Minimally Invasive Surgery

Treatment of urinary tract stones
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Replacement of open surgery with minimally invasive techniques for treating stones in the renal tract has greatly reduced patients' morbidity and mortality and the period of hospitalisation and convalescence. Extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy does not require anaesthesia and requires little analgesia so that treatment can be given on an outpatient basis, and there is no wound to heal. Only a small puncture site is needed for percutaneous endoscopic lithotomies, and with the advent of prophylactic antibiotics there are few complications. Of renal stones, about 85% can now be successfully treated by extracorporeal lithotripsy alone, and almost all of the stones too large or hard for lithotripsy can be treated endoscopically, with ultrasonic or electrohydraulic probes being used to fragment the stone. Stones in the upper and lower thirds of the ureter can be treated by extracorporeal lithotripsy, but stones in the middle third, which cannot normally be visualised to allow focusing of the shockwaves, usually require ureteroscopy. Nearly all bladder stones can be treated by transurethral endoscopy with an electrohydraulic probe. Only the largest renal tract stones still require open surgery.

The renal stone

Ten years ago it was usual for a patient suffering from a painful renal stone to undergo an open operation with a 25 cm loin incision to access the kidney. Two hours of anaesthesia were followed by 10–14 days in hospital, during which the patient would suffer considerable pain and discomfort. The complications of a major surgical intervention—bronchopneumonia, pulmonary embolus, and wound infection—were not unusual. Six weeks' convalescence were necessary before normal activities could be resumed.

Summary

Diagnostic quality
Alignment of bones
Bone margins and density
Cartilage and joints
Soft tissues
Bowel gas pattern
Pneumoperitoneum
Air in the biliary tree or portal vein
Size of organs
Fat-tissue interfaces
Abnormal calcification
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EXTRACORPOREAL SHOCKWAVE LITHOTRIPSY

In 1980 seven years' research and development in Munich, Germany, culminated in the first clinical application of extracorporeally induced focused shockwaves to fragment renal stones in humans.12 Other departments rapidly acquired lithotripters as it became apparent that this was an effective and atraumatic method of treating renal stones and that nearly all types of stone could be adequately fragmented. The electrohydraulically induced shockwaves, however, were painful so that the operation required a general anaesthetic, and some analgesia was needed for postoperative pain. Nevertheless, patients could return to work within a week with no wound to heal. Postoperative complications were negligible compared with open surgery.

The second generation lithotripters that appeared in 1985 were based on the induction of multiple minor shockwaves by an array of miniature piezoelectric cells. The shockwaves were transmitted through a water bath coupler to impinge at a 2 mm focus on a patient's stone, where their summation produced a local pressure of 150 kilobars and caused the stone to disintegrate. The major advantage of this machine was that it was painless; each shockwave travelling along an independent pathway through the body could not be appreciated by the patient.13 No anaesthesia was necessary and analgesics were seldom required. The procedure could thus be performed on an outpatient basis and did not require hospitalisation. Postoperative complications were again negligible compared with open surgery, and nearly all types of stone could be treated satisfactorily.14 19

Electromagnetic machines, in which shockwaves were induced by intermittent repulsion of a metal membrane and focused by an acoustic lens, were also introduced. These machines were more painful, often requiring injection of local anaesthetic to the loin and strong analgesic cover, but were equally successful. Third generation machines with coaxial x-ray and ultrasound imaging appeared in the late 1980s. The type of lithotripter used seems relatively unimportant since all are effective, but more recent machines provide treatment on an outpatient basis with obvious economic advantage.

Complications seem minor. The possibility of the operation causing sustained hypertension has been reviewed15-17: the only study to demonstrate such an effect found that the small number of patients who developed raised blood pressure in the long term were no more than would be expected in a cohort of similar patients with slowly developing essential hypertension.16 This topic, however, merits further investigation. First generation, spark gap lithotripters have been shown to depress renal function and elevate renal enzyme activities for a few days after treatment, but all parameters measured rapidly return to normal.18-21 Piezoelectric lithotripsy has no measurable effect on renal function or enzymology.22 These reported changes should be kept in perspective—open surgery is very traumatic and produces far more morphological and functional renal damage.23 Another complication is ureteric obstruction by stone fragments passing down from the kidney. This occurs most often when a large renal stone is fragmented and can usually be averted by the preliminary insertion of a double J ureteric stent, which provides urinary drainage as well as dilating the ureter to encourage easier passage of stone particles. Should stone fragments become lodged in the ureter they can usually be treated by further targeted extracorporeal lithotripsy or mini-ureteroscopy with fragmentation by laser.

