Life is sweet: candy consumption and longevity
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Our attitude towards candy—"if it tastes that good, it can't be healthy"—betrays society's puritanical stance towards pleasure. Candy has been blamed for various ills, including hyperactivity in children; however, clinical trials have not supported this.1

Candy—sugar confectionery and chocolate—is not a recent invention: the ancient Arabs, Chinese, and Egyptians candied fruits and nuts in honey, and the Aztecs made a chocolate drink from the bean of the cacao tree. Today, Americans gratify themselves with, on average, 5.4 kg of sugar candy and 6.5 kg of chocolate per person annually.2

Since candy has existed for centuries, we surmised that it cannot be totally unhealthy. We decided to investigate whether candy consumption was associated with longevity.

Subjects, methods, and results
Subjects were from the Harvard alumni health study, an ongoing study of men entering Harvard University as undergraduates between 1916 and 1950. We included 7841 men, free of cardiovascular disease and cancer, who responded to a health survey in 1988 providing information on consumption of candy.

We asked about the average number of servings of candy eaten in the past year. Response options ranged from "almost never" to "6+ per day." In analyses, we regarded as non-consumers of candy the men who answered "almost never." The survey also asked about other health habits (see table). We obtained death and is guarantor for this paper. AE participated in data collection and discussion. MR and MM participated in the analysis of the data and discussion.
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Comparison of non-consumers and consumers of candy. Values are medians (interquartile ranges) unless specified otherwise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Non-consumers of candy (n=3312)</th>
<th>Consumers of candy (n=4529)</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>65 (60-72)</td>
<td>65 (60-71)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.39 (22.69-26.22)</td>
<td>24.41 (22.95-26.44)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy expenditure (kcal/week)</td>
<td>2018 (852-3620)</td>
<td>1926 (868-3470)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette habit:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (%) never smoked</td>
<td>1201 (36.4)</td>
<td>1852 (41.0)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (%) past smoker</td>
<td>1804 (54.7)</td>
<td>2330 (51.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (%) current smoker</td>
<td>296 (9.0)</td>
<td>331 (7.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of smoking, current smokers (years)</td>
<td>45 (39-50)</td>
<td>46 (41-50)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake of alcohol (g/week)</td>
<td>104 (20-181)</td>
<td>78 (16-171)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake of red meat (servings/week)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.5-4.5)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.5-4.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake of vegetables or green salad (servings/week)</td>
<td>15.0 (11.5-21.0)</td>
<td>15.0 (12.0-21.0)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (%) using vitamin or mineral supplements</td>
<td>1585 (47.4)</td>
<td>1987 (44.0)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of deaths</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>267</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative risk (95% CI):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted for age</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.83 (0.70-0.98)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted for all variables in table</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.73 (0.60-0.90)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculations may be based on fewer than 3312 candy non-consumers and 4529 candy consumers because of missing data. For continuous variables, tests for differences between medians used non-parametric Wilcoxon rank sum tests since variables were not normally distributed. For categorical variables, differences in proportions were compared with \( \chi^2 \) tests.

†Estimated from walking, climbing stairs, and participating in sports or recreational activities.

Certificates for men who died up to the end of 1993; mortality follow up was >99% complete.

Table 1 compares the attributes of consumers and non-consumers of candy. We used Cox proportional hazards regression to estimate the relative risks of mortality associated with candy consumption. We initially adjusted for age and additionally accounted for other health habits (see table) in multivariate models. Both cigarette habit and duration of smoking were included in multivariate models, with duration of 0 years assigned to men not smoking at baseline.

Consumers and non-consumers of candy differed in several ways. Those who did not indulge were older, leaner, and more likely to smoke (table). They drank more, ate less red meat and vegetables or green salad, and were more likely to take vitamin or mineral supplements. However, physical activity and duration of smoking among current smokers was similar in the two groups.

Between 1988 and 1993, 514 men died: 7.5% of non-consumers, but only 5.9% of consumers (age adjusted relative risk 0.83; 95% confidence interval 0.70 to 0.98). Adjustment for other characteristics in the table strengthened the finding (relative risk 0.73; 0.60 to 0.89).

We then examined different levels of candy intake. Compared with non-consumers, the relative risks of mortality among men who consumed candy 1-3 times a month (1704 men), 1-2 times a week (1589 men), and 3 or more times a week (1296 men) were 0.64 (0.48 to 0.86), 0.73 (0.55 to 0.96), and 0.84 (0.64 to 1.11), respectively, in multivariate analysis (P for trend = 0.06).

Finally, using life table analysis truncated at age 95, we estimated that (after adjustment for age and cigarette smoking) candy consumers enjoyed, on average, 0.92 (0.04 to 1.80) added years of life, up to age 95, compared with non-consumers.

Comment

Consumption of candy was associated with greater longevity in this study. Men who indulged lived almost a year longer, up to age 95, than did abstainers.

We could not differentiate between consumption of sugar candy and chocolate in our study. One plausible explanation for our observations may be the presence of antioxidant phenols in chocolate. A 41 g piece of chocolate contains about the same amount of phenol as a glass of red wine, and alcohol consumption, in moderation, lowers the risk of coronary heart disease. Direct evidence regarding the antioxidant properties of chocolate also exists. Cocoa liquor phenol can inhibit reactive oxygen species, as well as modulate immune function. Additionally, cocoa powder extract is a powerful antioxidant for oxidation of low density lipoprotein cholesterol. These beneficial effects of chocolate may decrease the risk of heart disease and cancer.

Unfortunately, greater consumption of candy was not associated with progressively lower mortality. Mortality was lowest among those consuming candy 1-3 times a month and highest among those indulging this habit three or more times a week. Non-consumers of candy, however, still had the highest mortality overall. As with most things in life, moderation seems to be paramount.

This is report No LXII in a series on chronic disease in former college students.
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Favourite prayers

The physician’s prayer

From inability to let well alone, from too much zeal for the new and contempt for what is old, from putting knowledge before wisdom, science before art and cleverness before common sense, from treating patients as cases and from making the cure of the disease more grievous than the endurance of the same, good Lord deliver us.
