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Abstract

Objective—To estimate the response rates and operating costs of three recruitment methods within a regional osteoporosis screening programme.

Design—Randomised trial of three types of invitation letter: one offering fixed appointments with option to change time, one offering fixed appointments but requiring telephoned confirmation of intention to attend, and one inviting recipient to telephone to make an appointment.

Setting—Osteoporosis screening unit, Aberdeen.

Subjects—1200 women aged 45-49 years living within 32 km of Aberdeen and randomly selected from the community health index. 400 women were randomised to each appointment method.

Main outcome measures—Numbers attending for screening; default rate among women who confirmed appointments; social class of attendees; cost per appointment slot and per completed scan.

Results—299 (75%), 277 (69%), and 217 (54%) women were scanned after fixed, confirmable, and open invitations respectively. Women who attended were given a questionnaire, and 694 (87.5%) returned it. No significant differences were found in the social class of attenders among the three methods. Of the 514 women who made or confirmed appointments, 494 attended for a scan. Total costs per scan were £25.00, £21.40, and £21.00 for fixed, confirmable, and open invitations respectively.

Conclusions—The offer of a fixed appointment requiring telephoned confirmation has the potential to reduce the costs of scanning without exaggerating any social bias or significantly reducing response rates provided that empty appointments can be rebooked at short notice.

Introduction

The NHS operates large scale screening services for carcinomas of the breast and cervix and population screening for osteoporosis is being evaluated in several research centres. To be successful screening requires accurate identification and efficient persuasion of the target population.

Screening by invitation is usually more effective than either opportunistic screening or self referral, but which method of invitation best combines good response rates with low cost is uncertain. Only two basic methods of invitation have been reported: fixed appointments with provision to alter the appointment if required and open ended invitations. Government guidelines for breast cancer screening suggest that specific appointments are preferred. Many studies have assessed the performance of both methods individually, and in the few studies that have compared the two specific invitations consistently outperformed open-ended invitations.

Response rates to fixed invitations rarely exceed 80%, and so material and human resources are wasted. We compared three different invitation methods for compliance and efficiency within a larger study of population based screening for future osteoporosis by dual energy x ray absorptiometry. At present population screening for osteoporosis remains controversial, and this paper does not address this issue.

Subjects and methods

The study was approved by the local ethics committee and took place in 1991 at the osteoporosis screening unit, City Hospital, Aberdeen. A single Norland X26 bone densitometer operated by one full time radiographer was used to scan up to 10 patients a day at the hip and lumbar spine; each scan took about 10 minutes and women were booked in at half hour intervals.

RECRUITMENT

We identified women aged 45-49 years living in 20 postcode sectors within 32 km of Aberdeen through the community health index.

A proportionate stratified random sample of 1200 women was randomly...
allocated to receive one of three trial invitation letters. The sample size was selected to allow the detection of a 10% difference in response rates between each pair of groups (α = 0.05, 1 − β = 0.8).

Individually addressed letters signed by the director of the unit (DMR) were posted with enclosed leaflets about osteoporosis and screening. The wording of the different types of invitations was similar. The first type of invitation (fixed) offered specific appointments. The second type (confirmable) was similar but also required subjects to intimate their intention to attend; failure to do so within 10 days risked loss of appointment. The third type of letter (open) invited the women to telephone to make an appointment. All invitations allowed for appointments to be changed by telephone.

DATA COLLECTION

All letters returned by post and all telephone calls in response to the letters were recorded. No reminders were sent.

Attenders were asked to complete a detailed lifestyle questionnaire. Social class was determined from the occupations of the patient’s spouse, the patient, or her father by using the registrar general’s classification:1 a simple division was made into non-manual (I, II, and IIIa) and manual (IIIb, IV, and V) classes. The social class structure of the target population was estimated from 1981 census data.18

DATA ANALYSIS

We calculated response rates and slot coverage rates (defined as the number of patients scanned divided by the number of appointment slots reserved) for each invitation type and compared them by the χ² test using a significance level of 5%; corresponding confidence intervals were derived with the computer package CIA.17

We calculated the costs associated with each method of invitation, assuming a yearly maximum of 2250 scans. Capital costs of the bone densitometer (£60 000) and the Portakabin (£15 230) were discounted at 6% a year to produce annualised charges over their expected working lifetimes of six and 20 years respectively. Fixed costs were defined as those expenses incurred whether a scan was performed or not and variable costs as those added only if a scan were successfully completed. The total cost per scan was then estimated as (fixed cost per slot/slot coverage rate)+variable cost per scan). Confidence intervals for the cost per scan were obtained by substituting the upper and lower confidence limits of the slot coverage rate in the calculation.

