Changes in left ventricular structure and function in patients with white coat hypertension: cross sectional survey
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Abstract

Objectives: To assess the relation between white coat hypertension and alterations of left ventricular structure and function.

Design: Cross sectional survey.

Setting: Augsburg, Germany.


Outcome measures: Blood pressure measurements and M mode, two dimensional, and Doppler echocardiography. After at least 30 minutes' rest blood pressure was measured three times by a technician, and once by a physician after echocardiography. Subjects were classified as normotensive (technician <140/90 mm Hg, physician <160/95 mm Hg; n=849), mildly hypertensive (technician <140/90 mm Hg, physician ≥160/95 mm Hg; n=129), and sustained hypertension (taking antihypertensive drugs or blood pressure measured by a technician ≥140/90 mm Hg, and physician ≥160/95 mm Hg; n=538).

Results: White coat hypertension was more common in men than women (10.9% versus 8.2% respectively) and positively related to age and body mass index. After adjustment for these variables, white coat hypertension was associated with an increase in left ventricular mass and an increased prevalence of left ventricular hypertrophy (odds ratio 1.9, 95% confidence interval 1.2 to 3.2; P = 0.009) compared with normotensive patients. The increase in left ventricular mass was secondary to significantly increased septal and posterior wall thicknesses whereas end diastolic diameters were similar in both groups with white coat hypertension or normotension. Additionally, the systolic white coat effect (difference between blood pressures recorded by a technician and physician) was associated with increased left ventricular mass and increased prevalence of left ventricular hypertrophy (P < 0.05 each). Values for systolic left ventricular function (M mode fractional shortening) were above normal in subjects with white coat hypertension whereas diastolic filling and left atrial size were similar to those in normotension.

Conclusion: About 10% of the general population show exaggerated isotropic and blood pressure responses when mildly stressed. This is associated with an increased risk of left ventricular hypertrophy.

Introduction

A physical examination by a physician may cause a rise in the patient's blood pressure. Individuals showing
such responses are considered to have white coat hypertension if their blood pressure reaches a hypertensive level when measured in the doctor’s practice but remains normal in an informal setting. The clinical implications of white coat hypertension are unclear and the subject of an ongoing debate. Compared with patients with sustained hypertension, individuals with white coat hypertension have less end organ damage and a better prognosis. It should not, however, be assumed that white coat hypertension is similar to normotension and therefore harmless to the heart. In fact more recent studies including larger numbers of normotensive individuals have raised doubts about white coat hypertension as a benign condition. This is supported by an epidemiological study that showed an unfavourable risk profile in young adults with white coat hypertension including a high prevalence of familial, haemodynamic, and metabolic risk factors.

Cardiac structure and function in subjects with white coat hypertension have not been studied at a population based level. Previous studies on this topic are not conclusive, probably because (a) the study population was small, (b) analyses did not adjust for anthropometric characteristics of subjects with white coat hypertension, and, (c) different definitions of white coat hypertension were used. Perhaps most importantly these studies did not address the risk of left ventricular hypertrophy in patients with white coat hypertension. As echocardiographic evidence of left ventricular hypertrophy is an independent predictor of overall mortality, it has been proposed as a pathophysiological marker for white coat hypertension. We investigated the association of white coat hypertension with left ventricular hypertrophy, and echocardiographic variables of left ventricular systolic and diastolic function, in a population based setting.

Subjects and methods

Augsburg study

The monitoring of trends and determinants in cardiovascular disease (MONICA) Augsburg study was conducted as part of the international collaborative World Health Organisation MONICA project. This study investigated cardiovascular risk factors of randomly selected subjects from Augsburg in cross sectional surveys. We performed echocardiographic examinations in 845 men and 832 women, aged 25 to 74 years, who participated in an echocardiographic substudy of the third Augsburg survey during 1994-5 (participation rate 70.6%).

