementation of mortality, \( \frac{1150}{100,000} \) (1150 deaths). The rate of fall then trebled, and by 1997 mortality had fallen to \( \frac{3.7}{100,000} \) (fig 5). The 1150 deaths in 1997 represented 2% of cervical cancer deaths in women and 0.4% of all deaths in women.

Age specific mortality has, however, changed differently in the various age groups. In the youngest women (25-34 years) mortality trebled from around \( \frac{1}{100,000} \) (30 deaths) in the mid-1960s to a plateau of around \( \frac{3}{100,000} \) (100 deaths) in the mid-1980s (fig 6). Mortality in all the other age groups fell, but at different times.

For the cohort of women aged 25-34 in the mid-1980s—that is, women born in the mid-1950s—mortality was three times higher than it had been for women aged 25-34 in the mid-1960s. Cervical cancer mortality in females in each birth cohort is historically increased with age up to 60 years. If the raised risk and pattern of mortality are assumed for women born in the mid-1950s, by 1997 mortality would have increased to around \( \frac{14}{100,000} \) in women aged 35 to 44, and (with a similar projection based on a doubling of mortality for the cohort born in the mid-1940s) to around \( \frac{19}{100,000} \) in women aged 45 to 54. These rates are far higher than those actually observed (around \( \frac{5}{100,000} \) in both age groups (fig 6)). Applying the difference between the projected and actual mortality in 1997 to the number of women in each age group suggests that screening might have prevented 320 deaths in women aged 35-44 and 430 deaths in women aged 45-54. In addition, mortality in women aged 25-34 in 1997 was one third lower than in the peak in the mid-1980s so a further 50 deaths may have been prevented in this age group.

Discussion

Screening programme

Cervical screening by the smear test meets some of the criteria for screening programmes laid down by the World Health Organisation, but not the two which are probably the most important: cervical cancer in England is relatively uncommon and its natural course is not well understood. Although the effectiveness of screening has never been properly demonstrated in randomised controlled trials, firm evidence comes from the Nordic countries, where the implementation of widely different policies resulted in sharply contrasting trends in incidence and mortality. Even so, many operational features of the cervical screening process in England have been heavily criticised.

Nevertheless, many smears are technically unsatisfactory, and there are wide variations in the proportions that are unsatisfactory among the regional health authorities. Interpretation of smears, particularly for the minor changes which give rise to most reported abnormalities, is unreliable. Laboratories vary widely in their use of result categories, and quality assurance is a continuing problem.

The smear test has both low sensitivity and low specificity. Many lesions, particularly mild or moderate dyskaryosis in younger women, will regress, and not all cases of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade III will progress to invasive cancer. Conversely, invasive cancer may arise without any evidence of progression through a series of precancerous stages. Reviews of the cytological history of women with known invasive cancer indicate that many had a series of normal smear test results before diagnosis.

Colposcopy with biopsy is not risk free. An "abnormal" smear test result, even if followed by a negative result on recall, may cause long term distress and anxiety, difficulties in obtaining life insurance, worries about the effect of treatment on subsequent reproductive ability, and psychosexual trauma.

The annual cost of the screening programme is £132 million. This is about four times the cost of the breast screening programme, which aims to reduce annual breast cancer deaths in women aged 55 to 69 by 1250. Costs of cervical screening could be reduced substantially, with little loss in effectiveness by screening all women every five years—there is little benefit, but enormous increase in costs in opportunistic screening at shorter intervals than those recommended. It would also be possible to reduce costs by not screening women under 25 and by not continuing to screen women over 50 who have had two or three consecutive normal results. Screening women over 65, particularly those who have never had a smear, could, however, reduce mortality through diagnosis of cancer at an early stage.

Wilkinson et al have suggested that targeting high risk groups might improve the effectiveness of screening, but the four high risk categories considered have
fairly low odds ratios. Women at increased risk would therefore comprise a large proportion of the population, and the resulting stigmatisation would be socially unacceptable.

