It is important that these observations are put into context: the clinical case histories, all based on real patients, were selected to prompt value judgments to estimate the value placed by the correspondents on factors such as “antisocial behaviour”—criminal behaviour and drug or alcohol misuse. The general public, unlike the clinicians, have probably not considered in depth the implications of donor shortage; furthermore, the case histories had to be brief and oversimplified. It was, in part, for this reason that we arranged for two focus groups. There are methodological concerns too. We used quota rather than random sampling; random sampling is purer but requires more respondents and more resources. The quota sampling used has been found to be robust and consistent over time.

We are grateful to all those who helped by taking part in this survey. We thank Miss Jayne Folwarski, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham, for facilitating the study and Mr Brian Goschall, managing director, MORI, for his help and support. Contributors: JN initiated the project and developed the ideas with DA and PMaM; the questionnaires were developed with AM and MS, who conducted the focus groups and analysed the findings.
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Risk factors for development of sexually abusive behaviour in sexually victimised adolescent boys: cross sectional study

David Skuse, Arnon Bentovim, Jill Hodges, Jim Stevenson, Chriso Andreou, Monica Lanyado, Michelle New, Bryn Williams, Dean McMillan

Abstract

Objective: To identify factors that may increase the risk of a sexually victimised adolescent boy developing sexually abusive behaviour.

Design: Sexually victimised boys who had sexually abused other children were compared with sexually victimised boys who had not done so.

Setting: Social services departments in south east England were invited to refer sexually abused and sexually abusing boys to a London postgraduate teaching hospital.

Subjects: 25 adolescent boys aged between 11 years and 15 years and 11 months.

Main outcome measures: Adjusted odds ratios estimated from unconditional logistic regression.

Results: Unadjusted odds ratios for witnessing (8.1) as well as experiencing (18.0) intrafamilial violence and discontinuity of care (7.2) discriminated boys who had sexually abused from others who were solely victims of sexual abuse. Only the adjusted odds ratios for witnessing intrafamilial violence (39.7) discriminated the two groups.

Conclusions: The risk of adolescent boys who have been victims of sexual abuse engaging in sexually abusive behaviour towards other children is increased by life circumstances which may be unrelated directly to the original abusive experience, in particular exposure to a climate of intrafamilial violence. Our findings have implications for the management of boys found to have been sexually abused and raise important questions about the possibility of secondary prevention of subsequent abusive behaviour in those at greatest risk.
Introduction

A substantial proportion of both boys and girls are sexually abused during childhood. Prevalence figures vary (boys: 3% to 37%; girls: 6% to 62%), but even the most conservative estimates indicate this to be an important public health issue. Such experiences have been linked to mental health disorders in later life, including depression and sexual dysfunction. Society is increasingly concerned about these worrying figures and there have been calls to develop strategies aimed at the prevention of paedophilic behaviour.

Some have argued that the very experience of sexual victimisation puts an individual at risk of becoming a sexual abuser. Studies report high rates of former sexual victimisation among adult sex offenders, but most victims do not go on to abuse. We hypothesised that an individual who has been sexually victimised during childhood would go on to abuse only if other risk factors were also present. We focused exclusively on adolescent boys as most perpetrators are male and a pattern of sexual offending is often established in adolescence.

We compared two groups of boys matched for age who had been victims of sexual abuse. One of the groups had subsequently sexually abused other children, the other had not. A wide range of interpersonal and intrapersonal variables that could potentially discriminate between these groups was measured. The choice of measures was based on specific hypotheses about predisposing factors, derived from clinical experience and a review of the relevant literature. We undertook an intensive (with respect to the individual concerned) and extensive (with respect to the range of sources of information about potential risk factors) investigation of the risk of sexually abusive behaviour in sexually victimised adolescent boys.

Subjects and methods

A London postgraduate teaching hospital invited social services departments in south east England to refer boys aged between 11 years and 15 years and 11 months who had been victims of sexual abuse, including those who had in addition sexually abused other children. In total 78 boys were referred to the study, of whom 32 had abused others. Twenty five boys were selected to enter the full assessment procedure. This sample consisted of 11 boys who had sexually abused other children and 14 who had not. Reasons for exclusion included practical constraints on the completion of the assessment procedure, usually connected with travel arrangements, overly aggressive behaviour, and denial of documented sexually abusive behaviour. Only participants who took part in the full assessment are reported here. Ethical approval for the study was given by the Research Ethics Committee of Great Ormond Street Hospital for Sick Children NHS Trust and the Institute of Child Health.

