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Abstract

Objectives—To investigate the expectations and employment experiences of male and female doctors who completed vocational training in East Anglia during 1981-7 and to examine the factors which had influenced those who had changed direction early in their careers.

Design—Survey conducted by confidential postal questionnaire.

Setting—Britain.

Subjects—281 doctors, 233 (83%) of whom responded.

Main outcome measures—Ideal choice of work on completion of vocational training; present employment; factors which had restricted present choice of work; factors associated with reported satisfaction with job.

Results—77/83 (93%) men and 130/150 (87%) women had hoped to work in general practice (p=0.75). A smaller proportion of women (71%; 106) than men (89%; 74) were in general practice posts (p<0.01); only 6% (nine) of women were on maternity leave or caring for children without paid employment. More women than men were working in medical jobs other than general practice (18% (27) women v 4% (three) men; p<0.01). 44/91 (49%) women with children had achieved their employment goals compared with 47/59 (80%) women without children and 55/71 (78%) men with children. 87% (72/83) of men and 65% (98/150) of women who had achieved the status of principal (p<0.01). 162/193 (84%) doctors who had worked in general practice reported satisfaction with their jobs. Dissatisfaction was linked with doing a job different from that hoped for and with perceiving that the share of practice income did not accurately reflect their share of the practice workload.

Conclusions—Steps need to be taken to retain women in general practice, including a statutory part time pay allowance and incentives for practices to allow flexible working hours for doctors with young children.

Introduction

The new contract for general practitioners promised to encourage “flexible working to help doctors unable to commit themselves to full time general practice.” But at the same time changes were imposed making it harder than before for general practitioners to fulfil the criteria for full time status and therefore to claim the full basic practice allowance. The minimum hours of availability were increased from 20 to 26 hours to be spread over five days a week, doctors now having to contract with their family health services authorities to be available between specified hours. Simultaneously the payment for the employment of an assistant was increased, which it was admitted would “not be of direct benefit to doctors working on a part time basis.” I studied the expectations and employment experi-
Results

Questionnaires were sent to 99 male and 182 female doctors. Eighty three men (84%) and 150 women (82%) responded. A significantly greater proportion of women (29/150; 19%) than men (3/83; 4%) had remained single (p=0.001). The group of single men was too small to be analysed separately. Ninety per cent (75) of men and 84% (126) of women were aged under 40 years.

At the time they completed vocational training men and women had hoped for similar employment: 93% (77) of men and 87% (130) of women had planned to work in general practice and 2% (two) of men and 11% (16) of women sought posts in some other branch of medicine (p=0.075; table I). Eight per cent (seven) of men and 54% (81) of women wanted part time employment.

FACTORS INFLUENCING CAREER

Despite their originally similar career goals at the time of this survey, 2-9 years after completing vocational training, the female doctors were significantly less likely than their male contemporaries to be doing the job they had hoped for. Nearly one third of the women trained were not working in general practice, although only 6% (nine) of women were on maternity leave or caring for children without other paid employment. A significantly greater proportion of women than men were working in medical jobs other than general practice (p<0.01; table I).

Of those doctors with a general practice post, 5% (4/74) of men and 54% (57/106) of women were working less than full time. Of the married women working in general practice, two thirds (65%) were working less than full time. There was no significant difference in the length of time taken by married males and single and married females to find their first jobs in general practice after completion of vocational training. Married women made significantly fewer job applications than their married male and single female colleagues (p=0.001; table II).

Men were significantly more likely than the women to have obtained posts as principals (72/83 (87%) men v 98/150 (65%) women; p<0.01). Of the women principals, three (3%) were salaried partners. Women were more likely than men to be working as assistants or locums or to be on the general practitioner retainer scheme.

Twenty four per cent (20/83) of men and 39% (59/150) of women reported that they were doing a job different from their original ideal choice of work (p=0.02). When asked specifically how much marriage had restricted or changed their choice of work 25% (19/75) of married men and 42% (45/107) of married women reported that their marriage had had a considerable effect. Many women commented that they had been tied geographically by their husbands' jobs. The current employment of married women was significantly more likely than that of married men or single women to be different from their ideal choice of work at the time they had completed vocational training (p=0.001; table III).

Of those doctors with children, 20% (14/71) of men thought that their children had had an important effect on their career compared with 62% (56/91) of women (p=0.001). Women with children were the group least likely to be doing the work they had hoped for: only 49% (44/91) had achieved their employment goals compared with 80% (47/59) of women without children and 78% (55/71) of men with children. Men maintained a high rate of participation in medical work, especially general practice, despite having young children. The pattern of women's work differed from that of the men. A high proportion of women aged 30-34 (93%; 64/69) and 40 and above (96%; 23/24) were employed in medical work. Only 80% (41/51) of women aged 35-39 were doing any medical work, and this was the group in which the most women had young children; nearly 60% (30) had children aged 5 and under. This age group had the smallest proportion of women (55%; 28/51) working in general practice; 25% (13) were doing other medical jobs, often working as clinical medical officers or in public and child health. Only 10% (five) were caring for children without paid employment (table IV).