CURRENT TREATMENT FOR RENAL STONE

Results from most reported series, which are remarkably consistent, indicate that it is now possible to treat 85% of all simple renal calculi satisfactorily by extracorporeal lithotripsy alone.24 About 10-15% of stones are not suitable for lithotripsy because of their bulk or hardness, but they can almost always be managed by percutaneous endoscopic lithotomy.25 The 1% of stones that require open surgery are the massive staghorn calculi. Some of these difficult stones can be managed with a combination of endoscopic lithotomy and extracorporeal lithotripsy,26 27 but this may require multiple procedures that are not economical in time or expense, and they are better managed with a single open procedure. As the size of stone increases patients are less likely to be completely free of stones after treatment with a minimally invasive technique since fragments can lodge elsewhere in the urinary tract.28 Certain stones provide specific problems in management—for example, very hard cystine stones29 and stones in calyceal diverticulae,30 horseshoe kidneys,31 or medullary sponge kidneys,32 where drainage and evacuation of fragments may be difficult. Series have shown that these stones can be managed with a combination of extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy and percutaneous nephrolithotomy. The treatment of renal stones in children is also possible by extracorporeal lithotripsy.33 34

Access required for treatment of a urinary tract stone by open surgery...

...and for treatment by endoscopic surgery
Martinez-Pinero reported the use of their fine calibre ureteroscope, which could be passed through the ureteric orifice and up the full length of the ureter to the kidney. Ureteric stones could be visualised with this instrument and fragmented with ultrasonic or electrohydraulic probes; the pieces could then be removed with a small ureteric stone basket. About 60-70% of stones could be treated with this device, particularly those lodged in the lower ureter. At 11·5 French gauge, however, the instrument was somewhat traumatic.

In 1986 the development of a pulsed dye laser with a 200 µm fibre capable of fragmenting urinary calculi permitted the design of much finer ureteroscopes of about 7 French gauge, which could easily be passed up the undilated ureter. The laser fibre was advanced through the operating channel of the endoscope to a stone: this was fragmented to pieces smaller than 2 mm in diameter, which could be passed spontaneously. With this facility nearly 85% of ureteric stones could be accessed and treated. Stones that could not be reached by solid ascending ureteroscopy could be accessed by flexible retrograde ureteroscopy or by renal puncture, allowing a fine solid rod or flexible endoscope to be passed down to the stone from above. The stone would then be fragmented with an electrohydraulic, ultrasonic, or laser probe and removed. Patients whose ureteric stones are treated endoscopically show notable lack of morbidity: patients treated with the miniscope and laser can usually be dealt with as day cases, or at most in one night stay, and can normally return to work within 48 hours.

Various extracorporeal lithotripters have also been used to treat ureteric stones. With suitable positioning of the patient and localisation of the stone, usually with the x ray imaging facility on many machines, stones in the upper and lower thirds of the ureter may be visualised and brought into focus for fragmentation. Stones in the middle third of the ureter provide the greatest problem: they are usually inaccessible to x ray imaging and require ureteroscopy and lasertripsy. If this is not possible the stone may be flushed back into the kidney by insertion of a retrograde catheter to be treated by extracorporeal lithotripsy in the kidney, where it can be suitably visualised.

The bladder stone

Bladder stones were traditionally treated transurethrally and fragmented with an optical or mechanical lithotrite. For the past 20 years, however, stones had occasionally been broken by electrohydraulic discharge, and this method has recently become standard procedure, with endoscopic visualisation of the stone and then fragmentation by an electrohydraulic probe. Open surgery is now unnecessary except for massive calculi.

Conclusion

The reduced mortality and morbidity associated with these minimally invasive techniques have brought considerable benefit to patients with renal tract stones. The suggestion that these methods result in higher rates of recurrence of stones than those achieved by open surgery is clearly incorrect: the 7% rate of recurrence for renal oxalate stones at three years after treatment is slightly better than rates reported after open surgery. In addition, several groups have reported the considerable economic savings produced by endourological methods and extracorporeal lithotripsy.

The whole of the renal collecting system where stones may form or lodge is now readily accessible to...
these methods and almost all urinary stones can be removed without resorting to open surgery. Unfortunately, the facilities for these techniques are still by no means universally available in the United Kingdom, and much open surgery is still apparently being carried out with little public or professional demand that this should cease.


**Correction**

ABC of Emergency Radiology: Chest radiographs—1

Several errors occurred in this article by D W Hodgkinson and colleagues (6 November, pp 1302-6). In figure 1 two of the labels are wrong. Number 3 should be left pulmonary artery and number 4 left hilum. The second sentence in the legend to figure 4 should state that cardiac shadow seems to move to the left and not the right. On p 1204 the last sentence of the last paragraph should refer to the heart’s full diameter and not the diaphragm’s full diameter.