In the first stage of the assessment information was collected on intelligence (Wechsler intelligence scale for children), pubertal status, socioeconomic circumstances, and friendships (network relationship inventory) (BW). Socioeconomic circumstances were measured with the Osborne social index; those obtaining a score of above 50 were considered to live in adversity compared with the general population. Pubertal status (based on Tanner staging) was assessed by self report (from photographs) of testicular development and pubic hair growth. Each characteristic was scored from 1 (prepubertal) to 5 (postpubertal). Peers’ perceptions of the boys were obtained by sociometry. The technique requires classmates to complete checklists about the popularity and personal characteristics of all other pupils in the class. It entailed measurements in 25 schools, with a total of about 500 pupils. These methods have not previously been used in studies of sexually abusive children.

The second stage consisted of 3 months of individual weekly psychotherapy sessions, conducted by psychoanalytically trained child psychotherapists (ML, CA). Six sessions were semistructured with standardised instruments, including measures of attachment (adult attachment interview) and hostility (Buss-Durkee hostility-guilt inventory). A grounded theory approach was applied to verbatim transcripts of the adult attachment interview to derive childhood themes relating to history of care and maltreatment. Six less structured sessions covered the boy’s life history, his own sexually abusive behaviour, and his sexual fantasies. Boys’ reports of their early experiences were verified from independent sources including interviews with others (mother, social workers) and from social services records. No boys revealed having engaged in sexually abusive acts that were previously unknown.

Birth mothers were interviewed about their life history (MN), including their experience of maltreatment, with an interview designed for the study. Current carers were seen if a boy was no longer living with his family of origin. Mothers also completed the Beck depression inventory.

Statistical methods—The two groups were compared with t tests, Mann-Whitney U tests, contingency tables, and odds ratios. On the basis of the psychotherapeutic assessment and a review of the relevant literature we identified 13 potential risk factors and calculated unadjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for each of these. Definitions of the potential risk factors are given in table 1. The unadjusted odds ratios indicated that three of the 13 variables were significant, the lower 95% confidence limit being greater than 1. A second stage of analysis used unconditional logistic regression to determine adjusted odds ratios.

Results

There were no significant differences between the two groups on most of the measures of personal and familial characteristics (table 2), although those victims who had sexually abused reported more advanced puberty in terms of testicular development (Mann-Whitney U test $z = -2.42; P = 0.02$). No differences were found between the groups in terms of their experience of sexual victimisation (based on personal accounts and contemporaneous records). Severity of sexual abuse was ascertained from evidence of penetration ($\chi^2 = 0.00; 1$ df; $P = 0.97$), duration (Mann-Whitney U test $z = 0.71; P = 0.48$), whether the abuse was within or outside the family ($\chi^2 = 0.00; 1$ df; $P = 0.97$), and the
Table 1 Definitions of potential risk factors for abused adolescent boys becoming abusers themselves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential risk factor</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experiencing intrafamilial violence*</td>
<td>Report by boy during adolescent adaption of adult attachment interview of recurrent acts of physical abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witnessing intrafamilial violence*</td>
<td>Report in adult attachment interview of exposure to recurrent acts of marital violence or physical abuse of siblings, or both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rejection by family*</td>
<td>Report by boy in adult attachment interview of rejection, emotional abuse, or neglect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuity of care*</td>
<td>Report in adult attachment interview of marital breakdown, being in care of local authority, in children's home or foster home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rejected by peers</td>
<td>Calculated by using sociometry and designated as present if boy's rating of peer inclusion was &gt;1SD below mean for whole class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalised sense of grievance</td>
<td>Present if boy scored &gt;1SD above population mean on resentment scale of Buss-Durkee hostility-guilt inventory or rating of generalised grievance was made by boy's psychotherapist, or both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor identification with father figure/s</td>
<td>Rating made by psychotherapist of extent to which boy identified with his father figure/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of a non-abusive male attachment figure</td>
<td>Scored as present if all father figures in boy's life were emotionally/physically or sexually abusive, or both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother was sexually abused in childhood*</td>
<td>Report by mother during maternal interview of having been sexually abused in childhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal depression</td>
<td>Present if mother scored &gt;15 on Beck depression inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor sibling relationship</td>
<td>Present if boy scored &gt;1SD above mean on negative sibling support subscale of network relationship inventory or &gt;1SD below mean of positive sibling support subscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother was physically abused in childhood*</td>
<td>Report by mother during maternal interview of having been physically abused in childhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low levels of guilt</td>
<td>Present if boy scored &gt;1SD in direction of low guilt on guilt subscale of Buss-Durkee hostility-guilt inventory or guilt rated as not present in adult attachment interview</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These risk factors preceded sexually abusive behaviour in subgroup of abusers.

