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Abstract

Objective—To determine whether birth weight and gestational age are associated with respiratory illness and lung function in children aged 5-11 years.

Design—Cross sectional analysis of parent reported birth weight, gestational age, and respiratory symptoms; parental smoking and social conditions; forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1), forced expiratory rates between 25% and 75% and 75% and 85% (FEF25-75 and FEF75-85), and height.

Setting—Primary schools in England and Scotland in 1990.

Subjects—573 children aged 5-11 (63-3% of eligible children) had respiratory symptoms analysed and 2036 children (67-1% of eligible children) had lung function measured.

Main outcome measures—Symptoms of asthma, bronchitis, occasional and frequent wheeze, cough first thing in the morning and cough at any other time and lung function.

Results—Birth weight adjusted for gestational age was significantly associated with all lung function measurements, except FEF75-85. The association remained for FVC (b=0.475, 95% confidence interval 0.181 to 0.769) and FEV1 (b=0.502, 0.204 to 0.800) after adjustment for gestational age, parental smoking, and social factors. FEF25-75 was the only lung function related to gestational age. Respiratory symptoms, especially wheeze most days (adjusted odds ratio 0.9, 0.84 to 0.97) were significantly associated with prematurity. Every extra week of gestation reduced the risk of severe wheeze by about 10%.

Conclusions—Lung function is affected mainly by intrauterine environment while respiratory illness, especially wheezing, in childhood is related to prematurity.

Introduction

The possibility that intrauterine growth of the fetus might be related to health in childhood and adult life has recently attracted considerable attention. Birth weight has been used as a measure of fetal growth but it is strongly dependent on gestational age. Prematurity itself might influence subsequent health and so the effects of prematurity and birth weight (adjusted for gestational age) need to be clearly separated. Low birth weight has been reported to be associated with reduced lung function or with respiratory illness in children, adolescents, and adults. Children whose birth weight was under 2000 g were more likely to experience troublesome cough, but not wheeze, than controls and their measures of lung function were significantly reduced. In a large sample of adolescents low birth weight was associated with increased prevalence of asthma. Barker et al reported that low birth weight was associated with lower adult lung function but not with symptoms of wheeze. These results seem to indicate some consistency in the relation between birth weight and lung function, but there is less consensus concerning the relation between birth weight and respiratory symptoms.

Reduced lung function has been associated with low birth weight regardless of whether the child had respiratory complications at birth. So far only one report has used information for both birth weight and gestational age as independent variables in the analysis. If poor nutrition in utero is the main cause of the poor lung function children with low birth weight and normal gestational age would be expected to have lower lung function values than those of the same birth weight who were born prematurely. We evaluated children’s lung function and respiratory symptoms in relation to both length of gestation and the birth weight adjusted for gestational age.
Respiratory symptoms were analysed by multiple logistic regression and lung function standard deviation scores by multiple linear regression. The explanatory independent variables in the analyses were birth weight and length of pregnancy as reported by parents in the questionnaire. Birth weight and gestational age were included as continuous variables. Adjustments were made for the continuous variables triceps skinfold (measured as recommended by Tanner and Whitehouse,12 expressed as standard deviation scores calculated by the method of Cole12) and reported maternal height. The following categorical variables were used: father's unemployment (yes, no, or not known); father's social class (non-manual, skilled manual, semiskilled and unskilled manual, and not known); one parent in the household (no, yes, not known); mother’s age at child’s birth (<20, 20-23, 24-27, 28-31, >32, and not known); mother’s education (primary education, secondary education, further education, and university education); number of children (one, two, three, four, five or more, and not known); maternal smoking in pregnancy (no, yes, not known); total number cigarettes smoked at home at the time of the survey (0, 1-4, 5-14, 15-24, 25 or more, and not known); free school meals (free, not free, not taken, not known if free, and not known); overcrowding (<1-25 people per room, >1-25 people per room, not known); maternal and paternal asthma (no, yes, not known); and study areas (27 areas for the respiratory illness analyses and 17 for the lung function analyses). In the analysis of respiratory symptoms age (as a continuous variable) and sex were also included as independent variables. The continuous variable weight for height14 expressed as standard deviation score was adjusted for in the analysis of lung function.

Birth weight was analysed in relation to gestational age by the method of Bland et al.11 Expected values of birth weight for gestational age were obtained by regressing the natural logarithm of birth weight on gestational age. The ratio of the observed birth weight to the predicted birth weight for gestational age was used as a measure of birth weight for age. Children with missing values for gestational age or birth weight were excluded from the analysis.