All participants were interviewed to ascertain details of their personal and family medical history, lifestyle and nutrition, health behaviour, and psychosocial factors. The interview and examination room contained armchairs and a table to disguise the clinical setting. Technicians were trained and certified in accordance with the MONICA protocol. They did not wear white coats and were trained to avoid tension and anxiety in the participants. Frequent standardised quality controls of blood pressure measurements were undertaken. Technicians were retrained and recertified if they showed weaknesses. Physicians were also trained to obtain standardised blood pressure measurements. Before the first blood pressure measurement, subjects remained in a sitting position for at least 30 minutes. Blood pressure was measured under standardised conditions at the right arm with a random zero manometer. Participants were told the results only after the third reading had been taken. The mean of the second and third measurement was used for the present analyses. Previous studies, including the first and second Augsburg MONICA surveys, showed that this technique allows a good estimation of blood pressure at rest.

Heart rate was obtained by electrocardiography, performed by a technician before echocardiography. After the echocardiographic examination a single blood pressure measurement was taken by a physician wearing a white coat who was introduced to the participants as a cardiologist. Blood pressure measurements by both technicians and physicians were carried out on the same day, with a maximum of 60 minutes between the measurements.

As a standard definition of white coat hypertension is lacking we classed subjects as normotensive if their blood pressures were <140/90 mm Hg when measured by a technician and <160/95 mm Hg when measured by a physician. These cut off values were chosen according to the WHO criteria for normotension, borderline hypertension, and sustained hypertension. We classed subjects as mildly hypertensive if their systolic or diastolic blood pressures were ≥140/90 mm Hg when measured by a technician and <160/95 mm Hg when measured by a physician, and subjects as white coat hypertensive if their blood pressure was <140/90 mm Hg when measured by a technician and >160/95 mm Hg when measured by a physician. Subjects were classed as sustained hypertensive if they were taking an antihypertensive drug or had a systolic or diastolic blood pressure ≥140/90 mm Hg when measured by a technician and >160/95 mm Hg when measured by a physician.

Echocardiography

Two dimensional and two dimensionally guided M mode echocardiography (Hewlett Packard, Sonos 1500, Andover, MA) were performed on each subject by two sonographers with a 2.5 or 3.5 MHz transducer. M mode tracings were recorded at 50 mm/s. M mode echocardiograms were only suitable for analysis in 1404 (84%) participants. To reduce interobserver variability all M mode tracings were analysed by a single cardiologist. Measurements of left ventricular mass were taken just below the tips of the mitral valve. Internal end diastolic and end systolic diameters and septal and posterior wall thicknesses of the left ventricle were measured according to the guidelines of the Penn convention. Relative wall thickness was calculated at end diastole as the ratio of 2 × (posterior wall thickness/end diastolic diameter). Left ventricular mass was calculated according to the formula of Penn as left ventricular mass (g) = 1.04 (end diastolic diameter + septal wall thickness + posterior wall thickness)−1−13.6 g. Left ventricular mass was indexed to height in grams per metre. Left ventricular hypertrophy was defined as a left ventricular mass >138 g/m for men and >106 g/m for women. These partition values are close to the Framingham criteria and represent 2 SDs above.

Papers
the mean of healthy subjects in the Augsburg echocardiographic substudy of MONICA.

Left ventricular volumes were calculated from two dimensional echocardiograms obtained in short axis view at the midpapillary muscle, and long axis images obtained in the apical four chamber view. Two dimensional echocardiograms were recorded on a cine loop so that at least three measurements of the cavity and myocardium could be taken in cross section, and long axis diameters measured at end diastole and end systole. Endocardial contours of the left ventricle were obtained from parasternal short axis views at the level of the papillary muscles. Left ventricular systolic and diastolic volumes were calculated from two dimensional echocardiograms by the area length method using a formula that has been described elsewhere.21 Cardiac output was calculated from stroke volume and heart rate. Left atrial volume was obtained at end systole in the apical four chamber view.22

Doppler echocardiograms were recorded at 100 mm/s using pulsed wave Doppler with the sample volume at the tips of the mitral valve in the apical four chamber view. Early and late diastolic filling velocities, velocity time integrals, and ratios of early and late diastolic filling velocities (E/A ratio) and velocity time integrals were determined as previously reported.23 Isovolumetric relaxation time was the time interval between the end of the aortic outflow and the start of the mitral inflow signal. Doppler mitral profiles enabled diastolic filling velocities to be determined in 1297 (77%) participants and isovolumetric relaxation time in 970 (58%) participants. Variables of Doppler filling were corrected for heart rate by multiplying by the RR interval from the electrocardiogram. No systematic differences were detected with age, sex, body mass index, and systolic blood pressure between subjects with complete and incomplete diastolic filling data sets.