Discussion

This study has found adolescent male victims of sexual abuse who have abused other children can be discriminated from those who have not done so in terms of life events that are unrelated directly to the experience of sexual victimisation. The risk of becoming an abuser was not found to be related to the severity of the victimisation experience, but the risk that is independently associated with having been a victim of sexual abuse cannot be determined from this study design. Accordingly the findings are applicable only to boys who have been sexually abused. In addition, as the study focused on adolescent perpetrators the findings may apply only to boys who began abusing before or during adolescence. It is perhaps surprising that rather than experiencing intrafamilial violence seemed to be the most potent risk factor, although many boys were exposed to both risks. At this point we note that only one other study has looked at boys who have been both sexually and physically abused. In this study the boys who had been sexually abused were more likely to be physically abused than those who had been physically abused. The finding that boys who have been sexually abused are more likely to be physically abused may be related to the fact that the two types of abuse are often related in terms of perpetrator characteristics and other risk factors. The finding that boys who have been both sexually and physically abused are more likely to be physically abused than those who have been physically abused may be related to the fact that the two types of abuse are often related in terms of perpetrator characteristics and other risk factors. The finding that boys who have been both sexually and physically abused are more likely to be physically abused than those who have been physically abused may be related to the fact that the two types of abuse are often related in terms of perpetrator characteristics and other risk factors.
stage it may be more useful to conceptualise this influence in terms of a climate of intrafamilial violence, which may or may not have directly involved the boy as a victim. An experience of discontinuity of care may also be important in predisposing sexually abused boys to abuse others, although with this small sample the adjusted odds ratio fell just short of significance. Just why these experiences are discriminating needs further investigation; at this stage we are unable to identify causal mechanisms. The findings are, however, in line with those from other recent research. For example, Ryan et al reported that 63% of adolescent offenders had witnessed intrafamilial violence and 56% had experienced the loss of a parental figure.25

**Key messages**

- The risk of sexually abused boys in early adolescence abusing other children may be associated with experiences in early life that are independent of sexual victimisation
- Exposure to persistent violence within the family may be a particularly important risk factor
- Management of sexually abused boys should take into account the impact of early life experiences that may be associated with increased risk with a view to the secondary prevention of sexually abusive behaviour

**Limitations**

The research reported here was largely exploratory and the results require independent replication. Limitations include the small sample size; this reflects the considerable labour involved in the assessment procedure, which was both more extensive and intensive than any previous comparable investigation. Replication of the findings with a substantially larger sample is necessary. A small sample size reduces power and hence increases the likelihood of failing to find a significant difference that “really exists” (a type II error). The fact we were able to identify significant differences between our groups is therefore all the more compelling, unless a systematic bias, which was correlated with the risk factors, independently accounted for group differences. Such a potential bias includes the possibility that abusing boys were more likely to reveal, or claim, that they had been exposed to the risk factors. Systematic examination of information from independent sources (contemporaneous social service records, social workers, mothers) enabled us to refute that explanation for our findings.

The correct assignment of boys to perpetrating and non-perpetrating groups is difficult given the reluctance of sexual abusers to reveal their behaviour. The design of the study incorporated an intensive psychotherapeutic investigation to maximise the possibility of correct assignment. We recognise there remains the possibility of misclassification. Boys who were not sexually abusive at assessment may develop such behaviour in the future. Our findings can only indicate an increased risk of abusive behaviour occurring before the age of 16 years, and there may be an increased risk independently associated with sexual maturation. Finally, the sample was by necessity highly selected, consisting of boys whose abuse (and abusive behaviour) had been revealed and who were in contact with social services. So far as we have been able to ascertain, referrals were nevertheless a representative sample of all boys in the appropriate age range seen by cooperating social services departments during the period of recruitment. Bias due to selection after referral is unlikely to account for our findings.