Results

After excluding children for whom data on the continuous variables were missing 5573 (63-3%) were available for analysis of respiratory illness by birth weight and duration of pregnancy. In the lung function analysis 2036 (67-1%) of 3036 eligible children had useful information on all variables. Valid assessment of lung function was not available for 418 children. For the remaining children excluded from the analysis because of missing data on other variables the mean values for FEV1, FVC, FEF25-75, and FEF75-85 were -0.03, -0.08, -0.09 and -0.043 standard deviation scores respectively compared with 0-024, 0-014, 0-018, and 0-004 standard deviation scores for those included. For the 1775 children with information on respiratory illness but not included in that analysis because of other missing data the prevalence of asthma attacks was 7-5%, bronchitis 1-8%, cough first thing in the morning 6-8%, cough at other times 14-8%, chest ever wheezy 11-0%, and wheezy chest most days 3-6%, compared with 5-3%, 2-1%, 4-2%, 11-3%, 12-3%, and 2-6% for those included.

Table I gives the mean values for FVC, FEV1, FEV25-75, FEF75-85 according to birth weight and length of pregnancy. For children with normal length of gestation there was a positive association between birth weight and lung function. A similar association was observed for FVC and FEV1 in children with a low gestational age but it was less clear for FEF75-85 and birth weight was unrelated to FEF25-75. Figure 1 illustrates the association between birth weight and FEV1 and the lack of association between duration of gestation and FEV1.

Table II shows the regression coefficients for lung function measurements in relation to length of gestation and birth weight adjusted for gestational age. There was a significant positive slope for each lung function measurement with birth weight in the unadjusted model, although the relation with FEF25-75 and FEF75-85 lost significance in the adjusted model. Only FEF25-75 was associated with gestational age (p<0.05). Prevalence of respiratory symptoms was not associated with birth weight, but children with lower gestational age tended to have a greater prevalence of...
frequent and occasional wheeze regardless of their birth weight (table III).

Table IV shows the odds ratios for respiratory symptoms in relation to birth weight, expressed as the ratio of observed weight to expected weight for length of gestation, and gestational age before and after adjustment for potential confounding variables. The odds ratios give the association with respiratory illness in relation to the independent variables for a change of one week in length of gestation and 50% in birthweight ratio. The odds ratios of respiratory illness in relation to birth weight were not significantly different from one—that is, the 95% confidence interval included one—in the adjusted models and only cough at other times was significantly associated (p<0.05) in the unadjusted analysis. Length of gestation was significantly associated only with wheezy chest most days (p<0.01) and cough at other times (p<0.05) in the adjusted models. Prematurity was not associated with reported asthmatic attacks.

Discussion
We found that length of gestation but not birth weight was associated with respiratory symptoms, and birth weight but not gestational age was associated with most lung function measurements. The association between length of gestation and respiratory illness was greatest for symptoms of wheeze most days. Every extra week of gestation reduced the risk of wheeze most days in childhood by about 10%. As this was a population study the results on lung function and respiratory illness depended on the majority of children who were term babies and had normal birth weight, with low birth weight or premature infants accounting for only a minority.

Our study has the strengths that the sample sizes were large, the measurements have been carefully standardised, the fieldworkers were trained to measure lung function in a standard manner, and a large number of possible confounding variables were included in the analyses. A possible weakness is that information for both birth weight and length of gestation was obtained by parental recall. Accuracy of parental recall of birth weight and length of gestation have been studied by Seidman et al. They reported that recalled birth weight was accurate to within 100 g in three quarters of cases and a similar proportion of reported gestational ages were accurate to within one week when compared with hospital records. In their study maternal age, the length of time from birth, and maternal education were not related to the accuracy of reported birth weight.

Tilley et al found that even 10 years after delivery 95% of mothers were able to classify the birth weight of a child in broad categories. Moreover researchers have found that 0.2% of hospital case notes are common, especially in children with a low birth weight. Parents’ reports of birth weight and gestational age are sufficiently accurate for analysis and not necessarily worse than hospital case notes; lack of accuracy would decrease rather than increase the strength of the observed associations.