Statistical methods
Crude baseline data in each hypertensive group were compared with normotensive subjects, and the statistical significance of differences assessed by unpaired t tests. The mean values of echocardiographic variables in the three hypertensive groups were compared with normotensive subjects after adjustment for the covariates of age, sex, and body mass index in multiple linear regression analyses. Other factors such as physical activity, alcohol consumption, and diabetes mellitus had no major impact on left ventricular mass and were excluded from further analyses. Hypertensive groups were included as a four level class variable, and adjusted means obtained with PROC GLM (version 6.11, SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Means for variables of diastolic filling were additionally adjusted for heart rate. Differences in cardiac size were further analysed for magnitude of the white coat effect. Multiple logistic regression was applied to estimate the odds ratio of left ventricular hypertrophy in hypertensive groups compared with the normotensive group. P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Anthropometry
Table 1 and the figure show the anthropometric data and mean technician and physician blood pressure measurements for the blood pressure groups. Subjects were classed as white coat hypertensive if they had normotensive blood pressure measurements when taken by a technician and hypertensive measurements when taken by a physician. Although both systolic and diastolic blood pressures measured by a technician were in the normotensive range they were slightly higher in subjects with white coat hypertension compared with normotensive individuals. Mean technician and physician blood pressure readings were similar in men and women. Compared with normoten-


Table 1  Baseline characteristics of subjects (mean values (SD) according to blood pressure category)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No hypertension (n=849)</th>
<th>Mild hypertension (n=129)</th>
<th>White coat hypertension (n=158)</th>
<th>Sustained hypertension (n=238)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of men (%)</td>
<td>397 (46.8)</td>
<td>83 (64.3)*</td>
<td>92 (57.5)*</td>
<td>272 (59.6)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>46 (13)</td>
<td>55 (13)*</td>
<td>51 (13)*</td>
<td>61 (10)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.0 (3.5)</td>
<td>26.6 (3.6)*</td>
<td>27.8 (3.5)*</td>
<td>28.8 (4.4)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>69 (11)</td>
<td>73 (11)*</td>
<td>70 (11)</td>
<td>72 (14)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White coat effect (mm Hg):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic</td>
<td>7.5 (10.3)</td>
<td>-0.4 (9.5)*</td>
<td>30.5 (15.3)*</td>
<td>15.0 (20.4)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic</td>
<td>4.1 (8.4)</td>
<td>1.5 (8.4)</td>
<td>17.5 (9.8)*</td>
<td>9.0 (11.7)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05 versus no hypertension.
†Difference between systolic and diastolic blood pressure taken by physician and technician.

Cardiac structure

Because of variations in anthropometry between the blood pressure groups, structural and functional variables of the left ventricle were adjusted for age, sex, and body mass index to analyse whether differences were related to blood pressure groups independently of these potential confounders (table 2). Analyses showed that subjects with white coat hypertension or sustained hypertension had increased left ventricular mass indices. The increase in cardiac mass in subjects with white coat hypertension (7.0 g/m, 95% confidence interval 1.8 to 12.2; P = 0.009) was similar for both sexes (data not shown) and due to an increase of left ventricular septal (0.4 mm, 0.2 to 0.7; P = 0.004) and posterior (0.4 mm, 0.2 to 0.6; P = 0.001) wall thicknesses. Diastolic diameters of the left ventricle were similar in all blood pressure groups; accordingly, relative wall thicknesses were increased in the hypertensive groups.

To determine differences between normotensive and white coat hypertensive subjects left ventricular mass index was further adjusted for differences in systolic blood pressure as measured by a technician.