**Conclusion**

This study provides evidence that boys who are victims of sexual abuse are more likely to become abusers of other children themselves in early adolescence if they have witnessed intrafamilial violence, an objectively verifiable risk factor which is unrelated to the experience of sexual victimisation. The impact of marital violence on the emotional and behavioural development of children is a subject that requires greater attention than it has been given so far. At this stage, however, it may be more appropriate to view a climate of violence as conferring an increased risk, whether or not the boy is a direct victim of the physical abuse. These findings have implications for the management of vulnerable youths by statutory agencies who are dealing with sexually abused children. While it would be inappropriate to “label” a child a potential abuser just because he has been exposed to intrafamilial violence, our data do imply that relatively greater support may need to be given to boys at high risk with a view to averting future abusive behaviour.

We acknowledge the time and energy spent during the course of the study by the boys themselves, their families, and their social workers. We also thank Jennifer Smith, Joanne Newbolt, Rikki South, Elinore Percy, and Richard Reynolds for their help with the project.

Contributors: DS, AB, JH, and JS were responsible for the design of the study. The psychotherapeutic investigation was conducted by CA and ML in collaboration with JH. MN interviewed the mothers, and BW conducted the assessments in the first stage of the study, including the sociometry. DS, AB, JH, JS, CA, ML, MN, and BW generated the hypotheses about the predisposing risk factors. DS, JS, and DM were primarily responsible for the data analysis and the drafting of the paper. Funding: Department of Health. Conflict of interest: None.
Facial structure in the sudden infant death syndrome: case-control study

Karen Rees, Anne Wright, Jean W Keeling, Neil J Douglas

The cause of the sudden infant death syndrome is unclear. Polysomnographic recordings of 30 infants who subsequently died of the syndrome showed a significant increase in mixed and obstructive apnoeas compared with well matched controls. Post-mortem examination suggests upper airway narrowing in victims of the syndrome. The decreased number of cases of sudden infant death syndrome after advice to put infants to sleep supine suggests a posture dependent cause, and recent evidence suggests that upper airways of sleeping infants are more widely patent supine than prone.

Thus sleeping supine might decrease obstructive apnoeas.

An increased frequency of sudden infant death syndrome and apparent life threatening events in infants has been found in the families of patients with the obstructive sleep apnoea/hypopnoea syndrome.

We found that retroposition of the maxilla was a common feature in families who had both the obstructive sleep apnoea/hypopnoea and sudden infant death syndromes.

We also found that obstructive apnoeas in adult family members of patients with the obstructive sleep apnoea/hypopnoea syndrome were related to retroposition of the maxilla and mandible.

We therefore tested the hypothesis that victims of the sudden infant death syndrome have backset maxillae and mandibles, which would predispose them to narrowing and occlusion of their upper airways.

Subjects, methods, and results

We examined differences in facial bone structure between 15 consecutive victims of the sudden infant death syndrome and 15 control infants who had died of explained causes. Each case was matched to a control infant aged within one postnatal month of the case (mean age 5 months, range 1-10 months). Lateral cephalo-radiographs taken at necropsy were examined for the maxillary position (the sella-nasion-subspinale angle) and the mandibular position (the sella-nasion-supramentale angle) (figure). These two angles have been shown to differ between first degree relatives of patients with sleep apnoea and the normal population. Measurements were recorded twice for each subject by one observer blind to cause of death, and the average values were taken. The coefficient of variation for repeat measurements within individuals was 0.4% (range 0-1%) for the maxillary angle and 0.4% (0-2%) for the mandibular angle. Differences between cases and controls were determined with Wilcoxon's rank sum test for paired differences. Significance was taken as P<0.05.

There was no difference in body weight between the cases and controls (5.7 kg (SE 1.0) v 5.7 kg (0.5)). The cases had significantly smaller maxillary angles than the controls (median 82° (95% confidence interval 79° to 85°) v 84° (83° to 90°), P=0.01). There was a trend for the mandibular angle to be smaller in the cases than in the controls (71° (67° to 74°) v 75° (70° to 77°), P=0.1).

Comment

This study shows that victims of the sudden infant death syndrome had different facial structure compared with control infants, with retroposition of the

Skeletal reference points on schematic lateral cephalometric radiograph. Sella point is the midpoint of the sella turcica, the nasion is the most anterior point of the frontonasal suture, the subspinale is the most posterior point on the anterior contour of the upper alveolar process, and the supramentale is the most posterior point on the anterior contour of the upper alveolar process.
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