Effectiveness
Before the introduction of the national call and recall system and of incentive payments to general practitioners the cervical screening programme in England was largely ineffective, owing mainly to problems of organisation. Most cytological tests were performed on women presenting for obstetric, gynaecological, or contraceptive reasons. At least two thirds of women with invasive cervical cancer had never been screened; for women over 40 (among whom 70% of cases occurred) over 90% had never been screened.

Cook and Draper noted the large increase in incidence of carcinoma in situ and judged that screening might have prevented a potential increase in both the incidence of invasive disease and mortality. Parkin et al estimated that, up to 1978, screening had prevented 25% of potential cases of invasive disease. On the other hand, Murphy et al related the screening effort in different parts of Great Britain to variations in incidence, mortality, and hysterectomy rates and found no evidence that the burden of cervical cancer would have been higher without the screening programme.

The NHS cervical screening programme has recently achieved a high coverage of the target age group. It has particularly improved coverage of older women and women in lower social classes, who were most at risk and previously largely unscreened. National guidelines for clinical practice and service delivery have been established, but there have been problems of implementation in inner cities.

If the introduction of national call and recall has had an effect, there should have been some increases in registrations of in situ disease; a small increase in the incidence of invasive cancer in areas or age groups where coverage was previously poor; a large fall in the incidence of invasive disease across a wide age range and in all parts of the country; possibly a down staging of invasive disease; and lower mortality than would have been expected. Our data show that these changes have occurred.

Registration of in situ disease has increased in parallel with the numbers of smears taken. It is difficult to distinguish increased incidence from improved registration, but changes in completeness are unlikely to produce artefactual trends which affect only particular age groups. There are, however, known problems of misclassification of cervical cancer, and in the North Western region under-registration of invasive disease was about 9% overall.

The plateau in the overall incidence of invasive cervical cancer up to 1988 concealed a complex pattern of changes in the age specific rates. The patterns suggest a cohort effect. Other analyses (including with age period cohort models) have indicated peaks in risk for women born at the end of the 19th century, in the mid-1920s, and after 1950. These women would have been in their late teens and early 20s, and hence becoming sexually active, at the times of the first world war, the second world war, and the introduction of oral contraceptives, respectively.

Since the introduction of national call and recall in 1988 there has been an overall fall of 35% in the incidence of invasive cervical cancer, reflecting falls in the age specific rates for all women aged from 30 to 74 years and in all regions of England. Studies based in the regional cancer registries indicate that since 1988 the distribution of stage has shifted towards earlier stages. And a retrospective case-control study of invasive cancers diagnosed in 1992 has suggested that without screening there would have been 2000 (57%) more cases, although this may be an overestimate.

We therefore conclude that the fall in incidence is directly related to the increased coverage of screening.

Mortality
Interpretation of trends in mortality data presents several problems. Firstly, mortality may be affected by changes in survival. But there have been no significant improvements in treatment for cervical cancer over the past 20 years, and there is no evidence that stage specific survival rates have improved substantially. Secondly, recording of cause of death may not always be accurate, and attempts to improve death certification may lead to artefactual changes. Thirdly, the proportion of deaths ascribed to “cancer of the uterus, site unspecified” has varied and will have decreased as death certification improved.

For mortality, as with the incidence of invasive disease, the long term downward trend which accelerated after 1988 concealed a complex pattern of changes in the age specific rates. These changes reflect the cohort effects in incidence, Little, if any, of the observed long term fall in mortality up to the late 1980s can be ascribed directly to screening because relatively few women dying from cervical cancer aged over 55 years would have been screened, and it was in these women that the mortality was highest and the falls were largest. The situation for younger women, however, is different. Raffle estimated that for women born since 1950, screening prevented about 600 deaths in 1995. The latest data indicate that for women aged 25 to 54 screening might have prevented 800 deaths from cervical cancer in 1997.

Key messages
- The coverage of the NHS cervical screening programme has increased greatly but several problems remain
- Rates of in situ cervical cancer have continued to rise in women aged 20-29
- Improvements in the screening programme have led to a 35% fall in incidence of invasive disease
- Reductions in mortality over the past 40 years in women aged over 54 are not related to screening, but in women under 55 screening may have prevented 800 deaths in 1997
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