Table 2  Echocardiographic characteristics of subjects. Means (SE) adjusted for confounders (sex, age, and body mass index). Heart rate added in matches of diastolic filling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No hypertension (n=849)</th>
<th>Mild hypertension (n=129)</th>
<th>White coat hypertension (n=158)</th>
<th>Sustained hypertension (n=238)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thickness:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septal wall (mm)</td>
<td>10.2 (0.06)</td>
<td>10.2 (0.17)</td>
<td>10.6 (0.14)*</td>
<td>11.5 (0.09)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior wall (mm)</td>
<td>8.5 (0.09)</td>
<td>8.7 (0.14)</td>
<td>8.9 (0.11)*</td>
<td>9.4 (0.08)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular end diastolic diameter (mm)</td>
<td>47.7 (0.17)</td>
<td>47.5 (0.42)</td>
<td>47.5 (0.34)</td>
<td>48.0 (0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative wall thickness (%)</td>
<td>39 (3)</td>
<td>49 (8)*</td>
<td>41 (7)*</td>
<td>44 (4)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular mass (g/m)</td>
<td>96.3 (1.1)</td>
<td>96.6 (2.9)</td>
<td>103.2 (2.4)*</td>
<td>114.6 (1.6)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left atrial volume (ml)</td>
<td>42.1 (0.5)</td>
<td>42.4 (1.4)</td>
<td>43.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>45.9 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractional shortening (%)</td>
<td>35.3 (0.2)</td>
<td>37.0 (0.6)*</td>
<td>36.5 (0.9)*</td>
<td>35.5 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac output (l/min)</td>
<td>4.5 (0.1)</td>
<td>4.7 (0.2)</td>
<td>4.5 (0.2)</td>
<td>4.8 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/A ratio‡</td>
<td>1.28 (0.01)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.04)</td>
<td>1.28 (0.03)</td>
<td>1.22 (0.02)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int E/A ratio§</td>
<td>2.03 (0.07)</td>
<td>1.95 (0.08)</td>
<td>1.94 (0.06)</td>
<td>1.88 (0.04)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflow point systolic time (ms)</td>
<td>76.3 (0.7)</td>
<td>78.7 (1.9)</td>
<td>78.0 (1.6)</td>
<td>84.1 (1.1)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05 versus no hypertension.
†Ratio of early to late diastolic filling velocity.
‡Ratio of integrals of early and late diastolic velocities.
Discussion

An exaggerated rise in a patient’s blood pressure seems to be a common phenomenon after an echocardiographic examination by a physician. This response to mild stress was found to be related to structural alterations of the heart including a significant increase in left ventricular mass and an increased prevalence of left ventricular hypertrophy. Moreover, the extent of blood pressure rise seems to be independently associated with left ventricular mass and left ventricular hypertrophy.

As previous studies have shown that left ventricular hypertrophy may lead to increased morbidity and mortality in both patients with uncomplicated essential hypertension and the general population, the present data may indicate that white coat hypertension confers an increased cardiovascular risk. The increased risk of left ventricular hypertrophy may add to the poor haemodynamic and metabolic profiles reported in subjects with white coat hypertension. White coat hypertension has also been shown to advance frequently into sustained hypertension. Taken together, these data raise doubts about white coat hypertension as a benign condition. Rather, white coat hypertension may identify those individuals at increased risk of cardiovascular disease who may benefit from primary preventive strategies such as non-pharmacological intervention to lower blood pressure.

White coat hypertension and left ventricular mass

Our study does not explain the underlying mechanism between white coat hypertension and left ventricular hypertrophy, but the literature does provide substantial evidence for left ventricular hypertrophy not being confined to subjects with sustained hypertension. In fact, transient increases in blood pressure, such as during physical exercise, may have an independent effect on left ventricular mass. One study in dogs showed that concentric left ventricular hypertrophy could be produced by intermittently compressing the dogs’ hindlimbs to increase blood pressure. Likewise, in our study relative wall thickness was increased in subjects with white coat hypertension suggesting the predominance of a concentric pattern of hypertrophy. Furthermore, experimental studies have shown that short episodes of cardiac pressure overload are sufficient to induce growth related genes and protein synthesis in the heart. Thus it may be speculated that intermittent blood pressure spikes caused by exaggerated responses to mild stress may augment mechanisms of cardiac growth and thus the risk of hypertrophy.