Of the men and women who were not doing their ideal choice of work, 10% (2/20) of men and 3% (2/59) of women had changed their mind about the type of work they wanted and 10% (2/20) of men and 20% (12/59) of women had been unable to find a suitable job; 29% (6/20) of men and 12% (7/59) of women reported that they had left general practice because they had found the demands excessive or had been dissatisfied with their general practice post. Four of these seven women reported that they had left because they had been underpaid as part time general practitioners.

Most doctors who had worked in general practice (162/193; 84%) reported satisfaction with their posts. Dissatisfaction was significantly linked with respondents doing a different job from that hoped for and to doctors reporting that the share of practice income they received did not accurately reflect their share of the practice workload.

Most of the doctors working full time 94% (103/110) believed that they were fairly paid relative to their workload. Those working part time more often reported being underpaid: 42% (22/53) of the part time profit share partners reported that their pay did not reflect their workload.
RELATION BETWEEN WORKLOAD AND PAY

Of the 53 part time profit share partners, 18 (34%) did not do out of hours work. For this group the mean share of a full daytime workload undertaken was 71%, compared with the mean percentage of a full profit share received of 42%. For the 35 doctors who did out of hours work the mean share of a full daytime workload undertaken was 73% and the mean share of full out of hours commitment 61%, whereas the mean percentage of a full profit share received was only 55%.

Discussion

This study set out to examine the career goals and employment experiences of young doctors who had recently trained in general practice. Despite the finding that most men and women wished to continue working in general practice there was a contrast between the proportions of men and women who achieved this goal. This is consistent with the results of a concurrent survey.2

In recent years a rising proportion of doctors who undertake vocational training have been female.1 In keeping with previous research the women in this survey were less likely than the men to have changed their minds about the type of work they wanted or to have changed direction of their career if they found the demands of general practice excessive.4 But nearly one third of the female doctors who had completed vocational training were no longer working in general practice. This loss cannot be attributed to lack of commitment. Few of the women in this study had stopped work even temporarily either because of their marriages or to care for their young children. This corresponds with the findings of other recent studies, which have emphasised the high rate of participation of women doctors in medical practice.10 If such large numbers of trained and motivated women are in future to continue doing the work they were trained for it is important to understand the particular employment problems they encounter.

Married women seem to have accepted jobs more readily than their contemporaries, a finding consistent with a previous study. But these posts were often less than ideal: many did not offer principal status, and even when doctors were appointed as part time profit share partners they received proportionately less pay than their full time partners for the work they had contracted to do. Although the discrepancy between the share of profits these doctors received and their share of daytime work could reflect the burden which out of hours work represents for full time general practitioners, this does not explain the fact that those part time doctors who did out of hours work received a considerably smaller share of profits than their share of either daytime or out of hours work. This suggests that some of these doctors were being underpaid. This disparity was an important source of discontent within this group, in keeping with recent research by Hooper et al.,10 and as a result some doctors left general practice.

Clearly women who are tied by their husbands' jobs yet wish to pursue their careers are in a weak negotiat ing position. National guidelines over pay for part time general practitioners might improve the present unsatisfactory situation. However, guidelines may not be enough. Although the British Medical Association has for several years recommended that general practitioners be appointed as profit share rather than salaried partners, such positions continue to exist, and a statutory part time pay formula seems to be needed.

Different problems arose for married women with young children, who were particularly likely to be working in other medical jobs. These doctors had accepted a change of medical employment when the needs of their children were greatest. Many commented on the relative convenience of their present work, contrasting it with general practice. A few of those who had stayed in general practice reported that they had negotiated favourable hours which may have allowed them to continue in their chosen work. More women with children might continue in general practice if their short term needs for flexible working hours could be more widely recognised and accommodated.

Little has been done in any specialty to meet the needs of the increasing numbers of women doctors in terms of part time training or flexible working arrangements.3 Job share arrangements introduced under the new contract are unlikely to help many women as the system is inflexible and depends on two doctors in the same area having exactly complementary and unchanging needs. But the structure of general practice, with small numbers of doctors within a group sharing the daytime workload and unsociable out of hours demands, makes providing convenient working hours for one partner disadvantageous to the others, who must take a heavier workload as a result. This problem needs to be recognised and financial incentives introduced to encourage practices to allow temporary short term flexible working for those doctors they need from them. Such changes would provide more opportunities for women to remain in general practice, and could also benefit some male doctors as changing attitudes make it increasingly likely that some fathers of young children will wish to take advantage of such a scheme.

The new contract has reduced the potential flexibility of all general practitioners' working arrangements and the income and status of those working part time. These factors have been shown to influence the proportion of women who remain in general practice after completing training. If increasing numbers of highly trained women doctors are not to be lost to general practice action must be taken. Vocational training schemes need to make women doctors aware of the difficulties they are likely to encounter in shaping their career objectives. Those women who have successfully negotiated satisfactory working conditions in general practice could be asked to share their experiences with those still in training posts. But the government and the General Medical Services Committee must recognise the extent of the present wastage of trained women general practitioners and the reasons for this.
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