Results

Twelve hundred women were randomly assigned to the three methods of invitation. Twenty six (2.2%) of the letters were returned marked unknown at the given address. Table I shows the response rates and slot coverage rates for each method. The response rate for fixed invitations was 75% and for confirmable invitations 69%; both rates were significantly better than that for open invitations (54%, p<0.0001). Although a proportion of non-attenders telephoned to cancel their fixed appointments in time for them to be reallocated, slot coverage rates were significantly (p<0.0001) lower for fixed appointments (80%) than for the other two methods of invitation (95% for confirmable and 98% for open invitations). Table II analyses the differences in response rate and slot coverage rates among the three types of invitation.

The social class structure of the 694 (87.5%) attenders who completed the questionnaire was not significantly different among invitation types; the percentages of non-manual respondents within the three groups were 63% (95% confidence interval 57% to 69%) for fixed invitations, 68% (62% to 74%) for confirmable invitations, and 64% (57% to 71%) for open invitations. The 1981 census suggested that about two thirds of the female population of working age belonged to the non-manual social classes.

The fixed costs of each appointment slot were estimated as £19.40, £19.60, and £19.80 for fixed, confirmable, and open invitations respectively (table III), reflecting differences in secretarial time needed by each method and in postage costs implied by different response rates. The small variable cost of each scan was uniform across methods of invitation. The total cost per scan was £25.00 (£23.80 to £26.30) for fixed invitations, £21.40 (£21.00 to £22.10) for confirmable invitations and £21.00 (£20.70 to £21.60) for open invitations; the higher cost of fixed invitations reflects the lower slot coverage rates.

Discussion

Our results confirm the results of previous studies that women respond better to fixed rather than open invitations.19 20 The introduction of a requirement to confirm a fixed appointment was associated with an insignificant reduction in the response rate, but the response rate for confirmable invitations was still considerably higher than that achieved with the open invitation. Open invitations extend screening opportunities to more individuals and offer maximum flexibility and cost efficiency but at the expense of compliance.

One quarter of women who received fixed invitations did not attend, and most of these appointment slots were unusable. However, of the 514 women who confirmed or made an appointment in response to a
confirmable or open invitation, only 20 (4%) defaulted. This differential wastage made fixed invitations nearly 20% more expensive than confirmable or open invitations. As screening services expand such costings will become more relevant. We know of no previous published work that estimates the cost of screening by allowing for differential response rates.

Bone density screening takes nearly 30 minutes and therefore requires scheduled appointments; late attenders are rare but disruptive. Deliberate overbooking would cause lengthy queues, which might be poorly tolerated by both patients and health authorities.

We detected no significant difference in social class among those who attended for a scan in response to the three methods of invitation and returned the postal questionnaire. As the social class of attenders was similar to that of the target population none of the invitation methods seemed to introduce a social class bias.

In conclusion, letters offering fixed appointments that require confirmation seem to combine acceptable response rates and efficient use of resources provided that unconfirmed appointments can be reassigned at short notice. A mixed strategy offering an open appointment as an initial invitation and a fixed appointment requiring telephoned confirmation as a reminder, might ensure the best use of human and material resources while achieving an acceptable response rate. This hypothesis should be tested in a controlled study.
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Mild and moderate dyskaryosis: can women be selected for colposcopy on the basis of social criteria?
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Abstract

Objective — To describe the distribution of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grades among women with mild and moderate dyskaryosis after a single cervical smear and to determine whether social criteria could help identify women who are at increased risk of grade II or III disease.

Design — Cross sectional analysis within a randomised prospective study. Subjects had a repeat smear, a colposcopic examination, and an excision biopsy of the transformation zone. In addition, women were asked to complete a social questionnaire.

Setting — Colposcopy clinic, Aberdeen.

Subjects — 228 women with a single smear test showing mild or moderate dyskaryosis.

Main outcome measures — Histology, age, sexual and contraceptive history, cigarette smoking.

Results — 159 (70%) women had cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grades II or III. Among current smokers the prevalence of grade II and III disease was higher in women who smoked ≥20 cigarettes a day (84%) than among those who smoked less (66%; p<0.05). Women with more than one sexual partner also had a higher prevalence (75%) than women with only one partner (50%; p=0.0028). Use of oral contraceptives and younger age were not significantly associated. The prevalence of grade II or III disease was up to 66% in the lower risk groups.

Conclusions — Because of the high prevalence of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grades II and III in both the high and the low risk groups social factors are not useful for selecting women with mild or moderate dyskaryosis for either early referral to colposcopy or cytological surveillance.

Introduction

It is generally agreed that women with severe dyskaryosis require colposcopy and cervical biopsy. No clear consensus exists, however, on the management of mild and moderate dyskaryosis, which are detected 10 times more commonly than severe dyskaryosis.1 Two contrasting policies exist. The more conservative approach, cytological surveillance with referral to colposcopy only if the abnormality persists over 12-18 months, is based on the belief that a significant proportion of these cytological abnormalities revert to normal with time.

Cervical smears, however, often underestimate the severity of the underlying cervical lesions, with 15-50% of women with mild and moderate dyskaryosis having...