White coat effect and left ventricular mass

To further analyse this hypothesis, we correlated the white coat effect—that is, the absolute difference in systolic or diastolic blood pressure as measured by a technician and physician—with variables of cardiac mass and function. The association between white coat effect and left ventricular mass persisted after adjustment for age, sex, body mass index, and blood pressure levels as measured by a technician. Thus the rise in blood pressure in a situation of mild stress may be as informative as the diagnosis of white coat hypertension. This finding is highly relevant as the term white coat hypertension lacks defined diagnostic criteria. Most clinical investigators have contrasted ambulatory blood pressure measurements taken automatically with those taken by the physician, whereas population based surveys have contrasted blood pressure measurements taken by a nurse, or self measurements, with measurements taken by a physician. Neither ambulatory blood pressure measurements nor those taken in the doctor’s practice have been performed uniformly, and definitions of the upper normal limits of daytime blood pressure or physician blood pressure in white coat hypertensive subjects greatly vary between studies. As a result some investigators have proposed that the association between left ventricular mass and white coat hypertension is largely affected by cut off points of baseline blood pressure. Our study of a large sample size does not concur with this as it shows that a rise in blood pressure by itself is related to left ventricular hypertrophy. We used a standardised triple sphygmomanometric measurement to assess baseline systolic and diastolic blood pressure values after an extensive resting period. These values were compared with a single sphygmomanometric measurement taken by a physician within 60 minutes of the baseline measurement. We believe this method validly reflects the individual’s blood pressure response to mild stress and avoids misinterpretations caused by diurnal blood pressure variation and different measurement techniques.

Potential limitations

Our study may be challenged as baseline systolic and diastolic blood pressure measurements were already higher in the white coat hypertensive patients compared with normotensive subjects. Previous investigators have made similar observations. As even a slightly higher blood pressure than normal may result in a chronic increase in haemodynamic load of the heart it may be hypothesised that the increase in left ventricular mass in the white coat hypertensive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Echocardiographic variables (mean (SEM)) after adjustment for age, sex, body mass index, and systolic blood pressure taken by technician</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wall thickness (mm)</td>
<td>No hypertension (n=849)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septum</td>
<td>10.4 (0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>8.8 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular mass (g/m)</td>
<td>99.2 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractional shortening (%)</td>
<td>35.4 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P=0.05 versus no hypertension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Prevalence and odds ratio of left ventricular hypertrophy according to blood pressure group, after adjustment for sex, age, and body mass index by logistic regression (normotensive subjects used as reference group)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>No hypertension (n=849)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odds ratio</td>
<td>2.8 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>1.0 1.4 1.9 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
group is exclusively related to differences in baseline blood pressure rather than related to the white coat effect. However, in contrast to previous studies we corrected for the baseline (technician) blood pressure by multivariate analysis. We also observed that the white coat effect was related to left ventricular mass and hypertrophy independent of differences in baseline blood pressure. We therefore believe that stress related increases in blood pressure can be regarded as an independent determinant of left ventricular mass.

White coat hypertension and left ventricular function
Our study agrees with previous investigations of reported normal left ventricular systolic function in subjects with white coat hypertension. In fact we observed left ventricular function slightly above normal in the white coat hypertensive group. It may be hypothesised that in addition to reduced peripheral arterial compliance, enhanced left ventricular contractility may contribute to the increase in blood pressure observed in white coat hypertensive patients. The findings are consistent with enhanced activity or sensitivity, or both, of the sympathetic nervous system resulting in increases in blood pressure and augmented left ventricular contractility during mild stress. We were, however, unable to detect any independent association between white coat hypertension and variables of diastolic filling or left atrial size.

Conclusion
Our study shows that white coat hypertension is common in the general population and that it may be associated with an increased risk of left ventricular hypertrophy and concentric remodelling of the heart. It would seem premature to dismiss white coat hypertension as a benign variant of blood pressure regulation, rather it may indicate the need for intensified monitoring and control of cardiovascular risk factors.
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Key messages
- About 10% of the general population display white coat hypertension
- After adjustment for age, body mass index, and baseline blood pressure, white coat hypertension is associated with increased left ventricular mass and increased risk of left ventricular hypertrophy
- In white coat hypertension, after adjustment for covariates, systolic function has values above normal whereas diastolic filling is unchanged
- White coat hypertension cannot be dismissed as a benign condition
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