BIRTH WEIGHT AND LUNG FUNCTION
We wanted to distinguish the possible effects of birth weight and gestational age on lung function and respiratory illness as this approach could provide clues for the differing role of environment in uterus and level of maturation. Most of the studies on this topic use only birth weight in the analysis and many of them study small samples of very low birthweight children.

Our results confirm the relation between birth weight and lung function measurements reported in other studies. We calculated the possible effect of birth weight for a given age of gestation on FEV₁ using the example of two children of 38 weeks gestation with the same attributes adjusted for in the analysis but one having a birth weight of 2500 g and the other 3500 g. If the expected FEV₁ for height and age were 1.5 l and the expected birth weight 3300 g the difference in their expected FEV₁ would be 0.026 l; this difference would
increase to 0·031 l for a lower gestational age with an expected birth weight of 2700 g. The same two children with an expected FEV\textsubscript{1} for height and age of 2·25 l would have an expected difference of 0·039 l in FEV\textsubscript{1} if the expected birth weight were 3300 g and 0·047 l if the expected birth weight were 2700 g. So the approximate possible effect of birth weight on FEV\textsubscript{1} would be about 2% of total FEV\textsubscript{1}. Gestational age does not contribute to this relation. As we adjusted birth weight for gestational age we can say that environment in utero is an important factor in explaining the association between birth weight and lung function.

The environmental effect on lung function is further supported by the finding in other studies\textsuperscript{17} that among low birthweight children poor forced expiratory function is not dependent on neonatal respiratory events or their treatment. In one of these studies the FVC in low birthweight children was not lower than in a reference group.\textsuperscript{18} In our study most measures of lung function were associated with birth weight. Therefore we could not confirm that airway growth is more vulnerable than peripheral lung growth in low birthweight children as Chan et al showed.\textsuperscript{19} The association was strong and was not explained by confounding variables including parental smoking at home, maternal smoking during pregnancy, and a large number of social factors. In other studies information on maternal smoking during pregnancy was not available.\textsuperscript{17} We found that adjusting for maternal smoking in pregnancy did not change the strength of the association between birth weight and lung function so that the effect of environment in utero on birth weight and subsequently on lung function may be independent of smoking in pregnancy. Differences in the regression coefficients and the 95% confidence interval between adjusted and unadjusted analyses were minimal in each lung function measurement, underlining the consistency of the findings.

Our analysis did not show any consistent association between the six respiratory symptoms and birth weight, although other researchers have reported associations with various respiratory illnesses.\textsuperscript{20-22} Alho et al reported that both gestational age and birth weight were independently associated with wheezy bronchitis.\textsuperscript{23} However, Chan et al found an association between birth weight and cough but not wheeze.\textsuperscript{24} Barker et al also failed to find a significant association between birth weight and wheeze.\textsuperscript{25} Greer and colleagues showed that preterm babies who did not require respiratory support had a high prevalence of wheeze and cough in the first year of life.\textsuperscript{26} The respiratory condition in this group of children improved with age.\textsuperscript{27} Furthermore there is no consistency in the reported findings between the type of respiratory illness and birth weight.

GESTATIONAL AGE AND RESPIRATORY SYMPTOMS

We found a strong association between gestational age and most respiratory symptoms, especially wheezing most days. Most epidemiological studies have not analysed respiratory symptoms in relation to birth weight and gestational age separately. Studies published in the late sixties reported that asthmatic women were more likely to have babies of less than 37 weeks gestation than non-asthmatic women.\textsuperscript{28} More recently, Bertrand et al suggested a link between familial airway hyperreactivity and premature birth,\textsuperscript{29} but Chan et al were unable to support a role of maternal asthma in low birth weight.\textsuperscript{30} We found no difference in the frequency of low gestational age in asthmatic and non-asthmatic women. In addition maternal and paternal asthma were included in the regression analyses and did not modify the association between independent and dependent variables. Thus a familial component is unlikely to be a confounding variable between gestational age and wheeze in children. This could be because asthma is now better controlled during pregnancy than previously. The relation between respiratory symptoms and length of gestation that we found is consistent with the effect of birth weight and other possible confounding variables. Our analysis suggests that fetal immaturity at birth rather than intrauterine environment would have a role in the aetiology of respiratory symptoms in children.

The mechanisms through which prenatal events influence lung function differ from those that affect respiratory symptoms in children. This explains the consistency of the relation between birth weight and lung function and the lack of it between birth weight and respiratory illness. Immaturity seems to play an important part in the subsequent development of respiratory illness in childhood.
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